East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 (EMG2)

Document DCO 6.6A/MCO 6.6A ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT

Volume 2 Technical Appendices

Appendix 6A

Transport Assessment

July 2025

The East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 and Highway Order 202X and The East Midlands Gateway Rail Freight and Highway (Amendment) Order 202X



SEGRO.COM/SLPEMG2



TRANSPORT & ACCESSIBILITY PLANNING

SEGRO EAST MIDLANDS GATEWAY PHASE 2 NORTH WEST LEICESTERSHIRE TRANSPORT ASSESSMENT

BWB

SEGRO EAST MIDLANDS GATEWAY PHASE 2 NORTH WEST LEICESTERSHIRE TRANSPORT ASSESSMENT

Birmingham Livery Place, 35 Livery Street, Colmore Business District Birmingham, B3 2PB T: 0121 233 3322

> Leeds Whitehall Waterfront, 2 Riverside Way Leeds, LS1 4EH T: 0113 233 8000

> > London 11 Borough High Street London, SE1 9SE T: 0207 407 3879

Manchester 11 Portland Street Manchester, M1 3HU T: 0161 233 4260

Nottingham 5th Floor, Waterfront House, Station Street Nottingham, NG2 3DQ T: 0115 924 1100

June 2025



DOCUMENT ISSUE RECORD

Document Number:	EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0002_TA
BWB Reference:	220500

Revision	Date of Issue	Status	Author:	Checked:	Approved:
Pl	27/06/2025	S2	Matt Corner	Simon Hilditch/Vibeeshan Devaharan	Paul Wilson

Notice

This document has been prepared for the sole use of the Client in accordance with the terms of the appointment under which it was produced. BWB Consulting Limited accepts no responsibility for any use of or reliance on the contents of this document by any third party. No part of this document shall be copied or reproduced in any form without the prior written permission of BWB.



CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	1
	Instruction	1
	Proposed Development	1
	Overview of Scoping Discussions	2
	Report Structure	6
2.	POLICY CONTEXT	8
	Introduction	8
	National Planning Policy	8
	Local Planning Policy	19
3.	BACKGROUND INFORMATION	26
	Introduction	26
	East Midlands Freeport Sites & Draft Local Plan Allocations	
	East Midlands Gateway Phase 1	27
	East Midlands Growth Point	29
	Scoping Discussions	29
	PINS Scoping Opinion	
	Strategy for this Transport Assessment	
4.	EXISTING HIGHWAY CONDITIONS	
	Site Details	
	EMG2 Works	
	Highway Works	
	EMG1 Works	
	Local Highway Network	
	Strategic Road Network	
	Local Junctions	
	Traffic Flows	
	Personal Injury Collision Data	
5.	EXISTING SUSTAINABLE TRAVEL OPPORTUNITIES	
	Introduction	
	Local Amenities	
	Active Travel	47
	Bus Services	
	Rail Services	55
	Accessibility Index Calculator	56
	Summary	57



6.	PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	58
	Introduction	58
	Public Transport Improvements	63
	Proposed HGV Park	63
	EMG2 Main Site Vehicular Access	64
	Operations	65
	Parking Provision	65
	HGV Route Plan	66
	Construction	69
7.	TRIP GENERATION	70
	Introduction	70
	Operational Phase	70
	Construction Phase	77
8.	PRTM SATURN MODELLING METHODOLOGY	79
	Introduction	79
	East Midlands Freeport Model	79
	Local Model Validation Report	79
	Development Trip Distribution	80
	Forecast Years & Assessment Criteria	80
	Committed Developments & Highway Infrastructure Schemes	82
	Covid-19 Assessment	85
	Stage 1 Forecasting Reports	
	Study Area	95
9.	DETAILED JUNCTION MODELLING METHODOLOGY	98
	Introduction	98
	Baseline Traffic Surveys	98
	Local Junction Modelling	
	VISSIM Modelling	
	Deriving Future Forecast Traffic Flows	
10.	OFF-SITE IMPACT ASSESSMENTS: CORE SCENARIO (STAGE 1 A MODELLING)	104
	Introduction	104
	Measurement of Capacity	104
	Measurement of Impacts	104
	Junctions 2 to 5 (VISSIM Network)	105
	Junction 6 – A453/East Midlands Airport Signal Junction	
	Junction 7 – A453/Grimes Gate Priority Junction	107
	Junction 8 – A453/The Green Priority Junction	108



	Junction 9 – A453/East Midlands Airport Roundabout	109
	Junction 10 – A453/Walton Hill Signal Junction	110
	Junction 11 – A42 Junction 14 on-slip/Top Brand/Gelscoe Lane Roundabout	111
	Junction 12 – M1 Junction 23	112
	Junction 13 – A50 Junction 1	113
	Junction 14 – M1 Junction 25	115
	Junction 15 – Station Road/Broad Rushes Roundabout	117
	Junction 16 – A453/Kegworth Road dumbbell Roundabouts	118
	Junction 17 – A453/Trent Lane/West Leake dumbbell Roundabout	119
11.	OFF-SITE IMPACT ASSESSMENTS: SENSITIVITY TEST (STAGE 1B MODELLING)	121
	Introduction	121
	Junctions 1 to 5 (VISSIM Network)	121
	Junction 8 – A453/The Green Priority Junction	122
	Junction 9 – A453/East Midlands Airport Roundabout	123
	Junction 10 – A453/Walton Hill Signal Junction	124
	Junction 12 – M1 Junction 23	125
	Junction 13 – A50 Junction 1	126
	Junction 14 – M1 Junction 25	128
	Junction 15 – Station Road/Broad Rushes Roundabout	130
12.	HIGHWAY MITIGATION	131
	Introduction	131
	Proposed Highway Works	131
	Stage 2A PRTM Modelling	132
	Stage 2B PRTM Modelling	139
	COBALT Assessment	139
	Revised Furnessing methodology	140
13.	OFF-SITE IMPACT ASSESSMENTS: CORE SCENARIO (STAGE 2A MODELLING)	141
	Introduction	141
	Junctions 2 to 5 (VISSIM Network)	141
	Junction 6 – A453/East Midlands Airport Signal Junction	144
	Junction 7 – A453/Grimes Gate Priority Junction	145
	Junction 8 – A453/The Green Priority Junction	146
	Junction 9 – A453/East Midlands Airport Roundabout	148
	Junction 10 – A453/Walton Hill Signal Junction	150
	Junction 11 – A42 Junction 14 on-slip/Top Brand/Gelscoe Lane Roundabout	151
	Junction 12 – M1 Junction 23	152
	Junction 13 – A50 Junction 1	154



	Junction 14 – M1 Junction 25	156
	Junction 15 – Station Road/Broad Rushes Roundabout	158
	Junction 16 – A453/Kegworth Road dumbbell Roundabouts	159
	Junction 17 – A453/Trent Lane/West Leake dumbbell Roundabout	
14.	OFF-SITE IMPACT ASSESSMENTS: CORE SCENARIO (STAGE 2B MODELLING)	163
	Introduction	163
	Junctions 2 to 5 (VISSIM Network)	163
	Junction 8 – A453/The Green Priority Junction	164
	Junction 9 – A453/East Midlands Airport Roundabout	165
	Junction 10 – A453/Walton Hill Signal Junction	166
	Junction 12 – M1 Junction 23	167
	Junction 13 – A50 Junction 1	
	Junction 14 – M1 Junction 25	
	Junction 15 – Station Road/Broad Rushes Roundabout	
15.	CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC ASSESSMENT	174
16.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	175



FIGURES

Figure 1. Site Location

- Figure 2. East Midlands Freeport Sites
- Figure 3. Isley Woodhouse Draft Local Plan Allocation
- Figure 4. East Midlands Gateway 1 Layout
- Figure 5. Automatic Traffic Count Survey Locations
- Figure 6. Strategic Road Network
- Figure 7. Location of Key Local Junctions
- Figure 8. Personal Injury Collison Review Study area
- Figure 9. Active Travel Isochrones from EMG2 Main Site
- Figure 10. Active Travel Isochrones from Plot 16 EMG1
- Figure 11. Public Rights of Way
- Figure 12. Local Cycle Routes
- Figure 13. National Cycle Routes
- Figure 14. Local Bus Routes
- Figure 15. Destinations Served by Local Bus Routes
- Figure 16. Train Services from East Midlands Parkway Station
- Figure 17. Proposed Site Access to EMG2 Main Site
- Figure 18. Existing Weight Restrictions

Figure 19. HGV Assignment between EMG2 and EMG1 Rail Freight Terminal (Morning peak hour)

Figure 20. HGV Assignment between EMG2 and EMG1 Rail Freight Terminal (Evening peak hour)

Figure 21. Development HGV Flow Increases

- Figure 22. Development Car Flow Increases
- Figure 23. PRTM Stage 1a Modelling Area of Influence
- Figure 24. Stage 1a Modelling Volume-Capacity Ratios (morning peak hour)
- Figure 25. Stage 1a Modelling Volume-Capacity Ratios (evening peak hour)
- Figure 26. Comparison of Area of Influence between Stage 1A and 1B modelling
- Figure 27. Location of Junctions in Study Area
- Figure 28. VISSIM Model Extent

Figure 29. M1 Junction 24 Highway Mitigation changes

- Figure 30. Stage 2a Modelling PRTM Forecast Flow Changes in Morning Peak Hour
- Figure 31. Stage 2a Modelling PRTM Area of Influence
- Figure 32. Stage 2a Modelling Volume-Capacity Ratios

TABLES

- Table 1. Key Supporting Transport Documents and Technical Notes
- Table 2. EMG1 Employee Travel Survey Findings (2021 to 2024)
- Table 3. Planning Inspectorate's Scoping Comments and Actions
- Table 4. Summary of Vehicle Speed Results (A453)
- Table 5. Key Local Amenities (BREEAM)
- Table 6. GPJF Acceptable Walking Distances Guidance Table
- Table 7. Bus Timetable Information (Monday to Saturday)

Table 8. Bus Timetable Information (Sundays)

Table 9. Development Proposals Summary

Table 10. Crossing Design Suitability (extract from Table 10-2 of LTN 1/20)



Table 11. Leicestershire County Council Parking Standards Table 12. Proposed Development Trip Rates Table 13. Proposed Development Traffic Generation Table 14. EMG1 Surveyed B8 Trip Rates (2024) Table 15. Forecast Traffic Generation based on 2024 EMG1 Recorded Trip Rates Table 16. Modal Split and Person Trip Generation Table 17. EMG2 Main Site Person Trip Generation Table 18. EMG1 Plot 16 Works Person Trip Generation Table 19. Total Person Trip Generation Table 20. Travel Plan Targets Table 21. Targeted 10-Year Person Trip Generation Table 22. Total Construction Vehicle Traffic Generation Table 23. Large Committed Housing Developments included in PRTM Base Model Table 24. Large Committed Employment Developments included in PRTM Base Model Table 25. AECOM Analysis (April, May & June 2019 vs 2023 PCU flows) Table 26. BWB Analysis (March & October 2019 vs 2023 total flows) Table 27. Development Trip Generation (PRTM Model) Table 28. Development Distribution Pattern from PRTM Table 29. Development Trip Distribution along A50 Corridor Table 30. Amended Development Distribution Pattern Table 31. Transport Assessment Study Area Table 32: 2028 VISSIM Network Performance Comparison - Stage 1A Table 33: 2038 VISSIM Network Performance Comparison – Stage 1A Table 34. A453/East Midlands Airport LinSig Summary Results – Stage 1A Table 35.A453/Grimes Gate Junctions 11 Summary Results – Stage 1A Table 36. A453/The Green Junctions 11 Summary Results – Stage 1A Table 37. A453/East Midlands Airport Roundabout Junctions 11 Summary Results – Stage 1A Table 38. A453/Walton Hill Signal LinSig Summary Results – Stage 1A Table 39. A42 Junction 14 on-slip/Top Brand/Gelscoe Lane Junctions 11 Summary Results -Stage 1A Table 40. M1 Junction 23 LinSig Summary Results – Stage 1A Table 41. A50 Junction 1 LinSig Summary Results – Stage 1A Table 42 M1 Junction 25 LinSig Summary Results – Stage 1A Table 43. Station Road/Broad Rushes Roundabout Junctions 11 Summary Results – Stage 1A Table 44. A453/Kegworth Road dumbbell Roundabouts Junctions 11 Summary Results – Stage 1A Table 45. A453/Trent Lane/West Leake dumbbell Roundabout Junctions 11 Summary Results -Stage 1A Table 46: 2028 VISSIM Network Performance Comparison – Stage 1B Table 47: 2038 VISSIM Network Performance Comparison – Stage 1B Table 48. A453/The Green Junctions 11 Summary Results - Stage 1B Table 49. A453/East Midlands Airport Roundabout Junctions 11 Summary Results – Stage 1B Table 50. A453/Walton Hill LinSig Summary Results – Stage 1B Table 51. M1 Junction 23 LinSig Summary Results – Stage 1B Table 52. A50 Junction 1 LinSig Summary Results – Stage 1B Table 53. M1 Junction 25 LinSig Summary Results – Stage 1B Table 54. Station Road/Broad Rushes Roundabout Junctions 11 Summary Results – Stage 1B Table 55. PRTM Modelling Network Statistics for Strategic Road Network Table 56. PRTM Modelling Network Statistics for non Strategic Road Network Table 57: 2028 Network Performance Comparison – Stage 2A Table 58: 2038 Network Performance Comparison – Stage 2A



Table 59. A453/East Midlands Airport LinSig Summary Results – Stage 2A Table 60.A453/Grimes Gate Junctions 11 Summary Results – Stage 2A Table 61. A453/The Green Junctions 11 Summary Results – Stage 2A Table 62. A453/East Midlands Airport Roundabout Junctions 11 Summary Results – Stage 2A Table 63. A453/Walton Hill Signal LinSig Summary Results – Stage 2A Table 64. A42 Junction 14 on-slip/Top Brand/Gelscoe Lane Junctions 11 Summary Results – Stage 2A Table 65. M1 Junction 23 LinSig Summary Results - Stage 2A Table 66. A50 Junction 1 LinSig Summary Results – Stage 2A Table 67 M1 Junction 25 LinSig Summary Results – Stage 2A Table 68. Station Road/Broad Rushes Roundabout Junctions 11 Summary Results – Stage 2A Table 69. A453/Kegworth Road dumbbell Roundabouts Junctions 11 Summary Results – Stage 2A Table 70. A453/Trent Lane/West Leake dumbbell Roundabout Junctions 11 Summary Results -Stage 2A Table 71: 2028 Network Performance Comparison – Stage 2B Table 72: 2038 Network Performance Comparison – Stage 2B Table 73. A453/The Green Junctions 11 Summary Results - Stage 2B Table 74. A453/East Midlands Airport Roundabout Junctions 11 Summary Results – Stage 2B Table 75. A453/Walton Hill Signal LinSig Summary Results – Stage 2B Table 76. M1 Junction 23 LinSig Summary Results – Stage 2B Table 77. A50 Junction 1 LinSig Summary Results – Stage 2B Table 78 M1 Junction 25 LinSig Summary Results – Stage 2B Table 79. Station Road/Broad Rushes Roundabout Junctions 11 Summary Results

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1: VISSIM Scoping Note (document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0003_S2-P3)

APPENDIX 2: Modelling Furnessing Approach (document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0004_S2-P5)

APPENDIX 3: Walking, Cycling and Horse-Riding Assessment and Review Assessment Report (document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0005_S2-P5)

APPENDIX 4: VISSIM Local Model Validation Report (document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0006_S2-P3)

APPENDIX 5: Base Model Validation Report (document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0007_S2-P4)

APPENDIX 6: EMFM Base Year Model Review (document reference EMFM 2019 – East Midlands Gateway Phase 2: Base Year Model Review v1.1)

APPENDIX 7: EMFM Base Year Model Review Addendum (document reference East Midlands Gateway Phase 2: Base Year Model Review Addendum, update to May 2024 TAG data book, v1.0)

APPENDIX 8: PRTM Proforma v14 & Uncertainty Log v7

APPENDIX 9: EMFM Forecasting Report (document reference EMFM 2019 – East Midlands Gateway Phase 2: Forecasting Report v1.0)

APPENDIX 10: EMG2 Rail Freight Terminal Note (document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-CH-0011_S2-P01)

APPENDIX 11: Trip Generation Core Assessment (document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0012_S2-P1)

APPENDIX 12: Construction Traffic Calculations (document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0013 S2-P3) APPENDIX 13: Covid-19 Assessment (document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-00014 S2-P1) APPENDIX 14: Highway Safety Position Statement (document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-00015 S2-P1) APPENDIX 15: HGV Route Plan (document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-00016_S2-P3) APPENDIX 16: Construction Traffic Management Plan (document reference PC23-004 EMG 2) APPENDIX 17: TA & ES Assessment Methodology (document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-00017 S2-P4) APPENDIX 18: COBALT Assessment (document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-00018_S2-P1) APPENDIX 19: Transport Working Group Meeting Minutes **APPENDIX 20: Modelling Meeting Minutes** APPENDIX 21: Overview of Works on the Strategic Road Network (drawing reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-SK-CH-SK045_S2-P03) APPENDIX 22: Highway boundary information APPENDIX 23: A50 Junction 1 approved signalisation scheme APPENDIX 24: Walking, Cycling and Horse-Riding Assessment & Review - Review Report (document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-CH-0018 S4-P01) APPENDIX 25: BREAAM Accessibility Index Calculator (existing site) APPENDIX 26: Geometric Design Strategy Record for the local highway network (document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-CH-0017 S3-P01) APPENDIX 27: Geometric Design Strategy Record for the Strategic Road Network (document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-CH-0013_S3-P01) APPENDIX 28: Directional Signage Strategy APPENDIX 29: Stage 1B Modelling sign off sheet APPENDIX 30: Leicestershire County Council email dated 11 December 2024 APPENDIX 31: BREAAM Accessibility Index Calculator (proposed development) APPENDIX 32: Stage 1A Modelling sign off sheet APPENDIX 33: EMG1 Vehicle Trip Rate Comparison Report (document reference PC6796) APPENDIX 34: BWB email dated 5 March 2025 APPENDIX 35: National Highways email dated 1 May 2025 APPENDIX 36: PRTM Proforma v14a & Uncertainty Log v7a APPENDIX 37: A52 Signal Junctions Green Time Calculations email dated 23 August 2024 APPENDIX 38: Stage 1C Modelling sign off sheet APPENDIX 39: PRTM Stage 1A Modelling Forecasting Report (document reference East Midlands Gateway Phase 2: Forecasting Report v1.0) APPENDIX 40: NH Tech Note response to Stage 1A Modelling Forecasting Report APPENDIX 41: NH agreement to Stage 1A modelling approach email dated 16 May 2025 APPENDIX 42: PRTM Trip Distribution Plots on the A50 APPENDIX 43: PRTM Stage 1B Modelling Forecasting Report Addendum (document reference East Midlands) APPENDIX 44: VISSIM Local Model Validation Report (document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0006_S2-P4) APPENDIX 45: National Highways Technical Note agreeing base Junctions 11 models APPENDIX 46: Modelling Furnessing Approach (document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0004 S2-P6) APPENDIX 47: VISSIM Forecast Modelling Report (document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0019_S2-P1)

APPENDIX 48: Junction 6: A453/East Midlands Airport signal junction Stage 1A/2A Modelling Results

APPENDIX 49: Junction 7: A453/Grimes Gate Priority Junction Stage 1A/2A Modelling Results APPENDIX 50: Junction 8: A453/The Green Priority Junction Stage 1A/2A Modelling Results APPENDIX 51: Junction 9: A453/East Midlands Airport Roundabout Stage 1A/2A Modelling Results

APPENDIX 52: Junction 10: A453/Walton Hill Signal Junction Stage 1A/2A Modelling Results APPENDIX 53: Junction 11: A42 Junction 14 on-slip/Top Brand/Gelscoe Lane Roundabout Stage 1A/2A Modelling Results

APPENDIX 54: Junction 12: M1 Junction 23 Stage 1A/2A Modelling

APPENDIX 55: Junction 13: A50 Junction 1 Stage 1A/2A Modelling Results

APPENDIX 56: Junction 14: M1 Junction 25 Stage 1A/2A Modelling Results

APPENDIX 57: Junction 15: Station Road/Broad Rushes Roundabout Stage 1A/2A Modelling Results

APPENDIX 58: Junction 16: A453/Kegworth Road Dumbbell Roundabouts Stage 1A/2A Modelling Results

APPENDIX 59: Junction 17: A453/Trent Lane/West Leake Lane Dumbbell Roundabouts Stage 1A/2A Modelling Results

APPENDIX 60: Junction 8: A453/The Green Priority Junction Stage 1B/2B Modelling Results APPENDIX 61: Junction 9: A453/East Midlands Airport Roundabout Stage 1B/2B Modelling Results

APPENDIX 62: Junction 10: A453/Walton Hill Signal Junction Stage 1B/2B Modelling Results APPENDIX 63: Junction 12: M1 Junction 23 Stage 1B/2B Modelling Results

APPENDIX 64: Junction 13: A50 Junction 1 Stage 1B/2B Modelling Results

APPENDIX 65: Junction 14: M1 Junction 25 Stage 1B/2B Modelling Results

APPENDIX 66: Junction 15: Station Road/Broad Rushes Roundabout Stage 1B/2B Modelling Results

APPENDIX 67: PRTM Stage 2A Modelling Forecasting Report Addendum (document reference East Midlands Gateway Phase 2: Forecasting Report Addendum Stage 2a v2.0) APPENDIX 68: Updated Study Area Spreadsheet using Stage 2A Modelling Outputs

APPENDIX 69: COBALT Assessment (document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0020_S2-P1)



1. INTRODUCTION

Instruction

1.1 BWB Consulting Ltd (BWB) has been appointed by Segro (the Applicant) to provide highways and transportation advice in support of a second phase of its East Midlands Gateway Logistics Park (EMG1), which is a Strategic Rail Freight Interchange located to the north of East Midlands Airport. EMG1 is a Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP) comprising a rail freight terminal and warehousing units (Use Class B8) authorised by The East Midlands Gateway Rail Freight Interchange and Highway Order 2016 (SI 2016/17 – the EMG1 Order) and is now fully complete.

Proposed Development

1.2 The proposed second phase to EMG1 (known as EMG2) includes the development of the EMG2 Main Site which has been identified by the Secretary of State as a project of national significance, and is the subject of an application for a Development Consent Order (DCO) pursuant to a direction made under Section 35 of the Planning Act 2008, along with significant highway works which are an NSIP in their own right. At the same time further development and infrastructure improvements at EMG1 are proposed which are the subject of an application for a material change to the EMG1 DCO. The applications comprise the following three interrelated component parts:

Development Consent Order (DCO) Application

- EMG2 Works A new logistics and advanced manufacturing employment park on the EMG2 Main Site located to the south of East Midlands Airport and the A453, and west of the M1 motorway. This part of the Scheme falls within the 'East Midlands Airport and Gateway Industrial Cluster' (EMAGIC), which is part of the East Midlands Freeport designated by the Government in 2022. It comprises 300,000sqm of B2/B8 Use Class, plus an allowance for 200,000sqm of B8 mezzanine floorspace; together with an upgrade to the EMG1 substation and the provision of a community park
- **Highways Works** Highways works primarily to the Strategic Road Network (SRN) including improvements at Junction 24 of the M1 motorway and the road network interacting with that junction; and

Material Change Order (MCO) Application

- EMG1 Works Additional warehousing unit of 26,500sqm plus a mezzanine allowance for 3,500 sqm (Use Class B8) at Plot 16 of EMG1, together with works to increase the permitted height of the gantry cranes at the rail freight terminal, improvements to the EMG1 public transport interchange, and site management building.
- 1.3 The locations of the three parts of the development are shown at Figure 1, which presents the Components Plan, Document DCO2.7/MCO2.7 The Parameters Plan for the EMG2 Works is included in Document DCO 2.5 and the EMG1 Works in Document MCO 2.5.



Figure 1. Site Location



1.4 This Transport Assessment (TA) has been prepared to support the applications for a DCO and a MCO described above, which will be submitted to the Examining Authority for consideration. The Examining Authority will review the applications before making a recommendation to the Secretary of State who will issue decisions on both applications.

Overview of Scoping Discussions

- 1.5 Since April 2022, extensive pre-application discussions have been held with the 'Transport Working Group' (TWG) consisting of the following key statutory highway authorities, consultant representations and project team:
 - National Highways (NH managing the Strategic Road Network)
 - Leicestershire County Council (LCountyC local highway authority); NB where 'LCountyC' are referenced in the TA, this relates to their Highways Development Management team; LCountyC's Network Data and Intelligence (NDI) team also formed part of the TWG
 - Nottinghamshire County Council (NCountyC)



- Leicester City Council (LCityC)
- East Midlands County Combined Authority (EMCCA)
- Nottingham City Council (NCityC) who originally formed part of the TWG but stepped away when it was evident that there would be limited impact on their highway network
- Derbyshire County Council (DCountyC) ditto
- Derby City Council (DCityC) whilst never formally forming part of the TWG, DCityC have been kept abreast of key updates, including a response to the statutory consultation process
- Jacobs National Highways representation
- Integrated Transport Planning Travel Plan Co-ordinator for EMG1/EMG2
- AECOM who manage the Pan Regional Transport Model (PRTM) on behalf of LCountyC NDI; NB the East Midlands Freeport Model (EMFM) was developed by AECOM for LCountyC as a cordon of PRTM. - this is examined in further detail in Section 8
- Segro Applicant
- Delta Planning and Oxalis Applicants Planning Consultants.
- 1.6 Monthly meetings have been held with the TWG and are planned to continue if required throughout the DCO/MCO Examinations. The assessment of transport impacts has been based on a comprehensive transport modelling exercise, for which the TWG was established to oversee. It has also provided opportunities for discussion around other aspects of the development including the sustainable transport strategy and package of mitigation required to accommodate the development.
- 1.7 Further details of the scoping discussions and the feedback received during the statutory consultation are outlined in **Section 3**.
- 1.8 NH, LCountyC, NCountyC and LCityC have been party to a number of key technical submissions via a series of transport related documents and Technical Notes. This TA summarises the various submissions agreed to date and brings the details together in one place,
- 1.9 The key transport related documents and Technical Notes are listed in **Table 1** along with the authoring organisation. It also includes reference to the associated formal sign off sheets and which authorities of have signed and returned these, as formal agreement to the details and core assumptions (i.e. those ticked). Documents are also ticked in **Table 1** where agreements have been confirmed by LCountyC, even if a formal sign off sheet has not been signed, as set out in further detail in subsequent sections of this TA.



1.10 It should be noted that National Highways and NCountyC have signed a large number of documents. LCountyC have made it clear that they will not formally sign anything off at this stage of the process and only at the point of actual agreement. Where formal approvals are missing, it does not necessarily mean that the highway authorities are not in agreement with the principle of such documents; it just means that formal sign offs have not been sent. These documents are to be read in conjunction with this TA. Copies of each document are contained at **Appendices 1** to **18**.

Table 1. Key Supporting Transport Documents and Technical Notes

Document Name	2 Document Reference	Author	ТА Арр	Sign off sheet	NH	LCC	NCC
Sustainable Transport Strategy	Sustainable Transport Strategy – Version 5-0, April 2025	ITP	*	Transport Reporting 1			
Framework Travel Plan	Framework Travel Plan – Version 5-0, April 2025	ITP	**	Transport Reporting 1			
VISSIM Scoping Note	EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX- RP-TR-0003_S2-P3, 24 February 2023	BWB	1	1A Modelling	\checkmark	***	~
Furnessing and Forecasting Methodology Note	EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX- RP-TR-0004_S2-P5, 4 April 2025	BWB	2	1A Modelling	~		~
Walking, Cycling and Horse-Riding Assessment & Review Assessment Report (WCHAR)	EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX- RP-TR-0005_S2-P5, 16 May 2025	BWB	3				
VISSIM Local Model Validation Report	EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX- RP-TR-0006_S2-P3, 6 February 2025 ***	BWB	4	1E Modelling	~	***	***
Base Model Validation Report	EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX- RP-TR-0007_S2-P4, 31 May 2024	BWB	5	1D Modelling	\checkmark		~
EMFM Base Year Model Review	EMFM 2019 – East Midlands Gateway Phase 2: Base Year Model Review v1.1, 11 November 2022	AECOM	6	1A Modelling	\checkmark		\checkmark
EMFM Base Year Model Review Addendum	EMFM 2019 – East Midlands Gateway Phase 2: Base Year Model Review Addendum (update to May 2024 TAG data book) v1.0, 19 August 2024	AECOM	7	1A Modelling	√	***	~
PRTM Proforma v14 & Uncertainty Log v7	PRTM Development Testing Proforma v14, 10 October 2024 & Uncertainty Log v7 (4 July 2024)	BWB	8	1A Modelling	√	\checkmark	~



EMFM Forecasting Report	EMFM 2019 – East Midlands Gateway Phase 2: Forecasting Report v1.0, 4 February 2025	AECOM	9				
EMG1 Rail Freight Terminal Note	EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX- RP-CH-0011_S2-P01	BWB	10	1B Modelling	\checkmark	\checkmark	\checkmark
Trip Generation Core Assessment	EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX- RP-TR-0012_S2-P1, 18 October 2024	BWB	11	1B Modelling	~	~	~
Construction Traffic Calculations	EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX- RP-TR-0013_S2-P3, 11 April 2025	BWB	12	1F Modelling			
Covid-19 Assessment	EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX- RP-TR-0014_S2-P1, 7 January 2025	BWB	13	1C Modelling	~		~
Highway Safety Position Statement	EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX- RP-TR-0015_S2-P1, 14 March 2025	BWB	14				
HGV Route Plan	EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX- RP-TR-0016_S2-P3, 14 May 2025	BWB	15				
Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP)	PC23-004 EMG 2	Taylor Skelton	16				
TA & ES Assessment Methodology	EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX- RP-TR-0017_S2-P4, 28 April 2025	BWB	17				
COBALT Assessment Methodology	EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX- RP-TR-0018_S2-P1, 12 May 2025	BWB	18				

*the Sustainable Transport Strategy is included in **Document DCO 6.6B/MCO 6.6B**

the Framework Travel Plan is included in **Document DCO 6.6C/MCO 6.6C

*** deferred to NH's review and approval

- 1.14 A package of highway improvements has been identified to accommodate the additional activity from the proposed development for all modes of travel. To confirm that the proposed highway improvements are appropriate in scale and layout, the mitigation package has been tested through PRTM, a strategic highway assignment model operated by AECOM on behalf of LCountyC and had, at the time of the start of the assessment work, a base year of 2019, which is examined in greater detail in Section 8,
- 1.15 **Section 8** discusses the more recent 2023 version of PRTM. However, unless explicitly stated, any references made to 'PRTM' in the TA refer to the 2019 version.
- 1.16 Further detailed analysis has also been undertaken using microsimulation VISSIM modelling, supported by a WCHAR.

- 1.17 The detailed geometric design of the highway mitigation has been advanced to a sufficient stage to confirm it is deliverable.
- 1.18 The Applicant is targeting BREEAM Outstanding across all units of the EMG2 Main Site development together with Plot 16 at EMG1 (part of the EMG1 Works). Despite the outline nature of the applications details required to achieve certain BREEAM credits for TRA01 and TRA02 are included in this TA.

Report Structure

- 1.19 The remainder of this TA is structured as follows:
 - Section 2: Policy Context summarises the key national and local planning policies relating to transport within the context of the EMG2 Project, in particular the overarching National Planning Policy Framework and National Networks National Policy Statement.
 - Section 3: Background Information provides a brief overview of EMG1, including land uses and occupiers, as well as information on the East Midlands Freeport and surrounding planned development. It also summarises the scoping discussions held with the TWG and the comments received during the first statutory consultation.
 - Section 4: Existing Highway Conditions describes the area that will accommodate the various components of the EMG2 Project, as well as the surrounding highway network, junction layouts and a summary of the Personal Injury Collision records.
 - Section 5: Existing Sustainable Travel Opportunities describes the existing opportunities and facilities to walk, cycle and access public transport in the vicinity of the proposals.
 - Section 6: Proposed Development provides details of each of the EMG2 Project components, as well as the proposed highway improvements, sustainable travel improvements and access strategy. It also provides details of parking requirements and HGV routing plans.
 - Section 7: Trip Generation quantifies the agreed multi-modal trip generation of the EMG2 Project for the core operational assessment and construction phase as well as summarising the forecast trip generation with the Travel Plan measures in place.
 - Section 8: PRTM SATURN Modelling Methodology summarises the strategic transport modelling undertaken using LCountyC's PRTM, including the base model validation, forecast year scenarios and modelling results.
 - Section 9: Detailed Junction Modelling Methodology summarises the approach taken to building the detailed transport models using VISSIM microsimulation, Junctions 11 and LinSig software. It also presents the furnessing methodology used to derive forecast year traffic flows.
 - Section 10: Off-Site Impact Assessment: Core Scenario (Stage 1A Modelling) presents the results of the detailed junction modelling for the Stage 1A core scenario, highlighting where the development is predicted to have a severe impact and where mitigation is required.
 - Section 11: Off-Site Impact Assessment: Sensitivity Test (Stage 1B Modelling) presents the results of the detailed junction modelling for a select number of junctions that require a sensitivity test.



- Section 12: Highway Mitigation presents the details of the proposed highway mitigation and the results of the PRTM modelling testing the package of mitigation, summarising the benefits of the EMG2 Project.
- Section 13: Off-Site Impact Assessment: Core Scenario (Stage 2A Modelling) presents the results of the detailed junction modelling for the Stage 2A core scenario, highlighting where the development is predicted to have a severe impact and where mitigation is required with the mitigation measures included for.
- Section 14: Off-Site Impact Assessment: Sensitivity Test (Stage 2B Modelling) presents the results of the detailed junction modelling for a select number of junctions that require a sensitivity test with the mitigation measures included for.
- Section 15: Construction Traffic Assessment will ultimately present the modelling results of the construction traffic scenario and any associated mitigation requirements.
- Section 16: Summary and Conclusions summarises the findings of the report and offers conclusions in relation to the EMG2 Project impacts.

2. POLICY CONTEXT

Introduction

- 2.1 This section of the TA examines the context of the **EMG2 Project** and how this relates to the relevant transport and development planning policies and guidelines. It provides an overall spatial and planning context for the **EMG2 Project**.
- 2.2 Policies have been adopted in national guidelines such as the Transport White Paper (2011), that seek to encourage more sustainable modes other than the car and a planning system that places greater emphasis on the link between transport and land use planning policies. This is to encourage transport decisions at a local level that are compatible with environmental and community goals and best reflect local circumstances and requirements.
- 2.3 The following national and local planning policy documents have been reviewed:
 - The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF).
 - National Networks National Policy Statement (NNNSP).
 - Planning Practice Guidance (PPG).
 - Department for Transport Circular 01/2022 'Strategic Road Network and the Delivery of Sustainable Development'.
 - Highways England 'The Strategic Road Network Planning for the Future' (2015).
 - North West Leicestershire District Council (NWLDC)Local Plan.
 - NWLDC Local Plan Substantive Review.
 - Leicestershire County Council Local Transport Plan 4.
 - Leicestershire Highways Design Guide Transport Assessments

National Planning Policy

National Planning Policy Framework (MHCLG, Revised December 2024)

- 2.4 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) replaced the majority of previous Planning Policy Statements on 27 March 2012 and was last updated in December 2024. It sets out the Government's expectations and requirements from the planning system. It provides guidance for local Councils to use when defining their own personal local and neighbourhood plans. This approach allows the planning system to be customised to reflect the needs and priorities of individual communities.
- 2.5 The NPPF defines the delivery of sustainable development through three roles:
 - an economic objective.
 - a social objective.
 - an environmental objective.

- 2.6 These objectives should be delivered through the preparation and implementation of plans and the application of the policies in the Framework; they are not criteria against which every decision can or should be judged. Planning policies and decisions should play an active role in guiding development towards sustainable solutions, but in doing so should take local circumstances into account, to reflect the character, needs and opportunities of each area.
- 2.7 Paragraph 109 of the NPPF states that:

"Transport issues should be considered from the earliest stages of plan-making and development proposals, using a vision-led approach to identify transport solutions that deliver well-designed, sustainable and popular places. This should involve:

- making transport considerations an important part of early engagement with local communities;
- ensuring patterns of movement, streets, parking and other transport considerations are integral to the design of schemes, and contribute to making high quality places;
- understanding and addressing the potential impacts of development on transport networks;
- realising opportunities from existing or proposed transport infrastructure, and changing transport technology and usage for example in relation to the scale, location or density of development that can be accommodated;
- identifying and pursuing opportunities to promote walking, cycling and public transport use; and
- identifying, assessing and taking into account the environmental impacts of traffic and transport infrastructure including appropriate opportunities for avoiding and mitigating any adverse effects, and for net environmental gains".
- 2.8 Paragraph 110 states that:

"The planning system should actively manage patterns of growth in support of these objectives. Significant development should be focused on locations which are or can be made sustainable, through limiting the need to travel and offering a genuine choice of transport modes. This can help to reduce congestion and emissions and improve air quality and public health. However, opportunities to maximise sustainable transport solutions will vary between urban and rural areas, and this should be taken into account in both plan-making and decision-making".

- 2.9 Paragraph 111 states that planning policies should:
 - "support an appropriate mix of uses across an area, and within larger scale sites, to minimise the number and length of journeys needed for employment, shopping, leisure, education, and other activities;
 - be prepared with the active involvement of local highways authorities, other transport infrastructure providers and operators and neighbouring councils, so that strategies and investments for supporting sustainable transport and development patterns are aligned;



- identify and protect, where there is robust evidence, sites and routes which could be critical in developing infrastructure to widen transport choice and realise opportunities for large scale development;
- provide for high quality walking and cycling networks and supporting facilities such as cycle parking (drawing on Local Cycling and Walking Infrastructure Plans); and
- provide for any large-scale transport facilities that need to be located in the area, and the infrastructure and wider development required to support their operation, expansion and contribution to the wider economy".
- 2.10 In assessing sites that may be allocated for development in plans, or specific applications for development, NPPF Paragraph 115 states that "it should be ensured that:
 - sustainable transport modes are prioritised taking account of the vision for the site, the type of development and its location;
 - safe and suitable access to the site can be achieved for all users;
 - the design of streets, parking areas, other transport elements and the content of associated standards reflects current national guidance, including the National Design Guide and the National Model Design Code; and
 - any significant impacts from the development on the transport network (in terms of capacity and congestion), or on highway safety, can be cost effectively mitigated to an acceptable degree through a vision-led approach".
- 2.11 Paragraph 116 of the NPPF goes on to state that:

"Development should only be prevented or refused on highways grounds if there would be an unacceptable impact on highway safety, or the residual cumulative impacts on the road network, following mitigation, would be severe, taking into account all reasonable future scenarios"

- 2.12 Within the context of the NPPF, Paragraph 117 sets out that: "development should:
 - give priority first to pedestrian and cycle movements, both within the scheme and with neighbouring areas; and second – so far as possible – to facilitating access to high quality public transport, with layouts that maximise the catchment area for bus or other public transport services, and appropriate facilities that encourage public transport use;
 - address the needs of people with disabilities and reduced mobility in relation to all modes of transport;
 - create places that are safe, secure, and attractive which minimise the scope for conflicts between pedestrians, cyclists, and vehicles, avoid unnecessary street clutter, and respond to local character and design standards;
 - allow for the efficient delivery of goods, and access by service and emergency vehicles; and
 - be designed to enable charging of plug-in and other ultra-low emission vehicles in safe, accessible, and convenient locations".

2.13 Paragraph 118 seeks to ensure that:

"All developments that will generate significant amounts of movement should be required to provide a travel plan, and the application should be supported by a transport statement or transport assessment so that the likely impacts of the proposal can be assessed and monitored".

National Networks National Policy Statement (March 2024)

- 2.14 The National Networks National Policy Statement (NPS) sets out the need for, and Government's policies to deliver, development of Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects on the national road and rail networks for England.
- 2.15 The National Network faces a number of challenges in terms of maintaining network performance and meeting customer needs. This is triggered by a growing demand and greater reliance on movements using the National Network, which plays a significant role in supporting economic growth. Paragraphs 3.7 and 3.8 of NPS states:

"The Government's Levelling Up the United Kingdom White Paper recognises the role that transport can play in boosting productivity, by connecting people to jobs, and businesses to each other, and sets out an ambition to level up transport connectivity. It recognises the role that specific projects on national networks can play in improving connectivity between towns and cities to boost growth."

"Transport infrastructure is a catalyst and key driver of growth, and it is important that the planning and development of infrastructure fully considers the role it can play in delivering sustainable growth, how it can support local and regional development plans and the growth aspirations of local authority areas. This will include exploring options to unlock sites for housing and employment growth made accessible by sustainable transport and the regenerative impact major infrastructure can play in driving renewal, increasing density, as well as creating new places and communities."

2.16 Paragraph 3.17 relates to the Governments environmental and net zero policies and states:

"Any national network Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project (NSIP) should seek to improve and enhance the environment irrespective of the reasons for developing the scheme. However, there may be instances where infrastructure interventions are required to bring about improvements to environmental outcomes. Such outcomes might include contributing to net zero targets through, for example, electric vehicle charging, electrification of rail, improvements to air quality through reductions in congestion, or delivering localised environmental improvements to cultural heritage, landscape, or biodiversity."

2.17 Paragraph 3.22 sets out the following concluding statement:

"The government has, therefore, concluded that at a strategic level there is a compelling need for development of the strategic road and strategic rail networks, and strategic rail freight interchanges (SRFIs) – both as individual networks and as a fully integrated system. The Examining Authority and the Secretary of State should,



therefore, start their consideration of applications for development consent for the types of infrastructure covered by this National Policy Statement (NPS) on this basis. The Secretary of State should give substantial weight to considerations of need where these align with those set out in this NPS."

2.18 The NPS sets out a range of measures to help make the best use of capacity on the National Network. Paragraph 3.42 states:

"There are interdependencies between the efficient operation of the SRN and its impact on the local road network and vice versa. Effective operation and optimisation of both the SRN and the local road network are essential to achieve the outcomes set by the Transport Decarbonisation Plan. There are a range of measures that can be employed to make the best use of all road capacity (not just the SRN) which may impact upon demand for the SRN. These include:

- Promoting journey choice by enabling more active travel and public transport (including buses, coaches and rail) in urban areas whilst not restricting other transport options. The creation of mobility hubs and improving integration between modes through park-and-ride services, cycle parking provision at rail stations, and the coordination of bus / rail timetables, can all contribute.
- Providing genuine choice in transport mode by increasing accessibility to public transport, connecting places and by improving the environment for journeys by active travel, in both urban and rural areas. The government has committed to transforming local transport systems through Bus Back Better strategy and the City Region Sustainable Transport Settlements. In addition, Bus Back Better sets out measures enabling buses to be used by all thereby enhancing levels of accessibility.
- Integrating with spatial planning can support walking, wheeling and cycling or public transport as the natural first choice for journeys. Where developments are located, how they are designed and how well public transport services are integrated has a huge impact on whether people's natural first choice for short journeys is on foot or by cycle, by public transport or by private car. The Strategic Road Network and the delivery of sustainable development Circular 01/2022 establishes how additional spatial considerations in transport decisions can help tackle congestion and support better journeys for all road users.
- Greater deployment of technology can support more effective use of the network. Such technological interventions might include greater use of digital signalling, greater provision of route information to drivers, alternative fuels, self-driving vehicles or digital connectivity.
- Bringing forward maintenance schemes and small-scale enhancements to ensure that the SRN is operating as effectively as possible."



2.19 Paragraph 3.43 states:

"The Transport Decarbonisation Plan recognises the need to base local transport planning on setting the outcome communities want to achieve and provides the transport solutions to deliver those local transport outcomes (vision-led approaches including 'vision and validate,' 'decide and provide' or 'monitor and manage'). However, there are varying challenges that will be presented by certain sites based on their land use, scale and/or location. In some cases, they will not always offset the need to increase capacity. The competing demands for road space will remain or even increase with diversification in the type and number of users, the vehicle they use or where alternative sustainable modes are prioritised."

"Whilst the majority of journeys on the SRN will continue to be made by private motor vehicle and over long distances, there may be opportunities to consider how the SRN can assist in delivering sustainable transport interventions or outcomes connecting communities and enabling active travel (where road safety considerations allow). Transport corridors created by the SRN can also be used to support public transport by facilitating coach journeys and park-and-ride schemes, providing vital connections to jobs, international gateways and between our towns and cities. In addition, safe links and movements across the SRN can be incredibly valuable to support better accessibility and connectivity and enhance the local active travel and public transport offer, including in rural areas."

2.20 Paragraph 4.12 refers to Environmental Statement's and states:

"A key part of environmental assessment is the consideration of cumulative effects. The applicant should provide information on how the effects of the proposal would combine and interact with the effects of other development, where relevant. For most practical purposes this means that the applicant should consider the impact of other existing and committed developments within an appropriate geographical area and assess the additional impact of their own development..."

2.21 Paragraphs 4.57 and 4.56 consider 'Road Safety' and state:

"Highways developments provide an opportunity to make significant safety improvements and significant incident reduction benefits when they are well designed. Some developments may have safety as a key objective, but even where safety is not the main aim of a development, the opportunity should be taken to improve safety, including introducing the most modern and effective safety measures where proportionate. Consideration should also be given to wider transport objectives, including expanding active travel, and creating safe and pleasant walking, wheeling and cycling environments. In developing roads schemes the applicant should have due regard to the needs of drivers and riders and the imperative to ensure road user safety..."

"The applicant should undertake an objective assessment of the impact of the proposed development on safety including the impact of any mitigation measures. This should use the methodology outlined in the guidance from Department for Transport's Transport Analysis Guidance and from National Highways. They should also put in place arrangements for undertaking the road safety audit process and ensuring



their implementation. Road safety audits are a mandatory requirement for highway improvement schemes in the UK (including motorways). Road safety audits are intended to ensure that operational road safety experience is applied during the design and construction process so that the number and severity of collisions is as low as is reasonably practicable."

- 2.22 Paragraphs 5.269 to 5.89 consider 'Impacts on transport networks', including that of Strategic Rail Freight Terminals. Whilst such a facility is not proposed as part of EMG2, improvements to the existing facility at EMG1 is included for within the MCO. This considers "the impact of construction on local networks whilst the scheme is being developed, and the impact of the scheme on wider transport networks once it is operational", considering the following items:
 - i) applicants assessment of road and rail developments, including Strategic Rail Freight Interchanges
 - ii) mitigation
 - iii) decision making.
- 2.23 Of particular relevance are the following key paragraphs which are summarised below:
 - 5.271 consultation of the relevant authorities as appropriate on the assessment of transport impacts
 - 5,273 applicants should seek to offer an integrated transport outcome, significantly considering opportunities to support other sustainable transport modes, as well as improving local connectivity and accessibility in developing infrastructure
 - 5,274 the applicant should provide evidence that as part of the project they have addressed any new or existing severance issues and/or safety concerns that act as a barrier to non-motorised users
 - 5.283 the applicant should provide evidence that the development improves the operation of the network and assists with capacity issues
 - 5.286 the Examining Authority and the Secretary of State should give due consideration to impacts on local transport networks and policies set out in existing and emerging local plans and Local Transport Plans, during both construction and operation
 - 5.287 consideration should also be given to whether the applicant has maximised opportunities to allow for journeys associated with the development to be undertaken via sustainable modes
 - 5.288 Schemes should be developed, and options considered, in the light of relevant policies and plans, both national and local, taking into account local models where appropriate

• 5.289 - Infrastructure development should recognise the importance of providing adequate lorry parking facilities, taking into account any local shortages, to reduce the risk of parking in locations that lack proper facilities or could cause a nuisance. For strategic rail freight interchanges, facilities should serve those drivers using the site in question.

<u>Planning Practice Guidance: Travel Plans, Transport Assessments and</u> <u>Statements in Decision Making</u>

- 2.24 Guidance on Transport Assessments was published in March 2007 but as of October 2014 it was archived and replaced with Planning Practice Guidance (PPG).
- 2.25 PPG sets out when Travel Plans, Transport Assessments and Statements for developments are required. PPG was produced to assist stakeholders in determining whether an assessment may be required and, if so, what the level and scope of that assessment should be. It provides guidance on the content and preparation of Transport Assessments and Transport Statements and the promotion of smarter choices via Travel Plans.
- 2.26 PPG suggests that Transport Assessments should be:
 - Proportionate to the size and scope of the proposed development to which they relate and build on existing information wherever possible;
 - Established at the earliest practicable possible stage of a development proposal;
 - Tailored to particular local circumstances (other locally-determined factors and information beyond those which are set out in this guidance may need to be considered in these studies provided there is robust evidence for doing so locally).
- 2.27 In determining whether a Travel Plan will be needed for a proposed development, PPG states that local planning authorities should take into account the following considerations:
 - The Travel Plan policies (if any) of the Local Plan;
 - The scale of the proposed development and its potential for additional trip generation (smaller applications with limited impacts may not need a Travel Plan);
 - Existing intensity of transport use and the availability of public transport;
 - Proximity to nearby environmental designations or sensitive areas;
 - Impact on other priorities/ strategies (such as promoting walking and cycling);
 - The cumulative impacts of multiple developments within a particular area;
 - Whether there are particular types of impacts around which to focus the Travel Plan (e.g. minimising traffic generated at peak times); and
 - Relevant national policies.
- 2.28 A Framework Travel Plan and Sustainable Transport Strategy have been produced by Integrated Transport Planning (ITP) and can be found in DCO Documents **DCO** 6.6B/MCO 6.6B and **DCO6.6C/MCO 6.6C** respectively.



Department for Transport Circular 01/2022

- 2.29 On 23 December 2023, the Department for Transport (DfT) issued new policy within Circular 01/2022 in relation to the SRN. It sets out how the Secretary of State will engage with communities and the development industry to deliver sustainable development whilst safeguarding the primary function and purpose of the SRN in England.
- 2.30 The Circular 01/2022 'Strategic Road Network and the Delivery of Sustainable Development' replaces the policies set out in the DfT Circular 02/2013 of the same title. The policy is intended for all parties involved in development proposals which may result in traffic or other impacts on the SRN. It should be read in conjunction with the NPPF, Manual for Streets, Local Transport Note 1/20 and all other local planning policy documents.
- 2.31 Paragraphs 11 and 12 focus on the principle of sustainable development and state:

"11. The company will act in a manner which conforms to the principles of sustainable development. In this context, the company's licence agreement defines sustainable development as encouraging economic growth while protecting the environment and improving safety and quality of life for current and future generations. Alongside this, the company has an important role to play in the drive towards zero emission transport through its commitment to net zero maintenance and construction emissions by 2040 and net zero road user emissions by 2050[footnote 5], and its role as a statutory consultee in the planning system.

12. New development should be facilitating a reduction in the need to travel by private car and focused on locations that are or can be made sustainable. In this regard, recent research on the location of development[footnote 6] found that walking times between new homes and a range of key amenities regularly exceeded 30 minutes, reinforcing car dependency. Developments in the right places and served by the right sustainable infrastructure[footnote 7] delivered alongside or ahead of occupancy must be a key consideration when planning for growth in all local authority areas."

2.32 Paragraph 29 relates to capacity improvements on the SRN and states:

"29. New connections and capacity enhancements to the SRN which are necessary to deliver strategic growth should be identified as part of the plan-making process, as this provides the best opportunity to consider the cumulative impacts of development (including planned growth in adjoining authorities) and to identify appropriate mechanisms for the delivery of strategic highway infrastructure. However, there cannot be any presumption that such infrastructure will be funded through a future RIS. The company will therefore work with local authorities in their strategic policy-making functions in identifying realistic alternative funding mechanisms, to include other public funding programmes and developer contribution strategies to be secured by a policy in a local plan or spatial development strategy."

2.33 Paragraph 30 relates to the logistics and distribution sector from a development locational perspective and states:



"30. The NPPF is clear that planning policies should recognise the specific locational requirements of different economic sectors, including for storage and distribution operations at a variety of scales and in suitably accessible locations. To operate efficiently, the freight and logistics sector requires land for distribution and consolidation centres at multiple stages within supply chains including the need for welfare facilities for the drivers of commercial vehicles. For instance, some hubs serve regions and tend to be located out-of-town near the SRN, while others are 'last-mile' facilities that will support more sustainable freight alternatives in urban areas. The Future of Freight Plan sets out that a joined-up approach between the planning system, local authorities and industry can safeguard and prioritise the land needed for these uses, such that all parties should work together to identify the specific requirements in their area."

2.34 Paragraphs 47 to 52 relate to 'Assessment of Development Proposals' and state:

"47. Where the company is requested to do so, it will engage with local planning authorities and development promoters at the pre-application stage on the scope of transport assessments/statements and travel plans. This process should determine the inputs and methodology relevant to establishing the potential impacts on the SRN and net zero principles that will inform the design and use of the scheme. Development promoters are strongly encouraged to engage with the company to resolve any potential issues and maximise opportunities for walking, wheeling, cycling, public transport and shared travel, as early as possible [footnote 18].

48. Where a transport assessment is required, this should start with a vision of what the development is seeking to achieve and then test a set of scenarios to determine the optimum design and transport infrastructure to realise this vision. Where such development has not been identified in an up-to-date development plan (or an emerging plan that is at an advanced stage[footnote 19]), developers should demonstrate that the development would be located in an area of high accessibility by sustainable transport modes[footnote 20] and would not create a significant constraint to the delivery of any planned improvements to the transport network or allocated sites.

49. A transport assessment for consideration by the company must also consider existing and forecast levels of traffic on the SRN, alongside any additional trips from committed developments[footnote 21] that would impact on the same sections (link or junction) as the proposed development. Assumptions underpinning projected levels of traffic should be clearly stated to avoid the default factoring up of baseline traffic. The scenario(s) to be assessed, which depending on the development and local circumstances may include sensitivity testing, should be agreed with the company; where a scenario with particularly high or low growth is proposed, this should be supported by appropriate evidence. Planned improvements to the SRN or local road network should also be considered in any assessment where there is a high degree of certainty that this will be delivered[footnote 22].

50. An opening year assessment to include trips generated by the proposed development, forecasted growth and committed development shall be carried out to establish the residual transport impacts of a proposed development. For multi-phase developments, additional assessments shall be provided based on the opening of each phase.

51. Where a transport assessment indicates that a development would have an unacceptable safety impact or the residual cumulative impacts on the SRN would be severe, the developer must identify when, in relation to the occupation of the development, transport improvements become necessary.

52. The scope and phasing of necessary transport improvements will normally be defined by the company in planning conditions that seek to manage development in line with the completion of these works. In such circumstances, modifications to the SRN must have regard to the need to future-proof the network, while its delivery may require a funding agreement between the development promoter and the company."

The Strategic Road Network – Planning for the Future (Highways England, 2015)

- 2.35 Highways England's (now National Highways) 'Strategic Road Network Planning for the Future document was published in September 2015 and describes the approach National Highways take when engaging with the planning system and the issues that are considered in draft planning documents and planning applications. It contains advice on the information that National Highways like to see included in planning proposals and the support they can offer relating to the whole SRN.
- 2.36 The document reflects national policy requirements within the NPPF and PPG stating at Paragraph 32 "The NPPF states that development should only be prevented or refused on transport grounds where the residual cumulative impacts of development are severe".
- 2.37 Paragraph 33 goes on to state:

"Moreover, the Circular states that development proposals are likely to be wholly acceptable if: they can be accommodated within the existing capacity of a section (link or junction) of the SRN, or they do not increase demand for use of a section that is already at full capacity, taking account of any travel plan, traffic management and/or capacity enhancement measures that may be agreed".

- 2.38 Where the Circular tests are not satisfied, additional assessment will be required to enable all parties to understand the scope and scale of the impact that the proposals are likely to have on the SRN. This assessment should:
 - Demonstrate how the proposals will reduce the need to travel, especially by car;
 - Demonstrate how the proposals will improve accessibility by all modes of travel and influence travel behaviours;
 - Assess the likely impact of residual trips (i.e. after measures above have been considered); and
 - Identify appropriate and proportionate mitigation measures, and ensure that what is proposed promotes sustainable transport outcomes and avoids unnecessary works to the SRN.



2.39 Paragraph 10 states that NH recommend that:

"engaging with us early helps to ensure that issues that may take time to analyse and resolve are identified as soon as possible. We can then work together to:

- Consider the most appropriate locations for development;
- Assess the potential impact of proposed development proposals on the SRN;
- Progress an appropriate sustainable development (including considering how best to deliver the development, and any associated mitigation works scheme, whilst minimising the adverse impacts that it might give rise to); whilst
- Maintaining the efficiency and safety of the SRN."

2.40 In terms of assessing development impacts, Paragraph 100 states:

"the overall forecast demand on the SRN and surrounding local road network should be assessed and compared to the ability of the existing network to accommodate traffic. For developments which will be brought forward in phases, this assessment should focus on the overall forecast demand of the development as a whole, not just the initial phases(s)".

2.41 Paragraph 101 goes on to state:

"Assessments should be carried out for:

- the development and construction phase; and
- the opening year, assuming full build out and occupation, and
- either a date ten years after the date of registration of the associated planning application or the end of the Local Plan period (whichever is the greater)."

Local Planning Policy

NWLDC Adopted Local Plan

- 2.42 The current development plan for the local area is the NWLDC Local Plan, which was formally adopted in 2017 and sets out the strategy for delivering homes, jobs and infrastructure across the district between 2011 and 2031. The Local Plan has been subject to a partial review which was adopted in March 2021.
- 2.43 The role of the Local Plan is to identify the scale of development and allocate sites to meet the development needs of NWLDC in order to achieve the districts vision for growth. Furthermore, the Local Plan seeks to identify key local issues and provide a set of policies to manage change which will be used by decision makers to determine planning applications.



2.44 Section 4 sets out the vision for the Local Plan part and states:

"Businesses will choose to locate and grow in this area, taking advantage of its excellent location in the centre of the country, close to major road and rail networks and a major international airport. The East Midlands Enterprise Gateway, focussed on East Midlands Airport, Donington Park and the East Midlands Gateway Rail Fright Interchange, will be recognised as a key destination in its own right. This strongly performing economy will be reflected in low unemployment and reduced instances of deprivation."

- 2.45 The Local Plan sets out 15 objectives to meets its ambitions. These are:
 - **Objective 1** Promote the health and wellbeing of the district's population.
 - **Objective 2** Support the delivery of new homes balanced with economic growth to provide a stock of housing that meets the needs of the community, including the need for affordable housing.
 - **Objective 3** Ensure new development is of a high quality of design and layout whilst having due regard to the need to accommodate national standards in a way that reflects local context and circumstances. 21
 - **Objective 4** Ensure regard is had to reducing the need to travel and to maintaining access to services and facilities including jobs, shops, education, sport and recreation, green space, cultural facilities, communication networks, health and social care.
 - **Objective 5** Support economic growth throughout the district and the provision of a diverse range of employment opportunities including the development of tourism and leisure.
 - **Objective 6** Enhance the vitality and viability of the districts town and local centres, with a particular focus on the regeneration of Coalville, in ways that help meet the consumer needs.
 - **Objective 7** Enhance community safety so far as practically possible and in a way which is proportionate to the scale of development proposed whenever allocating sites for development or granting planning permission.
 - **Objective 8** Prepare for, limit and adapt to climate change.
 - **Objective 9** New developments need to be designed to use water efficiently, to reduce flood risk and the demand for water within the district, whilst at the same time taking full account of flood risk and ensuring the effective use of sustainable urban drainage systems (SUDs).
 - **Objective 10** Conserve and enhance the identity, character and diversity and local distinctiveness of the district's built, natural, cultural, industrial and rural heritage and heritage assets.
 - **Objective 11** Protect and enhance the natural environment including the district's biodiversity, geodiversity and water environment areas identified for their importance.
 - **Objective 12** Conserve and enhance the quality of the district's landscape character including the National Forest and Charnwood Forest and other valued landscapes.
 - **Objective 13** Take account of the need to reduce the amount of waste produced.

- **Objective 14** Seek to deliver the infrastructure needs of the area, including Green sustainable development.
- **Objective 15** Take full account of the need to safeguard mineral resources including sand and gravel, igneous rock and brickclay.
- 2.46 Of key importance is Policy Ec2(2) 'New Employment Sites'. This enables employment development to come forward where evidence indicates an immediate need or demand for additional employment land (B1, B2 and B8) in North West Leicestershire that cannot be met from land allocated in the Local Plan. It states that the Council will consider favourably proposals that meet such identified need in appropriate locations subject to the following key criteria:
 - The site must be accessible or capable of being made accessible by a choice of means of transport, including sustainable transport modes;
 - The site must have good access to the strategic highway network (M1, M42/A42 and A50) and an acceptable impact on the capacity of that network, including any junctions; and
 - The site must be shown to be not detrimental to the amenities of any nearby residential properties or the wider environment.
- 2.47 Section 8 of the NLWDC Local Plan focuses on the 'Economic' ambitions. It states that NWLDC are committed to support the creation of a sustainable local economy. Paragraphs 8.5 and 8.6 state:

"The Leicester and Leicestershire Local Enterprise Partnership Strategic Economic Plan identifies five growth areas across Leicester and Leicestershire, two of which are located in the district; the East Midlands Enterprise Gateway and the Coalville Growth Corridor (see Appendix 4)."

"The East Midlands Enterprise Gateway is focussed upon a number of existing major economic activities in the north of the district (principally East Midlands Airport, East Midlands Distribution Centre and Donington Park) and potential major employment opportunities associated with the development of a Strategic Rail Freight Interchange (SRFI) west of Junction 24 of the M1 and north of East Midlands Airport (referred to as Roxhill)."

2.48 Policy IF1 sets out how new developments will include the provision of new infrastructure. It states:

"Development will be supported by, and make contributions to as appropriate, the provision of new physical, social and green infrastructure in order to mitigate its impact upon the environment and communities. Contributions may be secured by means of planning obligations and/or a Community Infrastructure Levy charge, in the event that the Council brings a Charging schedule into effect.

The type of infrastructure required to support new development includes, but is not limited to:

(a) Affordable housing; and

(b) Community Infrastructure including education, health, cultural facilities and other public services; and

(c) Transport including highways, footpaths and cycleways, public transport and associated facilities; and

(d) Green infrastructure including open space, sport and recreation, National Forest planting (either new provision or enhancement of existing sites) and provision of or improvements to sites of nature conservation value; and

- (e) The provision of superfast broadband communications; and
- (f) Utilities and waste; and
- (g) Flood prevention and sustainable drainage.

The infrastructure secured (on or off-site) will be provided either as part of the development or through a financial contribution to the appropriate service provider and may include the long-term management and maintenance of the infrastructure.

In negotiating the provision of infrastructure the Council will have due regard to viability issues and where appropriate will require that the applicant provide viability information to the Council which will then be subject to independent verification.

The District Council will work closely with infrastructure providers to ensure inclusion of infrastructure schemes within their programmes, plans and strategies, and delivery of specific infrastructure requirements in conjunction with individual development schemes and the expected timing of development coming forward. The Council will also work with partners and other stakeholders to secure public funding towards infrastructure, where possible."

2.49 Policy IF4 relates to 'Transport Infrastructure and New Development'. It states:

"The Council, working with the highway authorities, will ensure that development takes account of the impact upon the highway network and the environment, including climate change, and incorporates safe and accessible connections to the transport network to enable travel choice, including by non-car modes, for residents, businesses and employees. In assessing proposals regard will be had to any Transport Assessment/Statement and Travel Plan prepared to support the application.

New development will be expected to maximise accessibility by sustainable modes of transport, having regard to the nature and location of the development site, and contribute towards improvement of the following where there is a demonstrable impact as a result of the proposed development:

(a) The provision of cycle links within and beyond sites so as to create a network of cycleways across the district, including linkages to key Green Infrastructure;

(b) The provision of public footpath links within and beyond sites so as to enhance the network of footpaths across the district, including linkages to key Green Infrastructure;



(c) The provision of new public transport services, or the enhancement of existing services, to serve new developments so that accessibility by non-car modes to essential services and facilities, such as shops, schools and employment, is maximised.

Where new development has a demonstrable impact upon the highway network contributions towards improvements will be sought commensurate with the impact. The following specific highway improvements are identified as priorities."

NWLDC Local Plan Substantive Review

- 2.50 NWLDC are currently preparing the New NWLDC Local Plan which will replace the existing Plan and provide a strategic planning direction until 2042. The Plan will address the employment and housing land requirement shortfalls identified in the current Local Plan, in addition to identifying land for future growth. At the time of writing this TA, the Council has produced a draft Local Plan which was the subject of consultation in 2024 and a further consultation in 2025. The submissions made are currently being considered.
- 2.51 The EMG2 Main Site and community park area are provisionally proposed to be allocated in the draft New Local Plan under Policy EMP90 for employment development.

Leicestershire County Council Local Transport Plan 4

- 2.52 LCC published its fourth Local Transport Plan (LTP4) in 2024 which sets out the vision for transport across the county up to 2050 and replaces the former LTP3. The Local Transport Plan includes a framework for how LCC will manage and develop the transport system within Leicestershire and the actions that will be undertaken to deliver the programme.
- 2.53 The LTP4 comprises three phases, the first of which covers the period up to 2030. The LTP Core Document was adopted in November 2024 and sets out the following strategic vision:

"Delivering a safe, connected and integrated transport network which is resilient and well managed to support the ambitions and health of our growing communities, safeguards the environment whilst delivering economic prosperity"

- 2.54 The vision will be supported by five core themes:
 - Enabling Health and Wellbeing
 - Protecting the Environment
 - Delivering Economic Growth
 - Enhancing our Transport Networks Resilience
 - Embracing Innovation
- 2.55 The delivery of core themes will be supported by the development of Multi-Modal Area Investment Plans, Focused Strategic and the County Strategic Transport Investment Plan, which will set out the transport solutions that are programmed for the delivery and implementation of LTP4.
- 2.56 Phase 2 of LTP4 will cover the period up to 2040 and is being finalised with expected completion by Spring 2026. So far, development has commenced on the Multi-Modal Area Investment Plans initially prioritising three areas; Market Harborough, Hinckley and South-East Leicestershire.
- 2.57 LCC is also developing two focused strategies as part of Phase two, the first being a Safe, Accessible and Inclusive Transport Network, then will begin work on the second Delivering a Resilient Transport Network.
- 2.58 In addition, LCC will begin work on the development of the County Strategic Transport Investment Plan. This will initially begin with a review of the strategic needs and requirements for the County focused on strategic infrastructure including the SRN and rail network.
- 2.59 Phase 3 of LTP4 will cover the period up to 2050 is due to be completed by Winter 2026. This will set out the monitoring and review progress to identify success of where greater focus is required. It will also set out the Council's approach to a post 2050 vision for the future and 'horizon scanning' to make sure the council is proactive and can adapt the LTP and transport solutions to accommodate travel behaviour change, innovation and changes to national policy and guidance.

Leicestershire Highways Design Guide

2.60 LCountyC issued a revised highways design guide in early 2025 to take account of the updates to the NPPF and the requirements of LTN1/20 as well as green infrastructure, drainage, highway adoption general design principle changes. The Leicestershire Highways Design Guide (LHDG) states:

"A TA will be expected to demonstrate how a development sits against paragraph 115 of National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) and give the Local Highway Authority (LHA) sufficient information to consider whether the development should be advised for approval given the tests for advising refusal set out in paragraph 116 of NPPF."

- 2.61 The LHDG also sets out the expectations from a TA, following the guidelines within the Planning Policy Guidance. The key headings are listed below and should aim to demonstrate that a development is clearly acceptable against the tests for refusal set out in NPPF Paragraph 116.
 - Full description of development
 - Level of parking in accordance with LHDG minimum standards
 - Servicing provision
 - Site location and baseline transport and highway conditions
 - Proposed sustainable accessibility improvements
 - Safe and suitable access for all users
 - Predicted multi-modal trip generation
 - Vehicle trip breakdown
 - Off-site detailed junction modelling



- Mitigation proposals
- Personal Injury Collision analysis
- Highway impact of site construction works
- 2.62 This TA takes into consideration the TA scope listed in the LHDG ana also includes additional information where necessary, such as strategic transport modelling using PRTM.



3. BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Introduction

3.1 The following section provides background information on the East Midlands Freeport designation and existing development on EMG1. It then goes on to set out the response to the scoping opinion issued by the Planning Inspectorate. Scoping comments were also received from the TWG during the first statutory consultation process, but these are not repeated in this TA because matters have progressed since then.

East Midlands Freeport Sites & Draft Local Plan Allocations

- 3.2 On 1 March 2022, the Government announced the designation of the East Midlands Freeport. Freeports are special areas within the UK's borders where different economic regulations apply. Freeports in England are centred around one or more air, rail, or seaport, but can extend up to 45km beyond the port. With Freeport status comes a comprehensive package of measures, comprising tax reliefs, customs, business rates retention, planning, regeneration, innovation and trade and investment support and incentives. East Midlands will be the only inland Freeport in England and will create a globally connected, world-leading advanced manufacturing and logistics hub at the heart of the UK.
- 3.3 The spatial extent of the East Midlands Freeport covers three complementary locations, including the EMAGIC sites, Uniper's Ratcliffe on Soar site and the East Midlands Intermodal Park (EMIP) near A50 Junction 4. The locations of the East Midlands Freeport sites are shown at **Figure 2** highlighting that EMG1 and the EMG2 Main Site fall within the EMAGIC cluster of sites.



Figure 2. East Midlands Freeport Sites

3.4 NWLDC is also promoting a new settlement through its New Local Plan referred to as 'IW1 – Isley Woodhouse', located to the west of the EMG2 Main Site between Diseworth and Isley Walton. It is being promoted for a residential led mixed use development of



up to 4,740 homes and other ancillary employment, retail, education uses. The Isley Woodhouse settlement location is shown at **Figure 3**.



Figure 3. Isley Woodhouse Draft Local Plan Allocation

- 3.5 The Ratcliffe on Soar Power Station re-development proposals have received planning permission via a Local Development Order (LDO) for employment development. This in effect allows the site to be redeveloped up to a point where it generates the same level of traffic as was the case when the Power Station was operating at full capacity. A further approval under the LDO is required for anything in addition.
- 3.6 Furthermore, it is understood that a planning application for development on Isley Woodhouse was submitted to NWLDC in May 2025. These sites are therefore taken into account in this TA, particularly from a transport modelling perspective. Notwithstanding the fact that the EMIP site has not progressed, it has still been considered in the transport modelling work for completeness.

East Midlands Gateway Phase 1

3.7 SEGRO's EMG1 logistics park is located to the north of East Midlands Airport with direct access to the SRN via the A453, A50 and M1 at Junction 24. It currently comprises a 700-acre logistics park. The development incorporates a strategic rail freight interchange which includes a rail freight terminal, capable of handling up to 16 freight trains per day, container storage and HGV parking. **Figure 4** shows the layout of EMG1.



Figure 4. East Midlands Gateway 1 Layout



3.8 Since EMG1 began operating, there has been on-going monitoring and refinement of the Travel Plan by ITP, the appointed Travel Plan Co-ordinator. Annual surveys have been undertaken between 2021 and 2024 as part of each Occupier Travel Plan to record the number of employees travelling by different modes of transport. The findings from the surveys are presented in **Table 2**.

	10-year	Employee Travel Survey Mode Share			
Mode	Travel Plan Target	2021	2022	2023	2024
Drive alone	68%	43%	42%	51%	56%
Car share	17%	26%	38%	25%	22%
Public transport	10%	28%	14%	18%	16%
Active Travel	5%	0%	3%	2%	1%
Other	n/a	3%	3%	4%	5%

Table 2. EMG1 Employee Travel Survey Findings (2021 to 2024)

3.9 The data shows that the percentage of staff driving alone is currently at 56%, which is a significant improvement on the original 10-year target of 68%. Given the success of the Travel Plan and Sustainable Transport Strategy at EMG1, ITP are adopting a similar approach to the **EMG2 Works**. Full details are set out in the Sustainable Transport Strategy and Framework Travel Plan documents in documents **DCO 6.6B/MCO 6.6B** and **DCO 6.6C/MCO 6.6C** respectively. This is also considered in further detail in **Section 7**.



East Midlands Growth Point

- 3.10 There is significant planned growth in the vicinity of EMG1 and East Midlands Airport including EMG2, the other East Midlands Freeport sites, Isley Woodhouse settlement and other local plan allocations which are cumulatively, referred to as the 'East Midlands Growth Point'. A separate TWG has been set up with the various stakeholders, highway authorities and consultants to consider these sites. The purpose of having a separate combined TWG is to unlock capacity on the SRN to ensure that all developments are able to come forward without having any unacceptable impacts on the network.
- 3.11 The East Midlands Growth Point includes the following five sites, one of which comprises EMG2, the subject of this TA.
 - East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 (EMG2) North West Leicestershire, south of A453 and East Midlands Airport (EMG2 Works) and Plot 16 at EMG1 (EMG1 Works) EMG2 forms part of the Governments EMAGIC Freeport designation and allocated under Policy EMP90 of the draft Local Plan.
 - Isley Woodhouse North West Leicestershire, west of Diseworth Village draft Local Plan allocation (Policy IW1)
 - **Ratcliffe on Soar Power Station (Uniper)** Rushcliffe, north of A453 Remembrance Way – approved under a Local Development Order (LDO) subject to conditions
 - Land West of Castle Donington North West Leicestershire, Castle Donington draft Local Plan allocation (Policy CD10)
 - Land north of Derby Road, Kegworth & Land north of Remembrance Way, Kegworth (known locally as Coaker Land) North West Leicestershire, north/south of A453, east of M1J24 draft Local Plan allocation (Policy EMP73).
- 3.12 Isley Woodhouse, Land West of Castle Donington and Coaker Land sites are draft allocations in the new NWLDC Local Plan.
- 3.13 The highway mitigation presented in this TA to accommodate EMG2 is consistent with and can form part of a wider strategic scheme currently being considered by the East Midlands Growth Point project which will enable the delivery of wider development sites. However, whilst the mitigation strategy at EMG2 can form a key part of the overarching strategy, it has been developed and assessed without any reliance on any of the other developments listed above or their associated mitigation.

Scoping Discussions

3.14 Scoping discussions with the TWG for EMG2 began in April 2022 where an initial meeting was held to introduce the **EMG2 Project** and understand any initial points of detail that should be included in the TA. Monthly TWG meetings have then continued, and since October 2024 regular separate meetings focussing on transport modelling have been held with representatives from NH, LCountyC and NCountyC, alongside their relevant transport consultants. These meetings are planned to continue throughout the DCO/MCO Examination if required. Minutes from all TWG and modelling meetings have been produced and circulated to all attendees with a summary of key actions.



- 3.15 All meeting minutes up to May 2025 have been agreed with NH and NCountyC and all meeting minutes up to the end of 2024 have been agreed with LCountyC. LCountyC confirmed via email on 3 June 2025 that they "will not be commenting or formally agreeing the TWG or modelling minutes as indicated in the actions below. These can reasonably remain your recorded record of the collaborative meetings undertaken". Appendix 19 includes copies of all TWG meeting minutes, whilst Appendix 20 includes copies of all modelling minutes.
- 3.16 BWB has produced a series of core documents and Technical Notes summarising key submissions and pieces of information, which are listed at **Table 1**, together with the appendix reference. The purpose of these Technical Notes was to agree key details with the TWG ahead of the DCO/MCO applications being submitted to understand the position of all highway authorities. The Technical Notes are referenced throughout the remainder of this TA.

PINS Scoping Opinion

- 3.17 An application for a Scoping Opinion was issued to the Planning Inspectorate in August 2024. A Scoping Opinion was received from the Planning Inspectorate, on behalf of the Secretary of State, on 24 September 2024, a copy of which is included as **Document DCO6.1D/MCO 6.1D**.
- 3.18 Section 3.3 covers 'Traffic and Transport' and a summary of the Planning Inspectorate's comments, along with the action taken in this TA to address them, is provided in Table 3.

	ID	Reference	PINS Comments	Action Taken
3.	.3.1	Hazardous/ abnormal loads	The Scoping Report proposes to scope out hazardous / abnormal loads. No details are provided regarding the type of load which will arrive or depart the rail freight terminal. In this absence the ES should include an assessment of this matter	The number of hazardous/abnormal loads cannot be quantified at this stage given construction and operational requirements have not been confirmed. Any hazardous loads would be transported via HGVs and so have been accounted for in the overall HGV numbers assessed as part of the transport modelling work. Whilst the delivery of abnormal loads would normally be planned outside normal working hours, it is possible that some deliveries of major plant and equipment may require special delivery requirements during normal operating

Table 3. Planning Inspectorate's Scoping Comments and Actions

ID	Reference	PINS Comments	Action Taken
			hours. In all instances, such deliveries will be planned with appropriate highway authorities and police and executed in compliance with those requirements as per the requirements of the CTMP a copy of which is contained with the Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP - Document DCO 6.3A)
3.3.2	Methodology	The ES should include details of the methodology and guidance that has been followed in undertaking the Transport Assessment. The ES should consider impacts of the development on capacity and operation of the rail network, including the potential impact of increased rail freight movements on environmental matters, for example accidents and safety and indirect effects on passenger rail transport operations and growth.	The methodology undertaken in this TA follows national requirements in Circular 01/2022, the Department for Transports TAG M4, NNNSP and LCountyC guidance documents. There will be no changes to the number of trains permitted to use the EMG1 rail freight terminal as part of the EMG2 DCO or MCO.
3.3.3	Transport Working Group	A record of the meetings and outcomes of the TWG should be appended to the ES, alongside technical notes, reports and drawings.	All minutes from the TWG and modelling meetings are included Appendix 19 and 20 respectively – references for the associated Technical Notes, reports and drawings are set out in the relevant sections of this TA.
3.3.4	CTMP	The CTMP should be appended and set out proposals for monitoring HGV movements to and from the development.	The CTMP includes a commitment to monitoring construction traffic numbers and ensuring they fall within the maximum limit specified in the CTMP and HGV Route Plan at Appendices 16 and 15 respectively.
3.3.5	Traffic Modelling	Traffic modelling should be appended taking account	All details regarding traffic modelling using PRTM,



ID	Reference	PINS Comments	Action Taken
		of all proposed floorspace and land uses. The scope of the modelling should be discussed and agreed.	VISSIM, LinSig and Junctions 11 are provided in this TA, with the relevant outputs appended.
			The modelling follows a methodology and scope that has been agreed with the TWG, aside from LCountyC.
3.3.6	Heavy Goods Vehicle (HGV) Movements	Details of the anticipated number of HGVs should be provided during both construction and operational phases.	The number of HGVs forecast to be generated during the construction and operational stages of development are provided in Section 7 of this TA and have been agreed with the TWG, aside from LCountyC.
3.3.7	SRN Mitigation	The scope of mitigation works on the SRN should be discussed and where possible agreed with the relevant bodies.	Full details of the highway mitigation on the SRN have been discussed and shared with the TWG. The general arrangements have been designed and tested.
	A 50 Transport	The potential effects of the	The Area of Influence and study area for the TA extends to A50 Junction 1. This junction has been tested for capacity to understand the impacts of EMG2, details of which are provided in Section 10 .
3.3.8	Corridor	A50 Iransport Corridor development on the A50 corridor should be included.	No other part of the A50 corridor to the west falls within the Area of Influence. This means that past A50 Junction 1, impacts from the EMG2 will be minimal and require no further consideration. This position has been agreed with NH.

Strategy for this Transport Assessment

3.19 The remainder of this TA takes into account the detailed scoping discussions and responses received from key parties during the statutory consultation. It builds on the documents submitted to the TWG to date, which cover key submissions and aim to provide a full understanding of the highway impacts of the proposed development and the package of mitigation to accommodate all highway users.



4. EXISTING HIGHWAY CONDITIONS

Site Details

- 4.1 The EMG2 Project is located in NWLDC's jurisdiction on land close to East Midlands Airport. It includes the EMG2 Main Site and Community Park situated south of the airport together with land required for associated Highway Works to the east and north of East Midlands Airport along the A453 and M1 corridors. It also includes land to the north of East Midlands Airport in EMG1 to accommodate the EMG1 Works. The boundary of these areas is identified on the Location Plans (Order Limits) (Documents 2.1 and MCO 2.1).
- 4.2 The component parts of the **EMG2 Project** are described in further detail below to help set the scene with regards to the extent of the existing conditions considered in this section of the TA.

EMG2 Works

- 4.3 The EMG2 Main Site and Community Park comprises land immediately south of East Midlands Airport and to the east of the village of Diseworth. This falls within the EMAGIC Freeport designation. It has an area of approximately 250 acres, comprising arable farmland and is located approximately 15 kilometres to the northwest of Loughborough, 25 kilometres to the southeast of Derby and 25 kilometres to the southwest of Nottingham. The EMG2 Works also include the upgrade to a substation located within EMG1 but required for the EMG2 Main Site.
- 4.4 The EMG2 Main Site and Community Park are bound to the north by the A453 Ashby Road, which connects with the SRN via Junction 23A of the M1 (known as Finger Farm roundabout) to the east of the EMG2 Main Site. Moto Donington services is located immediately adjacent to the northeast corner of the EMG2 Main Site. The EMG2 Main Site is bisected by Hyam's Lane which is a Public Highway that extends from Diseworth Village in the southwest to the western boundary of the Donington Park services in the northeast.

Highway Works

- 4.5 The principal areas of land required for the Highways Works, as presented in the 'Overview of Works on the Strategic and Local Road Network' drawing included in **Appendix 21** and are as follows:
 - Along a section of the M1 motorway northbound between J23A and J24, alongside the northbound off-slip to J24 and the A50 where it connects with J24. This section of the M1 comprises a dual, four lane carriageway with hard shoulders and a central reservation and adjoining areas of landscaping.
 - Along the A50 / M1 southbound link to J24. This section currently provides two lanes of traffic within the weaving section to J24.



- Along the A50 westbound link from J24. This has two lanes of traffic and father north joins with the link from the M1 southbound from J24A to then form the A50 dual three lane carriageway.
- 4.6 Other areas of land affected by the Highway Works are within existing public highway around the access to the EMG2 Main Site on the A453 (referred to as the EMG2 Access Works) and the existing access to EMG1 on the A453 (referred to as the EMG1 Access Improvements).
- 4.7 The A453 between EMG1 and EMG2 is proposed to provide a new cycleway on its western side, referred to as the Active Travel Link, some of which is located in land to the west of the A453.

EMG1 Works

- 4.8 The **EMG2 Project** includes land within parts of the existing EMG1 site located to the north of East Midlands Airport. Specifically, it includes:
 - Operational land within the Rail Freight Terminal where higher gantry cranes are proposed than those already permitted (but yet to be constructed) under the EMG1 DCO (this is examined in greater detail in **Section 6**).
 - An area of open land adjoining the Rail Freight Terminal which was utilised during the construction of EMG1 for temporary surface water storage ponds whilst drainage works were completed. These became redundant once the drainage works were completed and have been removed. This area of land extends to 6.08ha and is currently unused. It is referred to as Plot 16.
 - Operational land and small areas of landscaping within and adjacent to the existing public transport interchange and site management building at the EMG1 site access.

Local Highway Network

- 4.9 The EMG2 Main Site is currently served by a number of field accesses from Hyam's Lane and Long Holden. Hyam's Lane is an adopted public highway with adjacent Public Footpath (footpath L45) maintained by LCountyC for the 1.3 kilometres section from Diseworth to the point where it meets the western boundary of Moto Donington Services (Appendix 22 includes a copy of the highway boundary information). The footpath extends through the EMG2 Main Site and connects with the A453 close to Finger Farm roundabout. This is presented in the relevant Parameters Plan (Document DC02.5).
- 4.10 The EMG2 Main Site is also served by another field access from the A453/Hunter Road roundabout. This field access comprises a dropped kerb with a gate setback from the roundabout.
- 4.11 The A453 extends in an east to west direction past the northern side of the EMG2 Main Site. It comprises a single carriageway road, with one lane in each direction and is subject to a 50mph speed limit. The carriageway measures approximately 7 metres wide and features double red line (red route) markings along the entire EMG2 Main Site



frontage and further afield. A shared footway/cycleway exists along the northern side of the carriageway that extends from the East Midlands Airport access to Finger Farm roundabout and then north along the western side of the A453 up to the A453/A6 Kegworth Road bypass signal controlled junction providing connections into EMG1 and to Ashby Road which extends into Kegworth Village. Much of the section alongside the western side of the A453 is of a poor standard and users are required to cross the A453 at an uncontrolled crossing just north of the Finger Farm roundabout.

- 4.12 The A453 forms the western and northern arms of Finger Farm roundabout, which provides access to/from the M1 in both the northbound and southbound directions, as well as to/ from the A42 towards Birmingham. At a distance approximately 2 kilometres north of the Finger Farm roundabout, the A453 forms the southwestern and northeastern arms of M1 Junction 24, comprising a large signal controlled grade separated roundabout, providing all movements onto the M1, the A50 towards Derby and the A453 towards Nottingham and local access to Kegworth along the former A6.
- 4.13 Two Automatic Traffic Count (ATC) surveys were commissioned on the A453 at the EMG2 Main Site frontage for 7-days between 26 November 2022 and 2 December 2022 (inclusive). The ATC surveys recorded vehicle speeds during this time. Figure 5 shows the locations of the ATC surveys, whilst Table 4 summarises the results for both survey locations.



Figure 5. Automatic Traffic Count Survey Locations



Table 4. Summary of Vehicle Speed Results (A453)

		Eastbound	Westbound
Eastern Community	Average	36.5	37.2
Eastern Survey	85 th percentile	43.8	43.4
	Average	43.7	46.5
Western Survey	85 th percentile	51.1	54.0

Strategic Road Network

4.14 The EMG2 Main Site is conveniently positioned for access to various parts of the SRN as shown at **Figure 6**. The central location of EMG within the UK and its proximity to M1 Junction 23A and Junction 24 provides excellent connections with the rest of the country via the M1, A453, A50 and A42.



Figure 6. Strategic Road Network



<u>M1 Motorway</u>

4.15 The M1 Motorway is a strategic route for local, regional and international traffic and plays an important role in connecting major settlements within the north and south of the UK. In 2019, the section of the motorway between Junctions 23A and 25 was upgraded as part of the Smart Motorways Programme to provide four lanes in either direction by converting the hard shoulders into running lanes between J24 and J25 with technology and signage works on the already four-lane section between J23A and J25.



A453 between M1 Junction 23A and J24

4.16 The A453 to the southwest of M1 Junction 24 extends north to south and parallel to the M1 Motorway, forming a signal-controlled junction with the EMG1 access roundabout before continuing south to Finger Farm roundabout at M1 Junction 23A, providing access to the M1 southbound and A42. Along this section, the A453 comprises a dual carriageway with two lanes in either direction and provides an alternative route choice for drivers travelling towards the A6, A50 and A453 eastbound, as well as providing a shorter route to the A453 westbound towards the EMG2 Main Site.

<u>A50</u>

4.17 The A50 is a dual carriageway extending to the northwest from M1 Junction 24. Traffic travelling southbound on the M1 can also join the A50 at Junction 24A slightly further north. The A50 continues west from M1 Junction 24 as a dual carriageway west towards Derby, whilst also providing access to the A38 in both directions at A50 Junction 4.

<u>A42</u>

4.18 The A42 extends to the southwest from M1 Junction 23A, joining with the M42 approximately 23 kilometres to the southwest before continuing towards Birmingham. In the vicinity of M1 Junction 23A, the A42 comprises a dual carriageway providing two lanes in either direction.

Local Junctions

4.19 During scoping discussions with the TWG, a number of junctions have been discussed as having the potential to be impacted by the EMG2 Project. This includes the 17 junctions shown at Figure 7. The following section provides brief details of each junction. Reference to Junction 1 is missing because it was originally intended that two main access points were to be provided to the EMG2 Main Site. This has been limited to one now, which retains the reference of Junction 2.





Figure 7. Location of Key Local Junctions

Junction 2 – A453/Hunter Road Roundabout

4.20 The A453/Hunter Road junction comprises a 3-arm roundabout providing a field access into the eastern part of the EMG2 Main Site on its southern side. It has an Inscribed Circular Diameter (ICD) of 55 metres and is priority controlled with the two arms on the A453 featuring flared approaches with two lanes at the give-way line, whilst Hunter Road features two approach lanes, separating left and right turning movements. Priority-controlled pedestrian and cycle crossings are provided across the A453 (west) and Hunter Road arms, staggering the movements at the central islands. Hunter Road serves various commercial units located within the East Midlands Airport.

Junction 3 – Finger Farm Roundabout

4.21 At a distance approximately 430 metres to the east of the A453/Hunter Road roundabout, the A453 forms a large 4-arm roundabout with the A453 (north), A42 and Donington Park Service access road, known as M1 Junction 23A and referred to as Finger Farm. The roundabout has an ICD of approximately 95 metres with and is priority controlled. All four arms provide flared entries with three lanes at the give way line and pedestrian/cycle crossings are provided across both the A453 arms which connect to an off-road footway/cycleway that continues towards EMG1 and the Moto Donington Services. There is a consented scheme, referred to 'East Midlands Point' which proposes a new arm on the northeastern side of the junction to serve a small employment development. This has been included for in the assessment work.



Junction 4 – A453/A6 Kegworth Road Bypass Signal Controlled Gyratory

4.22 The A453/A6 Kegworth Bypass is a large signal-controlled roundabout that provides access into EMG1. The A453 (south) arm provides two ahead lanes towards M1 Junction 24 and a single right turn lane to the A6 Kegworth Bypass that operate under the same green signal, along with a separately signalled left turn lane into EMG1. The A453 (north) arm provides three lanes, whilst the EMG1 arm (Wilder's Way) provides two lanes turning let towards M1 Junction 24 (single lane with short flare) and two lanes for movements ahead onto the circulatory (again comprising a single lane with short flare). The A6 Kegworth Bypass provides a single lane widening into a short left/ahead flare at the stop line. Signal-controlled pedestrian crossings are provided across the A453 (south) to accommodate movements towards EMG1 and also across the A6 Kegworth Bypass to connect pedestrians and cyclists to Ashby Road which links to Kegworth.

Junction 5 – Junction 24 of the M1

4.23 Junction 24 of the M1 is a large grade separated signal-controlled roundabout, which provides all movements to and from the motorway, whilst also providing connections to the A453, A50 and A6. The A453, which links the motorway with Nottingham via Clifton, joins from the northeast, with the A453 link towards the EMG2 Man Site joining from the southwest, which connects with Junction 23A of the M1 and the A42. Derby Road, which links the motorway with Loughborough via Kegworth joins the roundabout from the southeast, whilst the A50, which links the motorway with Derby joins from the northwest. The A453 arm from the southwest features a segregated left turn towards the A50. A shared footway/cycleway extends east to west across the northern part of the junction, with signal controlled pedestrian crossings provided on the M1 northbound onslip and M1 southbound off-slip arms, which connect to the A453 and into Kegworth, with a link to Nottingham, even if it is perhaps somewhat convoluted.

Junction 6 – A453/East Midlands Airport Signal Controlled Junction

4.24 At a distance 830 metres to the west of the A453/Hunter Road roundabout, the A453 features a signal controlled junction with the East Midlands Airport access. The A453 provides two ahead lanes in either direction, with the eastern arm featuring a separately signalled right turn lane into the airport. The A453 (west) arm features a short left turn give-way lane into the airport. The East Midlands Airport arm features two lanes which are separately signalled, providing left and right turn movements onto the A453. The footway/cycleway that exists along the A453 (east of the junction) extends into the airport along the eastern side of the carriageway.

Junction 7 – A453/Grimes Gate Priority Controlled Junction

4.25 The A453 forms a priority controlled T-junction with Grimes Gate approximately 380 metres west of the northwest corner of the EMG2 Main Site. The A453 forms the major arms and provides a single ahead lane in each direction along with a left turn slip lane. Grimes Gate forms the minor arm and provides a single lane approach, flaring into two lanes at the give way line (separating left and right turning movements). A priority controlled pedestrian crossing exists across Grimes Gate, which staggers movements with pedestrian refuge islands. Grimes Gate provides access into Diseworth.



Junction 8 – A453/The Green Priority Controlled Junction

4.26 The A453 forms a priority controlled T-junction with The Green approximately 770 metres west of the A453/Grimes Gate junction. The A453 forms the major arms and The Green forms the minor arm of the junction. All three arms feature single lane approaches. The Green extends south and past the western and southern boundaries of Diseworth and further afield provides access into Long Whatton.

Junction 9 – A453/East Midlands Airport Roundabout

4.27 The A453 forms a 3-arm roundabout with an unnamed road serving the western part of East Midlands Airport located approximately 1.2 kilometres west of the A453/The Green junction. The A453 forms the eastern and western arms and provide single lane approaches, flaring into two lanes at the give way line (the A453 western arm although comprises a dual carriageway). The unnamed road into East Midlands Airport provides a single lane entry at the roundabout.

Junction 10 – A453 Walton Hill Signal Controlled Junction

4.28 The A453 forms a signal controlled junction with the A453 Walton Hill approximately 320 metres west of the A453/East Midlands Airport roundabout. The A453 features single lane approaches, with short left and right turn flares providing a route towards Castle Donington. The right turn lane form the A453 (east) is separately signalled to the ahead movement, whilst the left turn lane from the A453 (west) is priority controlled, although features signals at a pedestrian crossing. The road from Castle Donington features two lanes that provide separately signalled movements to the east and west on the A453.

Junction 11 – A42 Junction 14 on-slip/A453/Top Brand/Gelscoe Lane Roundabout

4.29 The southern end of the A453 forms a four-arm priority controlled roundabout with the westbound on-slip to the A42 (exit only) at Junction 14 along with Gelscoe Lane and Top Brand. The three entry lanes all provide single lane approaches with short flares and two lanes at the give way lines. The roundabout provide access to the A42 westbound.

Junction 12 – M1 Junction 23

4.30 Junction 23 of the M1 is a large grade separated signal controlled roundabout providing all movements to and from the motorway and forms part of the SRN. The M1 slip roads form the northern and southern arms, whilst the A512 forms the eastern and western arms and provide connections towards Loughborough and Ashby-de-la-Zouch respectively. All four arms provide three lanes at the stop line onto the roundabout circulatory. A signal controlled crossing exists across the M1 northbound on-slip and M1 southbound off-slip arms providing a pedestrian/cycle link between the A512 east and wester arms.

Junction 13 – A50 Junction 1

4.31 Junction 1 of the A50 is a large grade separated signal controlled roundabout providing all movements to and from the dual carriageway and forms part of the SRN. It can be accessed via Trent Lane through Castle Donington to the south or from Junction 24A of



the M1 to the east. To the west, the A50 continues towards Derby, whilst the arms to the north provide access to villages within Derbyshire and Nottinghamshire. The entry arms from the A50 operate under traffic signals, whilst the arms to the north and south are priority controlled.

4.32 There is an approved mitigation scheme at A50 Junction 1 associated with the 'Land South of A50 Junction 1, Castle Donington' committed development, which involves signalising the Trent Lane and Tamworth Road entry arms and opposing circulatory. These committed improvements are taken into account in the traffic modelling work presented in later sections of this TA. Details of the signalisation scheme are shown on the approved drawings at **Appendix 23**.

Junction 14 – M1 Junction 25

4.33 Junction 25 of the M1 is a large grade separated roundabout providing all movements to and from the motorway and forms part of the SRN. It provides two arms to/from the A52, which to the east extend towards Nottingham and to the west extend towards Derby. The M1 entry and A52 arms operate from traffic signals, whilst the other two smaller arms (Bostocks Lane north and south) are priority controlled.

Junction 15 – Station Road/Broad Rushes Roundabout

4.34 The Station Road/Broad Rushes roundabout is located within the northern part of Castle Donington. The Station Road (N) arm provides access to A50 Junction 1, whilst the Broad Rushes arm provide access to the bypass around the western side of Castle Donington. The Station Road (S) arm provides access into Castle Donington via the High Street. All three arms are priority controlled and provide flared entries with two lanes at the give way line. Pedestrian and cycle crossings feature on the Station Road (N) and Broad Rushes arms.

Junction 16 – A453/Kegworth Road Roundabouts

4.35 The A453/Kegworth Road roundabouts provide access to the Ratcliffe on Soar Power Station. The southernmost roundabout provides access to/from the A453 in the westbound direction, whilst the northernmost roundabout provides access to the A453 in the eastbound direction. Access to/from the A453 in all directions is via slip roads and the roundabouts are priority controlled.

Junction 17 – A453/Barton Lane/West Leake dumbbell Roundabouts

4.36 The A453/Barton Lane/West Leake is a grade separated dumbbell roundabout, with the A453 extending over the connection in between the two roundabouts. The northern roundabout provides a secondary access into the Ratcliffe on Soar Power Station and to/from the A453 eastbound, whilst the southern roundabout provides access to/from the A453 westbound. The roundabouts are both priority controlled.

Traffic Flows

4.37 It was agreed with the TWG (aside from LCountyC after November 2024) that PRTM 2019 will be used at this stage of the process to test the impacts of the proposed



development, because NH had not signed off the updated PRTM 2023 model at the time of commission (this is considered in further detail in **Section 8**). Whilst the links in PRTM are well validated in the area around EMG2, the model is not validated at junction turning count level and therefore observed turning counts have been undertaken. Manual classified turning count surveys were commissioned in November 2022 and May 2023 at the following 16 junctions. The difference in dates was due to additional junctions being added to the study area at that time. The surveys were undertaken between 0700 to 1000 hours and 1600 to 1900 hours and included a recording of queue lengths at 5-minute intervals. These are included in the Furnessing and Forecasting Methodology Note, document EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0004_S2-P5, 4 April 2025, included in **Appendix 2**, agreed with NH and NCountyC.

November 2022 Surveys

- Junction 2: A453/Hunter Road roundabout
- Junction 3: Finger Farm roundabout
- Junction 4: EMGP1 gyratory
- Junction 5: M1 Junction 24
- Junction 6: A453/Grimes Gate priority junction
- Junction 7: A453/The Green priority junction
- Junction 8: A453/East Midlands Airport signal junction
- Junction 9: A453/East Midlands Airport roundabout
- Junction 10: A453/Walton Hill signal junction
- Junction 12 M1 Junction 23

<u>May 2023 Surveys</u>

- Junction 11: A42 Junction 14 on-slip/A453/Top Brand/Gelscoe Lane Roundabout
- Junction 13: A50 Junction 1
- Junction 14: M1 Junction 25
- Junction 15: Station Road/Broad Rushes Roundabout
- Junction 16: A453/Kegworth Road Roundabouts
- Junction 17: A453/Barton Lane/West Leake dumbbell Roundabouts

Personal Injury Collision Data

4.38 An assessment of Personal Injury Collision (PIC) records has been undertaken across the highway network that would be impacted by the **EMG2 Project**. PIC records were purchased from LCountyC, NCountyC and NH for the relevant parts of the highway network for the six-year period between 1 January 2019 and 23 October 2024. The study area included the following 17 junctions and associated link as presented in **Figure 8** below.





Figure 8. Personal Injury Collison Review Study area

- Junctions 1 & 2: EMG2 Main Site frontage and A453/Hunter Road Roundabout
- Junction 3: Finger Farm Roundabout
- Junction 4: A453/EMG1 access junction
- Junction 5: M1 Junction 24
- Junction 6: A453/East Midlands Airport Signal Junction
- Junction 7: A453/Grimes Gate Priority Junction
- Junction 8: A453/The Green Priority Junction
- Junction 9: A453/East Midlands Airport Roundabout
- Junction 10: A453/Walton Hill Signal Junction (Leicestershire)
- Junction 11: A42 Junction 14 on-slip/Top Brand/Gelscoe Lane Roundabout
- Junction 12: M1 Junction 23
- Junction 13: A50 Junction 1
- Junction 14: M1 Junction 25
- Junction 15: Station Road/Broad Rushes Roundabout
- Junction 16: A453/Kegworth Road dumbbell Roundabouts
- Junction 17: A453/Barton Lane/West Leake dumbbell Roundabouts

- 4.39 A total of 175 PICs were recorded across the study area, of which 125 were classified as slight, 42 as serious and 8 as fatal. The PIC records have been reviewed in detail within the Highway Safety Position Statement Technical Note document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0015 Revision P1 (Appendix 14). The assessment identified the following three locations where a cluster of PICs has formed highlighting a potential safety problem:
 - **EMG1 access junction** a cluster of PICs have been recorded due to turning movements from the A6 to EMG1 colliding with drivers travelling southbound on the A453. One of the PICs was fatal.
 - M1 Junction 24 a cluster of PICs have been recorded on the M1 northbound offslip on approach to the roundabout.
 - A453/The Green a cluster of PICs have been recorded due to right turning movements from the A453 west into The Green. This appears to be due to the location of the junction within a dip in the carriageway and potential lack of signage or warnings. However, in looking at historic Google Street View records, the tourist sign to the 'Queen's Head' highlighting a left turn into The Green from the east was obstructed by overgrown vegetation until 2023 and since then there have been no PICs occurring through westbound travelling vehicles. There appear to have been improvements to the warning signs for eastbound vehicles between 2017 and 2020, which appears to have slowed the rate of collisions.
- 4.40 The remaining areas in the study area did not identify any specific locations or trends or indicate specific existing safety issues. The three locations identified above are considered in further detail within this TA and within the proposed highway mitigation to ensure that there would be benefits of the scheme from a highway safety perspective.

5. EXISTING SUSTAINABLE TRAVEL OPPORTUNITIES

Introduction

- 5.1 The following section considers the existing facilities in proximity to **EMG2 Project** and reviews the existing opportunities to walk, cycle and access public transport from EMG2 and EMG1 to understand the current sustainable travel credentials.
- 5.2 **Appendix 3** includes for the WCHAR assessment report which has been produced in accordance with the requirements of DMRB GG 142 to inform the design of the proposed site and highway improvement works proposed as part of **EMG2 Works**. The purpose of this report is to provide a specific assessment of the existing facilities and provision for pedestrians, cyclists and equestrians that will help inform decision making throughout the design process

Local Amenities

5.3 The Applicant is targeting BREEAM Outstanding across the **EMG2 Project**. BREEAM TRA01 requires details of the number and type of existing accessible amenities within 500 metres of the EMG2 Main Site. Table 7.1 of the BREEAM UK New Construction Technical Manual (BRE Global Ltd, 2018) sets out a list of amenities that should be considered. These are shown in **Table 5** along with the walking distance from a central part of the EMG2 Main Site (only access to outside space is available within 500 metres of Plot 16 at EMG1).



Table 5. Key Local Amenities (BREEAM)

Amenity Type	Amenity	Approximate Walking Distance (metres)	Approximate Walking Time (minutes)
Appropriate Food Outlet	Greggs / Costa Coffee – Moto Donington Services	500	6
Access to Cash	BP petrol station – Moto Donington Services 500		6
Access to an outdoor space	EMG2 Community Park	200	2
Access to a recreation or leisure facility for fitness	-	-	-
Publicly Available Postal Facility	-	-	-
Over the counter services associated with a pharmacy	-	-	-
Public sector GP surgery or general Medical Centre	-	-	-

5.4 There are only three key facilities listed in the BREEAM documentation within 500 metres of the EMG2 Main Site. This is not unusual for large scale development of this nature and its locational needs, particularly with regard to accessibility to key nodes of the SRN.

Active Travel

- 5.5 The Guidelines for Providing for Journeys on Foot (GPJF) document describes acceptable walking distances for pedestrians without mobility impairment. GPJF suggests that the maximum walking distance for town centres is approximately 800m, commuting/schools is approximately 2km and for other facilities is approximately 1.2km.
- 5.6 GPJF states that an average walking speed of approximately 1.4m/s (5km's/hr) can be assumed. The walking distance thresholds for commuting and other facilities set out in the GPJF document (within table 3.2) are summarised below in **Table 6**.

	Suggested Acceptable Walking Distance (Metres)			
Journey Purpose	Town Centres	Commuting/School/ Sight-Seeing	Elsewhere	
Desirable	200	500	400	
Acceptable	400	1,000	800	
Preferred Maximum	800	2,000	1,200	

Table 6. GPJF Acceptable Walking Distances Guidance Table

- 5.7 Similarly, Local Transport Note (LTN 1/20) states that there are limits to the distances generally considered acceptable for cycling. The mean average length for cycling is 4km (2.4 miles), although journeys of up to three times this distance are not uncommon for regular commuters. It is widely considered that cycling has the potential to substitute for short car trips, particularly those under 5km and form part of a longer multi modal journey by public transport. Cycling is therefore an important journey to work mode that has the potential to substitute for short car journeys.
- 5.8 **Figure 9** identifies a 2km walking distance and 5km cycle distance from the centre of the EMG2 Main Site, whilst **Figure 10** shows the same distance isochrones from Plot 16 at EMG1.



Figure 9. Active Travel Isochrones from EMG2 Main Site





Figure 10. Active Travel Isochrones from Plot 16 EMG1

- 5.9 **Figure 9** shows that the 2 kilometres walking isochrone from the EMG2 Main Site includes East Midlands Airport, EMG1, the village of Diseworth and the Moto Donington Services. The 5 kilometres cycle isochrone extends to the villages of Kegworth, Castle Donington and Long Whatton.
- 5.10 **Figure 10** shows that the 2 kilometres walking isochrone from Plot 16 EMG1 includes the remainder of EMG1 and the western part of Kegworth. The 5 kilometres cycle isochrone includes all of Castle Donington, Kegworth, East Midlands Airport, Moto Donington Services and parts of Diseworth. It can therefore be concluded that subject to infrastructure being in place, that active travel trips from the surrounding villages can be expected as a reasonable mode of travel.
- 5.11 With regard to infrastructure, the A453 across the EMG2 Main Site frontage provides a shared footway/cycleway along its northern edge, which extends into East Midlands Airport via the main signal controlled access and continues east along the A453 to Finger Farm roundabout. From this point, the footway/cycleway connects with a dropped kerb crossing on the A453 connecting with a footway/cycleway along the eastern edge of the A453 up to EMG1 roundabout. At this point, signal controlled crossings exist to connect pedestrians and cyclists into EMG1 or to Ashby Road which provides on off-road footway/cycleway link into Kegworth.
- 5.12 **Figure 11** shows the locations of all Public Rights of Way (PRoW) in the vicinity of the EMG2 Main Site and EMG1 including the alignment of Hyam's Lane which bisects the former.



Figure 11. Public Rights of Way



- 5.13 Hyam's Lane bisects the EMG2 Main Site from northeast to southwest and comprises an unclassified single track road with an unbound gravel surface. An unregistered PRoW referred to as Footpath L45/L46 generally follows the route of Hyam's Lane and provides connectivity between Diseworth Village and Moto Donington Services.
- 5.14 Footpath L12 extends through EMG1 to the west connecting with a public bridleway and Footpath L57 that extends into Castle Donington.
- 5.15 The area surrounding the EMG2 Main Site and EMG1 Works benefits from an existing network of PRoW, particularly footpaths and bridleways, offering the potential for alternative walking and cycling links. This includes Footpath L48 which extends to the southeast of Diseworth and across the A42 towards Long Whatton.
- 5.16 In terms of cycle infrastructure, **Figure 12** shows the cycle routes in the immediate vicinity of the EMG2 Main Site and EMG1.



Figure 12. Local Cycle Routes



- 5.17 The details show the location of the existing cycle route along the A453 between the EMG2 Main Site frontage and EMG1. This connects with existing cycle facilities that leads into Kegworth along the former route of the A6, which comprises off-road footway/cycleway infrastructure. The base mapping on the figure, taken from LCountyC's website, does not however reference the active travel link between Finger Farm and EMG1 or along the northern edge of the Kegworth Bypass.
- 5.18 Route 15 of the National Cycle Network extends through the village of Diseworth connecting with the A453 from Grimes Gate to East Midlands Airport. The section through Diseworth comprises an on-road route. Route 15 connects with a secondary route that extends along The Green from Diseworth into Long Whatton to the east. Figure 13 shows the National Cycle Network further afield of the EMG2 Main Site.



Figure 13. National Cycle Routes



- 5.19 The details show that Route 15 of the National Cycle Network continues south through Diseworth over the A42 towards Belton village before connecting to Route 6 of the National Cycle Network that extends east towards Shepshed and Loughborough or west to smaller villages such as Osgathorpe. Furthermore, Route 15 connects to Route 52 of the National Cycle Network that extends to the south towards Thringstone.
- 5.20 The proposals have been subject to a WCHAR in accordance with DMRB GG 142. The Assessment Report is provided at **Appendix 3** and the Review Report is provided at **Appendix 24.** Opportunities have been reviewed against the scheme proposals and have been addressed. Where necessary, changes to the scheme have been incorporated within the drawings accompanying the DCO/MCO submission.

Bus Services

- 5.21 **Figure 14** shows the locations of the local bus stops in the vicinity of the EMG2 Main Site within the East Midlands Airport area and Diseworth. The closest bus stops are located near Pegasus Business Park on Hunter Road approximately 100 metres north of the A453/Hunter Road roundabout and comprise a shelter with timetable information and bus lay-by. These stops are served by Route Numbers 9, Skylink (Derby), Skylink (Nottingham) and Skylink (Airport).
- 5.22 There are further bus stops within the western part of East Midlands Airport approximately 850 metres from a central part of the EMG2 Main Site which are served by an additional route (My15).



Figure 14. Local Bus Routes



5.23 The above bus services travel to various settlements in the vicinity of the EMG2 Main Site, including Nottingham, Ilkeston, Stapleford, Long Eaton, Leicester, Loughborough, Coalville, Ashby de la Zouch, Swadlincote, Burton-upon-Trent and Derby. The destinations covered by each of the local bus services is shown at Figure 15, whilst Tables
7 and 8 summarise the timetable information for Monday to Saturday and Sundays respectively.





Figure 15. Destinations Served by Local Bus Routes

|--|

Service	Route	Peak Time Frequency
Skylink Derby	Leicester – Loughborough – Kegworth – EMG1 – EMA – Castle Donington - Derby	15 minutes
Skylink Express	Nottingham – Clifton – EMG1 (non-stop)	30 minutes
Skylink Nottingham	Nottingham – Long Eaton – Castle Donington – EMA – EMG1	20 minutes
Airway 9	Horninglow – Burton – Ashby – Melbourne – EMA – EMG1	60 minutes
My15	Ilkeston – Stapleford – Old Sawley – Castle Donington - EMA	30 minutes



Table 8. Bus Timetable Information (Sundays)

Service	Route	Frequency
Skylink Derby	Leicester – Loughborough – Kegworth – EMG1 – EMA – Castle Donington - Derby	30 minutes
Skylink Express	Nottingham – Clifton – EMG1 (non-stop)	30 minutes
Skylink Nottingham	Nottingham – Long Eaton – Castle Donington – EMA – EMG1	30 minutes
Airway 9	Horninglow – Burton – Ashby – Melbourne – EMA – EMG1	60 minutes
My15	Ilkeston – Stapleford – Old Sawley – Castle Donington - EMA	60 minutes

Rail Services

- 5.24 The East Midlands Parkway Railway Station is located approximately 5.5 kilometres to the northeast of the EMG2 Main Site adjacent to the Ratcliffe on Soar Power Station. It lies on the East Midlands Railway line, which links London St Pancras with the East Midlands (Nottingham, Leicester, Lincoln and Derby) and Sheffield in South Yorkshire.
- 5.25 East Midlands Parkway Railway Station provides a number of customer facilities including waiting rooms, full time staff support with a service desk/ticket office, toilets, café and refreshment facilities and sheltered cycle parking for 20 bicycles. There is also a large car park with 885 parking spaces that is open 24 hours per day, 7 days a week that operates on a pay and display basis, although longer term season passes are available.
- 5.26 East Midlands Parkway is served by three train lines, the East Midlands Railway Intercity, which travels between London St Pancras and Sheffield (via Leicester) or London St Pancras and Nottingham (with limited services continuing to Lincoln) plus the East which Midlands Railway Connect travels between Leicester and Sheffield/Lincoln/Worksop (with limited services continuing to Liverpool Lime Street and Norwich). Generally, the above services operate at a combined frequency of one train every 10 minutes within any direction. This includes two trains per hour between Nottingham and London St Pancras. Figure 16 shows the route map of services operated by the East Midlands Railway line.





Figure 16. Train Services from East Midlands Parkway Station

Accessibility Index Calculator

- 5.27 The review of existing public transport accessibility includes a calculation of the public transport Accessibility Index (AI) using the BREEAM V6 TRA01/02 Accessibility Index Calculator.
- 5.28 The AI is an indicator of the accessibility and density of the public transport network. It is influenced by the proximity and diversity of the public transport network and the frequency of services at the accessible nodes. The greater the number of compliant nodes, services and their proximity to the building, the higher the AI. The values represent a distance from a central position of the EMG2 Main Site.
 - Distance (m) from the main building entrance to each compliant node.
 - Public transport types serving the compliant node e.g. bus and rail.
 - Average number of services stopping per hour at each node during the operational hours of the building for a typical day.



5.29 There are five bus stops in the vicinity of the EMG2 Main Site near Pegasus Business Park and within 500m from a central position of it. As a result, the AI for the EMG2 Main Site is 4.41. A copy of the BREEAM calculator is included at **Appendix 25**.

Summary

5.30 In summary, there are a number of existing opportunities to walk, cycle and access public transport services in the vicinity of the EMG2 Main Site and EMG1. The proposed highway mitigation and public transport strategy, detailed later in this TA, seek to build and enhance these existing opportunities to provide future employees with a range of travel options to limit reliance on private car travel.

6. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

Introduction

6.1 The EMG2 Project comprises a second phase to Segro's EMG1 logistics park and Rail Freight Interchange and comprises the following three components as set out in Table 9:

Component	Details	Works Nos.
DCO Application		
EMG2 Works	Logistics and advanced manufacturing development located on the EMG2 Main Site south of East Midlands Airport and the A453, and west of the M1 motorway, comprising 300,000sqm ground floor area of B2/B8 use (assessed as 60,000sqm B2 and 240,000sqm B8 as set out in Section 7 below), plus an allowance for 200,000sqm of mezzanine floorspace	DCO Works Nos. 1 to 5 as described in the draft DCO.
	Together with an upgrade to the EMG1 substation and provision of a community park.	DCO Works Nos. 20 and 21 as described in the draft DCO.
Highway Works	Works to the highway network: the A453 EMG2 access junction works; significant improvements at Junction 24 of the M1 (referred to as the J24 Improvements) and works to the wider highway network including active travel works.	DCO Works Nos. 6 to 19 as described in the draft DCO.
MCO Application		
EMG1 Works	Additional warehousing development on Plot 16 (26,500sqm plus a mezzanine allowance of 3,500sqm) together with works to increase the permitted height of the cranes at the EMG1 rail- freight terminal, improvements to the public transport interchange, site management building and the EMG1 access works.	MCO Works Nos. 3A, 3B, 5A, 5B, 5C, 6A and 8A in the draft MCO.

Table 9. Development Proposals Summary

EMG2 Main Site (DCO)

- 6.2 The Parameters Plan shows that Zones 1 to 6a include the maximum area for the warehousing and distribution use at 300,000sqm ground floorspace, plus the potential for a further 200,000sqm of mezzanine floorspace. The land use class applied for is primarily B8 with up to 20% of the floorspace being for B2 use together with ancillary office space.
- 6.3 Office floorspace is expected to comprise around 6% of the total development floorspace, which is standard for Segro schemes, and is therefore ancillary to the predominant B2/B8 use. All units would be accessible from the EMG2 Main Site via the site access from the A453/Hunter Road roundabout.



- 6.4 Zone 6b on the Parameters Plan comprises the bus interchange at the EMG2 Main Site, which would accommodate existing public bus services that are proposed to be diverted, plus the dedicated electric shuttle bus service. The location of the bus interchange would ensure electric shuttle buses can travel to all units within the EMG2 Main Site without the need for travelling back onto the A453 and was amended during the consultation process.
- 6.5 Zone 7 comprises a 1.94ha space in the northwest corner of the EMG2 Main Site which would be used for HGV parking for visits to the EMG Main Site.

<u>Highway Works</u>

- 6.6 A package of highway works is proposed including EMG2 Main Site access, substantial improvements around M1 Junction 24, as well as more minor works on the local highway network and active travel link improvements. The proposed highway works are shown on the Highways Plans (Documents DCO 2.8A to D) the Components of the Proposed Development Plan (Document DCO 2.7), with Appendix 26 including for the Geometric Design Strategy Record for the local highway network, and Appendix 27 including for the Geometric Design Strategy Record for the Strategic Road Network. Appendix 28 provides details of the directional signage changes that form part of the highway works.
- 6.7 It should be noted that Road Safety Audits are yet to be completed and are proposed to be included for in the final version of the TA supporting the DCO application.
- 6.8 The proposed highways works are described further as follows:
 - A453/EMG2 Main Site access junction providing access to the EMG2 Main Site via a new arm from the A453/Hunter Road roundabout.
 - M1 Junction 24 improvements comprising:
 - Construction of a new free-flow link road from the M1 northbound at J24 to provide a direct link to the A50 westbound, which will cross over the A453, and will include the A50 westbound merge alterations (DCO Works Nos. 9 and 10);
 - Widening of the A50 eastbound link at J24 and other related works and traffic management measures in this location (DCO Works No. 11);
 - Alteration of the west side of the J24 roundabout to provide three lanes from the M1 northbound to A453 northbound through the junction, two lanes from the A453 northbound to the M1 northbound through the junction and removal of the segregated left-turn lane from the A453 northbound to the A50 westbound post feedback from NH (DCO Works No. 12a);
 - Signing and lining amendments on the east side of the J24 roundabout and the A453 southbound approach (DCO Works No. 12b);
 - Provision of new M1 northbound exit to the A50 and associated improvements to gantries signage, signals and road markings on the M1 (DCO Works No. 8); and


- Changes to the signage on the M1 northbound before J23A to sign the A50 via the new M1 J24 link road rather than via J23A as at present (DCO Works No. 16).
- EMG1 Access Improvements providing widening at the EMG1 roundabout to increase junction capacity (DCO Works No. 13).
- Works to the A42/Finger Farm rundabout (DCO Works No 18).
- 6.9 There will be multiple pedestrian and cyclist access points into the EMG2 Main Site to ensure future staff have access to a number of active modes of travel. The following Active Travel Works are proposed as part of the development, which the WCHAR Preliminary Design Stage Review Report, included in **Appendix 24** has helped inform:
 - Active Travel Link providing a dedicated cycle track alongside the A453 between EMG1 and the EMG2 Main Site.
 - A new shared use footway/cycleway along the length of the EMG2 Main Site estate road providing pedestrian and cyclist access to all units and ensuring they are separated from vehicle and HGV traffic.
 - The existing PRoW L45 which bisects the EMG2 Main Site will become integrated into Hyam's Lane. Hyam's Lane will be resurfaced and upgraded to allow cyclist access.
 - A new Toucan crossing point will be installed on the A453 to the east of the Hunters Road roundabout for pedestrians and cyclists to safely cross the A453 to/from EMG2 Main Site, unlocking connections to EMG1, Kegworth and beyond. This has been included for in the PRTM modelling and is examined in further detail below.
 - A new shared use cycle track from the Hyam's Lane to the proposed A453 Toucan crossing.
 - A new dedicated shared use cycle track north of the new Toucan crossing alongside the A453 to connect the EMG2 Main Site with EMG1 for pedestrians and cyclists as well as improving cycling in the wider area between Kegworth and East Midlands Airport.
 - The route along Hyam's Lane, to the Toucan Crossing and then to the EMG1 access junction will form of an extension to the National Cycle Route 15 providing connectivity towards Kegworth and EMG1 to the northeast and Diseworth to the southwest.
 - The Hyam's Lane Works will also provide signage at the junction of Hyam's Lane and Grimes Gate and resurfacing works along Hyam's Lane to enhance cycle access.
 - A453/East Midlands Airport junction uncontrolled crossing providing pedestrian crossing improvements across the A453 to between the airport and proposed EMG2 Community Park.
 - The upgrade of public footpath L57 which connects Diseworth Lane to the west of EMG1 and Castle Donington for improved connectivity for cyclists. Payment was made to LCountyC under the Section106 agreement for EMG1 for the upgrade works to be carried out by LCountyC however these works have never been implemented.

- A new footpath from the western end of Hyam's Lane and PRoW L45/L46 northwards through the proposed Community Park connecting to the A453 Ashby Road by the Airport access via the western edge of the EMG2 Main Site.
- A new bridleway from the western end of Hyam's Lane and PRoW L45 southwards through the proposed Community Park connecting to Long Holden and PRoW L48. Connecting these two PRoWs will create a valuable new publicly accessible route all the way from PRoW L48 to the airport and will create a loop for use by equestrians;
- A new footpath from the eastern end of Hyams' Lane and PRoW L45 southwards connecting to Long Holden via the eastern edge of the EMG2 Main Site creating a publicly accessible circular route around the southern part of the EMG2 Main Site.
- Restricting access to Long Holden by changing its status from an all purpose highway to a bridleway which more accurately reflects its character and will allow access to be controlled.
- 6.10 The location of the new Toucan crossing on the A453 to the east of the Hunter Road roundabout is on the desire line between the EMG2 Main Site and EMG1/Kegworth and on a section of the A453 where vehicle speeds are slower because drivers have negotiated the roundabouts at either side. The ATC speed survey results at **Table 4** confirm that average vehicle speeds on this section were between 36.5mph and 37.2mph and 85th percentile seeds were between 43.4mph and 43.8mph.
- 6.11 **Table 10** includes an extract from LTN1/20 showing the suitability of various crossing types based on roads carrying different traffic flows and speeds. It confirms that signal controlled crossings are suitable for most people on roads with speeds between 40mph and 50mph with any volume of traffic flows. As a result, a standalone Toucan crossing is considered the most appropriate crossing type for this location.



Table 10. Crossing Design Suitability (extract from Table 10-2 of LTN 1/20)

Speed Limit	Total traffic flow to be crossed (pcu)	Maximum number of lanes to be crossed in one movement	Uncontrolled	Cycle Priority	Parallel	Signal	Grade separated
≥ 60mph	Arty	Any					
10 mph and	> 10000	Any					-
50 mph	6000 to 10000	2 or more		-			
	0-6000	2		1			
	0-10000	1					
30moh	> 8000	22					-
- Sector	> 8000	2					
	4000 8000	2					-
	0-4000	2		9			
	0-4000	1					- 6

Provision not suitable for all people and will exclude some potential users and/or have safety concerns. the next highest speed limit should be applied 2. The recommended provision assumes that the peak hour motor traffic flow is no more than 10% of the 24 hour flow

Provision suitable for few people and will exclude most potential users and/or have safety concerns

6.12 The proposed crossing at the A453/East Midlands Airport junction would be uncontrolled with pedestrians walking with traffic. Whilst LTN1/20 suggests a signal controlled crossing would be suitable for most people, pedestrians would be able to cross using the existing islands, which would be connected with dropped kerbs and tactile paving, allowing for crossing movements in front of vehicles when traffic is being held on a red signal. This arrangement is considered suitable because it forms a minor part of the scheme seeking to improve connections between people working at the airport and the proposed Community Park, so usage of the crossing is expected to be low and not warrant full signal controlled crossings.

EMG1 Works (MCO)

- 6.13 The EMG1 works as shown on the Parameters Plan (**Document MCO 2.5**) and the Highways Plan (**Document MCO 2.8**), comprise the following elements:
 - Provision of a maximum of 26,500sqm additional warehousing unit on Plot 16 which lies adjacent to the rail freight terminal, with an additional 3,500sqm of internal mezzanine floorspace.
 - An increase to the maximum permitted height of gantry cranes at the rail freight terminal by 4m, to 24m overall. At present the terminal uses mobile reach stacker cranes but the EMG1 DCO permitted installation of gantry cranes up to 20m. Therefore, approval is sought to install gantry cranes up to 24m which would provide additional operational efficiency to the terminal. Appendix 10 includes the EMG1 Rail Freight Technical Note document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-CH-0011 Revision P1 explaining how the changes to the gantry crane height would have no impact on trip generation which has been agreed with the TWG. The details in this Technical Note have been formally agreed with NH and NCountyC in

the Stage 1B sign off sheet (**Appendix 29**) and with LCountyC by email on 11 December 2024 (**Appendix 30**).

- An expansion of the EMG1 Management Suite by the EMG1 site entrance to cater for the additional demand of management facilities.
- Enhancement to the Public Transport Interchange by way of installation of EV charging infrastructure for buses and provision of a drop-off layby adjacent to the transport hub.
- Providing a signalised pedestrian crossing over the exit from EMG1.

Public Transport Improvements

- 6.14 The **EMG2 Project** includes delivering a purpose built bus interchange within the northeast part of the EMG2 Main Site close to Pegasus Business Park and served from the A453/Hunter Road roundabout. The location of the bus interchange has emerged following discussions between ITP and local bus operators (Trent Barton) and the TWG. The location of the bus interchange within the EMG2 Main Site would now remove the need for buses to exit back onto the A453 and all units would be served internally directly from the bus interchange via the electric shuttle buses. The location of the bus interchange allows for the interception of existing bus services travelling along the A453 and via Pegasus Business Park.
- 6.15 The bus interchange will include dedicated bays for commercial bus services to call at as well as the dedicated on-site electric shuttle buses that will call at the interchange and transfer staff and visitors to the units within the EMG2 Main Site, replicating the success of the system implemented at EMG1. Provision will be made at the interchange for EV bus charging points to facilitate the electric shuttle bus service. The bus interchange will also include a range of other facilities such as undercover waiting areas, toilets and real time bus information. All bus stops will be provided with raised kerbs to aid level boarding for those with mobility impairment.
- 6.16 The on-site electric shuttle buses will transfer people from the interchange to all units within the EMG2 Main Site. A number of bus stop will be provided along the main industrial access road through the EMG2 Main Site close to the entrances to each unit. Each stop will comprise a flagpole, shelter and timetable information. In addition, staff and visitors would have the option of travelling to/from the bus interchange using the free electric bicycles.
- 6.17 The BREEAM AI has been updated to include the improvements with the provision of a new bus interchange accommodating a total of six buses (including the internal electric shuttle buses) and reduced walking distance compared to the existing bus stop at Pegasus Business Park. A copy of the BREEAM calculator for the **EMG2 Works** is included at **Appendix 31** and shows that the EMG2 Main Site would have an AI of 6.21, which is an improvement to the existing score of 4.41, as detailed in Section 5.

Proposed HGV Park

6.18 A parking area for HGVs is proposed which will cater for HGV visits to the EMG2 Main Site and will allow early HGV arrivals to wait before they serve the relevant building within the EMG2 Main Site.



EMG2 Main Site Vehicular Access

- 6.19 The relevant Parameters Plan (**Doc DCO 2.5**) shows how the EMG2 Main Site will be served by a single point of access from the A453/Hunter Road roundabout. This would be via a fourth arm at the southern side of the roundabout replacing the existing field access. This access would serve 100% of development on EMG2 Main Site, as well as the new bus interchange and HGV park.
- 6.20 The access arm into EMG2 Main Site would comprise a dual carriageway with two lanes for vehicles entering and exiting it. The section of dual carriageway then narrows to a 10.5m wide single carriageway continuing south to a new internal roundabout, from which point the remainder of the new industrial road would comprise a single carriageway road of 10.5 metres in width.
- 6.21 The dualling and 10.5m wide single carriageway will help overcome any potential concerns from an emergency access perspective. Emergency vehicles will also have the ability to access the EMG2 Main Site via Hyams Lane, which would measure a minimum of 3.7 metres wide between the EMG2 main site road and Diseworth to allow such use (but not general vehicle traffic).
- 6.22 The EMG2 Main Site access works also include extending the A453 westbound entry flare to 75 metres and also extending the length of the two lane exit in the westbound direction. The general arrangement of the proposed EMG2 Main Site access can be found at **Document DCO 2.8A**, whilst an extract is shown at **Figure 17**.



Figure 17. Proposed Site Access to EMG2 Main Site

6.23 The drawings included in **Appendix 26** include for swept path analysis of an 18.5 metres long HGV entering and departing the EMG2 Main Site via the proposed A453/Hunter Road roundabout in all directions. It demonstrates how turning movements in all directions would be achievable without conflicting drivers in adjacent lanes. Further swept path assessments will be undertaken as part of approval of details under the EMG2 DCO requirements.



6.24 Development on Plot 16 which forms part of the EMG1 Works would be served by the existing access along Wilder's Way and the existing industrial road that leads to the EMG1 rail freight terminal.

Operations

- 6.25 It is anticipated that the proposed development would predominantly operate across three shift patterns, similar to EMG1 (although this will not be known until end occupiers are identified). These shift patters are likely to be as follows and across 7 days a week:
 - 06:00 14:00 hours
 - 14:00 22:00 hours
 - 22:00 06:00 hours
- 6.26 As each of the units would provide ancillary office space, it is envisaged that there would be an additional shift pattern of 0900 to 1730 hours.

Parking Provision

- 6.27 Final layouts of each plot, including the number of parking spaces, will be finalised as each plot comes forward in detail. However, at this early stage the number of parking spaces across the development has been considered.
- 6.28 LCountyC's adopted parking standards are outlined in the LHDG. This contains the Council's normal maximum parking requirements for non-residential land uses. The parking standards for employment land uses vary depending on the location of the site in question, which in this instance is 'out of any town'. **Table 11** shows LCountyC's standards for both B2 and B8 land uses.

Vehicle Parking Type	B8 Use Class	B2 Use Class			
Cars	One space for every 150sqm	One space for every 55sqm			
Disabled	Six bays plus 2% of total parki spaces	ng spaces (when total over 200			
HGV	One lorry space for every 400sqm				
Motorcycles	One space, plus an additional space for every 10 car parking spaces				
Bicycle	One long stay space per 500sqm (staff) plus one short stay space per 1,000sqm (visitors)				
Electric vehicles	Follow guidelines in the latest Building Regulations, which states all new non-residential buildings with more than 10 parking spaces must have a minimum of one charge point and cable routes for one in five (20%) of the total number of spaces				

Table 11. Leicestershire County Council Parking Standards

- 6.29 In terms of the dimensions of parking spaces, the LHDG sets out the following:
 - Minimum car parking size is 2.4 x 5.5m with an additional 0.5m if bounded by a wall, fence, hedge, line of trees or other similar obstructions on 1 side, 1m if bounded on both sides.
 - Motorcycle parking spaces should be 2.5 x 1.5m with a 1m space between each bike.
 - Cycle parking should be secure and under cover and Sheffield stands are preferred. They can accommodate two cycles provided that the stands are placed 1m apart and at least 0.5m from any wall.
- 6.30 During the statutory consultation, residents raised concern with on-street parking taking place in Diseworth associated with people travelling to East Midlands Airport. This issue is therefore separate to the **EMG2 Project**; however, a compliant amount of parking will be provided across all units at EMG2, which should ensure that all parking is accommodated within the site and not within any of the nearby villages.
- 6.31 EMG2 Main Site will remain privately owned by Segro who will put measures in place to prevent non site related vehicles, such as those associated with East Midlands Airport, from parking within the EMG2 Main Site.
- 6.32 Disabled parking will be provided at 10% of the total car parking spaces. Car sharing is being actively promoted within the Framework Travel Plan assisted by 5% of spaces being designated to those who car share. This is to also meet the credits required from a BREEAM perspective.
- 6.33 Whilst LCountyC does not currently adopt any electric vehicle charging standards, Segro has a policy to provide 20% of parking space at all their sites with electric vehicle charging equipment. This exceeds the minimum requirements within the latest Building Regulations guidance which requires 10% of all spaces.

HGV Route Plan

- 6.34 HGV routing associated with the EMG1 Works will be covered via the formal routing strategy agreed, and successfully implemented, for EMG1.
- 6.35 The EMG2 Works is located near the SRN in close proximity to M1 Junctions 23A and J24 with good access to the M1, A453, A50, A6 and A42. Nevertheless, there are local sensitivities regarding the potential increase in HGV movements on the local road network surrounding EMG2. The HGV Route Plan Technical Note document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0016 Revision P2 (**Appendix 15**) therefore presents the HGV Route Plan for the EMG2 Works for the avoidance of doubt, whilst the following section provides a summary of the key details.
- 6.36 The following local roads leading into villages surrounding the site feature 7.5T weight restrictions, which are also shown on **Figure 18**:
 - Hill Top & High Street, Castle Donington



- Grimes Gate & The Green, Diseworth leading to Long Whatton
- Derby Road, Kegworth
- Melbourne Road, Melbourne
- Kegworth Road, Ratcliffe on Soar.

Figure 18. Existing Weight Restrictions



6.37 The existing weight restrictions mean that HGVs associated with the EMG2 Main Site and EMG1 Works will be required to travel via the SRN and major local roads. The permitted routes for HGVs are therefore listed below and reflect those shown in blue and green in **Figure 18**.

To the north

- A453 (E), M1 northbound
- A453 (E), A453 eastbound towards Nottingham

<u>To the east</u>

• A453 (E), A6

To the south

• A453 (E), M1 southbound

- A453 (E), A42
- A453 (W), A42 via Junction 14 (albeit PRTM does not assign HGVs in this direction)

To the west

- A453 (E), A50 westbound
- A453 (W), Castle Donington western bypass, A50 westbound via Junction 1 (albeit PRTM does not assign HGVs in this direction)
- 6.38 All occupiers will need to comply with the existing weight restrictions which will ensure that HGVs travel using the permitted routes.
- 6.39 In the event that parts of the SRN are temporarily closed, HGVs would have alternative route choices to reach the EMG2 Main Site and EMG1. This is largely supported by the A453 that extends parallel to the M1 motorway between Junction 23A and J24 alongside other strategic connections with the A50, A6 and A42. Full details of the diversion routes are included in the HGV Route Plan Technical Note document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0016 Revision P2 at **Appendix 15**, which would ensure that even when parts of the SRN are closed, HGVs would still be able to avoid the local road network to reach the EMG2 Main Site and EMG1 Works.
- 6.40 During a time when road closures are in place, or a PIC has occurred on part of the SRN, then NH adopt protocols to manage closures and keep disruption and delays to a minimum. These protocols include:
 - Dedicated events and incident liaison officers
 - Dedicated route managers
 - Route cards for temporary directional signage to be put out on the network
 - VMS signage for long distance and strategic routes advance warning drivers
 - Notifications to key significant important stakeholders such as East Midlands Airport.
- 6.41 NH is subject to a Key Performance Indicator which requires 86% of lane compromising incidents to be cleared within 60 minutes of the incident occurring.
- 6.42 For the logistics operators at the EMG2 Main Site, management staff will review the transport position across the wider network allowing drivers to adjust their route strategies to avoid congested areas accordingly (whilst continuing to follow all existing weight restrictions).
- 6.43 With the existing weight restrictions in place, the EMG2 DCO does not include any specific management measures to control the movement of HGVs. This is the same at EMG1 where no management measures were required as part of the DCO to control the movements of HGVs.
- 6.44 Notwithstanding this, Segro has a management company at EMG1 who can be contacted should there be issues with HGVs contravening the existing weight restrictions and the management company will also cover the EMG2 Main Site. Since EMG1 has



started operating, Segro are aware of only two complaints of HGVs travelling along nonpermitted routes, one of which was valid whilst the other was not. Therefore, there are no significant issues at present with HGVs associated with EMG1, which is expected to also be the case for the EMG2 Main Site. Issues with HGV movements are no longer raised at EMG1 meetings with the local Parish Councils.

Construction

- 6.45 A CTMP for the DCO Scheme has been produced and appended to the CEMP in **Document DCO6.3A/MCO 6.3A**. It covers the full extent of the DCO Order Limits for the initial stage of development including:
 - EMG2 Main Site roads and earthworks
 - M1 corridor gantry and signage works
 - M1 Junction 24 mitigation package
 - Finger Farm signage works and upgrade
 - A453 south minor highway works.
- 6.46 The construction stage will require a separate CTMP for each specific phase. The current CTMP sets out the proposed mitigation measures that will be implemented to mitigate the potential effects of traffic during the construction stage of the **EMG2 Project** as far as possible. It also provides guidance for the Principal Contractor (once appointed) and all subcontractors regarding access routes to the EMG2 Main Ste and EMG1 Works, maintenance requirements for the existing public highway and restrictions for staff to follow.
- 6.47 The CTMP commits to arranging a Construction Traffic Management Working Group to discuss, plan, and manage, upcoming traffic management on the road network. The group will include NH, LCountyC, local bus operators, East Midlands Airport, Moto Donington Services, emergency services, as well as the Principal Contractor.
- 6.48 A range of management measures are set out in the CTMP which will be adopted during the construction phase to limit impacts on the SRN and local road network.

7. TRIP GENERATION

Introduction

7.1 The following section summarises the agreed traffic generation forecasts for the **EMG2 Project** during both the operational and construction phases. These values have been tested in PRTM, which is examined in greater detail in **Section 8**, and the detailed junction models to understand the impacts of the **EMG2 Project** and associated mitigation requirements, set out in subsequent sections.

Operational Phase

Traffic Generation

- 7.2 Full details explaining the process of agreeing the trip rates and traffic generation calculations for the operational phase, are provided in the Trip Generation Core Assessment Technical Note document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0012 Revision P1 (**Appendix 11**). This Technical Note has been formally agreed with NH and NCountyC in the Stage 1A modelling sign off sheet (**Appendix 32**), with LCountyC confirming they accept the trip generation figures by email on 11 December 2024 (**Appendix 30**).
- 7.3 It was agreed with the TWG on 27 July 2022 that the original trip rates adopted for the EMG1 DCO in 2014 should be retained for the purposes of this TA. These were based on surveys undertaken at Swan Valley in 2007. Table 12 shows the agreed trip rates, whilst Table 13 calculates the traffic generation for the 430,000sqm of development across Plot 16 of EMG1 and EMG2 Main Site reflecting the agreed split of B2/B8 use. Trip rates for the traditional morning peak period of 0800 to 0900 hours have been adopted, but trip rates for the evening shoulder peak period of 1600 to 1700 hours have been used for the B8 development, as requested by the TWG, because they are higher and provide further robustness, which mirrors the approach undertaken for the EMG1 DCO (PRTM assesses 1700 to 1800 hours as the evening peak hour).

	AM Peak (08:00 – 09:00)			PM Peak (1600- 1700 for B8 and 17:00 – 18:00 for B2)		
	Arrivals	Departure	es Two-way	Arrivals	Departures	Two-way
	B8 Trip Rates (retained from EMG1 Transport Assessment)					
Total	0.140	0.036	0.176	0.065	0.155	0.220
HGVs	0.019	0.023	0.041	0.025	0.015	0.040
B2 Trip Rates (taken from TRICS)						
Total	0.392	0.071	0.463	0.049	0.369	0.417
HGVs	0.016	0.014	0.030	0.003	0.006	0.009

Table 12. Proposed Development Trip Rates

	AM Peak (08:00 – 09:00)			PM Peak 17:0	(1600- 1700 fo 00 – 18:00 for E	or B8 and 32)
	Arrivals	Departure	es Two-way	Arrivals	Departures	Two-way
340,000sqm	B8 develop	ment at EMG2	(including for	100,000sqm o	f mezzanine s	pace)
Total	476	122	598	221	527	748
HGVs	65	78	143	85	51	136
	30,0	00sqm B8 deve	elopment at Pla	ot 16 of EMG1		
Total	42	11	53	20	47	67
HGVs	6	7	13	8	5	13
		60,000sqm B2	development	at EMG2		
Total	235	43	278	30	222	252
HGVs	10	8	18	2	4	6
Total 430,000sqm development						
Total	753	176	929	270	795	1,065
HGVs	81	93	174	95	60	155

Table 13. Proposed Development Traffic Generation

- 7.4 The entire development across EMG1 and EMG2 is predicted to generate 929 trips in the morning peak hour and 1,065 trips in the evening peak hour, of which 53 in the morning and 67 in the evening would be generated by Plot 16 of EMG1.
- 7.5 Since EMG1 has been operating, annual surveys have been undertaken to monitor the volume of traffic being generated across all units. The latest surveys were undertaken in September 2024 when EMG1 was close to being at full occupation with the results presented in an 'EMG1 Vehicle Trip Rate Comparison Report' dated 7 February 2025 (Appendix 33). Table 14 summarises the B8 trip rates at EMG1 from the 2024 surveys, including for mezzanines.

Table 14. EMG1 Surveyed B8 Trip Rates (2024)

	AM	Peak (08:00 –	09:00)	PM Peak (17:00 – 18:00)		
	Arrivals	Departure	es Two-way	Arrivals	Departures	Two-way
Total	0.071	0.022	0.092	0.026	0.062	0.089
HGVs	0.015	0.012	0.028	0.015	0.015	0.029

7.6 By adopting the 2024 EMG1 surveyed B8 trip rates and applying them to the total proposed development B8 floorspace of 370,000sqm (inclusive of 30,000sqm development at Plot 16 EMG1), **Table 15** calculates the volume of traffic that could be generated and the difference when compared to the agreed traffic generation in **Table 14**. The traffic generation for the 60,000sqm of B2 floorspace proposed at EMG2 remains unchanged.

	AM Peak (08:00 – 09:00)		PM	Peak (17:00 –	18:00)	
	Arrivals	Departures	Two-way*	Arrivals	Departures	Two-way*
		EMG2 B8 Traffi	c Generation (37	70,000sqm)		
Total	263	81	344	96	229	325
HGVs	56	44	100	56	56	112
		EMG2 B2 Traff	ic Generation (6	0,000sqm)		
Total	235	43	278	30	222	252
HGVs	10	8	18	2	4	6
	T	otal EMG2 Traf	fic Generation (4	430,000sqm)	
Total	498	124	622	126	451	577
HGVs	66	52	118	58	60	118
	Differen	ce versus Agre	ed Traffic Genero	ation – Vehi	cle Trips	
Total	-255	-52	-307	-144	-344	-488
HGVs	-215	-41	-56	-37	0	-37
	Difference versus Agreed Traffic Generation – Percentage					
Total	-33.9%	-29.5%	-33.0%	-53.3%	-43.3%	-45.8%
HGVs	-265.4%	-44 .1%	-32.2%	-38.9%	0.0%	-23.9%

Table 15. Forecast Traffic Generation based on 2024 EMG1 Recorded Trip Rates

*any errors in the calculation of two-way trips are due to rounding

- 7.7 By adopting the surveyed B8 trip rates at EMG1, the forecast traffic generation at EMG2 based on the 430,000sqm is 622 trips in the morning peak hour and 577 trips in the evening peak hour. This is a significant reduction of 307 trips (-33.0%) in the morning and 488 trips (-45.8%) in the evening compared to what is being assessed in this TA; such a reduction has not been assessed in PRTM. Therefore, the trip rates being adopted, assessed, and used to determine the proposed highway mitigation, should be viewed as highly robust; they in effect provide a 'worse than worst-case' based on information obtained post agreeing the use of the original EMG1 forecast trip rates in July 2022 as set out in **Table 14** above.
- 7.8 The above was set out in an email to the TWG on 5 March 2025, included in Appendix 34. This set out that, building on the above, when considering 200,000sqm GFA of additional mezzanine floor space at the EMG2 Main Site versus the 100,000sqm GFA originally proposed, and the recorded EMG1 2024 trip rates, the proposed development would generate 33% less B8 trips versus that assessed in this TA in Table 13 in the morning peak hour and 48% less trips in the PM peak hour.
- 7.9 NH accepted the principle of this in an email dated 1 May 2025 which is included in **Appendix 35**. This was on the proviso of the following, which have been taken into consideration as set out hence why the mezzanine GFA has increased from 100,000sqm to 200,000sqm:
 - i) confirmation that it is used for the intended vertical stacking/storage purpose as stated, with a provision within the DCO stipulating that the additional 100,000 sqm

floor space for storage/racking must be used for its intended purpose in perpetuity, ancillary to B8 ground floor space

- ii) sustainable transport objectives achieved for EMG1 to be applied to EMG2, to be included for within the Sustainable Transport Strategy.
- 7.10 As a result, wording has been included within the DCO with regards to its intended use, and the Sustainable Transport Strategy confirms that the modal split achieved for EMG1 has been applied to EMG2. The Sustainable Transport Strategy is included in **Document DCO 6.6B/MCO 6.6B** and this is considered in further detail later on in this section.

HGVs between EMG2 to EMG1 Rail Freight Terminal

- 7.11 The core traffic generation in **Table 13** shows that there is predicted to be a total of 174 HGVs in the morning peak hour and 155 HGVs in the evening peak hour generated by the development. This is the total number of HGVs including any potential hazardous or abnormal loads.
- 7.12 The predicted EMG2 traffic generation has been assigned to the highway network in accordance with the in-built gravity model in PRTM and therefore does not assign any HGVs between EMG2 Main Site and the EMG1 Rail Freight Interchange. The Trip Generation Core Assessment Technical Note document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0012 Revision P1 (**Appendix 11**) calculates the number of HGVs that could travel between the EMG2 Main Site and EMG1 based on the methodology adopted as part of the EMG1 DCO and approved by the TWG. It calculates 40 HGVs in the morning peak hour (18 arrivals, 22 departures) and 44 HGVs in the evening peak hour (28 arrivals, 16 departures) as having the potential to visit the EMG1 rail freight terminal from EMG2 Main Site. These HGVs have been assigned further afield on the external highway network but would incur a slightly different turning movement at the EMG1 roundabout as shown at **Figures 19** and **20**.



Figure 19. HGV Assignment between EMG2 and EMG1 Rail Freight Terminal (Morning peak hour)



Figure 20. HGV Assignment between EMG2 and EMG1 Rail Freight Terminal (Evening peak hour)



7.13 It was agreed with the TWG that these re-assigned HGVs do not need formally testing in PRTM, but for a sensitivity test to be undertaken in VISSIM that manually re-assigns said HGV trips to understand the impacts at the EMG1 access roundabout. the Trip Generation Core Assessment Technical Note – document Technical Note EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0012 Revision P1 (**Appendix 11**) has been formally agreed with NH and NCountyC in the Stage 1B Modelling sign off sheet (**Appendix 29**), with LCountyC confirming they accept the HGV numbers and VISSIM modelling approach by email on 11 December 2024 (**Appendix 30**).

Modal Split/Person Trip Generation

7.14 It was originally agreed with the TWG that the modal split information from the 2014 TA supporting the EMG1 DCO should be retained as the baseline position to forecast the person trip generation for the proposed development, which informed the agreement to use the original forecast EMG1 trip rates to determine traffic generation. This was because it excludes any benefits from the travel planning measures already in place at EMG1. The original EMG1 modal split information is shown at **Table 16**.

Table 16. Modal Split and Person Trip Generation

Mode of Travel	% Modal Split
Car (single occupancy)	80%
Car share	11%
Public Transport	5%
Active Travel	3%
Other	2%

- 7.15 The above calculations show that of all trips from the development, 80% would be expected to comprise single occupancy car trips, 12% car sharing trips, 5% by public transport and 3% on foot/by bicycle.
- 7.16 Using the above modal split and the peak hour light vehicle trip generation in Table 16, Tables 17, 18, and 19 calculate the person trip generation for the EMG2 Main Site, EMG1 Plot 16 and total development based on the original EMG1 modal split.

Table 17. EMG2 Main Site Person Trip Generation

Mode of Travel	AM Peak (08:00 – 09:00)	PM Peak (16:00 – 17:00)
Car (single occupancy)	715	858
Car share	98	118
Public Transport	45	54
Active Travel	27	32
Other	9	11
Total	894	1,073

Table 18. EMG1 Plot 16 Works Person Trip Generation

Mode of Travel	AM Peak (08:00 – 09:00)	PM Peak (16:00 – 17:00)
Car (single occupancy)	40	54
Car share	6	7
Public Transport	3	3
Active Travel	1	1
Other	1	1
Total	50	68

Table 19. Total Person Trip Generation

Mode of Travel	AM Peak (08:00 – 09:00)	PM Peak (16:00 – 17:00)
Car (single occupancy)	755	912
Car share	104	125
Public Transport	48	57
Active Travel	28	33
Other	10	12
Total	944	1,140



- 7.17 Based on the modal split set out in **Table 16** The proposed development in its entirety would expect to generate 125 car share trips, 57 public transport trips and 33 active travel trips during the busier evening peak hour, based on the original EMG1 modal split information.
- 7.18 The increase in active travel trips is therefore expected to be relatively low based on this methodology, which aligns with the monitoring surveys and Travel Plan strategy at EMG1, which focuses more on car sharing and public transport. Whilst employees have opportunities to travel by walking and cycling, with significant improvements being proposed as part of the EMG2 DCO/MCO, the additional activity should be satisfactorily accommodated on the network, particularly with the proposed improvements to active travel links, PRoWs and public transport infrastructure, summarised in **Section 6**.
- 7.19 The impact of the additional vehicular trip generated is assessed in **Section 10**.

Framework Travel Plan Targets

7.20 Taking the above a step further, the Framework Travel Plan for the EMG2 Main Site aims to reduce single occupancy car trips from 80% to 56% across a 10 year period by displacing them into other modes. This will be done by implementing a range of measures and incentives to encourage staff to travel by sustainable modes. Full details of the Travel Plan targets and measures can be found at **Document DCO 6.6C/MCO 6.6C**, whilst in extract of the EMG2 Travel Plan targets are provided in **Table 20**.

Mode	Year 1 Target Opening Target	Year 3 Interim Target	Year 6 Interim Target	Year 10 Target End Target
Drive alone (SOV)	68%	64%	58%	56%
Car Share	15%	17%	21%	22%
Public Transport	12%	14%	15%	16%
Walking & Cycling	1%	1%	2%	2%
Other	4%	4%	4%	4%

Table 20. Travel Plan Targets

7.21 The targeted modal shift in single occupancy car drivers from 80% to 56% will have benefits in reducing the number of drivers to the EMG2 Main Site. **Table 21** calculates the targeted person trip generation at the 10-year target period based on the modal shift proposed at **Table 20**, along with the change in number of movements by each mode compared to that set out in **Table 19**.

Mode of Travel	AM Peak (08:00 – 09:00)	PM Peak (16:00 – 17:00)					
Car (single occupancy)	529 (-216)	638 (-274)					
Car share	208 (+114)	251 (+126)					
Public Transport	151 (+103)	182 (+125)					
Active Travel	19 (-9)	23 (-10)					
Other	38 (+28)	46 (+34)					
Total	944	1,140					

Table 21. Targeted 10-Year Person Trip Generation

- 7.22 The Travel Plan targets would result in a reduction of 216 car driver trips in the morning peak hour and 274 car driver trips in the evening peak hour when compared to that set out in **Table 19**. This would be achieved by displacing these journeys into other modes.
- 7.23 This would result in an uplift in trips generated by public transport, totalling 151 in the morning peak hour and 182 in the evening peak hour. Any such uplift should still be satisfactorily accommodated on the network for the reasons set out in paragraph 7.18 above, noting that this is targeted 10 years after opening.
- 7.24 Through scoping discussions with stakeholders, it has been identified that the Skylink Express service, for example, may need capacity increases for peak hour services due to increased passengers travelling to the EMG2 Main Site, EMG1 and East Midlands Airport. Details of the funding approach are provided in the Sustainable Travel Strategy included in **Document DCO 6.6B/MCO 6.6B** and could involve securing funds under the DCO or allocating funds to an EMG2 Bus Fund and drawing down from it earlier in the Travel Plan delivery process, with spend to be determined through the EMG2 Sustainable Transport Working Group.
- 7.25 Plot 16, included within the EMG1 works, will be covered by an occupier specific Travel Plan governed by the EMG1 DCO and will not be tied to the Framework Travel Plan or Sustainable Transport Strategy at **Document DCO 6.6B/MCO 6.6B** and **DCO 6.6C/MCO** 6.6C.

Construction Phase

Traffic Generation

- 7.26 Full details explaining the methodology of calculating the traffic generation during the construction phase are provided in the Construction Traffic Calculations Technical Note document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0013 Revision P3 (**Appendix 12**).
- 7.27 The total peak hour construction vehicle movements are shown in **Table 22** and include construction traffic associated with works on EMG2 Main Site, EMG1 Works and Highway Works. The calculations adopt a number of robust assumptions and assume that all construction components start in Year 1, whereas in reality components will be staggered. For example, enabling works/earthworks are required before buildings can be constructed.

	Mo	rning Peak H	our	Evening Peak Hour			
	Arrive	Depart	Two-way	Arrive	Depart	Two-way	
HGV	17	17	34	3	3	6	
LGV	3	3	6	1	1	2	
Car	19	4	23	5	29	34	
Vans	38	8	45	9	56	65	
Total	77	32	108	18	89	107	

[able	22.	Total	Construction	Vehicle	Traffic	Generation
abic		IOIGI	CONSILOCITON	Venicie	name	Ceneranon

7.28 The construction phase of the development is expected to generate up to 108 vehicle trips in the morning peak hour and 107 vehicle trips in the evening peak hour. These values have been tested in PRTM as part of an assessment of construction traffic flows, which is examined in greater detail in **Section 15**.

8. PRTM SATURN MODELLING METHODOLOGY

Introduction

8.1 This section summarises the strategic transport modelling work and analyses the results of the forecast year scenarios.

East Midlands Freeport Model

8.2 As set out in the introduction, the EMFM was developed by AECOM for LCountyC as a cordon of PRTM. It has a base year of 2019 and is a highway assignment model for the typical morning and evening peak hour periods and derived from a cordon extract from PRTM. The highway simulation network of the EMFM has been extended northward and model zones have been disaggregated for zones outside Leicestershire to provide greater detail in the East Midlands Freeport area. The EMFM uses the latest May 2024 TAG Databook to estimate trip making and growth in the future.

Local Model Validation Report

- 8.3 The first stage of the EMFM modelling involved a review of the base year model. This originally took place in November 2022, where detailed discussions were held with the TWG to agree the uncertainty log information and planning data assumptions, which are examined in detail in this section, to feed into the base model. An agreement on the committed development sites and infrastructure schemes to be included was originally reached during the TWG meeting on 9 March 2023, with minutes included at **Appendix 19** and include for the Land South of A50 Junction 1, Castle Donington development that was overturned at Appeal (19/01496/OUTM).
- 8.4 A Base Year Model Review report was issued by AECOM in November 2022. The Base Year model considered the zone system and network structure in the vicinity of EMG2 and the network coding along the A453 and several key junctions in the local area. It also considered the performance of the base model against observed counts and journey time data collated as part of the EMFM update. A copy of the Base Year Model Review is included at **Appendix 6**, which concluded that:
 - 1. The coded highway network near the proposed development is satisfactory and representative of the 2019 road configurations.
 - 2. The base year model performs well against observed counts and journey time data and meets TAG acceptability guidelines in terms of screen line and cordon performance, link flow performance and journey time validation performance.
 - 3. The link flow performance resulted in a pass rate of 94.2% in the morning peak hour and 92.2% in the evening peak hour, which exceeds that 85% TAG criteria guidelines.
 - 4. The journey time validation performance resulted in a pass rate of 89.1% in the morning peak hour and 90.6% in the evening peak hour and hence performs well.
 - 5. In summary, the EMFM was considered to contain sufficient detail for a strategic assessment of the proposed development.

- 8.5 The base year model was re-run in August 2024 following an agreement by the TWG on the updated planning data assumptions within the uncertainty log. AECOM produced a Base Model Review Addendum which confirmed that there were no material changes from the conclusions of the original report and that the EMFM continues to be suitable for the strategic assessment of the **EMG2 Project**.
- 8.6 A copy of the Base Model Review Addendum is included at Appendix 7. The Addendum has been formally agreed with NH and NCountyC in the Stage 1A Modelling sign off sheet (Appendix 32), with LCountyC confirming they are content with the details by email on 11 December 2024 (Appendix 30). Whilst the EMFM term is used above, for simplicity it is referred to as PRTM for the remainder of this TA.

Development Trip Distribution

- 8.7 As part of the original PRTM modelling, development trips were distributed using the following three methodologies:
 - 1. an in built gravity model.
 - 2. EMG1 parent zone
 - 3. Pegasus Park parent zone.
- 8.8 LCountyC raised concern with using the Pegasus Park parent zone approach due to differences in the development mix within that zone and hence this option was disregarded. AECOM subsequently distributed the development traffic using the in built gravity model and EMG1 parent zone approaches and provided outputs in January 2023.
- 8.9 The information was circulated to the TWG in January 2023 which showed similarities in the two approaches. It was concluded that, because the gravity model is more familiar to the TWG, that it should be used in the forecasting year scenarios, as agreed by the TWG. However, concerns were raised with development distribution along roads leading to local villages including Diseworth, Castle Donington and Kegworth and the TWG requested that this be considered in the TA.

Forecast Years & Assessment Criteria

- 8.10 It has been agreed with the TWG that a forecast base year of 2022 be adopted (aligning with the year traffic surveys were undertaken) and forecast years of 2028 and 2038, reflecting the year of opening and post 10 years. The 2038 forecast year was agreed with the TWG within PRTM Proforma version 14 as being more appropriate, in accordance with Circular 01/2022 requirements as it exceeds the current end of Local Plan period of 2031. PRTM proforma v14 was formally agreed with NH and NCountyC within the Stage 1A Modelling sign off sheet (**Appendix 32**), whilst LCountyC confirmed it was acceptable by email on 11 December 2024 (**Appendix 30**).
- 8.11 The forecast year modelling has been undertaken in two stages, referred to as 'Stage 1A modelling' and 'Stage 1B modelling'. The stages adopt slightly different planning data assumptions in the uncertainty logs and baseline traffic, as summarised below.



- **Stage 1A modelling** (Proforma v14, Uncertainty Log v7, included at **Appendix 8**) = 2028/2038 forecast years with and without EMG2, including, consented and committed sites as well as draft Local Plan allocation sites and full redevelopment of the Ratcliffe on Soar Power Station site, part of which is authorised by a Local Development Order (LDO).
- **Stage 1B modelling** (Proforma v14a, Uncertainty Log v7a, included at **Appendix 36**) = 2028/2038 forecast years with and without EMG2, including consented and committed sites but excluding the draft Local Plan allocation sites and Ratcliffe on Soar Power Station site redevelopment proposals beyond which is currently able to proceed under the LDO.
- 8.12 The difference between Stage 1A and 1B is the inclusion or exclusion of the Ratcliffe on Soar Power Station site redevelopment proposals over and above that permitted in the LDO, and the draft Local Plan allocation sites, which represent the following projects:
 - Isley Woodhouse (W1)
 - Land North and South of Park Lane, Castle Donington (CD10)
 - Land West of Hilltop Farm, Castle Donington (EMP89)
 - Land North of J11/M42 (EMP82)
 - Land North of Remembrance Way, Kegworth (EMP73)
 - Land North of Derby Road, Kegworth (EMP73)
- 8.13 The TA and ES Assessment Methodology Technical Note document EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0017 Revision P4 (**Appendix 17**) sets out the basis for the two stage approach to modelling and the policy context for it, which can be summarised as follows:
 - Stage 1A modelling complies with the Highway Authorities interpretation of the TAG M4 Guidance.
 - Stage 1B modelling complies with the guidance in Circular 01/2022 and Institute of Environmental Management and Assessment (IEMA) 2024.
- 8.14 The Stage 1A modelling provides a highly robust assessment as it includes traffic from the draft Local Plan allocations but not any associated highway mitigation because it is unknown at this stage of the process. This is with the exception of the proposed realignment of the A453 around the Isley Woodhouse draft allocation, which is included in the Uncertainty Log v7 because it forms part of the access strategy for that development.
- 8.15 The planning data assumptions and highway schemes included in the Uncertainty Log v7 (Stage 1A) and v7a (Stage 1B) were discussed and agreed with the TWG, based on information provided by the relevant Local Planning Authorities to LCountyC's NDI team. The committed and consented schemes and draft Local Plan allocations have been profiled out within the uncertainty logs in accordance with the Local Planning Authority's understanding of when they are likely to be built out.
- 8.16 As required by the Highway Authorities, the core scenario for this TA adopts the outputs from the Stage 1A modelling, inclusive of draft Local Plan allocation sites and the



Ratcliffe on Soar Power Station site. A sensitivity test is undertaken using Stage 1B modelling, excluding draft Local Plan allocation sites and the Ratcliffe on Soar Power Station site. This TA therefore tests the following scenarios, with the 'with development' ones assessing both the 'core' and 'sensitivity' tests:

- 2022 forecast base year 'without development'
- 2028 forecast opening year 'without development'
- 2028 forecast opening year 'with development'
- 2038 forecast future year 'without development'
- 2038 forecast future year 'with development'
- 2028 forecast future year with development with mitigation.
- 2038 forecast future year with development with mitigation.
- 8.17 Planned development growth is accounted for within the 'without development' scenarios as per the agreed Uncertainty Log v7. The Uncertainty Log v7 was formally agreed, alongside PRTM Proforma v14, with NH and NCountyC within the Stage 1A Modelling sign off sheet (**Appendix 32**), whilst LCountyC confirmed it was acceptable by email on 11 December 2024 (**Appendix 30**).
- 8.18 The 'with development' scenarios include the development on both EMG2 Main Site and Plot 16 of EMG1. A scenario has not been undertaken that considers EMG1 traffic in isolation, which is being applied for separately via an MCO. As set out in **Table 13**, Plot 16 is expected to generate 53 two-way trips in the morning peak hour and 67 two-way trips in the evening peak hour, which equates to circa one per minute on average, and between 5.7% and 6.3% of the total **EMG2 Project** traffic. This would have a negligible impact on the network and would not trigger the requirement for strategic transport modelling on its own merit or result in a severe impact on the operation of the local highway network including EMG1 site access gyratory.

Committed Developments & Highway Infrastructure Schemes

8.19 The Uncertainty Log v7 (**Appendix 8**) includes a comprehensive list of committed developments, in addition to the draft Local Plan allocations. The overall list is extensive and includes a vast number of developments across the East Midlands. **Table 23** lists the larger committed housing developments, whilst **Table 24** includes the larger committed employment developments included in the uncertainty log in Northwest Leicestershire, Rushcliffe, Broxtowe and South Derbyshire. The list below is not exhaustive; for example, Garendon Park in Charnwood is also included for in the actual detail included in the uncertainty log.

District	Site Description	Quantum
	Money Hill North of Nottingham Road	2,050 dwellings
North West	Land off Grange Road (South East Coalville)	3,500 dwellings
Leicestershire	Land at Measham, Waterside	450 dwellings
	Land north of Standard Hill and West of Highfield Street, Coalville	400 dwellings
	South of Clifton SUE	3,000 dwellings
5 4 100	East of Gamston/North of Tollerton	4,000 dwellings
Rushcliffe	Melton Road, Edwalton	1,700 dwellings
	Land north of Bingham	1,050 dwellings
	HS2 Innovation	3,693 dwellings
Broxtowe	Chetynd Barracks	1,500 dwellings
	Eastwood	1,250 dwellings
	Wragley Way SUE	1,850 dwellings
	Boulton Moor SUE	1,255 dwellings
South Derbyshire	Land west of Mickleover	1,306 dwellings
	Rykneld Road SUE	900 dwellings

Table 23. Large Committed Housing Developments included in PRTM Base Model

District	Site Description	Quantum
	Mercia Park	350,000sqm
	Beveridge Lane, Ellistown	199,018sqm
North West	EMG1 Strategic Rail Freight Interchange	2,220 jobs
Leicestershire	EMDC	122,610sqm
	DHL East Midlands Airport	83,445sqm
	Money Hill	15.91ha
	Fairham Pastures, Clifton	100,000sqm
Rushcliffe	East of Gamston/North of Tollerton	12ha
	Land north of Bingham	14.16ha
Duralization	HS2 Innovation Campus	170,402sqm
Broxtowe	Beeston – Boots	100,000sqm

Table 24. Large Committed Employment Developments included in PRTM Base Model

- 8.22 In addition to committed developments, the uncertainty log includes a number of committed highway improvement schemes. The majority of these were already coded in PRTM but during discussions with the TWG, three additional schemes on the A52 near Nottingham were asked to be included in the base model by NCountyC and NH (A52/A60 Nottingham Knight roundabout, A52/A606 Wheatcroft Island and A52/A5011 Gamston roundabout).
- 8.23 NCountyC were able to provide general arrangement drawings of the improvement schemes but signal timing information was unavailable. Following on-going conversations with NH seeking to obtain the signal timing information, BWB adopted a different methodology to calculate the green times, full details of which are included in an email dated 23 August 2024 (**Appendix 37**). A summary of the approach is provided below.
 - 1. As PRTM data provides entry/exit flows for each arm but not turning counts, a furnessing exercise was undertaken to determine turning proportions, using an agreed methodology.
 - 2. Each junction was split into individual streams, typically including an approach arm and the opposing lanes on the circulatory.
 - 3. Traffic was assigned to each lane using the turning proportions determined through the furnessing exercise. Where multiple lanes allow for the same direction of travel, flows were split equally across each lane.
 - 4. A percentage of the maximum lane flow for each arm in the same stream was calculated. For example, if the heaviest flow is 100 vehicles on an entry arm lane

and 150 vehicles on a circulatory lane, then the percentage split would be 40% (entry arm) and 60% (circulatory).

- 5. A base cycle time of 60 seconds was adopted for streams with two stages and streams with more than two stages adopted a cycle time of 90 seconds.
- 6. Intergreens were calculated based on geometries using the supplied drawings.
- 7. The total green times were calculated by subtracting the intergreen time from the total cycle time.
- 8. The remaining green time was then allocated to each stage stream in line with the percentage split calculated at point 4.
- 8.24 By adopting the above methodology, green times for each stream for the three junctions were determined. These provided a reasonable estimation of green times in the absence of any further information, which AECOM took on board in the PRTM modelling. This approach was discussed with the TWG at the August and September 2024 meetings, as set out in the corresponding meeting minutes at **Appendix 19**. The signal timing information was provided to AECOM on 27 August 2024, copying in all members of the TWG.

Covid-19 Assessment

- 8.25 The version of PRTM available at the time the assessment work was undertaken had a base year of 2019, which pre-dates the Covid-19 pandemic. An assessment has therefore been undertaken (with input from AECOM) to review traffic data across the road network in the vicinity of the **EMG2 Project** to understand whether traffic flows have changed from 2019 to 2023 and whether adjustments to the base model flows in PRTM is required to account for changes since the pandemic. Full details of the assessment are included in Covid Assessment Technical Note document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0014 Revision P1 at **Appendix 13**, agreed with NH and NCountyC, but not LCountyC, because this was around the time when they suggested PRTM 2023 was available for use, which would deal with any Covid-19 related matters.
- 8.26 The 2019 to 2023 flow comparison undertaken by both AECOM and BWB are presented in **Tables 25** and **26** respectively and compare weekday flows at six key links in the vicinity of EMG between 2019 and 2023. NB PCU's refer to Passenger Car Units.

Counter Location	2019 Flow	2023 Flow	Change (no.) (2023-2019)	Change (%) ((2023-2019)/2019)
AM peak hour (08:00-09:00)	29,107	28,429	-679	-2.3%
PM peak hour (17:00-18:00)	30,422	29,272	-1,150	-3.8%
Daily 24-hours (00:00-24:00)	448,565	442,725	-5,839	-1.3%

Table 25. AECOM Analysis (April, May & June 2019 vs 2023 PCU flows)

Counter Location	2019 Flow	2023 Flow	Change (no.) (2023-2019)	Change (%) ((2023-2019)/2019)
AM peak hour (08:00-09:00)	18,877	18,691	-186	-1.0%
PM peak hour (17:00-18:00)	20,511	19,175	-1,336	-6.5%
Daily 24-hours (00:00-24:00)	333,639	326,897	-6,742	-2.0%

- 8.27 The data shows that 2023 traffic recorded across the six links was lower than what was recorded in 2019. The data shows the following range in traffic flows:
 - Morning peak hour = -1.0% to -2.3% reduction in traffic
 - Evening peak hour = -3.8% to -6.5% reduction in traffic
 - Daily 24-hour = -1.3% to -2.0% reduction in traffic
- 8.28 The Covid Assessment Technical Note therefore concluded that the base flows in PRTM (2019) are robust and a sensitivity test adjusting the base flows is not required as it would reduce traffic flows to those in the current PRTM model.
- 8.29 The conclusions have been formally agreed with NH and NCountyC in the Stage 1C Modelling sign off sheet (**Appendix 38**). Whilst LCountyC do not dispute the numbers, they have requested for a 2023 assessment within PRTM once the updated model is available for use, which the Applicant has committed to as a sensitivity test, expected to follow post submission of the DCO/MCO applications. This was started to be progressed following confirmation from NH on 19 May 2025 that they are content with the 2023 version of PRTM considering the study area for this TA.
- 8.30 The details in the Covid Assessment Technical Note should also help address the concerns raised by Wings Communities Ltd (Protect Diseworth) on the impacts of Covid-19 during the statutory consultation by demonstrating how the base flows in the transport modelling work are robust.

Stage 1 Forecasting Reports

<u>Stage 1A Modelling</u>

8.31 AECOM issued the PRTM Forecasting Report for the Stage 1A modelling scenarios in February 2025 covering the 2022, 2028 and 2038 forecast year with and without development i.e. the core scenarios. A copy of the Forecasting Report is included in **Appendix 39.** NH issued a Technical Note on 21 February 2025 (**Appendix 40**) setting out a number of issues for BWB to consider in this TA. These were categorised into the following criteria and level of significance:

- **Observations** points for consideration on an issue that would not significantly affect the model.
- **Comments** the main function is to highlight such issues for attention in subsequent project stages
- **Substantive Issues** which require corrective action. The audit will suggest the detailed action required to address the issue, although there should be freedom for the development team to use alternative approaches in order to achieve the required level of analysis.
- 8.32 BWB responded to the NH Technical Note on 16 April 2025 with a log as to how the substantive issues in particular will be addressed in this TA. The approach was formally agreed with NH on 16 May 2025 (**Appendix 41**) and has been taken into consideration in later sections of this TA.
- 8.33 An extract of the development trip generation adopted in PRTM at the EMG2 Main Site and EMG1 Works are shown in Table 27 and mirror the trip generation set out in Section 7.

	Light Vehicle Trips (in veh)			HGV Trips (in veh)			All (in veh)		
	Departing (Out)	Arriving (In)	Total	Departing (Out)	Arriving (In)	Total	Departing (Out)	Arriving (In)	Total
East Midlands (Gateway Pha	ase 2 Dev	elopmen	t - Employn	nent B2 (60	0,000sqr	n)		
AM Peak hour (08:00 to 09:00)	34	226	260	8	10	18	43	235	278
PM Peak hour (17:00 to 18:00)	218	28	246	4	2	6	222	30	252
East Midlands (Gateway Pha	ase 2 Dev	elopmen	t - Employn	nent B8 (34	40,000sc	լm)		
AM Peak hour (08:00 to 09:00)	44	411	455	78	65	143	122	476	598
PM Peak hour (17:00 to 18:00)	476	136	612	51	85	136	527	221	748
East Midlands (Gateway Pha	ase 2 Dev	elopmen	t Total					
AM Peak hour (08:00 to 09:00)	78	637	715	86	75	161	165	711	876
PM Peak hour (17:00 to 18:00)	694	164	858	55	87	142	748	250	998
East Midlands (Gateway Pha	ase 1 (Plo	t 16) Dev	elopment T	otal				
AM Peak hour (08:00 to 09:00)	4	36	40	7	6	13	11	42	53
PM Peak hour (17:00 to 18:00)	42	12	54	5	8	13	47	20	67

Table 27. Development Trip Generation (PRTM Model)

8.34 The distribution of development trips (cars and HGVs separately) has been extracted from PRTM. The outputs show that HGVs are assigning via the SRN (M1, A50 and A42) and therefore reflecting the existing weight restrictions in place. Car traffic is predicted

to assign more granularly across the both the SRN and local road network, depending on the origin and destination points.

8.35 **Table 28** summarises the distribution pattern for cars and HGVs in PRTM, as an average of both arrivals and departures from the development during both 2028 and 2038 forecast years. Whilst an average has been taken, the distribution patterns are relatively similar in all scenarios.

Route	Route	Average [(c:	Distribution ar)	Average Distribution (HGV)		
No.		AM	PM	AM	PM	
A	A50	6%	9%	16%	21%	
В	M1 (N)	6%	6%	15%	13%	
С	A453 (E) towards Nottingham	6%	8%	12%	11%	
D	Hilton Hotel Lane	1%	1%	0%	0%	
E	Derby Road	2%	2%	0%	0%	
F	A6 Kegworth Bypass	11%	7%	4%	3%	
G	M1 (S)	27%	30%	25%	24%	
Н	A42	6%	8%	26%	26%	
I	Grimes gate, The Green east through Long Whatton	1%	2%	0%	0%	
J	Grimes Gate, The Green, Smithy Lane	3%	2%	0%	0%	
К	The Green, unnamed road towards A42	9%	7%	0%	0%	
L	A453 (W) towards A42	5%	4%	0%	0%	
М	Walton Hill	9%	6%	0%	0%	
Ν	East Midlands Airport	7%	7%	1%	1%	
0	EMG1	2%	1%	1%	0%	

Table 28. D	evelopment	Distribution	Pattern	from	PRTM

8.36 The outputs showed that development trips were being assigned along the more strategic routes and not significantly impacted by congestion and re-assignment through more local roads. There was 13% of car traffic in the morning peak hour and 12% in the evening peak hour routing along Grimes Gate or The Green near Diseworth. However, the PRTM plots showed that these related to drivers originating from villages to the south of the EMG2 Main Site, who would naturally choose those routes as they are most direct, rather than it being an issue of rat-running. Plots showing the distribution of development trips are shown in **Figures 21** and **22**.













- 8.37 There were discussions during the March 2023 and February 2025 TWG meetings in relation to traffic impacts along Castle Donington High Street. The PRTM outputs show that there is predicted to be car traffic increases through Castle Donington, which are predicted to route via the High Street rather than the bypass. It is understood that the High Street is a more attractive route in PRTM based on journey time, however from the public exhibition events in February and March 2025, local residents view was that traffic travelling between the A50 and A453 would use the bypass, as whilst it is slightly longer, it is quicker and incurs less delay and therefore it appears this is not accurately represented in PRTM. Should this be a concern to LCountyC, then additional signage on approach to the roundabout at the southern end of the bypass can be introduced to direct traffic via the bypass rather than the High Street.
- 8.38 The PRTM modelling suggests that there will be limited impacts of cars travelling through nearby villages including Diseworth, Long Whatton and Kegworth. However, these are relatively small and largely comprise trips originating from those villages, rather than an issue of rat-running. Notwithstanding this, details of the change in traffic through the villages are considered as part of the proposed highway mitigation presented in **Section 12**.
- 8.39 During the statutory consultation, DCityC requested for the impacts along the A50 corridor to be considered. AECOM has provided development trip distribution plots for this part of the network, which are included at **Appendix 42. Table 29** summarises the development traffic flows, separating light vehicles, HGVs (PCU) and total vehicles (PCU).

EMGP2	Light Vehicles			HGVs (in PCUs. Divide by 2 to convert to vehicles)			Total (PCUs)					
Development- related Trips	2028		2038		2028		2038		2028		2038	
	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM	AM	PM
A50 EB	8	57	7	54	31	19	30	18	39	76	37	72
A50 WB	33	13	28	12	23	29	23	29	56	42	51	41
2-way	41	70	35	66	54	48	53	47	95	118	88	113

Table 29. Development Trip Distribution along A50 Corridor

- 8.40 The data shows that the development would generate up to 118 additional PCUs along the A50 corridor, of which 48 would comprise HGV PCUs, during the 2028 evening peak hour (equating to 94 vehicles). The additional volume of traffic is therefore small compared to baseline traffic on the A50, which is the reason junctions to the west of A50 Junction 1 fall outside the Area of Interest (AoI).
- 8.41 PRTM assigned 7% of development car trips to/from East Midlands Airport. This is a standard approach in PRTM because the airport is a zone in the model which attracts traffic. However, it was agreed with the TWG that this figure is unrealistic and for this 7% to be re-distributed across the seven highest routes. The final agreed distribution pattern presented to the TWG by email on 3 March 2025 is presented in **Table 30**, which NH confirmed is acceptable by email on 25 March 2025. This matter was also discussed with the TWG at the March 2025 meeting, with the minutes included at **Appendix 19**.



Route	Route	Average [(c	Distribution ar)	Average Distribution (HGV)		
No.		AM	PM	AM	PM	
А	A50	7%	10%	16%	21%	
В	M1 (N)	7%	7%	15%	13%	
С	A453 (E) towards Nottingham	7%	9%	12%	11%	
D	Hilton Hotel Lane	1%	1%	0%	0%	
E	Derby Road	2%	2%	0%	0%	
F	A6 Kegworth Bypass	12%	8%	4%	3%	
G	M1 (S)	28%	31%	25%	24%	
Н	A42	6%	9%	26%	26%	
I	Grimes gate, The Green east through Long Whatton	1%	2%	0%	0%	
J	Grimes Gate, The Green, Smithy Lane	3%	2%	0%	0%	
К	The Green, unnamed road towards A42	10%	8%	0%	0%	
L	A453 (W) towards A42	5%	4%	0%	0%	
М	Walton Hill	10%	6%	0%	0%	
Ν	East Midlands Airport	0%	0%	1%	1%	
0	EMG1	2%	1%	1%	0%	

Table 30. Amended	l Development	Distribution	Pattern
-------------------	---------------	--------------	---------

8.42 PRTM identified an Area of Influence derived by links forecast to experience a change in flow of +/-5% and +/- 30 PCU's between 2028 and 2038 'with development' and 'without development' scenarios. An extract of the AoI is included at **Figure 23**.





Figure 23. PRTM Stage 1a Modelling Area of Influence

- 8.43 The Aol extends to the following parts of the network:
 - A453 including Finger Farm roundabout
 - M1 Junction 25
 - A42 Junction 14
 - A52 Brian Clough Way between M1 Junction 25 and Raynesway Interchange
 - A6 Alvaston Bypass between Raynesway Park Interchange and Thulston Roundabout
 - Local roads in and around Borrowash, Long Eaton, Castle Donington, Kegworth, Diseworth, Hathern, Thringston and Shepshed.
- 8.44 The Aol does not extend as far as the LCityC or NCityC regions and so impacts on that part of the network will be negligible. The Aol does extend to the eastern side of DCityC near Borrowash, which are considered as part of determining the study area, which is detailed later in the TA.
- 8.45 PRTM provided node volume-capacity (V/C) ratios showing locations where forecast flows are approaching or exceeding capacity. V/C ratios exceeding 85% indicate where the network is under stress and a possibility of a reduction in speeds and increase in delays. The V/C ratio plots highlight the worst-case node at junctions and show that there are expected to be capacity issues between the EMG2 Main Site access on the A453 and M1 Junction 24, as well as the A453/Walton Hill signal-controlled junction to the west. **Figures 24** and **25** show the V/C ratios for the 2038 without development (left



part of circle) and 2038 with development scenario (right part of circle) during the morning and evening peak hours. V/C ratios for the 2028 opening year are presented in the Forecasting Report at **Appendix 39** and show similarities in predicted junction performances.



Figure 24. Stage 1a Modelling Volume-Capacity Ratios (morning peak hour)







8.46 The outputs from PRTM were used to determine the study area for the detailed junction modelling.

<u>Stage 1B Modelling</u>

- 8.47 AECOM issued the PRTM Forecasting Report Addendum covering the Stage 1B modelling in March 2025. A copy of the Forecasting Report Addendum is included in **Appendix 43**.
- 8.48 The results of the Stage 1B modelling were similar to Stage 1A in terms of flow changes, predicted V/C ratios and forecast delays, with issues continuing to be identified at Finger Farm, EMG1 access, M1 Junction 24 and the A453/Walton Hill junctions in particular. The AoI was slightly smaller compared to Stage 1A and did not extend as far north around areas of Borrowash and Long Eaton. A comparison of the AoI is shown in **Figure 26**.





Figure 26. Comparison of Area of Influence between Stage 1A and 1B modelling

8.49 The Stage 1B modelling outputs are being used as a sensitivity test to the core Stage 1A modelling outputs.

Study Area

- 8.50 PRTM model was used to identify junctions that may operate at or over capacity in the future and which require further detailed assessment using the appropriate industry standard modelling software. A list of 27 junctions was identified within the AoI as being in close proximity to the EMG2 Main Site and EMG1 Works or forecast to exceed capacity and for the potential to be impacted by the EMG2 Project based on the Stage 1A PRTM modelling.
- 8.51 The list of 27 junctions was reviewed in further detail by understanding the worst-case V/C ratios and change in traffic as a result of the development traffic using the Stage 1A modelling outputs. This then determined whether further detailed assessment was required. Table 31 summarises the assessment undertaken, which resulted in 16 of the 27 junctions needing further detailed assessment, either because they are expected to exceed capacity or experience a significant change in traffic from the EMG2 Project. The remaining 11 junctions were removed from the study area on the basis that they would either operate well within capacity and/or the change in traffic from the EMG2 Project. Project would be low. This information was shared with the TWG by email on 3 March 2025.


Table 31. Transport Assessment Study Area

	0.0		2038	VoC (%)				Traffic Flows	(Total Vehicles)			Included		Proposed
No.	Junction	300 PM 300 PM			within the	Comments	Modelling							
		Without Dev	With Dev	Without Dev	With Dev	Without Dev	With Dev	Difference	Without Dev	With Dev	Difference	Study Area?		Programme
1	A453 Site Access Roundabout, Leics				÷	-							Removed from scheme	
2	A453 / Hunter Road Roundabout, Leics	73.77%	101.36%	65.77%	84,83%	2458	3057	599	1993	3186	1193			VISSIM
3	Finger Farm Roundabout, Leics	101.56%	104.95%	86.92%	85.77%	4646	4854	208	4212	4620	408			VIS5IM
4	A453 / EMGP1 Signal Junction, Leics	103.07%	102.54%	101.92%	101.90%	4779	4875	96	4977	5260	283			VISSIM
5	M3 J24, Leics (SRN)	117.82%	119.79%	108.09%	108.78%	11823	11842	19	12215	12639	424	1		VIS5IM
6	A453 / East Midlands Airport Signal Junction, Leics	82.81%	95.96N	68,72%	75.25%	1878	1823	-55	1898	2099	201	1		LINSIG
7	A453 / Grimes Gate, Leics	54.86%	68.40%	41.77%	57.34%	1485	1657	172	1325	1496	171	4	Junction expected to operate with VoC of less than 85% but to be modelled given its proximi	JUNCTIONS 10
8	A453 / The Green, Leics	28.43%	55.43%	32.60%	40.55%	1904	2102	198	1833	2003	170	*	Junction expected to operate with VoC of less than 85% but to be modelled given its proximit	JUNCTIONS 10
9	A453 / East Midlands Airport Roundabout, Leics	73.51%	77.07%	55.18%	60.30%	2112	2049	-62	2199	2452	253		Junction expected to operate with VoC of less than 85% but to be modelled given its proximi	JUNCTIONS 10
10	A453 / Walton Hill, Leics	106.27%	109.76%	59.62%	100.17%	3198	5194	-4	3367	3478	111	*		LINSIG
11	A42 /14 Eastbound off slip, Leics (SRN)	28.32%	27.22%	34,28%	36.66%	1208	1367	159	1203	1256	53		Well within capacity	
12	A42 J14 Roundabout with Top Brand / Gelscoe Lane, Leics (SRN)	37.15%	47.35%	27,47%	40.39%	1157	1543	186	1198	1586	188			JUNCTIONS 10
13	M1 /23, Leics (SRN)	302.28%	102.35%	76.06%	75.51%	6040	6104	63	6211	6355	145			LINSIG
14	AS0 Junction 1, Leics (SRN)	101.62%	101.35%	99.45%	98.69%	5191	5285	94	5107	5196	89			LINSIG
15	ASO Junction 2, Derbys (SRN)	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		Junction outside of Aol	
15	M1.(25, Notts (SRN)	120.54%	106.15%	114,04%	113.39%	7222	7276	54	7655	7763	108	-		LINSIG
17	Beacon Road / Shepshed Road Priority Dossroads, Woodhouse Eaves.	97.27%	96.52%	92.67%	93.29%	2668	2693	25	3039	3099	60	1.0	Change in traffic flows minimal, hence has been removed from study area	
18	A6 Derby Road / Whatton Road / Zouch Road Signal Junction, Hathern,	107.50%	109.07%	78.92%	83.79%	2695	2725	30	3015	3126	111		Change in traffic flows minimal, hence has been removed from study area	
19	Station Road / Broad Rushes Roundabout, Castle Donington, Leics	87.22%	\$8.90%	81.86%	83.47%	2629	2716	87	2359	2440	#1			JUNCTIONS 10
20	High Street / Park Lane / Delven Lane Signal Junction, Castle Donington	96.84%	96.73%	98.02%	98.18%	1063	1115	53	939	955	16		Overall reduction in traffic, hence has been removed from study area	
21	A453 / Kegworth Road Slip Roads, Ratcliffe on Soar, Notts	ats/A	#71/A	#14/A	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	BN/A	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	1	Junction outside of AoI, but requested by NH	JUNCTIONS 10
22	A453 / Barton Lane / West Leake Lane Slip Roads, Ratcliffe on Soar, No	RN/A	BN/A	AN/A	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	atu/A	#N/A	#N/A	1	Junction outside of AoI, but requested by NH	JUNCTIONS 10
23	8391 / Whitewick Road Signal Junction, Copt Oak, Leics	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	#N/A	2089	2109	20	1692	1727	35			
24	A5/London Road, Kegworth	42.34%	44.21%	44.06%	66.38%	2639	3687	48	1920	2008	88		Well within capacity and mitgation strategy expected to reduce traffic flows further	
25	The Green/Lady Gate, Diseworth	25.30%	17.65%	17.55%	17.94%	500	726	126	634	717	83		Well within capacity and mitgation strategy expected to reduce traffic flows further	2 B
26	Tamworth Road/Fields Farm Road roundabout, Long Eaton	81.81%	82.64%	84,70%	102.23%	2316	2311	-3	2436	2438	2		Change in traffic flows minimal	
	Number of Street Party Street Research	62 125	81.85%	87 54%	01.57%	1758	1254	-34	1525	1540	14		Channes to Andlin Room minimal	

- 8.52 The 16 junctions in the study area, which were set out in **Section 4**, are listed below with the locations shown on **Figure 27** (NB Junction 1 was removed because it was originally intended that two main access points were to be provided to the EMG2 Main Site which is no longer the case).
 - Junction 2: A453/Hunter Road Roundabout (Leicestershire)
 - Junction 3: Finger Farm Roundabout (National Highways)
 - Junction 4: A453/EMGP1 Signal Gyratory (National Highways)
 - Junction 5: M1 Junction 24 (National Highways)
 - Junction 6: A453/East Midlands Airport Signal Junction (Leicestershire)
 - Junction 7: A453/Grimes Gate Priority Junction (Leicestershire)
 - Junction 8: A453/The Green Priority Junction (Leicestershire)
 - Junction 9: A453/East Midlands Airport Roundabout (Leicestershire)
 - Junction 10: A453/Walton Hill Signal Junction (Leicestershire)
 - Junction 11: A42 Junction 14 on-slip/Top Brand/Gelscoe Lane Roundabout (National Highways)
 - Junction 12: M1 Junction 23 (National Highways)
 - Junction 13: A50 Junction 1 (National Highways)
 - Junction 14: M1 Junction 25 (National Highways)
 - Junction 15: Station Road/Broad Rushes Roundabout (Leicestershire)
 - Junction 16: A453/Kegworth Road dumbbell Roundabouts (Nottinghamshire)
 - Junction 17: A453/Barton Lane/West Leake dumbbell Roundabouts (Nottinghamshire)





Figure 27. Location of Junctions in Study Area

9. DETAILED JUNCTION MODELLING METHODOLOGY

Introduction

- 9.1 The PRTM modelling undertaken by AECOM provided a general overview of the network performance but requires further standalone and microsimulation junction modelling to test junctions in detail.
- 9.2 Detailed junction models have been created for the 16 junctions in the study area. The following section summarises the modelling programmes used and the model validation process that has been undertaken with the TWG.
- 9.3 **Table 1** references the VISSIM Local Model Validation Report (LMVR) Technical Note document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0006_VISSIM_LMVR-S2-P03 (**Appendix 4**) which was signed off by NH, with NCountyC and LCountyC deferring to NH's review and approval. In the immediate lead up to the non statutory consultation process, NH raised an issue with HGV matrices which required an update for the evening peak hour. Whilst this resulted in HGV flows reducing, regardless of this the base VISSIM LMVR Technical Note document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0006_VISSIM_LMVR Technical Note document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0006_VISSIM_LMVR-S2-P04, included in **Appendix 44**.

Baseline Traffic Surveys

- 9.4 A wide range of traffic surveys have been collected to provide a detailed base for the assessment work. These include:
 - Manual classified turning counts
 - Queue length surveys
 - TomTom journey time data.
- 9.5 Traffic flows for the M1 and A42 mainlines were obtained from the Webtris database for the same day as the surveys (where possible).
- 9.6 Manual classified turning count surveys were commissioned at all off-site junctions between the hours of 0700-1000 in the morning and 1600 to 1900 hours in the evening. This was to identify the network peak hour across the three-hour period for robustness.
- 9.7 Vehicle classification was broken down into the following types:
 - Pedal cycle
 - Motorcycle
 - Car
 - LGV delivery vans excluding vehicles with twin rear tyres
 - OGV1 goods vehicles with two axles with twin tyres, three axle (rigid), tractors, ambulanced or road rollers



- OGV2 goods vehicles with three axles (articulated), four axles or more (rigid or articulated
- Bus
- 9.8 Queue lengths recorded the maximum queue (number of vehicles) per lane at 5-minute intervals.
- 9.9 TomTom journey time survey was obtained at 15-minute intervals across the VISSIM network area for neutral days within November 2023 during the peak hours. Full details are provided in the VISSIM LMVR Technical Note document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0006_VISSIM_LMVR-S2-P04 (Appendix 44) whilst a list of the 20 journey time routes are listed below.
 - Route 1 A50 to M1 South
 - Route 2 M1 North to M1 South
 - Route 3 A453 Remembrance Way to A42
 - Route 4 Kegworth Bypass to A42
 - Route 5 M1 North to A42
 - Route 6 M1 North to Derby Road
 - Route 7 A453 Remembrance Way to A453 EMA
 - Route 8 M1 North to A453 Remembrance Way
 - Route 9 Kegworth Bypass to M1 South
 - Route 10 M1 South to Kegworth Bypass
 - Route 11 Derby Road to M1 North
 - Route 12 M1 South to A50
 - Route 13 M1 South to M1 North
 - Route 14 A42 to A453 Remembrance Way via A453
 - Route 15 A42 to Kegworth Bypass
 - Route 16 A453 EMA to A453 Remembrance Way
 - Route 17 A42 to A50 via A453
 - Route 18 A42 to A453 Remembrance Way via M1
 - Route 19 M1 South to A453 Remembrance Way via M1
 - Route 20 M1 South to Derby Road via M1

Local Junction Modelling

9.10 Industry standard modelling software within Junctions 11 (PICADY and ARCADY) and LinSig has been used to test the capacity of most junctions across the study area. This includes the following list.



- Junction 6: A453/East Midlands Airport Signal Junction
- Junction 7: A453/Grimes Gate Priority Junction
- Junction 8: A453/The Green Priority Junction
- Junction 9: A453/East Midlands Airport Roundabout
- Junction 10: A453/Walton Hill Signal Junction (Leicestershire)
- Junction 11: A42 Junction 14 on-slip/Top Brand/Gelscoe Lane Roundabout
- Junction 12: M1 Junction 23
- Junction 13: A50 Junction 1
- Junction 14: M1 Junction 25
- Junction 15: Station Road/Broad Rushes Roundabout
- Junction 16: A453/Kegworth Road dumbbell Roundabouts
- Junction 17: A453/Barton Lane/West Leake dumbbell Roundabouts
- 9.11 Prior to testing the forecast year traffic flows, each model underwent a validation process to demonstrate it reflected observed conditions and survey results. At this time, the priority junctions were built in Junctions 10 (but have subsequently been updated to Junctions 11, albeit without any changes to the geometric inputs and hence results). The details were presented within the Modelling Validation Technical Note EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0007 Revision P4, included at Appendix 5.
- 9.12 In terms of the Junctions 11 models, the validation process sought to demonstrate how modelled versus observed queues on each arm are within 2 PCU's, which was deemed to represent a good level of validation. For the LinSig models, the validation process followed the requirements of the Transport for London modelling guidelines (as this is the only published guidelines for validating LinSig models and is adopted for projects outside of London) and sought to demonstrate how modelled Degree of Saturations are within 5% of observed values from Degree of Saturation surveys.
- 9.13 The Modelling Validation Note Technical Note confirmed that all the Junctions 11 and LinSig models validated within the thresholds and are considered suitable to take forward to test the future forecast traffic flows. This was agreed with National Highways within a Technical Note dated 5 June 2024 (Appendix 45) who reviewed all 12 junctions, including those on the local road network. NCountyC confirmed that they agree with the validation of Junction 16 and 17, which are located on the A453 Remembrance Way within their administrative area, by email on 11 June 2024. LCountyC is yet to review the base models, advising that they would not do so until the study area had been agreed, but have been kept informed of the reviews undertaken by NH.

VISSIM Modelling

9.14 It was agreed with the TWG that the following five junctions are tested using microsimulation VISSIM modelling because of their proximity to the SRN. The VISSIM model extent is shown at **Figure 28**.



- Junction 1: A453/ EMG2 Main Site Access Roundabout
- Junction 2: A453/Hunter Road Roundabout
- Junction 3: Finger Farm Roundabout
- Junction 4: A453/EMGP1 Signal Gyratory
- Junction 5: M1 Junction 24



Figure 28. VISSIM Model Extent

- 9.15 A VISSIM network model of base year 2012 was available but outdated and therefore it was agreed with the TWG that this model be cordoned and re-validated to a base year of 2022. The strategy for updating the base VISSIM model was outlined in a VISSIM Scoping Note document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0003 Revision P3 (Appendix 1), which has been formally approved by NH within the Stage 1A Modelling sign off sheet (Appendix 32). LCountyC and NCountyC agreed to defer to NH on this element.
- 9.16 The November 2022 manual classified turning count surveys, alongside Webtris data on the M1 and A42 mainlines were used to validate the base VISSIM model. An origindestination (OD) matrix was used to understand traffic movements through the VISSIM network, derived through a LinSig model.

9.17 The VISSIM LMVR Technical Note was issued to the TWG in consultation with NH consultants. The report concluded that the model calibrates well against observed and modelled turning movements during both peak hours at 15-minute intervals in line with DfT guidelines and as a result satisfied the standard criteria. The base model was subsequently agreed with NH within the Stage 1A Modelling sign off sheet (Appendix 32) with LCountyC and NCountyC agreeing to defer to NH on this element. As set out in paragraph 9.3, the base model has since been updated.

Deriving Future Forecast Traffic Flows

- 9.18 PRTM is validated at link flow level but not turning movement level and therefore a furnessing process has been carried out to derive future forecast traffic flows to be input into the Junctions 11, LinSig and VISSIM models.
- 9.19 BWB prepared a Modelling Furnessing Approach Technical Note document EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0004 Revision P5 (**Appendix 2**) which was agreed by NH and NCountyC (comments are awaited from LCountyC). This included for all base line survey information and set out the methodology for deriving the future forecast traffic flows, which in summary involved the following process:
 - Column adjustment: calculate turning counts across columns using survey data proportions in combination with the target link flow out of each arm.
 - Sum row: calculate the sum of each arm row total.
 - Row adjustment: calculate turning counts across rows using survey data proportions in combination with the target link flow into each arm.
 - Sum column: calculate the sum of each column.
 - Round all values in the matrix to the closest integer.
 - Update sums for column and row total.
 - Repeat the above 'x' number of iterations until the flows converge.
- 9.20 The macro has been built to run the furnessing 20 times for each matrix, however it should be noted that every time the macro is executed, it runs an additional 20 times. The furnessing spreadsheet therefore has been run for at least 20 iterations. The furnessing methodology has been double constrained, i.e. both origin and destination and the traffic flow matrices are furnessed until link flows are within a GEH of 5 (which is the Geoffrey E. Havers formula typically used in transport planning/traffic engineering to compare the accuracy of traffic models). This has been calculated by taking the absolute difference between the calculated target link flow and furnessed link flow. Should these be higher than a GEH of 5, the macro is executed until convergence is achieved. The furnessing process has been undertaken for the assessment years 2028 and 2038.
- 9.21 Due to high volumes of traffic that travel on the motorways and major A-roads there is the potential for these numbers to affect the furnessing outputs. As the furnessing process is based on turning proportions, the large motorway flows could cause the furnessing to assign traffic that would use the junctions to the motorway mainline movements instead.



- 9.22 Therefore, the M1 and A42 mainline flows were removed and furnessed seperately to avoid any re-assignment and subsequently added back into the matrix after the furnessing process was complete.
- 9.23 PRTM, as a strategic highway model, re-routes traffic in response to congestion. To ensure the true impact of the development is modelled and fully mitigated, the development traffic was originally extracted from the PRTM model, and assigned manually to exclude the effects of any rerouting. The modelling therefore presents a highly robust assessment of the full impact of the proposed development trips.
- 9.24 Copies of the furnessing spreadsheets were sent to the TWG on 4 April 2025. Comments were received from NH on 23 April 2025 and 18 June 2025, which have been taken on board. An updated version of the Modelling Furnessing Approach Technical Note document EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0004 Revision P6 (Appendix 46) has been produced, This is set out in further detail in Section 12, but is where all traffic flows used to inform the subsequent capacity assessment work can be found, given that GIS outputs from PRTM cannot be appended to the TA.

10. OFF-SITE IMPACT ASSESSMENTS: CORE SCENARIO (STAGE 1A MODELLING)

Introduction

10.1 The following section presents the results of the detailed junction modelling assessments for the Stage 1A forecast year core scenarios using VISSIM, Junctions 11 and LinSig software at all 16 junctions. This includes draft local plan allocations and the Power Station but without any mitigation which is likely to accompany that development, because it is unknown at this stage.

Measurement of Capacity

- 10.2 The primary measurement of capacity at priority controlled junctions in Junctions 11 is the Ratio of Flow to Capacity (RFC), which is a value calculated for each arm of the junction. Typically, a value of 0.85 or less on all arms is seen to be an acceptable criterion for new junction design, whilst existing junctions within the highway network may typically operate with some or all arms having a RFC value of, or close to 1.0. This essentially means that the specific arm (or arms) is saturated, resulting in the potential for continuous queueing on approach to the give way line during the peak time segments.
- 10.3 The primary measurements of capacity at signal controlled junctions in LinSig are Degree of Saturation (DoS) and Practical Reserve Capacity (PRC). DoS gives a ratio of the vehicle arrival rate to the relative saturation flow rate, where a value over 100% indicates that demand is greater than capacity, whilst a value of 90% or less is considered to provide an acceptable design criterion. PRC provides a measure of the capacity of the junction as a whole, with a positive value indicating spare capacity available.
- 10.4 The primary measurement of capacity in VISSIM is to conduct a journey time analysis between the without development and with development scenarios along with a comparson of predicted queues and a series of network perormanec indicator such as average delays, average speeds, number of vehicle entering the network and latent demand. Judgement is then required as to where significant impacts are expected to occur and hence where mitigation needs to be focussed.

Measurement of Impacts

10.5 The impacts of the Highway Works which are an NSIP in their own right and the ability of those works to accommodate the impact of the **EMG2 Project** will be assessed against the policy contained within Paragraph 5,283 of the NPS, which states:

"The applicant should provide evidence that the development improves the operation of the network and assists with capacity issues"

10.6 In addition the impacts of the **EMG2 Project** will be assessed against the policy contained within Paragraph 116 of the NPPF, which states:



"development should only be prevented or refused on highways grounds if there would be an unacceptable impact on highway safety, or the residual cumulative impacts on the road network, following mitigation, would be severe, taking into account all reasonable future scenarios"

10.7 The forecast year traffic flows input into the junction models have been taken directly from the furnessing spreadsheet, which have been agreed with the TWG.

Junctions 2 to 5 (VISSIM Network)

Introduction

- 10.8 BWB have produced a VISSIM Forecast Modelling report (BWB document EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0019_VISSIM Modelling Forecast Report-S2_P1) which sets out the forecast VISSIM modelling results in detail, a copy of which is included with **Appendix 47**.
- 10.9 The following details within this TA provide a summary of the Network Performance results to provide an overview of the impacts of the development on the VISSIM network. It should be noted development traffic from the EMG2 Project was assigned manually on top of 2028/2038 furnessed without development flows using the distribution pattern at **Table 30**, as worst-case to avoid any impacts of background re-assignment. This provides an assessment of the true impacts of the development traffic.

Network Performance

10.10 **Table 32** sets out the high level network performance comparison on all scenarios for 2028, as the year of opening of the development, which is NH's key assessment year as per Circular 01/2022. This compares 'without development' (WoD) and 'with development' (WD) scenarios.

Peak	Scenario	Delay (seconds)	Speed (mph)	Vehicles Arriving	Latent Demand
	WoD	126	39.5	20,826	127
AM	WD	198	32.8	21,083	290
	WD - WoD	72	-6.7	257	-125
	WoD	68	47.3	21,314	6
PM	WD	101	42.4	22,018	269
	WD - WoD	32	-4.9	704	-1

Table 32: 2028 VISSIM Network Performance Comparison – Stage 1A

- 10.11 When comparing the results of the with development scenario against the without development scenario, the average delay and the latent demand show increases, more significantly in the morning peak hour with a delay increase of 72 seconds. The average speed decreases in both peak hours as a result of additional congestion.
- 10.12 **Table 33** sets out the network performance comparison on all scenarios for 2038.

Table 33: 2038 VISSIM	Network Performance	Comparison – Stage 1A
10010 00. 2000 1100111		companion stage iA

Peak	Scenario	Delay (seconds)	Speed (mph)	Vehicles Arriving	Latent Demand	
	WoD	225	31.2	21,747	313	
AM	WD	266	28.3	22,112	541	
	WD - WoD	41	-2.9	365	228	
	WoD	133	39.5	22,220	508	
PM	WD	161	36.2	22,648	1,171	
	WD - WoD	28	-3.3	428	663	

- 10.13 Similarly to the 2028 assessment, the 2038 results show that the average delays increase, albeit not as much as 2028. The number of vehicles that enter the model and latent demand also increase, with the average speed decreasing overall.
- 10.14 In summary, the results show that, as expected, the development is having an impact on the network performance across the VISSIM network area. Therefore, a comprehensive mitigation strategy informed by the Stage 2A results has been proposed to address the impacts of the development, details of which are presented in subsequent sections.

Junction 6 – A453/East Midlands Airport Signal Junction

10.15 The agreed base LinSig model for the A453/East Midlands Airport signal junction has been tested for capacity using the Stage 1A forecast year flows. **Appendix 48** contains the LinSig output data, whilst **Table 34** summarises the results.

	Wee	kday AM	Peak	Weekday PM Peak				
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)		
	2028 foreca	st year 'w	ithout deve	lopment'				
Arm 1 – EMA Access	6.2	35	61.5	6.2	22.2	45.2		
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	7.8	6.0	60.9	4.5	6.6	35.7		
Arm 3 – A453 (W)	10.1	16.7	61.6	6.5	19.4	45.5		
	PRC ove	er all lanes	5 = 46.1%	PRC ove	er all lanes =	97.9%		
	2038 forecast year 'without development'							
Arm 1 – EMA Access	12.6	32.9	70.9	8.2	25.4	56.6		
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	10.5	10.9	70.4	5.4	7.8	38.5		
Arm 3 – A453 (W)	15.5	22.3	71.4	10.3	21.1	61.4		
	PRC over all lanes = 26% PRC over all lanes = 4					46.5%		
	2028 forec	ast year '	with develo	pment'				
Arm 1 – EMA Access	7.4	44.5	72.0	5.1	19.3	41.3		
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	8.4	10.5	74.7	7.6	10.3	47.0		
Arm 3 – A453 (W)	16.9	17.2	9.9	6.4	21.5	46.2		
	PRC ove	er all lanes	5 = 20.4%	PRC over all lanes = 91.7%				
	2038 forec	ast year '	with develo	pment'				
Arm 1 – EMA Access	15.1	59.0	86.9	7.8	19.8	63.0		
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	11.4	20.5	86.1	10.1	13.1	56.4		
Arm 3 – A453 (W)	13.6	25.2	87.3	9.1	26.7	61.9		
	PRC o	ver all lane	es = 3%	PRC ov	er all lanes	= 43%		

Table 34. A453/East Midlands Airport LinSig Summary Results – Stage 1A

10.16 The results show that the junction is predicted to operate within capacity during all scenarios in both peak hours. Therefore, it can be concluded that the existing junction layout will remain suitable to accommodate the forecast year traffic flows without the need for any mitigating improvements.

Junction 7 – A453/Grimes Gate Priority Junction

10.17 The agreed base Junctions 11 model for the A453/Grimes Gate priority junction has been tested for capacity using the Stage 1A forecast year flows. **Appendix 49** includes the Junctions 11 output data, whilst **Table 35** summarises the modelling results.

	Weekday AM Peak					Weekday PM Peak					
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC					
2028 forecast year 'without development'											
Stream B-C – Grimes Gate	0.0	6.51	0.02	0.0	6.75	0.02					
Stream B-A – Grimes Gate	0.3	10.36	0.24	0.1	8.63	0.08					
Stream C-AB – A453 (W)	0.0	3.89	0.02	0.0	4.75	0.04					
	2038 forec	ast year 'w	ithout devel	lopment'							
Stream B-C – Grimes Gate	0.0	7.27	0.03	0.0	7.36	0.03					
Stream B-A – Grimes Gate	0.5	12.77	0.33	0.2	11.35	0.17					
Stream C-AB – A453 (W)	0.0	3.88	0.02	0.1	4.29	0.06					
	2028 fore	ecast year '	with develo	pment'							
Stream B-C – Grimes Gate	0.0	6.74	0.01	0.0	7.34	0.03					
Stream B-A – Grimes Gate	0.6	13.05	0.36	0.1	9.72	0.10					
Stream C-AB – A453 (W)	0.0	3.45	0.03	0.0	5.00	0.05					
	2038 forecast year 'with development'										
Stream B-C – Grimes Gate	0.0	7.79	0.03	0.0	8.44	0.04					
Stream B-A – Grimes Gate	0.9	16.82	0.47	0.2	13.42	0.17					
Stream C-AB – A453 (W)	0.1	3.48	0.04	0.1	4.82	0.06					

10.18 The results show that the junction is predicted to operate well within capacity during all scenarios in both peak hours. Therefore, it can be concluded that the existing junction layout will remain suitable to accommodate the forecast year traffic flows without the need for any mitigating improvements.

Junction 8 – A453/The Green Priority Junction

10.19 The agreed base Junctions 11 model for the A453/The Green priority junction has been tested for capacity using the Stage 1A forecast year flows. **Appendix 50** includes the Junctions 11 output data, whilst **Table 36** summarises the modelling results.

	Weekday AM Peak Weekday PM					eak	
Arms	Q Delay ((pcu) (secs)		RFC	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC	
	2028 forec	ast year 'w	ithout deve	lopment'			
Steam B-AC – The Green	5.9	49.47	0.88	1.0	14.84	0.50	
Stream C-AB – A453 (W)	0.7	4.88	0.25	0.5	5.92	0.25	
	2038 forecast year 'without development'						
Steam B-AC – The Green	6.1	56.79	0.88	5.3	60.80	0.87	
Stream C-AB – A453 (W)	1.2	5.92	0.38	7.9	26.89	0.85	
	2028 fore	ecast year '	with develo	pment'			
Steam B-AC – The Green	122.9	800.13	1.39	1.1	17.92	0.53	
Stream C-AB – A453 (W)	0.6	4.58	0.24	0.6	6.78	0.30	
2038 forecast year 'with development'							
Steam B-AC – The Green	172.0	1153.41	1.54	28.8	321.69	1.26	
Stream C-AB – A453 (W)	1.2	5.76	0.39	53.0	204.22	1.11	

Table 36. A453/The Green Junctions 11 Summary Results – Stage 1A

- 10.20 The results show that the junction would operate within capacity at the 2028 forecast year with or without development, but capacity problems will occur on The Green arm at the 2038 forecast year, again with or without development. In the 2038 evening peak hour, the with development scenario will also trigger impacts on the A453 (W) arm.
- 10.21 Whilst the Junctions 11 modelling suggests that mitigation may be required, the junction is predicted to operate within capacity in PRTM and therefore it is likely that PRTM assigned more traffic along this route compared to what would occur in reality. From the first statutory consultation, feedback was received from local residents who asked that capacity improvements not be proposed at junctions leading towards Diseworth so as not to encourage higher traffic flows in the vicinity of the village. This aligns with the principle of the mitigation strategy seeking to promote further use of the Strategic Road Network rather than local roads, further details of which are presented in Section 12.
- 10.22 The PIC analysis identified a safety problem at this junction, albeit the rate of PICs has reduced in more recent years following more signage being installed. However, it is evident that further assessment is required to ensure there are no severe impacts both from a capacity and safety perspective at this junction. The proposed highway mitigation seeks to increase capacity at Finger Farm and the A453 corridor, with the aim of making this a more attractive route and discouraging traffic travelling towards the EMG2 Main Site and East Midlands Airport to route via The Green. Therefore, further assessment of this junction is presented in subsequent sections.

Junction 9 – A453/East Midlands Airport Roundabout

10.23 The agreed base Junctions 11 model for the A453/East Midlands Airport roundabout has been tested for capacity using the Stage 1A forecast year flows. **Appendix 51** includes the Junctions 11 output data, whilst **Table 37** summarises the results.

	Weekday AM Peak					eak			
Arms	Q (pcu)	Q Delay (pcu) (secs)		Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC			
2028 forecast year 'without development'									
Arm 1 – Walton Hill	0.2	4.69	0.12	0.7	5.0	0.35			
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	1.0	5.41	0.34	1.3	6.27	0.35			
Arm 3 – A453 (W)	8.0	32.18	0.89	1.5	10.47	0.51			
	2038 forec	ast year 'w	vithout deve	lopment'					
Arm 1 – Walton Hill	0.4	4.85	0.16	1.1	6.34	0.44			
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	1.1	5.47	0.35	1.6	6.23	0.42			
Arm 3 – A453 (W)	56.3 166.82		1.11	6.3	24.5	0.84			
	2028 fore	ecast year '	with develo	pment'					
Arm 1 – Walton Hill	0.3	4.77	0.13	0.6	4.9	0.35			
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	1.0	5.54	0.31	1.4	5.97	0.4			
Arm 3 – A453 (W)	25.9	84.01	0.99	1.2	8.31	0.45			
	2038 forecast year 'with development'								
Arm 1 – Walton Hill	0.4	4.84	0.17	1.0	6.5	0.46			
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	0.8	5.28	0.33	1.6	6.6	0.45			
Arm 3 – A453 (W)	74.3	229.49	1.15	6.1	23.86	0.84			

Table 37. A453/East Midlands	Airport	Roundabout	Junctions	11	Summary	Results –
Stage 1A						

- 10.24 The results show that the junction would operate over capacity in all scenarios during the morning peak hour on the A453 (W) arm, albeit the changes in traffic flows associated with the proposed development will result in a negligible impact. The junction would operate within capacity in all scenarios during the evening peak hour.
- 10.25 Without prejudice to the above, this junction forms part of the site access strategy to the Isley Woodhouse settlement and is expected to undergo significant improvements to accommodate this development and other planned schemes. The issue with capacity is a result of the background traffic from Isley Woodhouse being included in PRTM modelling but none of the physical infrastructure (i.e. mitigation) which will inevitably be required to accommodate that development.
- 10.26 To understand this further, the A453/East Midlands Airport roundabout will also be tested using the Stage 1B forecast flows, which excludes the traffic generated by the draft Local Plan allocations. This information is presented in **Section 11**.

Junction 10 – A453/Walton Hill Signal Junction

10.27 The agreed base Junctions 11 model for the A453/Walton Hill signal-controlled junction has been tested for capacity using the Stage 1A forecast year flows. **Appendix 52** includes the LinSig output data, whilst **Table 38** summarises the modelling results.

	Weekday AM Peak Weekday PM Peak						
Arms	Q Delay (pcu) (secs)		Do\$ (%)	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	DoS (%)	
2028 forecast year 'without development'							
Arm 1 – Local Road (N)	10.4	10.4 19.3		9.5	19.9	68.3	
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	8.1	24.0	61.0	9.7	23.8	66.6	
Arm 3 – Walton Hill	8.5	27.9	69.5	5.0	31.1	5.0	
	PRC ov	er all lanes	s = 26.2%	PRC ov	er all lanes :	= 31.8%	
	2038 foreco	ast year 'w	ithout devel	lopment'			
Arm 1 – Local Road (N)	17.4	35.9	92.6	93.8	229.5	111.7	
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	14.1	45.2	90.6	69.7	249.9	112.5	
Arm 3 – Walton Hill	16.9	33.5	90.9	73.3	238.4	112.2	
	PRC over all lanes = -2.9% PRC over all lanes = -					-25.0%	
	2028 fore	cast year '	with develo	pment'			
Arm 1 – Local Road (N)	12.2	19.9	76.3	9.7	19.8	68.7	
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	7.5	22.3	55.3	10.0	23.9	97.5	
Arm 3 – Walton Hill	9.7	32.1	77.2	4.8	34.3	67.7	
	PRC ov	er all lanes	all lanes = 16.6% PRC over all lanes = 31.0%				
	2038 forecast year 'with development'						
Arm 1 – Local Road (N)	15.4	33.6	90.0	126.8	317.9	117.8	
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	13.2	40.7	88.0	93.8	315.7	117.5	
Arm 3 – Walton Hill	16.3	30.5	89.2	72.8	243.1	112.5	
	PRC over all lanes = 0.0% PRC over all lanes = -30.9%					-30.9%	

Table 38. A453/Walton Hill Signal LinSig Summary Results – Stage 1A

- 10.28 The results show that the junction is expected to operate within capacity during all 2028 scenarios. The junction would operate over capacity at the 2038 future year, with or without the development, although there would be a slight beneficial impact in the morning peak hour and a negligible change in the evening peak hour from the development.
- 10.29 Similar to the above, it is envisaged that the main impact on capacity is being caused by traffic from the Isley Woodhouse traffic, given this development is located directly south (and evidenced by way of limited impacts from the proposed development). This shows that whilst capacity issues will likely occur, the proposed development has a negligible impact on the operation of the junction. Therefore, it can be concluded that there is no severe impact and no further assessment or mitigation is required at this location. However, further assessment of this junction will be undertaken using the Stage 1B flows to understand this position in more detail.

Junction 11 – A42 Junction 14 on-slip/Top Brand/Gelscoe Lane Roundabout

10.30 The agreed base Junctions 11 model for the A42 Junction 14 on-slip/Top Brand/Gelscoe Lane roundabout has been tested for capacity using the Stage 1A forecast year flows.
 Appendix 53 includes the Junctions 11 output data, whilst Table 39 summarises the results.



Table 39. A42 Junction 14 on-slip/Top Brand/Gelscoe Lane Junctions 11 Summary
Results – Stage 1A

	Weekday AM Peak		Weekday PM Peak							
Arms	Q (pcu)	Q Delay RFC (pcu) (secs)		Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC				
2028 forecast year 'without development'										
Arm 1 – A453 (N)	0.8	6.6	0.37	1	7.31	0.35				
Arm 2 – Gelscoe Lane	0.2	4.46	0.08	0.2	4.63	0.15				
Arm 3 – Top Brand	0.5	6.35	0.23	0.2	4.75	0.08				
2038 forecast year 'without development'										
Arm 1 – A453 (N)	1	6.37	0.4	1.3	7.45	0.35				
Arm 2 – Gelscoe Lane	0.4	4.95	0.16	0.4	5.02	0.19				
Arm 3 – Top Brand	1.2	7.75	0.4	0.3	5.06	0.22				
	2028 fore	ecast year '	with develo	pment'						
Arm 1 – A453 (N)	1.8	9.31	0.55	1.2	7.74	0.35				
Arm 2 – Gelscoe Lane	0.2	4.67	0.08	0.4	5.02	0.21				
Arm 3 – Top Brand	0.6	6.18	0.25	0.2	4.37	0.09				
2038 forecast year 'with development'										
Arm 1 – A453 (N)	1.8	8.76	0.57	1.5	7.68	0.39				
Arm 2 – Gelscoe Lane	0.3	5.06	0.15	1	6.7	0.36				
Arm 3 – Top Brand	1	7.81	0.38	0.5	5.42	0.23				

10.31 The results show that the junction is predicted to operate well within capacity during all scenarios and in both peak hours. Therefore, it can be concluded that the existing junction layout will remain suitable to accommodate the forecast year traffic flows without the need for any mitigating improvements.

Junction 12 – M1 Junction 23

10.32 The agreed base LinSig model for M1 Junction 23 has been tested for capacity using the Stage 1A forecast year flows. Appendix 54 includes the LinSig output data, whilst Table 40 summarises the results.



	Wee	Weekday AM Peak			Weekday PM Peak				
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)			
2028 forecast year 'without development'									
Arm 1 – M1 SB slip	10.8	29.8	83.7	7.2	33.9	75.7			
Arm 2 – A512 (E)	10.3	26.4	72.8	12.1	19.1	77.0			
Arm 3 – M1 NB slip	5.8	50.4	75.8	4.9	42.2	67.7			
Arm 4 – A512 (W)	9.6	21.0	77.7	7.0	17.1	63.8			
	PRC ov	er all lane	s = 7.3%	PRC ov	er all lanes =	= 16.9%			
	2038 foreco	ıst year 'w	ithout deve	lopment'					
Arm 1 – M1 SB slip	60.4	203.6	109.5	8.9	39.5	89.2			
Arm 2 – A512 (E)	49.3	151.8	105.9	17.1	24.6	89.1			
Arm 3 – M1 NB slip	18.1	158	103.2	10.4	75.7	93.0			
Arm 4 – A512 (W)	94.4	203.4	109.9	21.9	39.9	95.8			
	PRC ove	er all lanes	= -24.7%	PRC ov	ver all lanes :	= -6.4%			
	2028 forec	cast year '	with develo	pment'					
Arm 1 – M1 SB slip	11.0	30.2	84.0	7.2	30.1	71.1			
Arm 2 – A512 (E)	11.7	29.0	78.2	11.5	20.1	75.5			
Arm 3 – M1 NB slip	5.6	49.0	74.2	4.7	43.5	66.8			
Arm 4 – A512 (W)	10.9	23.5	82.1	6.5	16.6	60.5			
	PRC ov	er all lane	s = 5.9%	PRC ov	er all lanes =	= 19.2%			
	2038 forec	cast year '	with develo	pment'					
Arm 1 – M1 SB slip	72.0	243.2	112.1	10.0	44.2	85.8			
Arm 2 – A512 (E)	42.1	121.8	103.8	18.3	26.6	90.7			
Arm 3 – M1 NB slip	28.2	267.7	111.9	11.2	84.8	94.6			
Arm 4 – A512 (W)	80.9	163.6	107.3	20.4	36.7	94.8			
	PRC ove	er all lanes	= -24.6%	PRC ov	ver all lanes :	= -5.3%			

Table 40. M1 Junction 23 LinSig Summary Results – Stage 1A

- 10.33 The results show that M1 Junction 23 would operate within capacity at the 2028 forecast year of opening in all scenarios and both peak hours, which is the Circular 01/2022 compliant assessment year. Whilst the junction would exceed capacity at the 2038 forecast year, the development would have no impact on capacity and there would be a slight betterment in overall PRC in both peak hours, which is a result of traffic reassigning at the junction. Therefore, it can be concluded that there would be no severe impacts at this junction from the development and no mitigation is required.
- 10.34 Notwithstanding this, given the strategic nature of the junction, this is assessed once more in subsequent sections, including for the wider mitigation, to see if this conclusion remains valid.

Junction 13 – A50 Junction 1

10.35 The agreed base LinSig model for A50 Junction 1 has been tested for capacity using the Stage 1A forecast year flows, which includes the committed improvement scheme associated with Land South of A50 Junction 1, Castle Donington development that signalises the Trent Lane and Tamworth Road arms. **Appendix 55** includes the LinSig output data, whilst **Table 41** summarises the results.



Table 41. A50 Junction 1 LinSig Summary Results – Stage 1A

	Weekday AM Peak			Weekday PM Peak			
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)	
	2028 foreco	ast year 'w	ithout devel	opment'			
Arm 1 – B5010	2.0	10.1	63.9	1.4	9.8	52.8	
Arm 2 – B6540	9.5	20.4	99.4	8.4	17.5	89.2	
Arm 3 – A50 slip road (E)	18.4	78.0	99.1	9.0	27.2	84.0	
Arm 4 – Ryecraft Road	0.3	8.7	18.2	0.3	7.8	16.1	
Arm 5 – Trent Lane	6.9	19.0	73.8	11.7	33.7	88.5	
Arm 6 – A50 slip road (W)	9.3	18.4	94.7	6.0	15.4	90.5	
	PRC ove	er all lanes	= -10.4%	PRC ov	er all lanes	= -6.3%	
	2038 foreco	ast year 'w	ithout devel	opment'			
Arm 1 – B5010	2.3	14.3	72.4	2.1	11.2	54.3	
Arm 2 – B6540	7.5	14.6	78.8	13.9	29.6	93.5	
Arm 3 – A50 slip road (E)	8.9	24.2	82.7	6.4	20.1	71.3	
Arm 4 – Ryecraft Road	0.4	8.8	21.2	0.5	8.9	24.7	
Arm 5 – Trent Lane	50.7	167.9	107.7	55.7	153.2	106.9	
Arm 6 – A50 slip road (W)	32.7	93.9	106.3	5.8	14.6	70.2	
	PRC ove	er all lanes	= -20.2%	PRC ov	er all lanes =	-18.8%	
	2028 fore	cast year '	with develo	pment'			
Arm 1 – B5010	2.2	12.7	71.3	0.9	5.8	38.6	
Arm 2 – B6540	9.0	19.4	96.2	8.5	21.6	92.6	
Arm 3 – A50 slip road (E)	22.9	100.1	101.5	8.6	32.0	85.5	
Arm 4 – Ryecraft Road	0.3	9.5	21.7	0.2	6.7	14.6	
Arm 5 – Trent Lane	4.8	18.2	71.4	9.0	25.7	83.0	
Arm 6 – A50 slip road (W)	20.0	49.4	102.3	5.6	15.0	68.1	
	PRC ove	er all lanes	= -18.9%	PRC ov	er all lanes	= -2.9%	
	2038 fore	cast year '	with develo	pment'			
Arm 1 – B5010	2.3	14.5	72.9	2.2	11.3	55.7	
Arm 2 – B6540	17.0	39.3	101.7	14.0	29.7	93.5	
Arm 3 – A50 slip road (E)	12.8	45.4	93.5	6.1	19.5	69.6	
Arm 4 – Ryecraft Road	0.4	9.4	23.6	0.7	9.4	31.5	
Arm 5 – Trent Lane	51.7	166.1	107.5	72.1	196.8	109.9	
Arm 6 – A50 slip road (W)	42.9	125.5	109.0	5.9	14.6	71.2	
	PRC ove	er all lanes	= -21.1%	PRC over all lanes = -22.2%			

10.36 The results show that A50 Junction 1 is forecast to exceed capacity in all scenarios, with or without the development. However, the overall change in PRC and associated queues and delays would be negligible as a result of the proposed development, with the greatest queue increase expected on the A50 slip road (W) arm (eastbound off slip) in 2028 which would experience an increase from 9.3 PCUs to 20.0 PCUs (total of circa 115 metres). This arm has a total length of approximately 420 metres, so this queue would continue to be well within the stacking space of the slip road and not interfere with the A50 mainline. This is also the case for the A50 slip road (E) arm (westbound off-slip) which would experience a worst-case queue of 42.9 PCUs (an increase from 32.7 PCUs at the without development scenario, which equates to approximately 246 metres and well

within the stacking space of the slip road. There would be a beneficial impact on PRC at 2028 during the evening peak hour.

10.37 Therefore, whilst there would be capacity problems from a PRC perspective, the queues would not cause issues with the A50 mainline and the overall change from the proposed development would not be severe. Consequently, no mitigation is required at this junction but this is assessed once more in subsequent sections, including for the wider mitigation to see if this conclusion remains valid.

Junction 14 – M1 Junction 25

10.38 The agreed base LinSig model for M1 Junction 25 has been tested for capacity using the Stage 1A forecast year flows. Appendix 56 includes the LinSig output data, whilst Table 42 summarises the results.



Table 42 M1 Junction 25 LinSig Summary Results – Stage 1A

	Weekday AM Peak			Weekday PM Peak			
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)	
	2028 forec	ast year 'w	ithout deve	lopment'	-	-	
Arm 1 – M1 slip (N)	34.6	113.0	102.4	89.9	359.8	119.8	
Arm 2 – A52 (E)	117.1	577.8	138.3	87.7	383.3	122.1	
Arm 3 – Bostocks Lane (S)	48.7	327.0	116.3	42.8	330.8	117.2	
Arm 4 – M1 slip (S)	165.9	616.1	144.9	13.4	35.6	87.5	
Arm 5 – A52 (W)	88.7	537.1	135.2	5.5	25.2	58.3	
Arm 6 – Bostocks Lane (N)	124.5	604.8	143.5	27.1	106.6	100.3	
	PRC ov	ver all lanes	= -61.0%	PRC ov	er all lanes =	= -35.6%	
	2038 forec	ast year 'w	ithout deve	lopment'			
Arm 1 – M1 slip (N)	41.1	144.0	104.6	115.7	389.9	122.4	
Arm 2 – A52 (E)	81.0	454.4	126.8	86.9	433.0	125.8	
Arm 3 – Bostocks Lane (S)	14.3	108.2	98.7	55.7	418.6	124.5	
Arm 4 – M1 slip (S)	247.0	636.3	146.6	22.3	49.6	97.1	
Arm 5 – A52 (W)	98.6	618.8	144.1	4.9	22.7	51.1	
Arm 6 – Bostocks Lane (N)	130.4	642.5	147.8	11.6	73.8	95.4	
	PRC ov	ver all lanes	= -64.7%	PRC ov	er all lanes =	-39.8%	
	2028 fore	ecast year '	with develo	pment'	-	-	
Arm 1 – M1 slip (N)	52.4	227.7	109.5	15.2	37.6	89.1	
Arm 2 – A52 (E)	13.3	25.5	75.2	49.8	212.2	109.0	
Arm 3 – Bostocks Lane (S)	75.3	649.3	146.0	32.7	279.2	112.9	
Arm 4 – M1 slip (S)	178.0	638.6	146.8	14.5	34.1	91.8	
Arm 5 – A52 (W)	95.4	608.0	143.4	5.4	24.7	55.8	
Arm 6 – Bostocks Lane (N)	125.3	637.4	147.8	13.7	90.1	98.0	
	PRC ov	ver all lanes	= -64.5%	PRC ov	er all lanes =	= -25.5%	
	2038 fore	ecast year '	with develo	pment'	1	1	
Arm 1 – M1 slip (N)	13.3	33.4	86.1	116.0	392.4	122.6	
Arm 2 – A52 (E)	15.0	52.5	90.3	82.0	412.1	124.1	
Arm 3 – Bostocks Lane (S)	68.8	557.6	136.6	53.8	409.7	123.7	
Arm 4 – M1 slip (S)	320.1	863.8	178.9	25.9	59.1	98.7	
Arm 5 – A52 (W)	10.0	27.3	76.4	4.9	22.8	51.3	
Arm 6 – Bostocks Lane (N)	164.4	859.3	176.8	13.0	86.1	97.3	
	PRC ov	ver all lanes	= -98.8%	PRC over all lanes = -37.9%			

10.39 The results show that the junction would exceed capacity in all scenarios, with or without the development. In the 2028 opening year, the overall PRC would reduce slightly from -61.0% to -64.5% in the morning hour but experience a betterment from -35.6% to -25.5% in the evening peak hour. On balance, it is therefore considered that there would be no severe impacts from the development.



- 10.40 There would be a larger impact on PRC at the 2038 forecast year during the morning peak hour which is predicted to change from -64.7% to -98.8%. However, the proposed development would result in an overall increase of 56 PCUs in the morning peak hour (7,688 increasing to 7,744) and 42 PCUs in the evening peak hour (7,218 increasing to 7,260) as an overall net change. This equates to a less than 0.7% increase in total turning movements. Therefore, whilst certain arms are showing stress, the impacts from the development are negligible and significant capacity problems would occur without the development, which is why the negative PRC values have exponentially increased regardless of the limited change in traffic flows overall.
- 10.41 Overall, it can be concluded that there is no severe impact at this location and no mitigation should be required. However, this is assessed once more in subsequent sections, including for the wider mitigation to see if this conclusion remains valid.

Junction 15 – Station Road/Broad Rushes Roundabout

10.42 The agreed base Junctions 11 model the Station Road/Broad Rushes roundabout has been tested for capacity using the Stage 1A forecast year flows. **Appendix 57** includes the Junctions 11 output data, whilst **Table 43** summarises the results.

	We	Weekday AM Peak			Weekday PM Peak					
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC				
2028 forecast year 'without development'										
Arm 1 – Station Road (N)	8.0	17.29	0.85	3.4	9.39	0.68				
Arm 2 – Station Road (S)	0.7	7.63	0.39	3.9	19.93	0.80				
Arm 3 – Broad Rushes	4.5	24.13	0.79	15.2	72.81	0.94				
2038 forecast year 'without development'										
Arm 1 – Station Road (N)	9.5	20.8	0.87	4.5	11.47	0.76				
Arm 2 – Station Road (S)	2.4	13.45	0.66	9.5	41.15	0.92				
Arm 3 – Broad Rushes	89.2	381.43	1.22	49.6	203.55	1.10				
	2028 fore	ecast year '	with develo	pment'						
Arm 1 – Station Road (N)	9.8	21.89	0.88	2.5	8.2	0.65				
Arm 2 – Station Road (S)	1	8.52	0.41	3.3	17.54	0.76				
Arm 3 – Broad Rushes	7.2	34.9	0.84	5.6	34.27	0.83				
2038 forecast year 'with development'										
Arm 1 – Station Road (N)	9	20.04	0.86	5.3	12.13	0.79				
Arm 2 – Station Road (S)	3.8	18.09	0.75	11.6	49.65	0.93				
Arm 3 – Broad Rushes	116.9	512.03	1.23	56.5	231.5	1.11				

Table 43. Station Road/Broad Rushes Roundabout Junctions 11 Summary Results – Stage 1A

10.43 The results show that the junction would exceed the 85% threshold in the 2028 opening year but operate within 100% during both the without and with development scenarios. The Broad Rushes arm would exceed 100% at the 2038 future year scenario, with or without development. However, the development would have a 0.01 impact on RFC in the morning peak hour and a 0.01 impact on RFC in the evening peak hour.



10.44 Queues in the morning peak hour on this arm are expected to be significant with or without the development and during the evening peak hour the queue would only increase by 7 PCUs between the 2038 without development to 2038 with development scenarios. Therefore, it is considered that whilst capacity issues are likely to be experienced, the impact of the proposed development will not be severe and therefore no mitigation is considered necessary. However, the junction will be re-assessed with the Stage 2 mitigation scenario flows in subsequent sections to ensure this conclusion remains.

Junction 16 – A453/Kegworth Road dumbbell Roundabouts

10.45 The A453/Kegworth Road roundabouts fell outside the AoI from the PRTM modelling, but have been tested for capacity nonetheless. The agreed base Junctions 11 model for A453/Kegworth Road roundabouts have been tested for capacity using the Stage 1A forecast year flows. **Appendix 58** includes the Junctions 11 output data, whilst **Table 44** summarises the results.

	Weekday AM Peak			Weekday PM Peak						
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC				
2028 forecast year 'without development'										
Arm 1 – A453 Off-slip	0.2	2.69	0.13	0.2	2.94	0.18				
Arm 2 – Local Road	0.2	2.6	0.13	0.2	2.79	0.19				
Arm 3 – Kegworth Road	0.2	3.3	0.15	0.2	3.19	0.16				
2038 forecast year 'without development'										
Arm 1 – A453 Off-slip	0.3	3.3	0.2	0.4	3.83	0.3				
Arm 2 – Local Road	0.6	3.5	0.35	1.3	5.32	0.55				
Arm 3 – Kegworth Road	0.6	4.86	0.37	0.7	5.89	0.42				
	2028 for	ecast year	with develo	pment'						
Arm 1 – A453 Off-slip	0.2	2.69	0.13	0.2	2.9	0.18				
Arm 2 – Local Road	0.1	2.58	0.12	0.2	2.81	0.19				
Arm 3 – Kegworth Road	0.2	3.41	0.17	0.1	2.85	0.05				
2038 forecast year 'with development'										
Arm 1 – A453 Off-slip	0.3	3.34	0.21	0.4	3.12	0.26				
Arm 2 – Local Road	0.6	3.53	0.35	1.7	6.2	0.62				
Arm 3 – Kegworth Road	0.6	4.89	0.37	0	3.77	0.04				

Table 44. A453/Kegworth Road dumbbell Roundabouts Junctions 11 Summary Results – Stage 1A

10.46 The results show that the junction is predicted to operate well within capacity during all scenarios in both peak hours. Therefore, it can be concluded that the existing junction layout will remain suitable to accommodate the forecast year traffic flows without the need for any mitigating improvements.

Junction 17 – A453/Trent Lane/West Leake dumbbell Roundabout

10.47 The A453/Trent Lane/West Leake roundabouts fell outside the AoI from the PRTM modelling, but have been tested for capacity, nonetheless. The agreed base Junctions 11 model for the A453/Trent Lane/West Leake roundabout has been tested for capacity using the Stage 1A forecast year flows. **Appendix 59** includes the Junctions 11 output data, whilst **Table 45** summarises the results.

	Weekday AM Peak			Weekday PM Peak					
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC			
20)28 foreca	st year 'w	ithout devel	opment'					
J1- Arm 1 – Dumbbell Link	0.5	4.15	0.3	0.3	3.29	0.23			
J1- Arm 2 – A453 SWB Off- Slip	0	0	0	0	2.65	0.02			
J1- Arm 3 – West Leake Lane	0.7	6.03	0.39	0.5	4.35	0.33			
J2- Arm 1 – Barton Lane	0.1	4.32	0.07	0	2.99	0.02			
J2- Arm 2 – A453 NEB Off- Slip	0.5	4.05	0.3	0.1	2.75	0.06			
J2- Arm 3 – Dumbbell Link	0.3	3.09	0.22	0.2	2.25	0.17			
20)38 foreca	st year 'w	ithout devel	opment'					
J1- Arm 1 – Dumbbell Link	1	5.42	0.48	1	5.42	0.48			
J1- Arm 2 – A453 SWB Off- Slip	0	3.19	0.01	0	3.19	0.01			
J1- Arm 3 – West Leake Lane	1.1	6.22	0.5	1.1	6.22	0.5			
J2- Arm 1 – Barton Lane	0.1	4.29	0.07	0.1	4.29	0.07			
J2- Arm 2 – A453 NEB Off- Slip	0.1	3.07	0.08	0.1	3.07	0.08			
J2- Arm 3 – Dumbbell Link	0.6	3.19	0.33	0.6	3.19	0.33			
	2028 forec	ast year '	with develo	pmenť					
J1- Arm 1 – Dumbbell Link	0.5	4.03	0.3	0.3	3.29	0.23			
J1- Arm 2 – A453 SWB Off- Slip	0	2.89	0.04	0	2.65	0.02			
J1- Arm 3 – West Leake Lane	0.7	4.98	0.38	0.5	4.34	0.33			
J2- Arm 1 – Barton Lane	0.1	3.68	0.06	0	3	0.02			
J2- Arm 2 – A453 NEB Off- Slip	0.1	3.01	0.06	0.1	2.75	0.06			
J2- Arm 3 – Dumbbell Link	0.3	2.61	0.2	0.2	2.25	0.17			
2038 forecast year 'with development'									
J1- Arm 1 – Dumbbell Link	0.5	3.67	0.31	0.5	3.67	0.31			
J1- Arm 2 – A453 SWB Off- Slip	0	2.75	0.01	0	2.75	0.01			
J1- Arm 3 – West Leake Lane	0.8	5.18	0.44	0.8	5.18	0.44			
J2- Arm 1 – Barton Lane	0	3.14	0.02	0	3.14	0.02			
J2- Arm 2 – A453 NEB Off-	0.1	2.72	0.05	0.1	2.72	0.05			

Table 45. A453/Trent Lane/West Leake dumbbell Roundabout Junctions 11 Summary Results – Stage 1A



10.48 The results show that the junction is predicted to operate well within capacity during all scenarios and in both peak hours. Therefore, it can be concluded that the existing junction layout will remain suitable to accommodate the forecast year traffic flows without the need for any mitigating improvements.

11. OFF-SITE IMPACT ASSESSMENTS: SENSITIVITY TEST (STAGE 1B MODELLING)

Introduction

- 11.1 Section 10 of the TA summarised the junction modelling results of the Stage 1A forecast year scenarios. This identified potential issues at the following junctions, including those forecast to operate over capacity regardless of the development proposals:
 - Junctions 2 to 5: VISSIM network junctions expected to exceed capacity with the performance worsening as a result of the proposed development.
 - Junction 8: A453/The Green junction predicted to operate within capacity in PRTM but exceed capacity in Junctions 11 as a result of the proposed development.
 - Junction 9: A453/East Midlands Airport roundabout junction expected to exceed capacity with or without development but capacity issues are largely being driven by the Isley Woodhouse settlement and the junction will undergo improvements as part of the access strategy to the Isley Woodhouse settlement.
 - Junction 10: A453/Walton Hill signal junction this junction is expected to exceed capacity with or without development but capacity issues are largely being driven by the Isley Woodhouse settlement and the junction is expected to undergo improvements as part of the A453 realignment and access strategy associated with the Isley Woodhouse settlement.
 - Junction 12: M1 Junction 23 junction is expected to exceed capacity with or without development but no severe impact. This junction will be re-assessed to ensure this conclusion remains with the proposed highway mitigation.
 - Junction 13: A50 Junction 1 junction is expected to exceed capacity with or without development but no severe impact. This junction will be re-assessed to ensure this conclusion remains with the proposed highway mitigation.
 - Junction 14: M1 Junction 25 junction is expected to exceed capacity with or without development but no severe impact. This junction will be re-assessed to ensure this conclusion remains with the proposed highway mitigation.
 - Junction 15: Station Road/Broad Rushes roundabout this junction is expected to exceed capacity with or without development but no severe impact. This junction will be re-assessed to ensure this conclusion remains with the proposed highway mitigation.
- 11.2 The following section tests the above junctions under the Stage 1B forecast year scenarios, as a sensitivity test to understand their future performance at 2028/2038 with and without the proposed development when excluding the draft Local Plan allocation sites and part of the Ratcliffe on Soar Power Station development in the baseline traffic.

Junctions 1 to 5 (VISSIM Network)

11.3 The Stage 1A forecast year modelling identified capacity issues at Finger Farm roundabout, EMG1 access roundabout and M1 Junction 24. This section of the network is therefore expected to experience congestion and delay, which is predicted to be worsened by the proposed development.

11.4 The VISSIM model has been tested using the Stage 1B forecast year flows. The VISSIM Forecast Modelling report (BWB document EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0019_VISSIM Modelling Forecast Report-S2_P1 - Appendix 47) includes the output data, whilst Table 46 below sets out the high level network performance comparison on all scenarios for 2028, as the year of opening of the development, which is NH's key assessment year. this compares 'without development' (WoD) and 'with development' (WD) scenarios.

Peak	Scenario	Delay (seconds)	Speed (mph)	Vehicles Arriving	Latent Demand
	WoD	100	42.5	20,995	53
AM	WD	121	39.7	21,804	5
	WD - WoD	21	-2.8	809	-48
	WoD	72	46.9	21,265	12
PM	WD	104	42.0	21,856	325
	WD - WoD	32	-4.9	591	313

Table 46: 2028 VISSIM Network Performance Comparison – Stage 1B

- 11.5 When comparing the results of the with development scenario against the without development scenario, the average delay increases in both peak hours with average speeds reducing.
- 11.6 **Table 47** below sets out the network performance comparison on all scenarios for 2038.

Peak	Scenario	Delay (seconds)	Speed (mph)	Vehicles Arriving	Latent Demand
	WoD	108	41.5	22,392	1
AM	WD	142	37.6	22,860	38
V	WD - WoD	34	-3.9	468	36
	WoD	106	42.4	22,374	261
PM	WD	138	38.4	22,864	719
	WD - WoD	31	-4.0	490	458

Table 47: 2038 VISSIM Network Performance Comparison – Stage 1B

- 11.7 Similarly to the 2028 assessment, the 2038 results show that the average delay increase, the amount of vehicles that enter the model increases and Latent Demand increases.
- 11.8 In summary, the results show that, as expected, the development is having an impact on the network performance across the VISSIM network area. Therefore, a comprehensive mitigation strategy informed by the Stage 2A results, but taking into consideration the Stage 2B results also, has been proposed to address the impacts of the development, details of which are presented in subsequent sections.

Junction 8 – A453/The Green Priority Junction

11.9 The Stage 1A modelling identified capacity problems at this junction, most notably on The Green but also for right turners into The Green from the A453. Capacity issues were not identified in PRTM and therefore it is likely that the strategic model is over assigning traffic along this route. 11.10 The Junctions 11 model has been re-tested using Stage 1B forecast flows. Appendix 60 includes the output data, whilst Table 48 summarises the results.

able 46. A455/ The Green Junctions 11 Summary Results – Stage TB										
	We	ekday AM	Peak	Weekday PM Peak						
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)RFCQ (pcu)Delay (secs)		RFC						
2028 forecast year 'without development'										
Steam B-AC – The Green	2.8	26.93	0.75	1.0	14.03	0.49				
Stream C-AB – A453 (W)	0.4	4.69	0.17	0.7	6.23	0.31				
	2038 forecast year 'without development'									
Steam B-AC – The Green	68.0	440.14	1.24	3.4	37.63	0.79				
Stream C-AB – A453 (W)	0.0	5.28	0.02	0.7	6.79	0.30				
	2028 fore	ecast year '	with develo	pment'						
Steam B-AC – The Green	78.5	529.37	1.28	1.2	18.04	0.55				
Stream C-AB – A453 (W)	0.5	4.35	0.19	0.9	7.21	0.36				
2038 forecast year 'with development'										
Steam B-AC – The Green	384.1	2451.51	1.89	7.6	82.63	0.92				
Stream C-AB – A453 (W)	0.1	4.65	0.04	0.8	8.51	0.36				

Table 18 A153/The Green Junctions 11 Summary Pesults - Stage 18

11.11 The results show that similar to Stage 1A results, the junction would continue to operate over capacity with the Stage 1B flows with or without development. The capacity issues would occur on The Green. This is because the junction is forecast to operate within capacity in PRTM causing a larger volume of traffic to route via this junction. In reality, a greater proportion of traffic would route via the A42 and Finger Farm roundabout reducing impacts at this location. Therefore, the conclusions presented in Section 10 remain and this is considered in further detail in Sections 13 and 14.

Junction 9 – A453/East Midlands Airport Roundabout

11.12 The Stage 1A forecast year modelling identified capacity issues on the A453 (W) arm of the roundabout during the morning peak hour. The Junctions 11 model has been tested using the Stage 1B forecast year flows to understand the performance of the junction when excluding draft Local Plan allocations from the background traffic. Appendix 61 includes the Junctions 11 output data, whilst **Table 49** summarises the results.

	Weekday AM Peak			Weekday PM Peak					
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC			
2028 forecast year 'without development'									
Arm 1 – Walton Hill	0.2	4.66	0.11	0.9	4.76	0.33			
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	1.2	5.38	0.35	1.0	5.38	0.32			
Arm 3 – A453 (W)	4.4	17.74	0.78	1.5	9.06	0.52			
2038 forecast year 'without development'									
Arm 1 – Walton Hill	0.2	4.23	0.11	0.9	4.83	0.35			
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	1.8	6.19	0.43	1.6	6.63	0.46			
Arm 3 – A453 (W)	3	12.75	0.66	1.3	8.49	0.46			
	2028 fore	ecast year '	with develo	pment'					
Arm 1 – Walton Hill	0.3	4.8	0.11	0.7	4.75	0.33			
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	0.8	5.14	0.31	1.1	5.53	0.35			
Arm 3 – A453 (W)	8.9	33.07	0.87	1.9	9.69	0.51			
2038 forecast year 'with development'									
Arm 1 – Walton Hill	0.3	4.15	0.12	0.8	5.01	0.37			
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	1.4	5.77	0.39	2	7.23	0.5			
Arm 3 – A453 (W)	3.2	15.15	0.73	1.0	8.12	0.4			

Table 49. A453/East Midlands	Airport	Roundabout	Junctions	11	Summary	Results –
Stage 1B						

11.13 The results show that the junction would operate comfortably within capacity during all scenarios in both peak hours. As per the conclusions in **Section 10**, this confirms that the capacity issues are being driven by traffic from the Isley Woodhouse settlement and when removing this traffic the junction has ample spare capacity. Therefore, given this junction forms part of the access strategy to the Isley Woodhouse development improvements are expected to be delivered as part of that scheme. The **EMG2 Project** would not have any severe impacts and no mitigation is deemed required.

Junction 10 – A453/Walton Hill Signal Junction

11.14 The Stage 1A forecast year modelling identified capacity issues on all arms of the roundabout during the evening peak hour. The LinSig model has been tested using the Stage 1B forecast year flows to understand the performance of the junction when excluding draft Local Plan allocations from the background traffic. **Appendix 62** includes the LinSig output data, whilst **Table 50** summarises the results.

	Wee	ekday AM	Peak	Weekday PM Peak				
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)		
2028 forecast year 'without development'								
Arm 1 – Local Road (N)	8.9	17.7	68.1	9.3	17.6	67.8		
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	8.8	27.7	68.3	9.9	25.8	67.8		
Arm 3 – Walton Hill	7.7	26.2	66.2	4.3	31.8	62.4		
	PRC ov	er all lanes	s = 31.7%	PRC ov	ver all lanes =	= 32.7%		
	2038 forec	ast year 'w	ithout devel	lopment'				
Arm 1 – Local Road (N)	10.0	19.1	72.6	10.9	20.6	74.2		
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	10.2	26.0	71.7	11.3	25.5	73.2		
Arm 3 – Walton Hill	7.6	30.2	72.4	4.3	35.4	66.0		
	PRC over all lanes = 23.9% PRC over all lanes = 21.3				= 21.3%			
	2028 fore	cast year '	with develo	pment'				
Arm 1 – Local Road (N)	10.5	19.1	72.0	9.5	18.0	68.7		
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	7.0	22.4	54.0	10.3	25.3	68.6		
Arm 3 – Walton Hill	8.6	29.3	71.6	4.4	35.1	67.5		
	PRC ov	er all lanes	s = 25.0%	PRC over all lanes = 31.0%				
2038 forecast year 'with development'								
Arm 1 – Local Road (N)	9.5	18.4	70.5	11.2	21.0	73.7		
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	9.7	26.9	70.6	11.7	25.8	73.6		
Arm 3 – Walton Hill	7.9	28.7	71.4	4.7	40.6	73.8		
	PRC over all lanes = 26.0%			PRC over all lanes = 20.6%				

Table 50. A453/Walton Hill LinSig Summary Results – Stage 1B

11.15 The results show that the junction is predicted to operate comfortably within capacity in all scenarios during both peak hours, with spare capacity across all three arms. Therefore, the capacity issues are being driven by traffic from the Isley Woodhouse development which is located directly to the south of the junction. Therefore, it is envisaged that improvements will be required as part of the Isley Woodhouse development and there would be no severe impacts from the **EMG2 Project**. Therefore, no mitigation is deemed required.

Junction 12 – M1 Junction 23

- 11.16 The Stage 1A forecast year modelling identified capacity issues at the junction during the morning peak hour at the 2038 future year. However, capacity problems would occur with or without development and it was concluded that the overall change in conditions was non severe.
- 11.17 The LinSig model has been re-tested using the Stage 1B forecast year flows to understand the performance of the junction when excluding draft Local Plan allocations from the background traffic. **Appendix 63** includes the LinSig output data, whilst **Table 51** summarises the results.



	Weekday AM Peak			Weekday PM Peak				
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)		
2028 forecast year 'without development'								
Arm 1 – M1 SB slip	9.8	30.1	80.2	6.4	29.6	69.6		
Arm 2 – A512 (E)	9.9	24.4	69.7	10.6	18.2	71.6		
Arm 3 – M1 NB slip	6.2	47.8	75.5	4.6	40.7	65.5		
Arm 4 – A512 (W)	9.6	20.9	77.3	5.9	16.1	56.3		
	PRC ov	PRC over all lanes = 8.2% PRC over all lanes = 25.7%						
2038 forecast year 'without development'								
Arm 1 – M1 SB slip	59.8	218.1	110.5	8.5	39.2	84.6		
Arm 2 – A512 (E)	25.4	58.5	97.8	15.0	21.6	85.4		
Arm 3 – M1 NB slip	9.3	56.5	87.2	12.4	97.4	96.5		
Arm 4 – A512 (W)	91.8	192.4	109.2	24.2	43.4	96.6		
	PRC over all lanes = -22.8%			PRC over all lanes = -7.3%				
2028 forecast year 'with development'								
Arm 1 – M1 SB slip	10.3	31.2	81.8	7.3	30.3	70.1		
Arm 2 – A512 (E)	11.0	26.3	74.6	11.6	20.2	75.7		
Arm 3 – M1 NB slip	6.0	46.8	74.1	4.9	44.8	68.1		
Arm 4 – A512 (W)	10.6	23.2	81.4	6.8	16.8	61.7		
	PRC ov	er all lane	s = 7.0%	PRC over all lanes = 18.8%				
2038 forecast year 'with development'								
Arm 1 – M1 SB slip	50.1	173.8	107.4	11.9	46.0	96.8		
Arm 2 – A512 (E)	33.7	88.2	101.2	25.4	42.1	96.7		
Arm 3 – M1 NB slip	25.7	256.6	110.2	19.7	170.6	104.2		
Arm 4 – A512 (W)	95.6	195.7	109.5	78.6	162.0	107.2		
	PRC ove	er all lanes	= -22.4%	PRC over all lanes = -19.2%				

Table 51. M1 Junction 23 LinSig Summary Results - Stage 1B

- 11.18 The results show that the junction would operate within capacity at the 2028 forecast year of opening with the EMG2 Works in place, which is the Circular 01/2022 compliant assessment year. Therefore, when excluding traffic from the draft Local Plan allocations there would be capacity within the junction to accommodate the proposed development.
- 11.19 Whilst the junction would operate over capacity at the 2038 future year, there would be a slight improvement with the development in the morning peak hour, with the PRC improving from -22.8% to -22.4%. There would however be a worsening of performance in the evening peak hour, with the PRC reducing from -7.3% to -19.2%. This is therefore considered in further detail in **Sections 13 and 14**.

Junction 13 – A50 Junction 1

11.20 The Stage 1A forecast year modelling identified capacity issues at the junction during both peak hours and at both the 2028 and 2038 future years. However, capacity problems would occur with or without development and it was concluded that the overall change in conditions would be non severe and not result in queues extending back to the A50 mainline.



11.21 The LinSig model has been re-tested using the Stage 1B forecast year flows to understand the performance of the junction when excluding draft Local Plan allocations from the background traffic. **Appendix 64** includes the LinSig output data, whilst **Table 52** summarises the results.

	Wee	kday AM	Peak	We	eak			
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)		
2028 forecast year 'without development'								
Arm 1 – B5010	1.4	7.4	54.4	1.4	10.4	51.2		
Arm 2 – B6540	7.4	17.6	63.5	7.0	16.2	80.0		
Arm 3 – A50 slip road (E)	12.7	49.8	94.1	7.1	22.2	76.9		
Arm 4 – Ryecraft Road	0.2	8.4	15.8	0.3	7.4	16.1		
Arm 5 – Trent Lane	6.2	18.5	71.1	10.1	26.9	88.0		
Arm 6 – A50 slip road (W)	7.7	18.2	86.0	6.5	17.2	77.3		
	PRC ov	er all lane	s = -4.5%	PRC o	ver all lanes	= 2.2%		
	2038 foreco	ıst year 'w	rithout deve	lopment'				
Arm 1 – B5010	1.4	8.3	55.5	1.5	11.4	53.5		
Arm 2 – B6540	9.0	16.6	91.3	11.9	21.6	98.9		
Arm 3 – A50 slip road (E)	7.7	23.0	78.9	7.2	20.0	74.2		
Arm 4 – Ryecraft Road	0.2	8.0	14.6	0.6	9.3	28.3		
Arm 5 – Trent Lane	6.7	19.7	74.0	24.0	71.7	100.1		
Arm 6 – A50 slip road (W)	7.8	18.5	86.0	6.0	17.0	72.8		
	PRC over all lanes =-3.5 % PRC over all I				er all lanes =	= -11.2%		
	2028 forec	cast year '	with develo	pment'				
Arm 1 – B5010	1.6	8.2	58.9	1.1	8.1	45.1		
Arm 2 – B6540	7.7	18.0	87.7	7.4	16.3	83.6		
Arm 3 – A50 slip road (E)	13.4	53.6	95.0	7.4	22.4	76.8		
Arm 4 – Ryecraft Road	0.2	8.8	16.4	0.3	7.3	15.8		
Arm 5 – Trent Lane	5.8	17.8	67.5	10.1	26.7	87.8		
Arm 6 – A50 slip road (W)	8.7	19.6	92.5	6.4	17.0	75.6		
	PRC ov	er all Iane	s = -5.6%	PRC o	ver all lanes = 2.5%			
	2038 forec	cast year '	with develo	pment'				
Arm 1 – B5010	1.6	9.3	58.6	2.2	12.1	56.7		
Arm 2 – B6540	10.0	19.3	66.1	15.6	29.8	100.5		
Arm 3 – A50 slip road (E)	8.8	26.2	85.0	8.6	23.5	81.1		
Arm 4 – Ryecraft Road	0.3	8.3	15.5	1.0	12.2	43.4		
Arm 5 – Trent Lane	6.6	17.8	71.7	50.2	145.7	106.2		
Arm 6 – A50 slip road (W)	7.7	16.9	84.5	6.1	15.5	71.3		
	PRC ov	er all lane	s = -9.4%	PRC ov	er all lanes =	= -18.0%		

Table 52. A50 Junction 1 LinSig Summary Results – Stage 1B

11.22 The results show that the junction would continue to operate over capacity in all scenarios during both peak hours, although better compared to the Stage 1A assessment in Section 10. At the 2028 year of opening, the junction PRC would only reduce from -4.5% to -5.6% in the morning peak hour and from -2.2% to -2.5% in the

evening peak hour, which is the Circular 01/2022 compliant scenario. This is considered a negligible impact.

11.23 Furthermore, similar to the conclusions of the Stage 1A assessment, the forecast queue lengths during both the 2028 and 2038 assessment years would continue to be accommodated on the slip roads within extending back and impacting the A50 mainline. Consequently, the proposed development would not have a severe impact and no mitigation is deemed required.

Junction 14 – M1 Junction 25

- 11.24 The Stage 1A forecast year modelling identified capacity issues at the junction during both peak hours and at both the 2028 and 2038 future years. However, capacity problems would occur with or without development and it was concluded that the overall change in conditions would be non-severe given there would be a less than a 0.7% net increase in traffic between the without and with development scenarios.
- 11.25 The LinSig model has been re-tested using the Stage 1B forecast year flows to understand the performance of the junction when excluding draft Local Plan allocations from the background traffic. **Appendix 65** includes the LinSig output data, whilst **Table 53** summarises the results.



Table 53. M1 Junction 25 LinSig Summary Results – Stage 1B

	Weekday AM Peak			Weekday PM Peak				
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)		
2028 forecast year 'without development'								
Arm 1 – M1 slip (N)	108.7	432.5	130.7	75.8	318.8	117.5		
Arm 2 – A52 (E)	15.5	33.7	84.3	65.2	279.0	114.1		
Arm 3 – Bostocks Lane (S)	85.9	635.8	144.5	41.0	272.5	112.8		
Arm 4 – M1 slip (S)	71.2	248.3	114.4	12.3	30.4	87.9		
Arm 5 – A52 (W)	5.9	12.2	48.1	5.3	25.8	56.0		
Arm 6 – Bostocks Lane (N)	133.8	699.2	154.3	9.4	51.7	91.0		
	PRC ov	ver all lanes	= -71.5%	PRC over all lanes = -30.5%				
	2038 forec	ast year 'w	ithout deve	lopment'				
Arm 1 – M1 slip (N)	153.2	681.8	148.8	243.2	689.2	155.3		
Arm 2 – A52 (E)	109.0	660.8	146.9	170.2	745.0	158.1		
Arm 3 – Bostocks Lane (S)	12.8	62.4	93.5	160.3	718.1	156.0		
Arm 4 – M1 slip (S)	229.7	667.0	149.5	115.5	658.1	148.8		
Arm 5 – A52 (W)	8.0	27.6	71.3	75.1	646.5	147.0		
Arm 6 – Bostocks Lane (N)	137.3	691.5	153.3	2.9	16.8	62.1		
	PRC ov	ver all lanes	= -70.3%	PRC over all lanes = -75.6%				
	2028 fore	ecast year '	with develo	pment'				
Arm 1 – M1 slip (N)	19.1	49.7	94.3	74.4	338.9	117.5		
Arm 2 – A52 (E)	86.6	401.1	122.7	64.6	276.8	113.9		
Arm 3 – Bostocks Lane (S)	67.1	475.1	128.7	40.4	277.4	113.1		
Arm 4 – M1 slip (S)	140.0	473.9	138.6	13.6	33.2	90.3		
Arm 5 – A52 (W)	86.2	561.4	138.0	5.0	25.5	54.7		
Arm 6 – Bostocks Lane (N)	111.6	547.8	137.7	10.0	55.2	91.9		
	PRC ov	ver all lanes	= -54.0%	PRC ov	er all lanes =	= -30.5%		
2038 forecast year 'with development'								
Arm 1 – M1 slip (N)	53.4	194.2	108.1	261.5	732.4	156.4		
Arm 2 – A52 (E)	10.5	28.7	70.5	177.3	793.3	164.6		
Arm 3 – Bostocks Lane (S)	73.3	549.8	136.0	161.4	753.7	160.6		
Arm 4 – M1 slip (S)	30.7	53.4	98.9	17.7	47.6	95.3		
Arm 5 – A52 (W)	5.2	11.5	44.0	7.4	26.5	67.4		
Arm 6 – Bostocks Lane (N)	137.0	541.1	96.6	7.7	60.4	89.9		
	PRC over all lanes = -52.2%			PRC over all lanes = -82.9%				

11.26 The results show that the junction would continue to operate over capacity in all scenarios with or without the development. At the 2028 year of opening, there would be a betterment in junction PRC which would improve from -71.5% to -54.0% in the morning peak hour and show no change in the evening peak hour at -30.5% with and without development. Therefore, the development would have no impact on the

Circular 01/2022 compliant forecast year of opening. Hence, no mitigation is deemed required.

Junction 15 – Station Road/Broad Rushes Roundabout

11.27 The Stage 1A forecast year modelling showed that the junction would exceed capacity but it was concluded that the development would not have a severe impact. The Junctions 11 model has been re-tested using the Stage 1B forecast year flows to understand the performance of the junction when excluding draft Local Plan allocations from the background traffic. **Appendix 66** includes the LinSig output data, whilst **Table 54** summarises the results.

Table 54. Station Road/Broad Rushes Roundabout Junctions 11 Summary Results – Stage 1B

	Weekday AM Peak		Weekday PM Peak					
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC		
2028 forecast year 'without development'								
Arm 1 – Station Road (N)	6.4	13.93	0.81	3.1	8.89	0.69		
Arm 2 – Station Road (S)	1.1	7.96	0.39	3	16.4	0.75		
Arm 3 – Broad Rushes	4.6	22.91	0.79	5	25.4	0.81		
	2038 forec	ast year 'w	ithout deve	lopment'				
Arm 1 – Station Road (N)	4.6	12.43	0.75	2.8	8.11	0.63		
Arm 2 – Station Road (S)	1.1	8.77	0.48	3.2	16.88	0.74		
Arm 3 – Broad Rushes	5.2	27.47	0.79	9.2	47.5	0.94		
	2028 fore	ecast year '	with develo	pment'				
Arm 1 – Station Road (N)	7.4	16.95	0.85	2.9	8.8	0.68		
Arm 2 – Station Road (S)	0.8	7.87	0.39	2.9	15.53	0.73		
Arm 3 – Broad Rushes	4.6	23.01	0.79	5.2	31.44	0.83		
2038 forecast year 'with development'								
Arm 1 – Station Road (N)	5.1	13.8	0.78	3.5	9.71	0.7		
Arm 2 – Station Road (S)	1.4	9.26	0.51	5.4	25.64	0.82		
Arm 3 – Broad Rushes	5.8	33.22	0.82	39.9	165.47	1.08		

11.28 The results show that the junction would operate within capacity in all scenarios during both peak hours. Therefore, there is ample capacity to accommodate traffic from the proposed development and it is only when additional background traffic from the draft Local Plan allocations and Isley Woodhouse settlement is included are capacity issues triggered. Therefore, no mitigation is deemed required.

12. HIGHWAY MITIGATION

Introduction

- 12.1 The Stage 1A and IB modelling showed that there would be significant impacts triggered by the proposed development at the following locations:
 - Finger Farm roundabout (Junction 3)
 - M1 Junction 24 (Junction 5)
 - A453/The Green (Junction 7)
- 12.2 Physical highway mitigation has therefore been proposed to address the impacts of the proposed development at the above first two junctions, with the aim of increasing capacity on the SRN and limiting additional impacts through the more sensitive parts of the network, particularly near Diseworth, Long Whatton, Castle Donington and Kegworth. **Sections 13** and **14** consider whether any further mitigation is required at the any other junctions considered within the study area for the TA, included the A453/The Green junction listed above.

Proposed Highway Works

- 12.3 **Section 6** of the TA summarises the proposed highway works, which include significant improvements to M1 Junction 24 and other improvements at the EMG1 access roundabout and Finger Farm roundabout. Full details of the layout of the proposed highway works can be found at **Documents DCO 2.8A**, **2.8B**, **2.8C** and **2.8D**.
- 12.4 It should be noted that following the Stage 2 modelling being undertaken, changes were made to the southwest corner of M1 Junction 24, including the removal of the segregated left turn lane from the A453 northbound to A50 westbound. This formed part of the iterative process in finalising the proposed highway mitigation scheme (including consultation with NH on the scheme geometry) and was considered in line with the VISSIM modelling presented later in this Section.
- 12.5 These changes in the southwest corner of M1 Junction 24 were therefore not included in the PRTM modelling but are not expected to fundamentally change the modelling results and would only result in additional capacity benefits. They will however be tested in PRTM 2023 as a sensitivity test now the model has been approved by NH. **Figure 29** shows an extract of the changes made at the southwest corner of M1 Junction 24.


Figure 29. M1 Junction 24 Highway Mitigation changes



12.6 The following section summarises the proposed highway mitigation and presents the results of the strategic highway modelling under Stages 2A and 2B as well as revised detailed modelling to show the benefits of the highway mitigation.

Stage 2A PRTM Modelling

- 12.7 AECOM issued the PRTM Forecasting Report Addendum for the Stage 2A modelling in June 2025 covering the 2028 and 2038 forecast years with development, with mitigation scenario. A copy of the Forecasting Report Addendum is included in **Appendix 67**.
- 12.8 The Forecasting Report Addendum compares key performance results between the Stage 1a without development and Stage 2a with development scenarios to understand the overall impacts of the **EMG2 Project** inclusive of the proposed highway mitigation, albeit excluding any reduction in traffic as a result of the proposed active travel works and Travel Planning measures, to provide a worst-case assessment.
- 12.9 The proposed highway mitigation described above was coded into PRTM. To 'unlock' the full benefits of the proposed mitigation, signal timings at the following two junctions were optimised in PRTM:
 - The signal head to the southwest of the Wilder's Way/A453/Kegworth bypass roundabout that controls the A453 northound traffic and the circulatory traffic.
 - The signal head to the northeast of M1 Junction 24 that controls the M1 southbound and circulatory traffic.
- 12.10 The optimisation of the signals was undertaken such that demand and delay were better balanced to reflect the additional road capacity and were based on outputs from the detailed VISSIM modelling i.e. by understanding the minimum/maximum green times.
- 12.11 The optimisation of these two junctions was performed over five iterations by reviewing the outputs and adjusting the green times each iteration.
- 12.12 A sixth iteration was assessed in PRTM that included optimising the Hilton Hotel Lane signalised junction with M1 Junction 24. The signals were optimised based on both forecast traffic demand volumes and outputs from the detailed VISSIM modelling. The



PRTM Forecasting Report Addendum states that "the forecast outputs from this sixth iteration were considered localised and have not been documented in this report however outputs have been provided to inform the VISSIM modelling". The flow differences as a result of this final iteration in PRTM are also included in **Appendix 67**.

- 12.13 The development trip generation for Plot 16 at EMG1 and EMG2 remained identical to the Stage 1 modelling and as per the values presented in **Table 13** of the TA. The PRTM Forecasting Report states that "as expected, the forecast trip distribution for Stage 2a (with mitigation) is very similar to Stage 1a (without mitigation) as reported in the Forecasting Report. How development traffic routes through the network is also very similar".
- 12.14 The PRTM Forecasting Report Addendum provides a number of network performance results including forecast flow changes between the Stage 1A without development and the Stage 2A with development, with mitigation scenarios. An extract from the 2038 morning peak hour is sown at **Figure 30**, although the results are similar in both peak hours.



Figure 30. Stage 2a Modelling PRTM Forecast Flow Changes in Morning Peak Hour

12.15 In summary, the results show the following forecast flow changes:

- The largest flow increases are forecast along the new M1 northbound to A50 link road, which is accommodating diverted traffic from the A453, Finger Farm and M1 Junction 24 and effectively operating as planned.
- There is an increase of up to 400 PCUs on the M1 southbound off-slip to Junction 24, which is a result of capacity increases on this arm.



- There is forecast to be an increase in traffic on the A6 Kegworth Bypass as a result of less traffic and lower delays at the EMG1 access roundabout, which is being diverted along the new link road.
- There is forecast to be a reduction in northbound traffic on the A453 at Finger Farm, whilst southbound A453 traffic is predicted to increase, as expected, because of development traffic to the EMG2 Main Site.
- 12.16 The PRTM Forecast Report Addendum provides a revised AoI and compares this against the Stage 1a AoI. An extract of the AoI is shown in **Figure 31** which shows that it is largely similar, albeit it does not extend as far into Derbyshire and the A50 corridor in particular.



Figure 31. Stage 2a Modelling PRTM Area of Influence

- 12.17 The PRTM modelling also generates results showing forecast delay changes and shows the following changes:
 - There will be a natural delay on the A50 where vehicles join from the new link road because there is no junction there at present.
 - Delays are predicted to decrease on all circulatory lanes of M1 Junction 24 for all forecast year scenarios in both peak hours. There are also predicted to be reduced delays on the M1 southbound off-slip.
 - Delays are predicted to decrease at the EMG1 access roundabout in all scenarios.
 - Delays are predicted to remain unchanged at Finger Farm roundabout in all scenarios, except for the eastbound approach which is expected to see a decrease in delays during the 2038 morning peak hour.



- The PRTM modelling suggests there could be delays on the A453 to the east of the EMG2 Main Site as a result of the new Toucan crossing, albeit these would be 'transiant' in nature. That is because, as set out in paragraph 3.6.11 of the forecasting report, it is "demand dependent and this demand dependency cannot be accurately reflected in the EMFM". This has therfore been considered in further detail as part of the VISSIM modelling in the next section.
- 12.18 The PRTM Forecasting Report Addendum provided node V/C ratios showing locations where forecast flows are approaching or exceeding capacity. The left part of the circle shows the Stage 1a without development V/C ratio, whilst the right part of the circle shows the Stage 2a with development, with mitigation V/C ratio. The values presented reflect the worst-case node. **Figure 32** shows the results for the 2038 forecast year for both the AM and PM peak periods.



Figure 32. Stage 2a Modelling Volume-Capacity Ratios

12.19 The PRTM Forecasting Report Addendum provides the following summary:

- The V/C ratios at M1 Junction 24 decrease slightly overall.
- In the morning peak hour, the M1 northbound off-slip and A453 Remembrance Way nodes at M1 Junction 24 that are forecast to have higher V/C ratios, which is a result of additional capacity being created on the gyratory and traffic travelling towards the EMG2 Main Site.
- The V/C ratios at the EMG1 access roundabout are predicted to generally decrease in both forecast years.
- The V/C ratios are predicted to decrease at Finger Farm roundabout in the morning peak hour in both forecast years. There are predicted to be increases in the V/C ratios in the PM peak hour due to higher volumes of traffic forecast on the A453 to the west of Finger Farm.



12.20 **Tables 55** and **56** summarise the vehicle kilometres and junction delay (VehHrs) across both the SRN and non SRN in PRTM comparing the outputs from Stage 1a and 2a modelling. It should be noted that they are based on iteration 5 of the modelling, which excludes the optimisation of the Hilton Hotel Lane node at M1 Junction 24.

Table 55. PRTM Modelling Network Statistics for Strategic Road Network

Scenario	Year/Peak	Aol Vehkm (km)	Junction Delay VehHrs		
Stage 1a Without Development	2038 AM	271,128	1,485		
Stage 1a With Development	2038 AM	271,242	1,569		
Stage 2a (Mitigation Measures (no optimisation))	2038 AM	273,032	1,538		
Stage 2a (Mitigation Measures Iteration 5)	2038 AM	273,203	1,506		
Stage 1a Without Development	2038 PM	290,953	1,295		
Stage 1a With Development	2038 PM	294,263	1,372		
Stage 2a (Mitigation Measures (no optimisation))	2038 PM	296,272	1,404		
Stage 2a (Mitigation Measures Iteration 5)	2038 PM	295,418	1,345		
Stage 1a Without Development	2038 AM + PM	562,081	2,780		
Stage 1a With Development	2038 AM + PM	565,506	2,941		
Stage 2a (Mitigation Measures (no optimisation))	2038 AM + PM	569,303	2,941		
Stage 2a (Mitigation Measures Iteration 5)	2038 AM + PM	568,621	2,850		
Stage 1a With Development Minus Stage 1a Without Development (AM)		115	84		
Stage 2a With Development Minus Stage 1a Without Development (AM)		2,076	21		
Stage 1a With Development Minus Stage 1a Without Development (PM)		3,310	77		
Stage 2a With Development Minus Stage 1a Without Development (PM)		5,319	109		
		% compared to 1a 'Without Development'			
Stage 1a Without Development	2038 AM + PM	0.0%	0.0%		
Stage 1a With Development	2038 AM + PM	0.6%	5.8%		
Stage 2a (Mitigation Measures (no optimisation))	2038 AM + PM	1.3%	5.8%		
Stage 2a (Mitigation Measures Iteration 5)	2038 AM + PM	1.2%	3.9%		

Table 56. PRTM Modelling Network Statistics for non Strategic Road Network

Scenario	Year/Peak	Aol Vehkm (km)	Junction Delay Vehhr
Stage 1a Without Development	2038 AM	466,669	4,699
Stage 1a With Development	2038 AM	471,838	4,928
Stage 2a (Mitigation Measures (no optimisation))	2038 AM	468,677	4,846
Stage 2a (Mitigation Measures Iteration 5)	2038 AM	468,754	4,721
Stage 1a Without Development	2038 PM	493,185	5,129
Stage 1a With Development	2038 PM	500,628	5,312
Stage 2a (Mitigation Measures (no optimisation))	2038 PM	499,340	5,340
Stage 2a (Mitigation Measures Iteration 5)	2038 PM	499,411	5,269
Stage 1a Without Development	2038 AM + PM	959,854	9,828
Stage 1a With Development	2038 AM + PM	972,466	10,240
Stage 2a (Mitigation Measures (no optimisation))	2038 AM + PM	968,017	10,186
Stage 2a (Mitigation Measures Iteration 5)	2038 AM + PM	968,165	9,990
Stage 1a With Development Minus Stage 1a Without Development (AM)		5,169	229
Stage 2a With Development Minus Stage 1a Without Development (AM)		2,085	22
Stage 1a With Development Minus Stage 1a Without Development (PM)		7,443	183
Stage 2a With Development Minus Stage 1a Without Development (PM)		6,155	211
		% compar	red to 1a 'Without Development'
Stage 1a Without Development	2038 AM + PM	0.0%	0.0%
Stage 1a With Development	2038 AM + PM	1.3%	4.2%
Stage 2a (Mitigation Measures (no optimisation))	2038 AM + PM	0.9%	3.6%
Stage 2a (Mitigation Measures Iteration 5)	2038 AM + PM	0.9%	1.6%

12.21 The results show that the number of kilometres driven on the SRN increases as a direct result of the proposed highway mitigation, increasing by 1,960 kilometres from 271,242 kilometres in the Stage 1A with development scenario to 273,202 kilometres in the Stage 2A scenario during the morning peak hour. There is also a similar increase in the evening peak hour.

- 12.22 With regards to the number of kilometres driven on the local road network, these would decrease as a direct result of the proposed highway mitigation when compared to the Stage 1A scenario, decreasing by 3,084 kilometres from 471,838 kilometres in the Stage 1a with development scenario to 468,754 kilometres in the Stage 2A scenario during the morning peak hour. There is also a similar decrease in the evening peak hour.
- 12.23 This shows that, as intended, the proposed highway mitigation is attracting more traffic to the SRN, which would otherwise use the local road network.
- 12.24 Whilst junction delay and average speeds increase when undertaking the same comparisons on the SRN, AECOM confirmed that not only are they high level and indicative, that also if vehicle kilometres increase, the total delay is going to increase, even if average delay per vehicle remains the same. This is examined in further detail in the subsequent sections with regards to the more detailed VISSIM modelling.
- 12.25 Building on the above, and the revised AoI in particular, **Table 31** within **Section 8** of the TA identified a study area of 16 junctions (from an initial list of 27 junctions) by comparing V/C ratios and the change in traffic flows using outputs from the Stage 1A PRTM modelling. These 16 junctions have been assessed and mitigated where there are forecast to be impacts triggered by the proposed development.
- 12.26 The Stage 2A modelling outputs from PRTM, inclusive of the proposed highway mitigation, have been used to revisit the same exercise and compare the V/C ratios and changes in traffic flows at the same 16 junctions to understand whether any of the previous conclusions change. **Appendix 68** includes an updated spreadsheet confirming that there would be an overall reduction in traffic flows compared to the Stage 1A without development scenario at the following 10 junctions as a result of the proposed highway mitigation:
 - Junction 3 Finger Farm roundabout
 - Junction 4 A453/EMG1 roundabout
 - Junction 5 M1 Junction 24
 - Junction 6 A453/East Midlands Airport signal junction (morning peak only)
 - Junction 9 A453/East Midlands Airport roundabout (morning peak only)
 - Junction 10 A453/Walton Hill signal junction (morning peak only)
 - Junction 12 M1 Junction 23
 - Junction 13 A50 Junction 1
 - Junction 14 M1 Junction 25
 - Junction 15 Station Road/Broad Rushes roundabout.
- 12.27 The other six junctions, listed below for completeness, are predicted to operate within capacity, with V/C ratios of less than 90% based on the Stage 2A PRTM results:
 - Junction 2 A453/Hunter Road roundabout (worst-case V/C of 86% on any given arm)
 - Junction 7 A453/Grimes Gate priority junction (worst-case V/C of 69% on any given arm)

- Junction 8 A453/The Green priority junction (worst-case V/C of 48% on any given arm albeit noting subsequent commentary in **Section 13**)
- Junction 11 A42 Junction 14/Top Brand/Gelscoe Lane junction (worst-case V/C of 40% on any given arm)
- Junction 16 A453/Kegworth Road dumbbell roundabouts (junction falls outside the Aol)
- Junction 17 A453/Barton Lane/West Leake Lane dumbbell roundabouts (junction falls outside the AoI)
- 12.28 The Stage 2A PRTM modelling therefore demonstrates how the proposed highway mitigation would have significant improvements overall at all 16 junctions included in the original study area. This is either by reducing traffic flows when compared to the Stage 1A without development scenario or by ensuring they would continue to operate within capacity.
- 12.29 The spreadsheet at **Appendix 68** also shows how there would be no significant impacts at the remaining 11 junctions that were originally considered but disregarded from the study area. This means there are no changes to the previous conclusions or any requirement for additional modelling.
- 12.30 The Stage 2A PRTM Forecasting Report showed high V/C ratios i.e. over 95% at the following five additional junctions not considered to date:
 - i) Nottingham Road/Willowcroft Road, Spondon, Derby
 - ii) Derby Road/Nottingham Road Gyratory, Derby (city centre)
 - iii) High Street/Park Lane, Castle Donington
 - iv) A6 Derby Road/Whatton Road, Loughborough
 - v) Derby Road/Bishop Meadow Road, Loughborough.
- 12.31 A separate table is also included at **Appendix 68** showing the change in V/C ratios between the Stage 1A without development and Stage 2A scenarios. It confirms how V/C ratios would either improve or are expected to experience a negligible increase, hence not triggering the need for any further detailed assessment at the five junctions listed above.
- 12.32 Overall, it can be concluded that the proposed highway mitigation would result in beneficial impacts across all junctions in the vicinity of the site. Should the study area have been determined using the Stage 2A PRTM outputs, as suggested by LCountyC and discussed at previous TWG meetings, then it would have reduced versus that considered in this TA. Nevertheless, this provides a robust assessment, and detailed capacity assessments for all 16 junctions included in the original study area in **Section 13** should provide comfort and evidence highlighting the impacts across a larger study area.

Stage 2B PRTM Modelling

12.33 The PRTM Forecasting Report Addendum for the Stage 2B modelling work was not available at the time this version of the TA was produced and will be included in the final version of the TA to inform the DCO/MCO submission.

COBALT Assessment

- 12.34 **Appendix 69** includes for the COBALT Assessment (EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0020 Revision P1), undertaken in accordance with the COBALT Methodology Note prepared in May 2025 (Technical Note EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0018 Revision P1) included in **Appendix 18**, to which comments were received from NH.
- 12.35 In summary, the COBALT assessment concludes that, overall, the EMG2 Project, including the proposed highway mitigation, provides a benefit to the local and Strategic Road Networks in terms of road safety. When reviewing the impacts in more detail, it is forecast that initially there would be a negligible impact as a result of the **EMG2 Project** on the links assessed, but this would improve in the future year of 2038 with a number of the links seeing beneficial impacts.
- 12.36 Similarly, at the junctions assessed, the **EMG2 Project** would initially result in negligible and beneficial impacts, with the exception of the A453/Hunter Road site access roundabout and M1 Junction 24, albeit the rate of collisions at M1 Junction 24 would only increase by 0.1 per year, hence no noticeable change. The rate and severity of collisions is however expected to improve in the future year of 2038, across all the junctions assessed showing as having a beneficial impact with the mitigation scheme included for.
- 12.37 Section 4 of the TA highlighted three locations on the surrounding network where there could be existing safety problems. The following provides a summary of the COBALT assessment at these three junctions:
 - A453/A6/EMG1 access junction The COBALT assessment shows how there would be a beneficial impact on highway safety at both 2028 and 2038. This is due to traffic flows decreasing because a larger proportion of traffic is transferred to the M1 and new free flow link to the A50 westbound. Furthermore, the issue between conflicting movements between the A6 westbound and A453 southbound has been resolved through the introduction of an additional circulatory lane which allows traffic on the rundabout to clear before traffic from the A6 is released.
 - M1 Junction 24 The COBALT assessment shows there would be a marginal increase of 0.1 collisions per year across the entire junction in 2028, with a beneficial impact occurring at 2038. Whilst this is positive, the safety issue was identified on the M1 northbound off-slip and the new free flow link reduces traffic flows on this arm thereby reducing queueing. This should improve highway safety by limiting the risk of rear end shunt collisions. Furthermore, the changes to the A50 westbound weaving section should address the safety concerns raised during the first public consultation.

- A453/The Green The COBALT assessment shows how there would be a negligible impact on the rate of collisions in 2028 and a beneficial impact at 2038. This is due to capacity improvements being created at Finger Farm allowing a larger proportion of traffic to route via the A42 and M1 Junction 23A.
- 12.38 In summary, the COBALT assessment and proposed highway mitigation shows how the EMG2 Project would have beneficial impacts on highway safety at the above three locations and assist in addressing any existing safety issues.

Revised Furnessing methodology

- 12.39 Section 9 set out the furnessing approach used to derive future forecast traffic flows. As set out an updated version of the Modelling Furnessing Approach Technical Note document EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0004 Revision P6 (Appendix 46), has been produced setting out a minor amendment/extension to the methodlogy agreed with NH and NCountyC to date.
- 12.40 That is because, upon receiving the Stage 2 outputs, and furnessing the traffic flows using the agreed Option 4 methodology, with it being based on the survey turning proportions, it was not encapsulating the rerouting of traffic due to the mitigation strategy and provided unrealistic O-D Matrices.
- 12.41 As a result, an alternative methodology has been applied to the Stage 2 furnessing, which is, instead of the target flows being just the total of each link proportioned against the survey, each turning movement is to be the target flow using the formula (Forecast PRTM 2022 PRTM Base) + 2022 Survey based on the cordon VISSIM output O-D for each forecast assessment year. This is set out in greater detail in the Modelling Furnessing Approach Technical Note document EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0004 Revision P6 (**Appendix 46**) and is an extension to the agreed methodology.
- 12.42 The principle of this was set out in the Transport Working Group Modelling meeting on 5 June 2025. In summary, this should be acceptable because it provides a more detailed assessment, furnessing at a turning count level, and allows the rerouting of traffic to be taken into consideration. This therefore provides a more sensible and realistic set of data to include within the Stage 2 VISSIM modelling work considered in **Section 13** and **14** for Stages 2A and 2B respectively.

13. OFF-SITE IMPACT ASSESSMENTS: CORE SCENARIO (STAGE 2A MODELLING)

Introduction

- 13.1 The following section presents the results of the detailed junction modelling assessments for the core Stage 2A forecast year scenarios using VISSIM, Junctions 11 and LinSig software at all 16 junctions when taking into consideration the mitigation strategy. As required by the Highway Authorities this includes draft local plan allocations and part of the Ratcliffe on Soar Power Station development but without any mitigation which is likely to accompany those developments, because it is unknown at this stage.
- 13.2 Similar to the Stage 1A and 1B assessments, the 'with development' scenarios continue to manually assign the development traffic on top of furnessed without development flows for robustness and to test the true impacts of the devleopment to avoid any background traffic re-assignment.
- 13.3 The summary tables retain the Stage A modelling results presented in **Section 10** for comparison and ease of reference

Junctions 2 to 5 (VISSIM Network)

Introduction

- 13.4 BWB have produced a VISSIM Forecast Modelling report (BWB document EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0019_VISSIM Modelling Forecast Report-S2_P1) which sets out the forecast VISSIM modelling results in detail, a copy of which is included with **Appendix 47**.
- 13.5 In addition, the Geometric Design Stategy Record for the works on the SRN, Appendix27, provides an assessment of the following aspects in accordance with DMRB CD 122:
 - M1 J24 northbound diverge layout;
 - M1 J24A northbound diverge layout (proposed diverge to the A50);
 - M1 J23A to 24 northbound weaving;
 - M1 northbound to A50 westbound link cross-section; and
 - A50 westbound merge where the link from the M1 northbound joins the link from the J24 signalised roundabout.
- 13.6 The following details within this TA provide a summary of the Network Performance results to provide an overview of the impacts of the development with the proposed mitigation on the VISSIM network.

Network Performance

13.7 **Table 57** below sets out the high level network performance comparison on all scenarios for 2028, as the year of opening of the development, which is NH's key assessment year. this compares 'without development' (WoD), 'with development' (WD), and 'with development with mitigation' (WDMit) scenarios.

Peak	Scenario	Delay (seconds)	Speed (mph)	Vehicles Arriving	Latent Demand
	WoD	126	39.5	20,826	127
	WD	198	32.8	21,083	290
AM	WD - WoD	72	-6.7	257	163
	WDMit	68	46.4	22,308	2
	WDMit - WoD	-58	6.9	1,482	-125
	WoD	68	47.3	21,314	6
	WD	101	42.4	22,018	269
F7VI	WD - WoD	32	-4.9	704	263
	WDMit	79	45.6	22,742	5
	WDMit - WoD	10	-1.7	1,428	-1

Table 57: 2028 Network Performance Comparison – Stage 2A

- 13.8 When comparing the results of the 'with development' scenario including for mitigation against the 'without development' scenario, the average delay reduces significantly in the morning peak hour (58 seconds), and the average speed and vehicles arriving increases significantly (+6.9mph and 1,482 vehicles respectively). The latent demand reduces considerably as a result (-125 vehicles). In the evening peak hour, the average delay increases slightly by 10 seconds, but from a very low base (the evening peak operates far better overall than the morning peak hour, which has always been the key peak hour of the two assessed). The average speed decreases slightly by 1.7mph, albeit from a high base (47.3mph). the number of vehicles arriving in the network increases by 1,428 vehicles, and the latent demand drops to -1.
- 13.9 **Table 58** below sets out the network performance comparison on all scenarios for 2038.

Peak	Scenario	Delay (seconds)	Speed (mph)	Vehicles Arriving	Latent Demand
	WoD	225	31.2	21,747	313
	WD	266	28.3	22,112	541
AM	WD - WoD	41	-2.9	365	228
	WDMit	154	36.4	23,139	2
	WDMit - WoD	-69	5.1	1,392	-311
	WoD	133	39.5	22,220	508
DAA	WD	161	36.2	22,648	1,171
E IVI	WD - WoD	28	-3.3	428	663
	WDMit	112	41.5	23,379	10
	WDMit - WoD	-21	2.0	1,159	-498

Table 58: 2038 Network Performance Comparison – Stage 2A

13.10 Similarly to the 2028 assessment, the 2038 results show that the average delay reduces, considerably still in the morning peak hour (69 seconds) and in the evening peak hour (21 seconds) as it becomes more congested over the passage of time average speeds increase in both peak hours, the number of vehicles that enter the model increases, and latent demand reduces.

- 13.11 As set out in the Trip Generation Core Assessment Technical Note at **Appendix 11**, and paragraphs 7.11 to 7.13 above, it was agreed that a sensitivity test is undertaken that manually re-assigns HGVs between EMG2 and the EMG1 rail freight terminal. This information will be included in the DCO/MCO applications but is not expected to change the conclusions of the VISSIM assessment work and hence proposed mitigation scheme as a result.
- 13.12 In summary, the results show that, with the comprehensive mitigation strategy included for the highway network within the VISSIM model, extending from the EMG2 site access to M1 Junction 24, would, overall, provide significant benefit. When considered the key areas within the network in greater detail, in summary:
 - i) A453/Hunter Road/EMG2 site access roundabout traffic would be able to safely enter and exit the EMG2 site in any given scenario; vehicles would struggle to exit Hunter Road without the mitigation measures included for; hence the proposals provide betterment on said arm.
 - ii) Toucan crossing on the A453 this operates within capacity when called, without any negative blocking back to either roundabout east or west.
 - iii) Finger Farm the gyratory works well once the in mitigation is in place, as there are far less vehicles wanting to use the A453 when comparing to the base scenarios once the new M1 to A50 link road is included for.
 - iv) A453/Kegworth Bypass/EMG1 site access gyratory while there is not an inherit capacity issue at EMG1 site access gyratory, the addition of a second right turn lane from the A453 southbound into EMG1 in affect future proofs the junction by increasing storage capacity within it and should also help alleviate the potential collision issue raised, as and the extra lane will help prevent vehicles from trying to manoeuvre around any queuing vehicles to enter the preceding two lane section into EMG1.
 - v) M1 Junction 24 diverge slip roads in the base scenarios there is severe queuing forecast on both the M1 diverge slip road approaches, with vehicles queuing back onto the mainline to M1 Junction 23A to the south in the morning peak hour in particular, With the mitigation strategy included for, there is still queuing back onto the M1 mainline, but this is vastly improved, especially on the northbound approach, where the queue does not prejudice the operation of the proposed M1 northbound to A50 westbound link road and allows vehicles to access it. It is also worth reminding that the Stage 2A assessment work includes for all of the Ratcliffe on Soar Power Station redevelopment traffic in the baseline but not any mitigation, because it is unknown at this stage of the process. Either way, the improved operation will help improve the PIC record observed on the M1 northbound diverge slip road.
 - vi) M1 Junction 24 circulatory links the inclusion of the additional lane on the western side of the junction increases capacity as it allows for more vehicles to access the junction and manoeuvre through it quicker. This in turn allows the MOVA at the junction to assign more green time to the busier arms (M1 southbound/A50, A453 Remembrance Way, and M1 northbound approaches),



allowing for additional vehicles to enter the model. For the avoidance of doubt, the mitigation does not go as far as resolving all capacity issues at M1 Junction 24, especially on the A453 Remembrance Way arm and M1 southbound and A50 approach, but neither does it prejudice its operation either, over and above the congestion anticipated if the Ratcliffe on Soar Power Station is fully redeveloped in Stage 2A but without any further mitigation included for at this stage of the process (because it is not currently known).

vii) New M1 to A50 link road – whilst this introduces another conflict point, as result the removal of the current two to one merge on the exit from M1 Junction 24 to provide two full lanes, and the merge with the separated left turn lane, it reduces the number of conflict points overall. This in turn helps the A50 heading west from M1 Junction 24, including for the new link road merge, to operate safely and within capacity.

Junction 6 – A453/East Midlands Airport Signal Junction

13.13 The agreed base LinSig model for the A453/East Midlands Airport signal junction has been tested for capacity using the Stage 2A forecast year flows. **Appendix 48** contains the LinSig output data, whilst **Table 59** summarises the results.



Table 59 A453/F	ast Midlands	Airport LinSig	Summary	/ Results -	Stage 24
		All poin Linisig	, 30mmu)	/ Kesons -	Juge ZA

	Wee	kday AM	Peak	Weekday PM Peak		
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)
	2028 foreca	st year 'w	ithout deve	lopment'		
Arm 1 – EMA Access	6.2	35	61.5	6.2	22.2	45.2
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	7.8	6.0	60.9	4.5	6.6	35.7
Arm 3 – A453 (W)	10.1	16.7	61.6	6.5	19.4	45.5
	PRC ove	er all lanes	; = 46.1%	PRC ove	er all lanes =	97.9%
	2038 foreca	st year 'w	ithout deve	lopment'		
Arm 1 – EMA Access	12.6	32.9	70.9	8.2	25.4	56.6
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	10.5	10.9	70.4	5.4	7.8	38.5
Arm 3 – A453 (W)	15.5	22.3	71.4	10.3	21.1	61.4
	PRC ov	er all lane	es = 26%	PRC ove	er all lanes =	46.5%
	2028 forec	ast year '	with develo	pment'		
Arm 1 – EMA Access	7.4	44.5	72.0	5.1	19.3	41.3
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	8.4	10.5	74.7	7.6	10.3	47.0
Arm 3 – A453 (W)	16.9	17.2	9.9	6.4	21.5	46.2
	PRC over all lanes = 20.4%			PRC ove	er all lanes =	91.7%
	2038 forec	ast year '	with develo	pment'		
Arm 1 – EMA Access	15.1	59.0	86.9	7.8	19.8	63.0
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	11.4	20.5	86.1	10.1	13.1	56.4
Arm 3 – A453 (W)	13.6	25.2	87.3	9.1	26.7	61.9
	PRC o'	ver all lane	es = 3%	PRC ov	er all lanes	= 43%
2028 fc	precast yea	r ' <mark>with dev</mark>	elopment' '	with Mitigatio	n'	
Arm 1 – EMA Access	6.0	41.3	66.9	5.2	19.8	47.9
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	7.9	7.9	69.4	7.8	10.9	47.8
Arm 3 – A453 (W)	14.4	16.5	70.1	6.5	21.6	46.9
	PRC ove	er all lanes	5 = 28.3%	PRC ove	er all lanes =	87.9%
2038 fc	precast yea	r 'with dev	elopment' '	with Mitigatio	on'	
Arm 1 – EMA Access	10.5	44.9	77.6	7.7	20.4	62.2
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	9.4	13.6	80.2	10.7	13.4	58.6
Arm 3 – A453 (W)	22.5	21.5	79.9	9.3	25.9	61.3
	PRC over all lanes = 12.2% PRC over all lanes = 44.8%					44.8%

13.14 The results show that the junction is predicted to continue to operate within capacity during all scenarios in both peak hours. Therefore, it can be concluded that the existing junction layout will remain suitable to accommodate the forecast year traffic flows without the need for any mitigating improvements.

Junction 7 – A453/Grimes Gate Priority Junction

13.15 The agreed base Junctions 11 model for the A453/Grimes Gate priority junction has been tested for capacity using the Stage 2A forecast year flows. **Appendix 49** includes the Junctions 11 output data, whilst **Table 60** summarises the modelling results.

dble 00.A433/ Onines Odle	Wee	ekday AM P	eak	We	ekday PM P	eak	
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC	
20	28 foreca	st year 'with	nout deve	lopment'			
Stream B-C – Grimes Gate	0.0	6.51	0.02	0.0	6.75	0.02	
Stream B-A – Grimes Gate	0.3	10.36	0.24	0.1	8.63	0.08	
Stream C-AB – A453 (W)	0.0	3.89	0.02	0.0	4.75	0.04	
20	38 foreca	st year 'with	nout deve	lopment'			
Stream B-C – Grimes Gate	0.0	7.27	0.03	0.0	7.36	0.03	
Stream B-A – Grimes Gate	0.5	12.77	0.33	0.2	11.35	0.17	
Stream C-AB – A453 (W)	0.0	3.88	0.02	0.1	4.29	0.06	
:	2028 forec	ast year 'w	ith develo	pment'			
Stream B-C – Grimes Gate	0.0	6.74	0.01	0.0	7.34	0.03	
Stream B-A – Grimes Gate	0.6	13.05	0.36	0.1	9.72	0.10	
Stream C-AB – A453 (W)	0.0	3.45	0.03	0.0	5.00	0.05	
:	2038 forec	ast year 'w	ith develo	pment'			
Stream B-C – Grimes Gate	0.0	7.79	0.03	0.0	8.44	0.04	
Stream B-A – Grimes Gate	0.9	16.82	0.47	0.2	13.42	0.17	
Stream C-AB – A453 (W)	0.1	3.48	0.04	0.1	4.82	0.06	
2028 fore	ecast year	' 'with deve	lopment' '	with Mitigati	on'		
Stream B-C – Grimes Gate	0.0	6.75	0.01	0.0	7.51	0.03	
Stream B-A – Grimes Gate	0.5	12.52	0.34	0.1	10.08	0.10	
Stream C-AB – A453 (W)	0.0	3.57	0.03	0.1	4.96	0.05	
2038 forecast year 'with development' 'with Mitigation'							
Stream B-C – Grimes Gate	0.0	7.55	0.02	0.0	8.54	0.04	
Stream B-A – Grimes Gate	0.8	15.93	0.44	0.2	13.58	0.16	
Stream C-AB – A453 (W)	0.0	3.40	0.02	0.1	4.85	0.06	

Table 60.A453/Grimes Gate Junctions 11 Summary Results – Stage 2A

13.16 The results show that the junction is predicted to continue to operate well within capacity during all scenarios in both peak hours. Therefore, it can be concluded that the existing junction layout will remain suitable to accommodate the forecast year traffic flows without the need for any mitigating improvements.

Junction 8 – A453/The Green Priority Junction

13.17 The agreed base Junctions 11 model for the A453/The Green priority junction has been tested for capacity using the Stage 2A forecast year flows. **Appendix 50** includes the Junctions 11 output data, whilst **Table 61** summarises the modelling results.

	We	ekday AM	Peak	We	ekday PM Po	eak	
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC	
	2028 forec	ast year 'w	ithout devel	lopment'			
Steam B-AC – The Green	5.9	49.47	0.88	1.0	14.84	0.50	
Stream C-AB – A453 (W)	0.7	4.88	0.25	0.5	5.92	0.25	
	2038 forec	ast year 'w	ithout devel	lopment'			
Steam B-AC – The Green	6.1	56.79	0.88	5.3	60.80	0.87	
Stream C-AB – A453 (W)	1.2	5.92	0.38	7.9	26.89	0.85	
	2028 fore	ecast year '	with develo	pment'			
Steam B-AC – The Green	122.9	800.13	1.39	1.1	17.92	0.53	
Stream C-AB – A453 (W)	0.6	4.58	0.24	0.6	6.78	0.30	
	2038 fore	ecast year '	with develo	pment'			
Steam B-AC – The Green	172.0	1153.41	1.54	28.8	321.69	1.26	
Stream C-AB – A453 (W)	1.2	5.76	0.39	53.0	204.22	1.11	
2028 forecast year 'with development' 'with Mitigation'							
Steam B-AC – The Green	40.2	243.49	1.13	1.2	20.55	0.56	
Stream C-AB – A453 (W)	0.7	4.53	0.24	1.1	8.27	0.42	
2038 forecast year 'with development' 'with Mitigation'							
Steam B-AC – The Green	72.9	505.43	1.28	25.2	276.97	1.18	
Stream C-AB – A453 (W)	0.7	4.37	0.22	31.0	129.44	1.03	

Table 61. A453/The Green Junctions 11 Summary Results – Stage 2A

- 13.18 The results show that the junction would operate within capacity at the 2028 forecast year without development, but capacity issues start to be noted on The Green arm in the 2038 forecast year in the morning peak hour, with or without development, where the RFC starts to exceed 0.85, albeit only just. In the 2038 evening peak hour, the development also triggers impacts on the both The Green and A453 (W) arms.
- 13.19 With the mitigation included for, focused on the Strategic Road Network, the impacts at the junction reduce, which adds to the conclusion in **Section 12** that it helps reduce traffic overall on the local highway network. However, The Green is still forecast to operate over capacity in both the morning and evening peak hours, with the A453 (W) and The Green arms still forecast to operate over capacity in the latter. However, there would be a significant improvement in queuing on The Green, reducing from 123 PCUs to 40 PCUs in the morning peak hour in 2028 and 172 PCUs to 73 PCUs in 2038.
- 13.20 Whilst the Junctions 11 modelling suggests that the junction would operate over capacity, the junction is predicted to operate within capacity in PRTM. Whilst speed curves have been included for in the PRTM modelling, for example, it is understood that further geometric parameters, such as the crest to the east of the junction on the A453, cannot be accurately reflected at such a high level of strategic modelling.
- 13.21 The PIC analysis identified a safety problem at this junction, albeit the rate of PICs has reduced in more recent years following more signage being installed. The proposed highway mitigation seeks to increase capacity at Finger Farm and the A453 corridor, with the aim of making this a more attractive route and discouraging traffic travelling towards the EMG2 Main Site and East Midlands Airport to route via The Green.

- 13.22 In reality it is therefore envisaged that more strategic traffic looking to route via the A453/The Green junction in PRTM will instead take advantage of the increased capacity on the Strategic Road Network, and Finger Farm in particular, to access the site and Hunter Road to the north to and from the east rather than west. Some more local traffic may use Grimes Gate instead of The Green still.
- 13.23 Either way, from the first statutory consultation, feedback was received from local residents who asked that capacity improvements not be proposed at junctions leading towards Diseworth so as not to encourage higher traffic flows in the vicinity of the village. This aligns with the principle of the mitigation strategy seeking to promote further use of the Strategic Road Network rather than local roads in the vicinity of the site.
- 13.24 Hence no mitigation is proposed at the A453/The Green junction, albeit this will also be tested using the Stage 2B forecast flows, which excludes the traffic generated by the draft Local Plan allocations. This information is presented in **Section 14**.

Junction 9 – A453/East Midlands Airport Roundabout

- 13.25 The Stage 1 modelling results showed that the junction would exceed capacity in Stage 1A but operate well within capacity in Stage 1B. This confirms that the capacity problems are being driven by the Isley Woodhouse development.
- 13.26 Nevertheless, the agreed base Junctions 11 model for the A453/East Midlands Airport roundabout has been tested for capacity using the Stage 2A forecast year flows. Appendix 51 includes the Junctions 11 output data, whilst Table 62 summarises the results.

Table 62. A453/East Midlands Airport Roundabout Junctions 11 Summary R	Results –
Stage 2A	

	Wee	Weekday AM Peak		We	Weekday PM Peak				
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC			
2028 forecast year 'without development'									
Arm 1 – Walton Hill	0.2	4.69	0.12	0.7	5.0	0.35			
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	1.0	5.41	0.34	1.3	6.27	0.35			
Arm 3 – A453 (W)	8.0	32.18	0.89	1.5	10.47	0.51			
	2038 forec	ast year 'w	ithout deve	lopment'					
Arm 1 – Walton Hill	0.4	4.85	0.16	1.1	6.34	0.44			
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	1.1	5.47	0.35	1.6	6.23	0.42			
Arm 3 – A453 (W)	56.3	166.82	1.11	6.3	24.5	0.84			
	2028 fore	ecast year '	with develo	pment'					
Arm 1 – Walton Hill	0.3	4.77	0.13	0.6	4.9	0.35			
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	1.0	5.54	0.31	1.4	5.97	0.4			
Arm 3 – A453 (W)	25.9	84.01	0.99	1.2	8.31	0.45			
	2038 fore	ecast year '	with develo	pment'					
Arm 1 – Walton Hill	0.4	4.84	0.17	1.0	6.5	0.46			
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	0.8	5.28	0.33	1.6	6.6	0.45			
Arm 3 – A453 (W)	74.3	229.49	1.15	6.1	23.86	0.84			
2028	forecast ye	ar 'with dev	elopment' '	with Mitigati	on'				
Arm 1 – Walton Hill	0.2	4.82	0.12	1.2	5.05	0.35			
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	1.0	5.44	0.33	1.4	6.02	0.39			
Arm 3 – A453 (W)	16.7	57.19	0.95	1.1	9.46	0.52			
2038 forecast year 'with development' 'with Mitigation'									
Arm 1 – Walton Hill	0.3	4.79	0.15	1.0	6.34	0.44			
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	0.9	5.18	0.33	1.4	6.43	0.43			
Arm 3 – A453 (W)	69.9	196.36	1.12	4.7	19.71	0.79			

- 13.27 The results show that the junction would continue to operate over capacity in all scenarios during the morning peak hour on the A453 (W) arm, albeit the changes in traffic flows associated with the proposed development, including for mitigation, will result in a negligible impact. The junction would operate within capacity in all scenarios during the evening peak hour.
- 13.28 As set out in **Sections 10** and **11**, this junction forms part of the site access strategy to the Isley Woodhouse settlement and is expected to undergo significant improvements to accommodate this development and other planned schemes. The issue with capacity is a result of the background traffic from Isley Woodhouse in particular being included in PRTM modelling but none of the physical infrastructure (i.e. mitigation) which will inevitably be required to accommodate that development.
- 13.29 To understand this further and build on the conclusions of the Stage 1B modelling in Section 11, the A453/East Midlands Airport roundabout will also be tested using the Stage 2B forecast flows, which excludes the traffic generated by the draft Local Plan allocations. This information is presented in **Section 14**.

Junction 10 – A453/Walton Hill Signal Junction

- 13.30 The Stage 1 modelling results showed that the junction would exceed capacity in Stage 1A but operate well within capacity in Stage 1B. This confirms that the capacity problems are being driven by the Isley Woodhouse development.
- 13.31 Nevertheless, the agreed base LinSig model for the A453/Walton Hill signal-controlled junction has been tested for capacity using the Stage 2A forecast year flows. Appendix 52 includes the LinSig output data, whilst Table 63 summarises the modelling results.

	Weekday AM Peak			Weekday PM Peak		
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)
	2028 foreco	ıst year 'w	ithout deve	lopment'		
Arm 1 – Local Road (N)	10.4	19.3	71.3	9.5	19.9	68.3
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	8.1	24.0	61.0	9.7	23.8	66.6
Arm 3 – Walton Hill	8.5	27.9	69.5	5.0	31.1	5.0
	PRC ove	er all lanes	5 = 26.2%	PRC ov	er all lanes :	= 31.8%
	2038 foreco	ıst year 'w	ithout deve	lopment'		
Arm 1 – Local Road (N)	17.4	35.9	92.6	93.8	229.5	111.7
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	14.1	45.2	90.6	69.7	249.9	112.5
Arm 3 – Walton Hill	16.9	33.5	90.9	73.3	238.4	112.2
	PRC ov	er all lanes	s = -2.9%	PRC ov	er all lanes =	-25.0%
	2028 forec	cast year '	with develo	pment'		
Arm 1 – Local Road (N)	12.2	19.9	76.3	9.7	19.8	68.7
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	7.5	22.3	55.3	10.0	23.9	97.5
Arm 3 – Walton Hill	9.7	32.1	77.2	4.8	34.3	67.7
	PRC ove	er all lanes	5 = 16.6%	PRC ov	er all lanes :	= 31.0%
	2038 forec	ast year '	with develo	pment'		
Arm 1 – Local Road (N)	15.4	33.6	90.0	126.8	317.9	117.8
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	13.2	40.7	88.0	93.8	315.7	117.5
Arm 3 – Walton Hill	16.3	30.5	89.2	72.8	243.1	112.5
	PRC ov	er all lane	s = 0.0%	PRC ov	er all lanes =	-30.9%
2028 fc	precast yea	r 'with dev	elopment' '	with Mitigati	on'	
Arm 1 – Local Road (N)	11.6	20.5	74.9	10.3	18.9	72.2
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	7.3	21.4	54.2	11.1	25.7	71.9
Arm 3 – Walton Hill	98	31.0	75.7	4.4	36.7	67.5
	PRC ove	er all lanes	5 = 18.9%	PRC ov	er all lanes :	= 24.7%
2038 fc	precast yea	r 'with dev	elopment' '	with Mitigati	on'	
Arm 1 – Local Road (N)	15.9	36.7	91.0	109.9	281.1	115.1
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	13.3	46.7	90.8	69.3	233.6	111.4
Arm 3 – Walton Hill	18.2	32.0	917	64.6	212.7	110.4
	PRC ov	er all lanes	s = -1.9%	PRC ov	er all lanes =	-27.9%

Table 63. A453/Walton Hill Signal LinSig Summary Results – Stage 2A



- 13.32 The results show that the junction is expected to continue to operate within capacity during all 2028 scenarios. In 2038, the junction would operate over capacity at the 2038 future year, with or without the development.
- 13.33 As set out in **Sections 10** and **11**, the main impact on capacity is the introduction of Isley Woodhouse traffic. The results show that there would be a slight betterment in capacity at the 2038 with development scenario in the morning peak hour, albeit a slight worsening in the evening peak hour. This shows that whilst capacity issues will likely occur, the proposed development has a negligible impact on the operation of the junction overall. Therefore, it can be concluded that there is no severe impact and no further assessment or mitigation is required at this location based on the Stage 2A modelling.
- 13.34 However, for completeness, the A453/Walton Hill signal junction will also be tested using the Stage 2B forecast flows, which excludes the traffic generated by the draft Local Plan allocations, to check the conclusions of the Stage 1B modelling remain. This information is presented in **Section 14**.

Junction 11 – A42 Junction 14 on-slip/Top Brand/Gelscoe Lane Roundabout

13.35 The agreed base Junctions 11 model for the A42 Junction 14 on-slip/Top Brand/Gelscoe Lane roundabout has been tested for capacity using the Stage 2A forecast year flows. Appendix 53 includes the Junctions 11 output data, whilst Table 64 summarises the results.



Table 64. A42 Junction 14 on-slip/Top	Brand/Gelscoe La	ane Junctions 11	Summary
Results – Stage 2A			

	Wee	ekday AM P	'eak	Weekday PM Peak					
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC			
2028 forecast year 'without development'									
Arm 1 – A453 (N)	0.8	6.6	0.37	1	7.31	0.35			
Arm 2 – Gelscoe Lane	0.2	4.46	0.08	0.2	4.63	0.15			
Arm 3 – Top Brand	0.5	6.35	0.23	0.2	4.75	0.08			
	2038 forec	ast year 'w	ithout deve	lopment'					
Arm 1 – A453 (N)	1	6.37	0.4	1.3	7.45	0.35			
Arm 2 – Gelscoe Lane	0.4	4.95	0.16	0.4	5.02	0.19			
Arm 3 – Top Brand	1.2	7.75	0.4	0.3	5.06	0.22			
	2028 fore	ecast year '	with develo	pment'					
Arm 1 – A453 (N)	1.8	9.31	0.55	1.2	7.74	0.35			
Arm 2 – Gelscoe Lane	0.2	4.67	0.08	0.4	5.02	0.21			
Arm 3 – Top Brand	0.6	6.18	0.25	0.2	4.37	0.09			
	2038 fore	ecast year '	with develo	pment'					
Arm 1 – A453 (N)	1.8	8.76	0.57	1.5	7.68	0.39			
Arm 2 – Gelscoe Lane	0.3	5.06	0.15	1	6.7	0.36			
Arm 3 – Top Brand	1	7.81	0.38	0.5	5.42	0.23			
2028	forecast ye	ar 'with dev	elopment' '	with Mitigati	on'				
Arm 1 – A453 (N)	0.9	5.91	0.32	0.7	6.84	0.31			
Arm 2 – Gelscoe Lane	0.2	4.70	0.10	0.4	5.13	0.18			
Arm 3 – Top Brand	0.7	7.35	0.36	0.2	4.77	0.12			
2038	forecast ye	ar 'with dev	elopment' '	with Mitigati	on'				
Arm 1 – A453 (N)	0.9	6.07	0.37	1.3	6.74	0.36			
Arm 2 – Gelscoe Lane	0.3	4.95	0.17	0.9	5.88	0.33			
Arm 3 – Top Brand	1.5	9.83	0.51	0.6	6.11	0.28			

13.36 The results show that the junction is predicted to operate well within capacity during all scenarios and in both peak hours. Therefore, it can be concluded that the existing junction layout will remain suitable to accommodate the forecast year traffic flows without the need for any mitigating improvements. No further assessment is required as a result.

Junction 12 – M1 Junction 23

13.37 The agreed base LinSig model for M1 Junction 23 has been tested for capacity using the Stage 2A forecast year flows. Appendix 54 includes the LinSig output data, whilst Table 65 summarises the results.



Table 65. M1 Junction 23 LinSig Summary Results – Stage 2A

	Wee	kday AM	Peak	Weekday PM Peak		eak
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)
	2028 foreco	ıst year 'w	ithout deve	lopment'		
Arm 1 – M1 SB slip	10.8	29.8	83.7	7.2	33.9	75.7
Arm 2 – A512 (E)	10.3	26.4	72.8	12.1	19.1	77.0
Arm 3 – M1 NB slip	5.8	50.4	75.8	4.9	42.2	67.7
Arm 4 – A512 (W)	9.6	21.0	77.7	7.0	17.1	63.8
	PRC ov	er all lane	s = 7.3%	PRC ov	er all lanes :	= 16.9%
	2038 foreco	ıst year 'w	ithout deve	lopment'		
Arm 1 – M1 SB slip	60.4	203.6	109.5	8.9	39.5	89.2
Arm 2 – A512 (E)	49.3	151.8	105.9	17.1	24.6	89.1
Arm 3 – M1 NB slip	18.1	158	103.2	10.4	75.7	93.0
Arm 4 – A512 (W)	94.4	203.4	109.9	21.9	39.9	95.8
	PRC ove	er all lanes	= -24.7%	PRC ov	ver all lanes	= -6.4%
	2028 forec	cast year '	with develo	pment'		
Arm 1 – M1 SB slip	11.0	30.2	84.0	7.2	30.1	71.1
Arm 2 – A512 (E)	11.7	29.0	78.2	11.5	20.1	75.5
Arm 3 – M1 NB slip	5.6	49.0	74.2	4.7	43.5	66.8
Arm 4 – A512 (W)	10.9	23.5	82.1	6.5	16.6	60.5
	PRC ov	er all lane	s = 5.9%	PRC ov	er all lanes =	= 19.2%
	2038 forec	cast year '	with develo	pment'		
Arm 1 – M1 SB slip	72.0	243.2	112.1	10.0	44.2	85.8
Arm 2 – A512 (E)	42.1	121.8	103.8	18.3	26.6	90.7
Arm 3 – M1 NB slip	28.2	267.7	111.9	11.2	84.8	94.6
Arm 4 – A512 (W)	80.9	163.6	107.3	20.4	36.7	94.8
	PRC ove	er all lanes	= -24.6%	PRC ov	ver all lanes :	= -5.3%
2028 fo	orecast yea	r 'with dev	elopment'	with Mitigati	on'	
Arm 1 – M1 SB slip	10.7	39.1	82.5	6.8	30.5	72.5
Arm 2 – A512 (E)	11.0	26.3	74.6	10.9	18.6	72.9
Arm 3 – M1 NB slip	5.6	49.0	74.2	4.4	39.7	56.4
Arm 4 – A512 (W)	11.3	24.2	83.5	6.3	16.5	59.2
	PRC o	ver all lane	es = 7.8	PRC o	ver all lanes	= 23.4
2038 fc	precast yea	r 'with dev	elopment'	'with Mitigati	on'	
Arm 1 – M1 SB slip	59.7	195.7	108.8	9.0	39.4	90.0
Arm 2 – A512 (E)	50.4	155.8	106.2	17.8	25.7	90.0
Arm 3 – M1 NB slip	23.9	229	108.8	9.7	70.3	91.7
Arm 4 – A512 (W)	107.6	225.7	111.5	19.0	35.0	94.1
	PRC ov	er all lane	s = -23.9	PRC o	ver all lanes	= -4.6

13.38 The results show that M1 Junction 23 would operate within capacity at the 2028 forecast year in all scenarios, which is the Circular 01/2022 compliant assessment year, with or without development. Whilst the junction would exceed capacity at the 2038 forecast year, the development would have no impact on capacity and there would be a slight betterment in overall PRC with mitigation included for, which is a result of traffic reassignment at this junction. Therefore, it can be concluded that there would be no

severe impacts at this junction from the development and no further assessment or mitigation is required as a result, based on the Stage 2A modelling.

13.39 However, for completeness, because of its strategic nature, M1 Junction 23 will also be tested using the Stage 2B forecast flows, which excludes the traffic generated by the draft Local Plan allocations. This information is presented in **Section 14**.

Junction 13 – A50 Junction 1

- 13.40 The Stage 1A and 1B modelling results showed that the junction would exceed capacity with or without development, but there would be a negligible impact from the proposed development.
- 13.41 The agreed base LinSig model for A50 Junction 1 has been tested for capacity using the Stage 2A forecast year flows, which includes the committed improvement scheme associated with Land South of A50 Junction 1, Castle Donington development that signalises the Trent Lane and Tamworth Road arms. **Appendix 55** includes the LinSig output data, whilst **Table 66** summarises the results.



Table 66. A50 Junction 1 LinSig Summary Results – Stage 2A

	Wee	kday AM	Peak	We	ekday PM Po	eak
Arms	Q (pcu) (Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)
	2028 foreca	st year 'w	rithout devel	lopment'		
Arm 1 – B5010	2.0	10.1	63.9	1.4	9.8	52.8
Arm 2 – B6540	9.5	20.4	99.4	8.4	17.5	89.2
Arm 3 – A50 slip road (E)	18.4	78.0	99.1	9.0	27.2	84.0
Arm 4 – Ryecraft Road	0.3	8.7	18.2	0.3	7.8	16.1
Arm 5 – Trent Lane	6.9	19.0	73.8	11.7	33.7	88.5
Arm 6 – A50 slip road (W)	9.3	18.4	94.7	6.0	15.4	90.5
	PRC ove	er all lanes	5 = -10.4%	PRC ov	ver all lanes :	= -6.3%
	2038 foreca	st year 'w	rithout devel	lopment'		
Arm 1 – B5010	2.3	14.3	72.4	2.1	11.2	54.3
Arm 2 – B6540	7.5	14.6	78.8	13.9	29.6	93.5
Arm 3 – A50 slip road (E)	8.9	24.2	82.7	6.4	20.1	71.3
Arm 4 – Ryecraft Road	0.4	8.8	21.2	0.5	8.9	24.7
Arm 5 – Trent Lane	50.7	167.9	107.7	55.7	153.2	106.9
Arm 6 – A50 slip road (W)	32.7	93.9	106.3	5.8	14.6	70.2
	PRC ove	er all lanes	5 = -20.2%	PRC ov	er all lanes =	-18.8%
	2028 forec	ast year '	with develo	pment'		
Arm 1 – B5010	2.2	12.7	71.3	0.9	5.8	38.6
Arm 2 – B6540	9.0	19.4	96.2	8.5	21.6	92.6
Arm 3 – A50 slip road (E)	22.9	100.1	101.5	8.6	32.0	85.5
Arm 4 – Ryecraft Road	0.3	9.5	21.7	0.2	6.7	14.6
Arm 5 – Trent Lane	4.8	18.2	71.4	9.0	25.7	83.0
Arm 6 – A50 slip road (W)	20.0	49.4	102.3	5.6	15.0	68.1
	PRC ove	er all lanes	5 = -18.9%	PRC ov	ver all lanes :	= -2.9%
	2038 forec	ast year '	with develo	pment'		
Arm 1 – B5010	2.3	14.5	72.9	2.2	11.3	55.7
Arm 2 – B6540	17.0	39.3	101.7	14.0	29.7	93.5
Arm 3 – A50 slip road (E)	12.8	45.4	93.5	6.1	19.5	69.6
Arm 4 – Ryecraft Road	0.4	9.4	23.6	0.7	9.4	31.5
Arm 5 – Trent Lane	51.7	166.1	107.5	72.1	196.8	109.9
Arm 6 – A50 slip road (W)	42.9	125.5	109.0	5.9	14.6	71.2
	PRC ove	er all lanes	5 = -21.1%	PRC ov	er all lanes =	-22.2%
2028 fc	orecast year	' 'with dev	velopment' '	with Mitigati	on'	
Arm 1 – B5010	1.9	9.6	62.2	0.9	6.6	39.4
Arm 2 – B6540	8.5	19.1	91.7	8.4	18.7	92.8
Arm 3 – A50 slip road (E)	14.6	58.8	96.1	7.1	24.0	77.5
Arm 4 – Ryecraft Road	0.2	8.3	15.6	0.3	7.0	18.0
Arm 5 – Trent Lane	6.9	20.4	74.8	11.5	28.0	90.0
Arm 6 – A50 slip road (W)	8.7	19.2	93.5	6.1	15.4	70.9
	PRC ov	er all lane	es = -6.8	PRC o	ver all lanes	= -4.7
2038 fc	orecast year	'with dev	velopment' '	with Mitigati	on'	
Arm 1 – B5010	2.2	14.0	70.1	1.9	10.4	49.3

	PRC over all lanes = -11.3			PRC o	ver all lanes	= -22.9
Arm 6 – A50 slip road (W)	7.9	16.5	86.5	5.4	14.4	66.9
Arm 5 – Trent Lane	10.6	25.0	88.0	74.5	206.3	110.6
Arm 4 – Ryecraft Road	0.3	8.3	18.9	1.6	13.1	54.5
Arm 3 – A50 slip road (E)	10.1	38.1	89.8	5.7	18.6	66.3
Arm 2 – B6540	11.6	25.5	100.2	14.7	30.7	100.1

- 13.42 The results show that A50 Junction 1 is forecast to exceed capacity in all scenarios, with or without the development. However, the overall change in PRC and associated queues and delays will reduce overall as a result of the proposed development, including for the mitigation, with a reduction in queuing on the A50 slip road (W) arm (eastbound off slip) for example.
- 13.43 Whilst there would be a slight worsening of the performance in the evening peak hour (-22.9% PRC with development and mitigation in 2038 v 18.8% without them in 2038) there would be betterment in the morning peak hour (-11.3% v -20.2%). Therefore, it can be concluded that there would be no severe impacts at this junction from the development and no further assessment or mitigation is required as a result based on the Stage 2A modelling.
- 13.44 However, for completeness, because of its strategic nature, the A50 junction 1 roundabout will also be tested using the Stage 2B forecast flows, which excludes the traffic generated by the draft Local Plan allocations. This information is presented in **Section 14**.

Junction 14 – M1 Junction 25

13.45 The agreed base LinSig model for M1 Junction 25 has been tested for capacity using the Stage 2A forecast year flows. Appendix 56 includes the LinSig output data, whilst Table 67 summarises the results.



Table 67 M1 Junction 25 LinSig Summary Results – Stage 2A

	Weekday AM Peak Weekday PM F			eak				
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)		
	2028 forec	ast year 'w	ithout deve	lopment'				
Arm 1 – M1 slip (N)	34.6	113.0	102.4	89.9	359.8	119.8		
Arm 2 – A52 (E)	117.1	577.8	138.3	87.7	383.3	122.1		
Arm 3 – Bostocks Lane (S)	48.7	327.0	116.3	42.8	330.8	117.2		
Arm 4 – M1 slip (S)	165.9	616.1	144.9	13.4	35.6	87.5		
Arm 5 – A52 (W)	88.7	537.1	135.2	5.5	25.2	58.3		
Arm 6 – Bostocks Lane (N)	124.5	604.8	143.5	27.1	106.6	100.3		
	PRC ov	ver all lanes	= -61.0%	PRC ov	PRC over all lanes = -35.6%			
	2038 forec	ast year 'w	ithout deve	lopment'				
Arm 1 – M1 slip (N)	41.1	144.0	104.6	115.7	389.9	122.4		
Arm 2 – A52 (E)	81.0	454.4	126.8	86.9	433.0	125.8		
Arm 3 – Bostocks Lane (S)	14.3	108.2	98.7	55.7	418.6	124.5		
Arm 4 – M1 slip (S)	247.0	636.3	146.6	22.3	49.6	97.1		
Arm 5 – A52 (W)	98.6	618.8	144.1	4.9	22.7	51.1		
Arm 6 – Bostocks Lane (N)	130.4	642.5	147.8	11.6	73.8	95.4		
	PRC ov	ver all lanes	= -64.7%	PRC ov	er all lanes =	-39.8%		
	2028 fore	ecast year '	with develo	pment'				
Arm 1 – M1 slip (N)	52.4	227.7	109.5	15.2	37.6	89.1		
Arm 2 – A52 (E)	13.3	25.5	75.2	49.8	212.2	109.0		
Arm 3 – Bostocks Lane (S)	75.3	649.3	146.0	32.7	279.2	112.9		
Arm 4 – M1 slip (S)	178.0	638.6	146.8	14.5	34.1	91.8		
Arm 5 – A52 (W)	95.4	608.0	143.4	5.4	24.7	55.8		
Arm 6 – Bostocks Lane (N)	125.3	637.4	147.8	13.7	90.1	98.0		
	PRC ov	ver all lanes	= -64.5%	PRC ov	er all lanes =	= -25.5%		
	2038 fore	ecast year '	with develo	pment'	1			
Arm 1 – M1 slip (N)	13.3	33.4	86.1	116.0	392.4	122.6		
Arm 2 – A52 (E)	15.0	52.5	90.3	82.0	412.1	124.1		
Arm 3 – Bostocks Lane (S)	68.8	557.6	136.6	53.8	409.7	123.7		
Arm 4 – M1 slip (S)	320.1	863.8	178.9	25.9	59.1	98.7		
Arm 5 – A52 (W)	10.0	27.3	76.4	4.9	22.8	51.3		
Arm 6 – Bostocks Lane (N)	164.4	859.3	176.8	13.0	86.1	97.3		
	PRC ov	ver all lanes	= -98.8%	PRC ov	er all lanes =	= -37.9%		
2028 fc	precast ye	ar 'with dev	elopment' '	with Mitigati	on'			
Arm 1 – M1 slip (N)	12.9	29.7	83.9	51.2	204.3	108.6		
Arm 2 – A52 (E)	35.0	137.2	103.6	70.4	313.6	116.6		
Arm 3 – Bostocks Lane (S)	62.9	516.4	132.4	38.7	309.6	115.3		
Arm 4 – M1 slip (S)	178.8	654.8	149.5	15.0	32.9	91.9		

Arm 5 – A52 (W)	95.4	605.7	143.3	5.4	23.4	54.5	
Arm 6 – Bostocks Lane (N)	133.2	651.5	149.5	13.3	88.4	97.7	
	PRC ov	ver all lanes	= -66.2%	PRC over all lanes = -29.6%			
2038 fc	orecast ye	ar 'with dev	elopment' '	with Mitigati	on'		
Arm 1 – M1 slip (N)	53.3	203.5	108.6	115.8	394.8	122.8	
Arm 2 – A52 (E)	91.3	551.7	135.4	84.7	423.8	125.1	
Arm 3 – Bostocks Lane (S)	10.8	71.6	92.9	54.2	415.2	124.1	
Arm 4 – M1 slip (S)	267	707.6	156.4	27.2	59.5	98.8	
Arm 5 – A52 (W)	71.0	424.9	125.0	4.7	21.2	47.9	
Arm 6 – Bostocks Lane (N)	142.2	708.1	155.4	12.8	85.2	97.1	
	PRC ov	ver all lanes	= -73.7%	PRC ov	er all lanes =	-39.0%	

- 13.46 The results show that the junction would exceed capacity in all scenarios, with or without the development. In the 2028 opening year, the overall PRC would reduce from -61.0% to -66.2% with the development and mitigation in place in the morning hour but see a betterment from -35.6% to -29.6% in the evening peak hour.
- 13.47 There would be a larger impact on PRC at the 2038 forecast year during the morning peak hour which is predicted to change from -64.7% to -73.7% with the same comparison. However, the proposed development would result in an overall increase of 35 PCUs in the morning peak hour (7,688 increasing to 7,721) and 70 PCUs in the evening peak hour (7,218 increasing to 7,288) when considering development traffic any associated reassignment. This equates to a less than 1% increase in total turning movements. Therefore, whilst certain arms are showing stress, the impacts from the development are negligible and significant capacity problems would occur without the development, which is why the negative PRC values have increased still regardless of the limited change in traffic flows overall.
- 13.48 Overall, it can be concluded that there is no severe impact at this location and no further assessment or mitigation should be required as a result based on the Stage 2A modelling.
- 13.49 However, for completeness, because of its strategic nature, M1 Junction 25 will also be tested using the Stage 2B forecast flows, which excludes the traffic generated by the draft Local Plan allocations. This information is presented in **Section 14**.

Junction 15 – Station Road/Broad Rushes Roundabout

- 13.50 The Stage 1 modelling results showed that the junction would exceed capacity in Stage 1A but operate within capacity in Stage 1B. This shows that the capacity problems are being driven by the Isley Woodhouse development and other draft Local Plan allocations.
- 13.51 The agreed base Junctions 11 model the Station Road/Broad Rushes roundabout has been tested for capacity using the Stage 2A forecast year flows. **Appendix 57** includes the Junctions 11 output data, whilst **Table 68** summarises the results.

Table 68.	Station	Road/Broad	Rushes	Roundabout	Junctions	11	Summary	Results –
Stage 2A	L							

	We	ekday AM	Peak	Weekday PM Peak					
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC			
2028 forecast year 'without development'									
Arm 1 – Station Road (N)	8.0	17.29	0.85	3.4	9.39	0.68			
Arm 2 – Station Road (S)	0.7	7.63	0.39	3.9	19.93	0.80			
Arm 3 – Broad Rushes	4.5	24.13	0.79	15.2	72.81	0.94			
	2038 forec	ast year 'w	ithout deve	lopment'					
Arm 1 – Station Road (N)	9.5	20.8	0.87	4.5	11.47	0.76			
Arm 2 – Station Road (S)	2.4	13.45	0.66	9.5	41.15	0.92			
Arm 3 – Broad Rushes	89.2	381.43	1.22	49.6	203.55	1.10			
	2028 fore	ecast year '	with develo	pment'					
Arm 1 – Station Road (N)	9.8	21.89	0.88	2.5	8.2	0.65			
Arm 2 – Station Road (S)	1	8.52	0.41	3.3	17.54	0.76			
Arm 3 – Broad Rushes	7.2	34.9	0.84	5.6	34.27	0.83			
	2038 fore	ecast year '	with develo	pment'					
Arm 1 – Station Road (N)	9	20.04	0.86	5.3	12.13	0.79			
Arm 2 – Station Road (S)	3.8	18.09	0.75	11.6	49.65	0.93			
Arm 3 – Broad Rushes	116.9	512.03	1.23	56.5	231.5	1.11			
2028 fc	orecast ye	ar 'with dev	elopment' '	with Mitigati	on'				
Arm 1 – Station Road (N)	7.6	21.12	0.87	1.3	5.88	0.47			
Arm 2 – Station Road (S)	0.1	5.71	0.09	0.1	4.47	0.11			
Arm 3 – Broad Rushes	6.9	31.09	0.87	12.9	50.19	0.93			
2038 fc	precast ye	ar 'with dev	elopment' '	with Mitigati	on'				
Arm 1 – Station Road (N)	7.7	16.17	0.80	2.5	8.09	0.63			
Arm 2 – Station Road (S)	0.7	7.89	0.37	0.4	5.76	0.28			
Arm 3 – Broad Rushes	10.4	52.17	0.90	31.9	113.01	1.04			

- 13.52 The results show that the proposed development including for mitigation will allow the junction to operate better overall than would be envisaged without the development and mitigation in both 2028 and 2038. Hence no further assessment or mitigation should be required as a result based on the Stage 2A modelling.
- 13.53 However, for completeness, because it is forecast to operate over capacity, the Station Road/Broad Rushes roundabout will also be tested using the Stage 2B forecast flows, which excludes the traffic generated by the draft Local Plan allocations. This information is presented in **Section 14**.

Junction 16 – A453/Kegworth Road dumbbell Roundabouts

13.54 The A453/Kegworth Road roundabouts fell outside the AoI from the PRTM modelling, but have been tested for capacity, nonetheless. The agreed base Junctions 11 model for A453/Kegworth Road roundabouts have been tested for capacity using the Stage 2A forecast year flows. **Appendix 58** includes the Junctions 11 output data, whilst **Table 69** summarises the results.

Table 69. A453/Kegworth Road dumbbell Roundat	oouts Junctions 11 Summary Results –
Stage 2A	

	Wee	Weekday AM Peak			Weekday PM Peak				
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC			
2028 forecast year 'without development'									
Arm 1 – A453 Off-slip	0.2	2.69	0.13	0.2	2.94	0.18			
Arm 2 – Local Road	0.2	2.6	0.13	0.2	2.79	0.19			
Arm 3 – Kegworth Road	0.2	3.3	0.15	0.2	3.19	0.16			
	2038 forec	ast year 'w	ithout deve	lopment'					
Arm 1 – A453 Off-slip	0.3	3.3	0.2	0.4	3.83	0.3			
Arm 2 – Local Road	0.6	3.5	0.35	1.3	5.32	0.55			
Arm 3 – Kegworth Road	0.6	4.86	0.37	0.7	5.89	0.42			
	2028 fore	ecast year '	with develo	pment'					
Arm 1 – A453 Off-slip	0.2	2.69	0.13	0.2	2.9	0.18			
Arm 2 – Local Road	0.1	2.58	0.12	0.2	2.81	0.19			
Arm 3 – Kegworth Road	0.2	3.41	0.17	0.1	2.85	0.05			
	2038 fore	ecast year '	with develo	pment'					
Arm 1 – A453 Off-slip	0.3	3.34	0.21	0.4	3.12	0.26			
Arm 2 – Local Road	0.6	3.53	0.35	1.7	6.2	0.62			
Arm 3 – Kegworth Road	0.6	4.89	0.37	0	3.77	0.04			
2028	forecast ye	ar 'with dev	elopment' '	with Mitigati	on'				
Arm 1 – A453 Off-slip	0.2	2.68	0.13	0.2	2.89	0.18			
Arm 2 – Local Road	0.2	2.62	0.13	0.2	2.81	0.19			
Arm 3 – Kegworth Road	0.2	3.24	0.13	0.0	2.82	0.04			
2038	forecast ye	ar 'with dev	elopment' '	with Mitigati	on'				
Arm 1 – A453 Off-slip	0.3	3.23	0.20	0.4	3.09	0.25			
Arm 2 – Local Road	0.6	3.53	0.35	1.3	5.31	0.55			
Arm 3 – Kegworth Road	0.5	4.49	0.31	0.0	3.53	0.03			

13.55 The results show that the junction is predicted to operate well within capacity during all scenarios in both peak hours. Therefore, it can be concluded that the existing junction layout will remain suitable to accommodate the forecast year traffic flows Hence no further assessment or mitigation should be required.

Junction 17 – A453/Trent Lane/West Leake dumbbell Roundabout

13.56 The A453/Trent Lane/West Leake roundabouts fell outside the AoI from the PRTM modelling, but have been tested for capacity, nonetheless. The agreed base Junctions 11 model for the A453/Trent Lane/West Leake roundabout has been tested for capacity using the Stage 2A forecast year flows. **Appendix 59** includes the Junctions 11 output data, whilst **Table 70** summarises the results.



Table 70. A453/Trent Lane/West Leake dumbbell Round	dabout Ju	unctions 11	Summary
Results – Stage 2A			

	Weekday AM Peak		eak	Weekday PM Peak		
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC
	2028 forec	ast year 'w	ithout devel	opment'		
J1- Arm 1 – Dumbbell Link	0.5	4.15	0.3	0.3	3.29	0.23
J1- Arm 2 – A453 SWB Off-Slip	0	0	0	0	2.65	0.02
J1- Arm 3 – West Leake Lane	0.7	6.03	0.39	0.5	4.35	0.33
J2- Arm 1 – Barton Lane	0.1	4.32	0.07	0	2.99	0.02
J2- Arm 2 – A453 NEB Off-Slip	0.5	4.05	0.3	0.1	2.75	0.06
J2- Arm 3 – Dumbbell Link	0.3	3.09	0.22	0.2	2.25	0.17
	2038 forec	ast year 'w	ithout devel	opment'		
J1- Arm 1 – Dumbbell Link	1	5.42	0.48	1	5.42	0.48
J1- Arm 2 – A453 SWB Off-Slip	0	3.19	0.01	0	3.19	0.01
J1- Arm 3 – West Leake Lane	1.1	6.22	0.5	1.1	6.22	0.5
J2- Arm 1 – Barton Lane	0.1	4.29	0.07	0.1	4.29	0.07
J2- Arm 2 – A453 NEB Off-Slip	0.1	3.07	0.08	0.1	3.07	0.08
J2- Arm 3 – Dumbbell Link	0.6	3.19	0.33	0.6	3.19	0.33
	2028 fore	ecast year '	with develo	pment'		
J1- Arm 1 – Dumbbell Link	0.5	4.03	0.3	0.3	3.29	0.23
J1- Arm 2 – A453 SWB Off-Slip	0	2.89	0.04	0	2.65	0.02
J1- Arm 3 – West Leake Lane	0.7	4.98	0.38	0.5	4.34	0.33
J2- Arm 1 – Barton Lane	0.1	3.68	0.06	0	3	0.02
J2- Arm 2 – A453 NEB Off-Slip	0.1	3.01	0.06	0.1	2.75	0.06
J2- Arm 3 – Dumbbell Link	0.3	2.61	0.2	0.2	2.25	0.17
	2038 fore	ecast year '	with develo	pmenť		
J1- Arm 1 – Dumbbell Link	0.5	3.67	0.31	0.5	3.67	0.31
J1- Arm 2 – A453 SWB Off-Slip	0	2.75	0.01	0	2.75	0.01
J1- Arm 3 – West Leake Lane	0.8	5.18	0.44	0.8	5.18	0.44
J2- Arm 1 – Barton Lane	0	3.14	0.02	0	3.14	0.02
J2- Arm 2 – A453 NEB Off-Slip	0.1	2.72	0.05	0.1	2.72	0.05
J2- Arm 3 – Dumbbell Link	0.3	2.4	0.22	0.3	2.4	0.22
2028	forecast ye	ar 'with dev	elopment' '	with Mitigati	on'	
J1- Arm 1 – Dumbbell Link	1.1	5.57	0.47	2.8	9.46	0.74



J1- Arm 2 – A453 SWB Off-Slip	1.4	7.21	0.59	0.1	4.16	0.12		
J1- Arm 3 – West Leake Lane	0.8	11.89	0.42	0.4	5.51	0.28		
J2- Arm 1 – Barton Lane	1.0	5.44	0.46	4.6	14.45	0.82		
J2- Arm 2 – A453 NEB Off-Slip	2.0	7.91	0.61	0.1	2.82	0.10		
J2- Arm 3 – Dumbbell Link	0.2	3.38	0.14	0.4	2.69	0.25		
2038 forecast year 'with development' 'with Mitigation'								
J1- Arm 1 – Dumbbell Link	0.2	3.20	0.12	0.1	2.84	0.11		
J1- Arm 2 – A453 SWB Off-Slip	0.7	3.99	0.39	0.2	2.76	0.13		
J1- Arm 3 – West Leake Lane	1.2	6.75	0.51	0.8	5.23	0.43		
J2- Arm 1 – Barton Lane	0.1	3.76	0.10	0.4	4.02	0.28		
J2- Arm 2 – A453 NEB Off-Slip	0.5	4.08	0.30	0.3	3.32	0.22		
J2- Arm 3 – Dumbbell Link	0.8	4.06	0.41	0.3	2.66	0.21		

13.57 The results show that the junction is predicted to operate well within capacity during all scenarios and in both peak hours. Therefore, it can be concluded that the existing junction layout will remain suitable to accommodate the forecast year traffic. Hence no further assessment or mitigation should be required.

14. OFF-SITE IMPACT ASSESSMENTS: CORE SCENARIO (STAGE 2B MODELLING)

Introduction

- 14.1 The following section presents the results of the detailed junction modelling assessments for the Stage 2B forecast year scenarios using VISSIM, Junctions 11 and LinSig software at the same junctions tested in Section 11 using the Stage 1B modelling outputs. This takes into account the propsoed mitigation strategy and excludes traffic from the draft Local Plan allocation and Isley Woodhouse sites.
- 14.2 The summary tables retain the Stage 1B modelling results presented in **Section 11** for comparison and ease of reference. Similar to other scenarios, traffic from the proposed development has been added manually on top of without development flows for robustness.

Junctions 2 to 5 (VISSIM Network)

Introduction

- 14.3 The modelling results for the Stage 2A scenario demonstrated how the proposed highway mitigation would result in significant benefits to the operation of the Strategic Road Network in the VISSIM model overall.
- 14.4 Full VISSIM modelling results are presented in the VISSIM Forecast Modelling report (BWB document EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0019_VISSIM Modelling Forecast Report-S2_P1) at **Appendix 47**. The following details within this TA provide a summary of the Network Performance results to provide an overview of the impacts of the development with the proposed mitigation on the VISSIM network.

Network Performance

14.5 **Table 71** below sets out the high level network performance comparison on all scenarios for 2028, as the year of opening of the development, which is NH's key assessment year. this compares 'without development' (WoD), 'with development' (WD), and 'with development with mitigation' (WDMit) scenarios.



Peak	Scenario	Delay (seconds)	Speed (mph)	Vehicles Arriving	Latent Demand
	WoD	100	42.5	20,995	53
AM	WD	121	39.7	21,804	5
	WD - WoD	21	-2.8	809	-48
	WDMit	64	46.8	22,364	1
	WDMit - WoD	-35	4.3	1,369	-52
	WoD	72	46.9	21,265	12
PM	WD	104	42.0	21,856	325
	WD - WoD	32	-4.9	591	313
	WDMit	68	47.3	22,461	47
	WDMit - WoD	-4	0.4	1,196	35

Table 71: 2028 Network Performance Comparison – Stage 2B

- 14.6 The results show that there would be a reduction in delays during both the morning and evening peak periods, resulting in higher average speeds and an increase in the total number of vehicles that enter the model. There would therefore be significant benefit within the capacity of the network at the 2028 year of opening in both peak hours.
- 14.7 **Table 72** below sets out the network performance comparison on all scenarios for 2038.

Tuble 72. 2030 Network renormance Companyon – Sluge 2D	Table	72: 2038	Network	Performance	Comparison ·	- Stage 2B
--	-------	----------	---------	-------------	--------------	------------

Peak	Scenario	Delay (seconds)	Speed (mph)	Vehicles Arriving	Latent Demand
	WoD	108	41.5	22,392	1
AM	WD	142	37.6	22,860	38
	WD - WoD	34	-3.9	468	36
	WDMit	97	42.5	23,425	26
	WDMit - WoD	-11	1.0	1,033	25
	WoD	106	42.4	22,374	261
PM	WD	138	38.4	22,864	719
	WD - WoD	31	-4.0	490	458
	WDMit	84	45.0	23,596	0
	WDMit - WoD	-23	2.6	1,222	-261

14.8 Similarly to the 2028 assessment, the 2038 results show that the average delay reduces, considerably in both peak hours (11 seconds in the morning and 23 seconds in the evening). This results in higher average speeds and an increase of over 1,000 vehicles entering the model in both peak hours.

Junction 8 – A453/The Green Priority Junction

14.9 The modelling results for Stages 1A, 1B and 2A confirmed that the A453/The Green junction would exceed capacity. However, it is concluded that this is because PRTM shows the junction operating well within capacity and consequently assigning a greater volume of traffic through it compared to what would occur in reality.

14.10 The agreed base Junctions 11 model has been tested for capacity using the Stage 2B forecast year flows. **Appendix 60** includes the Junctions 11 output data, whilst **Table 73** summarises the modelling results.

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	We	ekday AM	Peak	Weekday PM Peak					
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC			
	2028 forec	ast year 'w	ithout deve	lopment'					
Steam B-AC – The Green	2.8	26.93	0.75	1.0	14.03	0.49			
Stream C-AB – A453 (W)	0.4	4.69	0.17	0.7	6.23	0.31			
2038 forecast year 'without development'									
Steam B-AC – The Green	68.0	440.14	1.24	3.4	37.63	0.79			
Stream C-AB – A453 (W)	0.0	5.28	0.02	0.7	6.79	0.30			
	2028 forecast year 'with development'								
Steam B-AC – The Green	78.5	529.37	1.28	1.2	18.04	0.55			
Stream C-AB – A453 (W)	0.5	4.35	0.19	0.9	7.21	0.36			
	2038 fore	ecast year '	with develo	pment'					
Steam B-AC – The Green	384.1	2451.51	1.89	7.6	82.63	0.92			
Stream C-AB – A453 (W)	0.1	4.65	0.04	0.8	8.51	0.36			
2028 fc	2028 forecast year 'with development' 'with Mitigation'								
Steam B-AC – The Green	15.7	113.15	1.00	1.3	20.72	0.57			
Stream C-AB – A453 (W)	0.5	4.51	0.19	0.9	7.60	0.37			
2038 fc	2038 forecast year 'with development' 'with Mitigation'								
Steam B-AC – The Green	262.9	1728.78	1.70	7.7	88.41	0.93			
Stream C-AB – A453 (W)	0.0	5.01	0.02	1.1	9.16	0.42			

Table 73. A453/The Green Junctions 11 Summary Results – Stage 2B

- 14.11 The results show that the junction would operate within capacity during all scenarios in the evening peak hour but exceed capacity in the morning peak hour at both the 2028 and 2038 future years, with or without the development. Capacity issues on The Green arm are forecast to be worse in the morning peak in Stage 2B versus Stage 2A because less traffic is forecast to be travelling on the A453 in the former because it does not include for the traffic generated by the draft Local Plan sites and Isley Woodhouse in particular. Because PRTM suggests that this junction works within capacity more traffic is attracted along The Green as a result.
- 14.12 However, for the reasons presented in previous sections, in reality the impacts will be less because PRTM is overestimating how much traffic will use the junction. Furthermore, when considering that the proposed highway works include capacity improvements at Finger Farm, driver journey times will be much less when routing via the A42 and Finger Farm. Therefore, no mitigation is proposed.

Junction 9 – A453/East Midlands Airport Roundabout

14.13 The previous modelling results show that the A453/East Midlands Airport roundabout is expected to exceed capacity in Stages 1A and 2A but operate within capacity in Stage 1B. This is because traffic from the Isley Woodhouse settlement is having a large impact on the operation of the junction but forms part of the access strategy to that site and is therefore expected to be improved.

14.14 The agreed base Junctions 11 model has been tested for capacity using the Stage 2B forecast year flows. Appendix 61 includes the Junctions 11 output data, whilst Table 74 summarises the modelling results.

Table	74. A	453/East	Midlands	Airport	Roundabout	Junctions	11	Summary	Results	-
Stage	2 B			-						

	Weekday AM Peak			Weekday PM Peak			
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC	
	2028 forec	ast year 'w	ithout deve	lopment'			
Arm 1 – Walton Hill	0.2	4.66	0.11	0.9	4.76	0.33	
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	1.2	5.38	0.35	1.0	5.38	0.32	
Arm 3 – A453 (W)	4.4	17.74	0.78	1.5	9.06	0.52	
	2038 forec	ast year 'w	ithout deve	lopment'			
Arm 1 – Walton Hill	0.2	4.23	0.11	0.9	4.83	0.35	
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	1.8	6.19	0.43	1.6	6.63	0.46	
Arm 3 – A453 (W)	3	12.75	0.66	1.3	8.49	0.46	
	2028 fore	ecast year '	with develo	pment'			
Arm 1 – Walton Hill	0.3	4.8	0.11	0.7	4.75	0.33	
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	0.8	5.14	0.31	1.1	5.53	0.35	
Arm 3 – A453 (W)	8.9	33.07	0.87	1.9	9.69	0.51	
	2038 fore	ecast year '	with develo	pment'			
Arm 1 – Walton Hill	0.3	4.15	0.12	0.8	5.01	0.37	
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	1.4	5.77	0.39	2	7.23	0.5	
Arm 3 – A453 (W)	3.2	15.15	0.73	1.0	8.12	0.4	
2028	forecast ye	ar 'with dev	elopment' '	with Mitigati	on'		
Arm 1 – Walton Hill	0.2	5.40	0.12	0.6	4.74	0.33	
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	1.0	5.10	0.32	1.3	5.62	0.37	
Arm 3 – A453 (W)	5.7	22.54	0.83	1.3	8.89	0.46	
2038	forecast ye	ar 'with dev	elopment' '	with Mitigati	on'		
Arm 1 – Walton Hill	0.2	4.30	0.11	0.9	4.82	0.37	
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	1.3	5.75	0.41	1.8	7.29	0.51	
Arm 3 – A453 (W)	3.1	14.85	0.69	1.3	8.71	0.44	

14.15 Similar to the Stage 1B modelling, the results show that the junction would operate within capacity in all scenarios at Stage 2B. Therefore, the same conclusions remain in that capacity issues are being driven by the Isley Woodhouse development. The impacts of the proposed development are negligible and no mitigation is required.

Junction 10 – A453/Walton Hill Signal Junction

14.16 The previous modelling results show that the A453/Walton Hill signal junction is expected to exceed capacity in Stages 1A and 2A but operate within capacity in Stage 1B. This is because traffic from the Isley Woodhouse settlement is having a large impact on the operation of the junction, which forms part of the A453 that is being realigned as part of the access strategy and so improved.

14.17 The agreed base LinSig model has been tested for capacity using the Stage 2B forecast year flows. **Appendix 62** includes the LinSig output data, whilst **Table 75** summarises the modelling results.

	Weekday AM Peak			Weekday PM Peak			
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)	
	2028 forecc	ıst year 'w	ithout deve	lopment'			
Arm 1 – Local Road (N)	8.9	17.7	68.1	9.3	17.6	67.8	
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	8.8	27.7	68.3	9.9	25.8	67.8	
Arm 3 – Walton Hill	7.7	26.2	66.2	4.3	31.8	62.4	
PRC over all lanes = 31.7% PRC over all lanes = 32.7%							
	2038 forecc	ıst year 'w	ithout deve	lopment'			
Arm 1 – Local Road (N)	10.0	19.1	72.6	10.9	20.6	74.2	
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	10.2	26.0	71.7	11.3	25.5	73.2	
Arm 3 – Walton Hill	7.6	30.2	72.4	4.3	35.4	66.0	
PRC over all lanes = 23.9% PRC over all lanes = 21.3%							
2028 forecast year 'with development'							
Arm 1 – Local Road (N)	10.5	19.1	72.0	9.5	18.0	68.7	
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	7.0	22.4	54.0	10.3	25.3	68.6	
Arm 3 – Walton Hill	8.6	29.3	71.6	4.4	35.1	67.5	
	PRC ove	er all lanes	5 = 25.0%	PRC over all lanes = 31.0%			
	2038 forec	cast year '	with develo	pment'			
Arm 1 – Local Road (N)	9.5	18.4	70.5	11.2	21.0	73.7	
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	9.7	26.9	70.6	11.7	25.8	73.6	
Arm 3 – Walton Hill	7.9	28.7	71.4	4.7	40.6	73.8	
	PRC ove	er all lanes	s = 26.0%	PRC ov	er all lanes :	= 20.6%	
2028 fc	precast yea	r 'with dev	velopment'	with Mitigati	on'		
Arm 1 – Local Road (N)	9.7	18.2	68.5	9.7	18.5	69.1	
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	7.9	28.3	66.8	10.3	24.9	68.6	
Arm 3 – Walton Hill	8.5	25.7	67.4	4.4	35.2	67.0	
	PRC over all lanes = 31.4% PRC over all lanes = 30.3%						
2038 fc	precast yea	r 'with dev	velopment'	with Mitigati	on'		
Arm 1 – Local Road (N)	9.6	19.4	71.3	11.3	21.2	75.0	
Arm 2 – A453 (E)	9.6	25.8	70.0	11.8	25.7	74.8	
Arm 3 – Walton Hill	7.9	28.0	69.8	4.4	36.7	67.0	
	PRC ov	er all lanes :	= 19.9%				

Table 75. A453/Walton Hill Signal LinSig Summary Results – Stage 2B

14.18 The results show that the junction would operate within capacity in all scenarios, thereby confirming the conclusions of the Stage 1B modelling. The development would therefore not have a severe impact and no mitigation is required.

Junction 12 – M1 Junction 23

14.19 The previous modelling results showed the junction would operate within capacity in all scenarios at the 2028 year of opening but then exceed capacity at the 2038 future year
with or without development. However, the impacts of the development would not be severe.

14.20 The agreed base LinSig model has been tested for capacity using the Stage 2B forecast year flows. **Appendix 63** includes the LinSig output data, whilst **Table 76** summarises the modelling results.

	Weekday AM Peak			Weekday PM Peak				
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)		
	2028 foreco	ist year 'w	ithout deve	lopment'				
Arm 1 – M1 SB slip	9.8	30.1	80.2	6.4	29.6	69.6		
Arm 2 – A512 (E)	9.9	24.4	69.7	10.6	18.2	71.6		
Arm 3 – M1 NB slip	6.2	47.8	75.5	4.6	40.7	65.5		
Arm 4 – A512 (W)	9.6	20.9	77.3	5.9	16.1	56.3		
	PRC ov	er all lane	s = 8.2%	PRC ov	er all lanes =	= 25.7%		
	2038 foreco	ist year 'w	ithout deve	lopment'				
Arm 1 – M1 SB slip	59.8	218.1	110.5	8.5	8.5 39.2 84.6			
Arm 2 – A512 (E)	25.4	58.5	97.8	15.0	21.6	85.4		
Arm 3 – M1 NB slip	9.3	56.5	87.2	12.4	97.4	96.5		
Arm 4 – A512 (W)	91.8	192.4	109.2	24.2	43.4	96.6		
	= -22.8%	PRC ov	er all lanes	= -7.3%				
2028 forecast year 'with development'								
Arm 1 – M1 SB slip	10.3	31.2	81.8	7.3	30.3	70.1		
Arm 2 – A512 (E)	11.0	26.3	74.6	11.6	20.2	75.7		
Arm 3 – M1 NB slip	6.0	46.8	74.1	4.9	44.8	68.1		
Arm 4 – A512 (W)	10.6	23.2	81.4	6.8	16.8	61.7		
	PRC ov	er all Iane	s = 7.0%	PRC ov	er all lanes =	= 18.8%		
	2038 forec	ast year '	with develo	pmenť				
Arm 1 – M1 SB slip	50.1	173.8	107.4	11.9	46.0	96.8		
Arm 2 – A512 (E)	33.7	88.2	101.2	25.4	42.1	96.7		
Arm 3 – M1 NB slip	25.7	256.6	110.2	19.7	170.6	104.2		
Arm 4 – A512 (W)	95.6	195.7	109.5	78.6	162.0	107.2		
	PRC ove	er all lanes	= -22.4%	PRC ov	er all lanes =	-19.2%		
2028 fc	precast yea	r ' <mark>with de</mark> v	elopment' '	with Mitigati	on'			
Arm 1 – M1 SB slip	10.0	31.9	83.1	6.6	30.3	70.5		
Arm 2 – A512 (E)	10.7	24.4	72.2	10.8	18.6	72.8		
Arm 3 – M1 NB slip	6.0	46.6	74.1	4.6	41.6	66.1		
Arm 4 – A512 (W)	11.1	24.0	83.0	6.3	16.4	59.0		
	PRC ov	er all Iane	s = 8.1%	PRC ov	er all lanes =	= 23.6%		
2038 fc	precast yea	r ' <mark>with de</mark> v	elopment' '	with Mitigati	on'			
Arm 1 – M1 SB slip	38.4	31.8	104.2	8.7	40.1	85.1		
Arm 2 – A512 (E)	24.5	56.1	97.4	15.7	22.4	86.5		
Arm 3 – M1 NB slip	15.7	138.4	100.8	9.2	62.6	89.0		
Arm 4 – A512 (W)	90.3	179.8	108.5	24.2	45.5	96.8		
	PRC ove	er all lanes	= -20.8%	PRC ov	er all lanes	= -7.6%		

Table 76. M1 Junction 23 LinSig Summary Results – Stage 2B

14.21 Similar to previous results, the junction is expected to operate within capacity in all scenarios at the 2028 year of opening, which is the Circular 01/2022 compliant assessment year. At the 2038 future year, the capacity of the junction is expected to improve the PRC increasing from -22.8% to -20.8% in the morning peak hour and see a negligible impact from -7.3% to -7.6% in the evening peak hour. Therefore, no mitigation is required.

Junction 13 – A50 Junction 1

- 14.22 The previous modelling results showed that the junction would exceed capacity in all scenarios but the development would not have a severe impact and including the proposed highway mitigation is Stage 2A there would be a betterment in all scenarios except the 2038 future year in the evening peak hour.
- 14.23 The agreed base LinSig model has been tested for capacity using the Stage 2B forecast year flows. **Appendix 64** includes the LinSig output data, whilst **Table 77** summarises the modelling results.

	Wee	kday AM	Peak	We	ekday PM Po	eak		
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)		
	2028 foreco	ıst year 'w	ithout deve	lopment'				
Arm 1 – B5010	1.4	7.4	54.4	1.4	10.4	51.2		
Arm 2 – B6540	7.4	17.6	63.5	7.0	16.2	80.0		
Arm 3 – A50 slip road (E)	12.7	49.8	94.1	7.1	22.2	76.9		
Arm 4 – Ryecraft Road	0.2	8.4	15.8	0.3	7.4	16.1		
Arm 5 – Trent Lane	6.2	18.5	71.1	10.1	26.9	88.0		
Arm 6 – A50 slip road (W)	7.7	18.2	86.0	6.5	17.2	77.3		
PRC over all lanes = -4.5% PRC over all lanes = 2.2%								
	2038 foreco	ıst year 'w	ithout deve	lopment'				
Arm 1 – B5010	1.4	8.3	55.5	1.5	11.4	53.5		
Arm 2 – B6540	9.0	16.6	91.3	11.9	21.6	98.9		
Arm 3 – A50 slip road (E)	7.7	23.0	78.9	7.2	20.0	74.2		
Arm 4 – Ryecraft Road	0.2	8.0	14.6	0.6	9.3	28.3		
Arm 5 – Trent Lane	6.7	19.7	74.0	24.0	71.7	100.1		
Arm 6 – A50 slip road (W)	7.8	18.5	86.0	6.0	17.0	72.8		
	PRC ov	er all lane	s =-3.5 %	PRC ov	er all lanes =	-11.2%		
	2028 forec	cast year '	with develo	pment'				
Arm 1 – B5010	1.6	8.2	58.9	1.1	8.1	45.1		
Arm 2 – B6540	7.7	18.0	87.7	7.4	16.3	83.6		
Arm 3 – A50 slip road (E)	13.4	53.6	95.0	7.4	22.4	76.8		
Arm 4 – Ryecraft Road	0.2	8.8	16.4	0.3	7.3	15.8		
Arm 5 – Trent Lane	5.8	17.8	67.5	10.1	26.7	87.8		
Arm 6 – A50 slip road (W)	8.7	19.6	92.5	6.4	17.0	75.6		
	PRC ov	er all lane	s = -5.6%	PRC o'	ver all lanes	= 2.5%		
	2038 forec	ast year '	with develo	pment'				

Table 77. A50 Junction 1 LinSig Summary Results – Stage 2B



Arm 1 – B5010	1.6	9.3	58.6	2.2	12.1	56.7		
Arm 2 – B6540	10.0	19.3	66.1	15.6	29.8	100.5		
Arm 3 – A50 slip road (E)	8.8	26.2	85.0	8.6	23.5	81.1		
Arm 4 – Ryecraft Road	0.3	8.3	15.5	1.0	12.2	43.4		
Arm 5 – Trent Lane	6.6	17.8	71.7	50.2	145.7	106.2		
Arm 6 – A50 slip road (W)	7.7	16.9	84.5	6.1	15.5	71.3		
PRC over all lanes = -9.4% PRC over all lanes =								
2028 fo	orecast year	r ' <mark>with dev</mark>	elopment' '	with Mitigati	ion'			
Arm 1 – B5010	1.4	7.6	51.9	1.5	10.5	53.2		
Arm 2 – B6540	7.4	15.9	84.6	7.5	18.9	78.9		
Arm 3 – A50 slip road (E)	7.7	25.4	80.6	7.4 24.9		78.9		
Arm 4 – Ryecraft Road	0.2	8.0	14.6	0.3	6.9	15.2		
Arm 5 – Trent Lane	5.7	20.3	67.4	14.2	62.3	96.3		
Arm 6 – A50 slip road (W)	7.2	17.9	82.1	7.0	19.7	82.4		
	PRC ov	er all lane	s = 6.4%	PRC over all lanes = -11.8%				
2038 fo	orecast year	r ' <mark>with dev</mark>	elopment' '	with Mitigati	ion'			
Arm 1 – B5010	1.4	8.3	53.4	1.4	10.9	51.3		
Arm 2 – B6540	9.1	16.3	67.6	11.6	23.4	98.9		
Arm 3 – A50 slip road (E)	5.9	17.3	67.1	6.9	21.3	74.5		
Arm 4 – Ryecraft Road	0.2	7.6	13.0	1.0	10.3	41.9		
Arm 5 – Trent Lane	6.9	20.1	75.2	22.7	68.0	99.7		
Arm 6 – A50 slip road (W)	7.4	18.3	82.9	5.9	17.0	71.0		
	PRC ove	er all lanes	5 = -2.2%	PRC over all lanes = -10.7%				

14.24 The results show that the junction would exceed capacity in all scenarios with or without development. However, with the proposed highway mitigation included for, the junction would experience improved capacity at the 2028 forecast year of opening in the morning peak hour, with PRC's increasing from -4.5% (Stage 1B) to 6.4% (Stage 2B). There would however be some deterioration in the evening peak hour with the PRC reducing from 2.2% (1B) to -11.8% (2B). Notwithstanding this, the maximum queue lengths on the A50 would be 7.4 and 7.0 pcus at Stage 2B which would remain well within the length of the slip roads. Furthermore, by 2038 there would be benefits on the capacity of the junction in both peak hours. Therefore, no mitigation is required.

Junction 14 – M1 Junction 25

- 14.25 The previous modelling results showed that the junction would exceed capacity in all scenarios however the impacts of the development would not be severe and when including for the mitigation at Stage 2A there would be an overall betterment of no change to the junction PRC at the 2028 year of opening.
- 14.26 The agreed base LinSig model has been tested for capacity using the Stage 2B forecast year flows. **Appendix 65** includes the LinSig output data, whilst **Table 78** summarises the modelling results.



Table 78 M1 Junction 25 LinSig Summary Results – Stage 2B

	Weekday AM Peak		We	ekday PM P	eak				
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	Do\$ (%)			
	2028 forec	ast year 'w	ithout deve	opment'					
Arm 1 – M1 slip (N)	108.7	432.5	130.7	75.8	318.8	117.5			
Arm 2 – A52 (E)	15.5	33.7	84.3	65.2	279.0	114.1			
Arm 3 – Bostocks Lane (S)	85.9	635.8	144.5	41.0	272.5	112.8			
Arm 4 – M1 slip (S)	71.2	248.3	114.4	12.3	30.4 87.9				
Arm 5 – A52 (W)	5.9	12.2	48.1	5.3	25.8 56.0				
Arm 6 – Bostocks Lane (N)	133.8	699.2	154.3	9.4	51.7	91.0			
	PRC over all lanes = -71.5% PRC over all lanes = -30.5%								
	2038 forec	ast year 'w	ithout deve	opment'					
Arm 1 – M1 slip (N)	153.2	681.8	148.8	243.2	689.2	155.3			
Arm 2 – A52 (E)	109.0	660.8	146.9	170.2	745.0	158.1			
Arm 3 – Bostocks Lane (S)	12.8	62.4	93.5	160.3	718.1	156.0			
Arm 4 – M1 slip (S)	229.7	667.0	149.5	115.5	658.1	148.8			
Arm 5 – A52 (W)	8.0	27.6	71.3	75.1	646.5	147.0			
Arm 6 – Bostocks Lane (N)	137.3	691.5	153.3	2.9	16.8	62.1			
	PRC ov	ver all lanes	= -70.3%	PRC ov	er all lanes =	= -75.6%			
2028 forecast year 'with development'				pment'					
Arm 1 – M1 slip (N)	19.1	49.7 94.3 74.4 338.9		338.9	117.5				
Arm 2 – A52 (E)	86.6	401.1	122.7	64.6	64.6 276.8				
Arm 3 – Bostocks Lane (S)	67.1	475.1	128.7	40.4 277.4		113.1			
Arm 4 – M1 slip (S)	140.0	473.9	138.6	13.6 33.2		90.3			
Arm 5 – A52 (W)	86.2	561.4	138.0	5.0	25.5	54.7			
Arm 6 – Bostocks Lane (N)	111.6	547.8	137.7	10.0	55.2	91.9			
	PRC ov	ver all lanes	= -54.0%	PRC over all lanes = -30.5%					
	2038 fore	ecast year '	with develo	pment'					
Arm 1 – M1 slip (N)	53.4	194.2	108.1	261.5	732.4	156.4			
Arm 2 – A52 (E)	10.5	28.7	70.5	177.3	793.3	164.6			
Arm 3 – Bostocks Lane (S)	73.3	549.8	136.0	161.4	753.7	160.6			
Arm 4 – M1 slip (S)	30.7	53.4	98.9	17.7	47.6	95.3			
Arm 5 – A52 (W)	5.2	11.5	44.0	7.4	26.5	67.4			
Arm 6 – Bostocks Lane (N)	137.0	541.1	96.6	7.7	60.4	89.9			
	PRC ov	er all lanes =	-82.9%						
2028 fc	precast ye	ar 'with dev	elopment' '	with Mitigati	on'				
Arm 1 – M1 slip (N)	28.6	92.2	100.5	68.9	297.5	115.0			
Arm 2 – A52 (E)	14.7	28.7	79.9	65.7	281.1	114.2			
Arm 3 – Bostocks Lane (S)	3 – Bostocks Lane 97.2 818.4 16				316.1	116.2			
Arm 4 – M1 slip (S)	8.5	19.7	74.7	14.0	34.3	91.1			

Arm 5 – A52 (W)	8.0	20.7	64.2	5.4	26.1	57.5	
Arm 6 – Bostocks Lane (N)	148.8	791.1	167.5	11.1	66.3	94.4	
	PRC ov	ver all lanes	= -86.3%	PRC ov	er all lanes =	-29.1%	
2038 fc	orecast ye	ar 'with dev	elopment' '	with Mitigati	ion'		
Arm 1 – M1 slip (N)	12.3	30.7	83.7	130.2	444.1	126.8	
Arm 2 – A52 (E)	12.0 38.9 8		81.5	83.9	401.7	123.4	
Arm 3 – Bostocks Lane (S)	74.4	505.5	132.1	56.2	418.3	124.4	
Arm 4 – M1 slip (S)	296.7	782.0	165.6	29.3	65.0	99.5	
Arm 5 – A52 (W)	9.2	30.1	76.8	5.1	21.6	50.5	
Arm 6 – Bostocks Lane (N)	152.8	780.7	164.9	30.4	164.0	104.8	
	PRC ov	ver all lanes	= -84.0%	PRC over all lanes = -40.9%			

14.27 The results show that there would be an improvement in capacity during both the 2028 and 2038 assessment years in the evening peak hour as a result of the **EMG2 Project**. There would be some further deterioration in the morning peak hour, with the PRC reducing from -71.5% to -86.3% at the 2028 year of opening. However, queues on the M1 and A52 arms would fall well within the capacity of the slip roads and the worst-case queue on Bostocks Lane would see a negligible increase from 133.8 PCUs to 148.8 PCUs, which would not materially affect the operation of this arm. There would also be an improvement in the operation of the junction in the evening peak hour. Therefore, no mitigation is required.

Junction 15 – Station Road/Broad Rushes Roundabout

- 14.28 The previous modelling results show that the Station Road/Broad Rushes roundabout is expected to exceed capacity in Stages 1A and 2A but operate within capacity in Stage 1B. This is because traffic from the draft Local Plan allocations and Isley Woodhouse settlement is having a large impact on the operation of the junction.
- 14.29 The agreed base Junctions 11 model has been tested for capacity using the Stage 2B forecast year flows. **Appendix 66** includes the Junctions 11 output data, whilst **Table 79** summarises the modelling results.

	We	ekday AM	Peak	We	ekday PM Pe	eak		
Arms	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC	Q (pcu)	Delay (secs)	RFC		
	2028 forec	ast year 'w	ithout deve	lopment'				
Arm 1 – Station Road (N)	6.4	13.93	0.81	3.1	8.89	0.69		
Arm 2 – Station Road (S)	1.1	7.96	0.39	3	16.4	0.75		
Arm 3 – Broad Rushes	4.6	22.91	0.79	5	25.4	0.81		
	2038 forec	ast year 'w	ithout deve	lopment'				
Arm 1 – Station Road (N)	4.6	12.43	0.75	2.8	8.11	0.63		
Arm 2 – Station Road (S)	1.1	8.77	0.48	3.2	16.88	0.74		
Arm 3 – Broad Rushes	5.2	27.47	0.79	9.2	47.5	0.94		
2028 forecast year 'with development'								
Arm 1 – Station Road (N)	7.4	16.95	0.85	2.9	8.8	0.68		
Arm 2 – Station Road (S)	0.8	7.87	0.39	2.9 15.		0.73		
Arm 3 – Broad Rushes	4.6	23.01	0.79	5.2	31.44	0.83		
	2038 fore	ecast year '	with develo	pment'				
Arm 1 – Station Road (N)	5.1	13.8	0.78	3.5	9.71	0.7		
Arm 2 – Station Road (S)	1.4	9.26	0.51	5.4	25.64	0.82		
Arm 3 – Broad Rushes	5.8	33.22	0.82	39.9	165.47	1.08		
2028 fc	orecast ye	ar 'with dev	elopment' '	with Mitigat	ion'			
Arm 1 – Station Road (N)	5.0	12.83	0.79	3.0	8.87	0.68		
Arm 2 – Station Road (S)	1.0	8.89	0.47	3.0	15.03	0.72		
Arm 3 – Broad Rushes	3.3 19.32 0.75		13.7	67.00	0.98			
2038 fc	orecast ye	ar 'with dev	elopment' '	with Mitigati	ion'			
Arm 1 – Station Road (N)	0.2	4.60	0.11	1.0	5.67	0.35		
Arm 2 – Station Road (S)	1.7	6.08	0.48	4.3	15.24	0.77		
Arm 3 – Broad Rushes	2.0	8.55	0.54	0.9	5.82	0.30		

Table 79. Station Road/Broad Rushes Roundabout Junctions 11 Summary Results

14.30 The results show that the junction would operate within capacity in all scenarios, thereby confirming the conclusions of the Stage 1B modelling. The development would therefore not have a severe impact and no mitigation is required.



15. CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC ASSESSMENT

15.1 The PRTM Construction Traffic Report, which will consider the impact of the peak hour construction traffic on the existing highway network (over and above the Annual Average Daily Traffic flows considered in the Environmental Statement Transport Chapter) will be included in the final version of the TA to inform the DCO submission.



16. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

- 16.1 BWB Consulting Ltd (BWB) has been instructed by Segro to provide highways and transportation advice and prepare a Transport Assessment in support of a second phase of its East Midlands Gateway Logistics Park (EMG1), which is a Strategic Rail Freight Interchange located to the north of East Midlands Airport. It also forms part of the Government's East Midlands Freeport designation.
- 16.2 The proposed second phase to EMG1 (known as EMG2) includes the development of the EMG2 Main Site which has been identified by the Secretary of State as a project of national significance. and is the subject of an application for a Development Consent Order (DCO), along with significant highway works some of which are a Nationally Significant Infrastructure Project in their own right. Further development and infrastructure improvements at EMG1 are also proposed which are the subject of a Material Change Order (MCO) to the EMG1 DCO.
- 16.3 This Transport Assessment has been produced following collaboration with a Transport Working Group (TWG) formed in April 2022. The TWG includes representatives from National Highways (NH), Leicestershire County Council (LCountyC) and Nottinghamshire County Council (NCountyC), alongside other highway authorities, consultant representatives and project team. The purpose of the TWG is to oversee the comprehensive transport modelling work as well as allowing discussions on other aspects of the development including the sustainable transport strategy and package of mitigation required to accommodate **EMG2 Project**.
- 16.4 A series of transport related documents and Technical Notes have been produced seeking to agree key details with the TWG ahead of the DCO/MCO applications being submitted. A large number of agreements have been made with NH and NCountyC in particular. LCountyC have been party to the discussions and technical information and have agreed with certain details but from January 2025 but confirmed they will not formally sign any documents at this stage of the process.
- 16.5 The **EMG2 Project** includes the EMG2 Works which is the built development on the EMG2 Main Site comprising 300,000sqm B2/B8 ground floorspace (assessed as 60,000sqm B2 and 240,000sqm B8) plus a mezzanine allowance of 200,000sqm. The EMG2 Works also include the provision of a new Community Park. The **EMG2 Project** also includes works on EMG1 comprising additional B8 warehousing development on Plot 16 of 26,500sqm ground floorspace plus a mezzanine allowance 3,500sqm. The EMG1 Works also seek permission to increase the permitted height of the cranes at the EMG1 rail freight terminal and improvements to the public transport interchange, site management building and EMG1 pedestrian crossing (the MCO scheme). Segro is seeking BREEAM 'Excellent' across all warehousing units.
- 16.6 A Sustainable Transport Strategy has been developed which involves significant on-site and off-site infrastructure improvements to the surrounding active travel routes. This includes the delivery of a new shared footway/cycleway along the A453 between EMG2 and EMG1, along with works to the Hyam's Lane public footpath that bisects the EMG2 Main Site to provide a dedicated cycle link that will form part of an extension to the existing National Cycle Route 15. There are also significant other improvements to crossing facilities on the A453, Public Rights of Way improvements and provision of a



purpose-built bus interchange accommodating both existing public bus services and a dedicated electric shuttle service.

- 16.7 The EMG2 Main Site will be served via a fourth arm from the existing A453/Hunter Road roundabout. There will also be an emergency access route via Hyam's Lane. The EMG1 Works at Plot 16 will be served by the existing EMG1 access via Wilder's Way. All access points have been designed in accordance with adopted design standards within the Leicestershire Highway Design Guide and the Design Manual for Roads and Bridges and achieve the required geometry, visibility and have been tested by way of swept path analysis and junction capacity assessments.
- 16.8 The EMG2 development is forecast to generate 929 vehicle trips in the morning peak hour (including 174 HGVs) and 1,065 vehicle trips in the evening peak hour (including 155 HGVs) having adopted a robust methodology to determine such flows originally earlier in the DCO process. The Framework Travel Plan seeks to reduce total vehicle trips by 24%, however all transport modelling excluded the benefits of the Framework Travel Plan to ensure a robust assessment. Furthermore, recent survey data at EMG1 shows that actual trip rates being generated are 33.0% less in the morning peak hour and 45.8% less in the evening peak hour compared to what has been assessed in the transport modelling, hence a worse-case assessment has been adopted in the TA.
- 16.9 Strategic transport modelling has been undertaken using Leicestershire's 2019 Pan Regional Transport Model (PRTM). This underwent a rigorous base model validation exercise before testing the forecast year scenarios. The forecast year modelling was undertaken in two stages, referred to as 'Stage 1A modelling' and 'Stage 1B modelling' which adopt different planning data assumptions in the uncertainty logs and baseline traffic. The key difference is the inclusion (1A) and exclusion (1B) of the Ratcliffe on Soar Power Station redevelopment over and above that permitted in the LDO and draft Local Plan allocations. The two stages are summarised below:
 - **Stage 1A modelling** (Proforma v14, Uncertainty Log v7, included at **Appendix 8**) = 2028/2038 forecast years with and without EMG2, including, consented and committed sites as well as draft Local Plan allocation sites and full redevelopment of the Ratcliffe on Soar Power Station site, part of which is authorised by a Local Development Order (LDO).
 - **Stage 1B modelling** (Proforma v14a, Uncertainty Log v7a, included at **Appendix 35**) = 2028/2038 forecast years with and without EMG2, including consented and committed sites but excluding the draft Local Plan allocation sites and Ratcliffe on Soar Power Station site redevelopment proposals beyond which is currently able to proceed under the LDO.
- 16.10 As required by the Highway Authorities the Transport Assessment adopted the Stage 1A modelling outputs as the core scenario as worst-case assessment in terms of traffic impacts. The Stage 1B modelling outputs were adopted as a sensitivity test at a select number of junctions where further analysis was required. A 2028 forecast year of opening and 2038 forecast future year were adopted for the transport modelling.
- 16.11 To identify impacts from the EMG2 development, strategic transport modelling using PRTM was undertaken followed by further detailed analysis of key junctions using VISSIM

micro-simulation and industry standard LinSig and Junction 11 software. The VISSIM model network included the A453 between the A453/Hunter Road roundabout (EMG2 Main Site access) and M1 Junction 24, including Finger Farm roundabout (M1 Junction 23A) and the A453/A6/EMG1 access junction.

- 16.12 The transport modelling showed that the EMG2 Works would, without mitigation, have capacity impacts across the VISSIM model network area, particularly at M1 Junction 24 which is expected to experience high levels of congestion and delay. Whilst there are predicted to be capacity issues at other junctions further afield, the impacts of the EMG2 development were more limited.
- 16.13 To mitigate the impacts of the EMG2 Works, a comprehensive package of highway works is proposed comprising the following:
 - M1 Junction 24 improvements comprising:
 - Construction of a new free-flow link road from the M1 northbound at J24 to provide a direct link to the A50 westbound, which will cross over the A453, and will include the A50 westbound merge alterations;
 - Widening of the A50 eastbound link at J24 and other related works and traffic management measures in this location;
 - Alteration of the western side of the J24 roundabout to provide three lanes from the M1 northbound to A453 northbound through the junction, two lanes from the A453 northbound to the M1 northbound through the junction and removal of the segregated left-turn lane from the A453 northbound to the A50 westbound post feedback from NH;
 - Signing and lining amendments on the east side of the J24 roundabout and the A453 southbound approach;
 - Provision of new M1 northbound exit to the A50 and associated improvements to gantries signage, signals and road markings on the M1; and
 - Changes to the signage on the M1 northbound before J23A to sign the A50 via the new M1 J24 link road rather than via J23A as at present.
 - EMG1 Access Improvements providing widening at the EMG1 roundabout to increase junction capacity.
 - Finger Farm improvements including widening of the A453 westbound exit to extend the distance of two lanes.
- 16.14 The proposed highway mitigation was tested in PRTM which showed that the Strategic Road Network would be able to accommodate an additional 2,910 vehicles during the peak hour periods in 2028 and 2,551 during the peak hour periods in 2038 (less in the latter because there is more traffic in the network). This reduces traffic on a large number of local roads, as well as the A453 corridor between Finger Farm roundabout and M1 Junction 24. The highway mitigation is expected to reduce delays on the M1 Junction 24 circulatory and EMG1 roundabout. Overall, there would be significant benefits to the operation of the Strategic Road Network in the vicinity of the site, as well as benefits on large parts of the local road network.

- 16.15 The VISSIM micro-simulation modelling demonstrates that, in summary, with the comprehensive mitigation strategy included for, the highway network within the VISSIM model, extending from the EMG2 site access to M1 Junction 24, would, overall, provide significant benefit. The standalone off-site junction capacity assessments confirmed that no further mitigation is required.
- 16.16 A detailed analysis of the Personal Injury Collision (PIC) records was undertaken across a comprehensive study area surrounding the site. The PIC analysis identified potential safety issues at the following three locations:
 - A453/A6/EMG1 access junction a cluster of PICs have been recorded due to turning movements from the A6 to EMG1 colliding with drivers travelling southbound on the A453. One of the PICs was fatal.
 - M1 Junction 24 a cluster of PICs have been recorded on the M1 northbound offslip on approach to the roundabout.
 - A453/The Green a cluster of PICs have been recorded due to right turning movements from the A453 west into The Green. This appears to be due to the location of the junction within a dip in the carriageway and potential lack of signage or warnings. However, in looking at historic Google Street View records, the tourist sign to the 'Queen's Head' highlighting a left turn into The Green from the east was obstructed by overgrown vegetation until 2023 and since then there have been no PICs occurring through westbound travelling vehicles. There appear to have been improvements to the warning signs for eastbound vehicles between 2017 and 2020, which appears to have slowed the rate of collisions.
- 16.17 In addition to addressing the capacity impacts of the EMG2 Works, the proposed highway works seek to improve safety across the network and at the above three locations in particular. The proposed highway works would reduce traffic flows and queueing on the M1 northbound off-slip to Junction 24 and at the A453/A6/EMG1 access junction. There should also be no significant worsening on the operation of the A453/The Green junction in reality, even if there is a disconnect between what the standalone Junctions 11 modelling of the junction is showing versus that in PRTM.
- 16.18 SEGRO has confirmed that they accept a requirement for the proposed highway mitigation to be in place prior to occupation of any building on the EMG2 Main Site. This will ensure that there will be no impacts on the surrounding highway network, noting that the development will be built out in phases in line with demand.
- 16.19 The impact of construction traffic has been undertaken using PRTM and the results will be included in a revised version of the TA ahead of the DCO/MCO applications being submitted. The measures and procedures outlined in the Construction Environmental Management Plan (and supporting Construction Traffic Management Plan) will further ensure that impacts during the construction phase are limited.
- 16.20 In summary, it is concluded that the EMG2 Works, alongside the highway works, provide comprehensive highway mitigation, active travel works, public transport improvements and Public Rights of Way works, would comply with the National Policy Statement for National Networks and the National Planning Policy Framework. In particular there



would be benefits to the operation and capacity of the existing highway network surrounding the site and improvements to highway safety at locations with existing issues, all of which would be further improved when considering the positive effects of the Framework Travel Plan and associated reduction in traffic. Therefore, the **EMG2 Project** is considered to comply with current national and local policy requirements and design standards.



APPENDICES



APPENDIX 1: VISSIM Scoping Note (document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0003_S2-P3)



Project Name	East Midlands Gateway, Phase 2		
Document Number	EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0003	BWB Ref	220500
Author	Vibeeshan Devaharan	\$2	
Checked	Matt Corner Revisi		Р3
Approved	Paul Wilson	Date	24.02.23

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 BWB Consulting Ltd have been appointed by SEGRO ("the Applicant") to provide highways and transport planning advice on a proposed Phase 2 Expansion of the East Midlands Gateway (EMG) site. The site is proposed for a B8 led employment development.
- 1.2 The site has a total area of circa 250 acres located to the south of the A453 and East Midlands Airport itself, to the east of Diseworth village. The M1 Junction 23A lies to the east of the site with the Moto Donnington Motorway Service Area (MSA) directly abutting to the northeast. The proposals are for approximately 3.23 million sqft (300,000sqm) gross floor area (GFA) of development, of which 80% is to be assessed for B8 uses and the remaining 20% for B2 uses, all with ancillary office use. The indicative site location is shown in **Figure 1**.



Figure 1. Site Location

1.3 As part of the proposal, micro-simulation VISSIM modelling of M1 Junction 24 was requested. A VISSIM network model of base year 2012 is available and includes the following junctions:



- A50 junction 1 Sawley Interchange;
- M1 J24a;
- M1 J24;
- A453/EMG Phase 1/Kegworth Bypass signal controlled gyratory;
- M1 J23a Finger Farm roundabout (including M1/A42 on and off slip roads);
- A453/Hunter Road/minor EMG Phase 2 access roundabout
- A453 East Midlands airport internal roundabouts.
- 1.4 However the VISSIM model is now outdated and a calibrated/validated base model utilising more recent surveys will be required to examine the impact of the proposed development.
- 1.5 Therefore, as discussed at the Transport Working Group (TWG) meeting with all local highway authorities, together with Nationla Highways (NH), on 8 December 2022, it is proposed that the VISSIM model is cordoned off to include the following junctions only, from north to south:
 - M1 J24a southbound merge onto the M1 and M1 junction 24;
 - M1 J24 (including all merges and diverges);
 - A453/EMG Phase 1/Kegworth Bypass signal controlled gyratory;
 - M1 J23a Finger Farm roundabout (including M1/A42 on and off slip roads);
 - A453/Hunter Road/minor EMG Phase 2 access roundabout
 - A453/main EMG Phase 2 site access roundabout.
- 1.6 A figure illustrating the extents of the VISSIM modelling is presented in Figure 2.



Figure 2: VISSIM Model Extent



1.7 The proposed approach to be adopted within the VISSIM modelling was set out via email to the TWG on 9 December 2022. As requested, this Technical Note formally sets out the scope of the VISSIM model, focusing on the study area and base modelling methodology in the first instance. This revised version takes into consoderation the comments recived from NH via email on 23 January 2023 and a subsequent meeting with them on 25 January 2023.



2. VISSIM Base Modelling Methodology

Base Model Flows

- 2.1 Traffic surveys for junctions outlined in paragraph 1.5 have been undertaken in November 2022. A combination of traffic surveys at junctions and webtris data along the M1 and A453 will be utilised to derive an OD matrix for the VISSIM network.
- 2.2 A figure illustrating survey location and potential webtris data locations is shown in **Figure 2**.



Figure 3: Junction Count Locations

- 2.3 An initial review of the traffic flow survey has been undertaken and the morning and evening peak hours have been identified as follows:
 - AM Peak: 0730 0830;
 - PM Peak: 1700 1800.
- 2.4 It is proposed that a half an hour warm up and cool down period is added either side of the identified peak hours in the morning and evening respectively.
- 2.5 A LinSig skeleton model will be developed of the VISSIM network and turning counts will be input at 15 minute intervals to undertake and O-D matrix estimation exercise. Subsequently these will be exported and input into VISSIM. Edge closures and route



closures will be utilised within VISSIM to ensure any unrealistic movements are avoided, i.e. A42 to M1 will not diverge off onto M1 J23A to re-join M1.

- 2.6 Lights and Heavies vehicle types will be modelled, and flows will be input into VISSIM at 15-minute intervals.
- 2.7 It is envisaged that dynamic assignment will be utilised within VISSIM for the proposed network.
- 2.8 It is proposed that the models will be run for 10 iterations subject to Chi2 goodness of fit test which seeks to demonstrate that statistically the different model runs pass the goodness of fit null hypothesis that there is no significant difference in average delays between the seed values, thus demonstrating stability.

Signal Coding

2.9 It is understood that M1J24 and the A453/Kegworth by-pass gyratory operate using MOVA. A copy of the MOVA data set files and logs have been obtained from National Highways. These will be utilised within the VISSIM model using PCMOVA.

Validation & Calibration

- 2.10 The Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB) defines model calibration as "the process of adjusting the parameters used in the various mathematical relationships within the model to reflect the data as well as is necessary to reflect the model objectives". The model calibration process ensures that model has the ability to exhibit characteristics that accurately compare with observed data.
- 2.11 A copy of the video footage of the surveyed junctions will be obtained to reflect correct lane usage and driving behaviour as observed.
- 2.12 DfT Transport Analysis Guidance (TAG) states that the calibration of traffic data in a model should be based on the Geffrey E.Havers (GEH) statistic, and states that modelled flows must have a GEH value of less than 5 in at least 85% of the cases. The latest Transport for London Traffic Modelling Guidelines states that GEH values should be less than 3. Therefore, the model will be assessed against both guidelines for turning count calibration.
- 2.13 TAG Unit M3.1 states that "for journey time calibration, the measure which should be used is: the percentage difference between modelled and observed journey times, subject to an absolute maximum difference". Subsequently, Table 3 of TAG states that the "modelled times along routes should be within 15% of surveyed times or 1 minute, if higher than 15%." The 15% threshold will be used to validate the model.
- 2.14 It is proposed the TomTom journey time data will be utilised to validate journey time in line with WebTAG guidelines. TomTom data will be obtained for the day of the survey (3rd November 2022) and an average of journey times for neutral days within November 2022. A figure illustrating journey time locations is presented in **Figures 4** and **5**.







Figure 5: Journey Time Routes (8-14)





3. SUMMARY

- 3.1 BWB Consulting Ltd have been appointed by SEGRO ("the Applicant") to provide highways and transport planning advice on a proposed Phase 2 Expansion of the East Midlands Gateway site. The site is proposed for a B8 led employment development.
- 3.2 As part of the proposals and discussions with the TWG, modelling of the M1 Junction 24 was requested to be undertaken using VISSIM. An outdated model of the wider network including M1 Junction 24 is available for use. Therefore, it is proposed that the VISSIM network is cordoned off to the following junctions and revalidated using the methodology contained in this Technical Note:
 - M1 J24;
 - M1 J24a southbound merge onto the M1 and M1 junction 24;
 - A453/EMG Phase 1/Kegworth Bypass signal controlled gyratory;
 - M1 J23a Finger Farm roundabout (including M1/A42 on and off slip roads);
 - A453/Hunter Road/minor EMG Phase 2 access roundabout;
 - A453/EMG Phase 2 site access roundabout.
- 3.3 Because of the limited timescales available to submit a planning application for the proposals, BWB will continue to develop the VISSIM model on the above basis. However, prompt agreement is kindly sought from the TWG to the proposed approach.
- 3.4 The next stages would then be to:
 - i) set out the methodology proposed to furness the traffic flows soon to be provided from the East Midlands Freeport Model (EMFM) Saturn model versus those recorded in November to calculate appropriate base year and 'with development 'traffic flows as discussed briefly at the January 2023 TWG meeting and followed up formally via email on 19 January 2023
 - ii) issue the validated VISSIM model for agreement
 - iii) build on the validated VISSIM model and assess the impact of the proposed development, together with the sensitivity test including for the neighbouring Isley Walton site and other Freeport sites, using VISSIM as well as standalone Junctions 10 and LinSig models, for formal inclusion and submission within the outline planning application.



APPENDIX 2: Modelling Furnessing Approach (document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0004_S2-P5)



Project Name	East Midlands Gateway, Phase 2				
Document Number	BWB Ref	220500			
Author	Matt Corner	Status	S2		
Checked	Vibeeshan Devaharan	peeshan Devaharan Revision P5			
Approved	Paul Wilson	Date	04.04.25		

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 BWB Consulting Ltd have been appointed by SEGRO ("the Applicant") to provide highways and transport planning advice on a proposed Phase 2 Expansion of the East Midlands Gateway (EMG) site. The site comprises 430,000sqm of industrial development across the following sites:
 - 400,000sqm of B2/B8 industrial development on EMG2, including 100,000sqm of B8 mezzanine floorspace.
 - 30,000sqm of B8 industrial development on Plot 16 of EMG1.
- 1.2 It has been agreed with the Transport Working Group for the development impacts to be assessed using the East Midlands Freeport Model (PRTM) a cordon of the wider Pan Regional Transport Model (PRTM). This Technical Note has been produced to set out the furnessing methodology to derive future forecast traffic flow matrices for each junction being assessed in the Transport Assessment. It therefore facilitates the transition from strategic to local junction modelling.
- 1.3 This revision of the Technical Note (Revision P4) follows the completion of an update of the PRTM base and future forecast modelling scenarios and output information. It therefore adopts the following structure:
 - Section 2: Proposed Methodology sets out the furnessing methodology options and the strategy that will be undertaken to determine the most appropriate methodology to derive the future forecast traffic flows.
 - Section 3: Future Forecast Traffic Flows provides more detail on the methodology used to derive future forecast traffic flows.
 - Section 4: Development Traffic sets out how the development traffic will be accounted for in the VISSIM modelling
 - Section 5: Committed Traffic sets out how the East Midlands Point committed development traffic will be accounted for in the VISSIM modelling
 - Section 6: Traffic Flow Data Set sets out the various dataset outputs provided from the PRTM and explains the dataset used in the furnessing calculations.
 - Section 7: VISSIM Modelling sets out the methodology of extracting PRTM cordon matrices for the VISSIM modelling work.



- Section 8: Traffic Flow Furnessing sets out the future forecast traffic flows derived using the preferred methodology and for input into the detailed junction models. It also includes an example as to how the flows have been furnessed.
- Section 9: Summary summarises the key conclusions of this Technical Note.

2. PROPOSED METHODOLOGY

Traffic Data and Model Outputs

2.1 Manual classified turning counts were commissioned in November 2022 and May 2023 at 16 junctions across the highway network (with the proposed site access roundabout on the A453 forming the 10th junction). These surveys therefore provide observed turning movements which will be used as part of the furnessing procedure to derive future forecast traffic flows and are listed below. The raw survey data for the 16 exisitng junctions is also appended at the corresponding locations as set out below, whilst the locations are shown at **Figure 1**.

Or of the participant Operating and the participant Operating

Figure 1. Study Area

November 2023 Surveys

• Junction 2: A453/Hunter Road roundabout (Appendix 1)



- Junction 3: Finger Farm roundabout (Appendix 2)
- Junction 4: EMGP1 gyratory (**Appendix 3**)
- Junction 5: M1 Junction 24 (Appendix 4)
- Junction 6: A453/Grimes Gate priority junction (Appendix 5)
- Junction 7: A453/The Green priority junction (**Appendix 6**)
- Junction 8: A453/East Midlands Airport signal junction (Appendix 7)
- Junction 9: A453/East Midlands Airport roundabout (Appendix 8)
- Junction 10: A453/Walton Hill signal junction (Appendix 9)
- Junction 12: M1 Junction 23 (Appendix 10)

May 2023 Surveys

- Junction 11: A42 Junction 14 on-slip/A453/Top Brand/Gelscoe Lane Roundabout (**Appendix 11**)
- Junction 13: A50 Junction 1 (Appendix 12)
- Junction 14: M1 Junction 25 (Appendix 13)
- Junction 15: Station Road/Broad Rushes Roundabout (Appendix 14)
- Junction 16: A453/Kegworth Road Roundabouts (Appendix 15)
- Junction 17: A453/Barton Lane/West Leake dumbbell Roundabouts (Appendix 16)
- 2.2 The EMFM was updated to a 2019 base year and outputs are being are being provided for 2022, 2023, 2024, 2028 and 2038 scenarios. Hence, an initial comparison will be undertaken between the 2022 observed counts and 2022 flows from the PRTM to understand turning count validation, details of which are provided in the next section.

Traffic Flow Validation

2.3 The first step in understanding the most appropriate furnessing methodology is to compare the 2022 traffic flows from the PRTM against observed counts to provide an indication of the statistical significance of any differences. This has been undertaken using the GEH Statistics formula. The formula is set out below where M is the hourly traffic volume of the PRTM and C is the hourly traffic volume from the observed count.

$$GEH = \sqrt{rac{2(M-C)^2}{M+C}}$$

2.4 It is generally accepted that a GEH value below 5 represents a good correlation between the two datasets. Details of the analysis for all 10 junctions highlighted in Paragraph 2.1 has been presented in Section 3.



Traffic Flow Furnessing Options

2.5 Orginially, four possible methodologies for furnessing the PRTM model outputs to derive future forecast traffic flows were being considered. The followng details provide a brief overview of each option, highlighting the benefits and potential downfalls of each one.

Option 1 – Extraction of target entry and exit flows directly from PRTM

- 2.6 Option 1 involves taking the future year traffic flows directly from the PRTM. This option would only be suitable if the 2022 turning counts compare well against the PRTM base year flows i.e. GEH less than 5.
- 2.7 The benefit of this method is the reduced number of assumptions applied to derive the target trip ends. Notwithstanding this, during a meeting with the Transport Working Group on 12 January 2023, Leicestershire County Council raised concern with this methodology suggesting that whilst the PRTM is well validated against observed link data, it is not calibrated/validated against individual turning movements and hence this option would unlikely be acceptable.

Option 2 – Use 2022 PRTM model base in conjunction with future PRTM flows to calculate percentage growth factors and apply this to the 2022 observed counts

- 2.8 Option 2 invovles calculating the percentage difference between the 2022 base and 2028/2038 future PRTM flows and applying the percentage growth directly to the 2022 observed counts at turning movement level. This option has the potential to significantly exacerbate future traffic flows and hence will need to be undertaken alongside a manual assessment.
- 2.9 For example, should the PRTM traffic flows show a turning movement of 1 vehicle in the base year (2022) increasing to 5 movements in the future year (2038), then this equates to a 500% increase. If the 500% increase is applied to a turning movement of 20 vehicles recorded from an observed count then this would result in 100 movements at the future year, which could be a significant overestimate. The four stage methodology involved with Option 2 is shown in **Figure 2**.

Figure 2: Option 2 Furnessing Methology



Option 3 – Use 2022 PRTM base in conjunction with future PRTM flows to calculate absolute increases in turning movements and apply this to the 2022 observed counts

2.10 Option 3 calcuates the difference between the 2022 base and 2028/2038 future PRTM flows in absolute numbers and applies the increase directly to the 2022 observed counts. This option would only be suitable if the 2022 observed counts show good levels of



correlation against the 2022 PRTM base flows using the GEH Statistics formula. The four stage furnessing methology for Option 3 is shown in **Figure 3**.

Figure 3: Option 3 Furnessing Methdology



Option 4 – Use 2022 PRTM base in conjunction with future PRTM flows to calculate absolute increases in link flows and apply the increase proportionatley to the 2022 observed turning counts

2.11 Option 4 involves adding the difference in link flows between the 2022 base and 2028/38 future PRTM to the 2022 observed link flows to derive a target link flow. The target link flow is applied proportionally in accordance with the observed turning movements to derive forecast traffic flow matrices. The four stage furnessing methology for Option 4 is shown in **Figure 4**.

Figure 4: Option 4 Furnessing Methology



3. FUTURE FORECAST TRAFFIC FLOWS

GEH Statistics

- 3.1 To start with, a comparison was made between the latest received 2022 PRTM flows and the 2022 observed counts to understand the statistical signifiance of any differences. This was undertaken for Junctions 1 to 9 which formed the original study area. To do this, an Excel spreadsheet was sent separately comparing light and heavy goods vehicle turning movements for both peak hours. The formula set out at Paragraph 2.3 was then applied to compare the two datasets.
- 3.2 A copy of the calculations is included at **Appendix 17**, which shows that all junctions have turning movements with a GEH value in excess of 5 and hence outside of the critieria for where there is a good level of correlation. On this basis, the furnessing options 1 and 3 detailed in Section 2 have been disregarded.



3.3 The percentage growth between PRTM base and forecast years were calculated; however, this resulted in large overestimations as described in Paragraph 2.11. Option 4 has however still been utilised to furness forecast traffic flow matrices.

Furnessing Methodology

- 3.4 The furnessing approach for Option 4 has been built using an MS Excel macro using VBA to ensure an extensive spreadsheet is not required to display every iteration of the furnessing. This also ensures that the methodology is consistent between all furnessed matrices. A summary of the process undertaken by the macros is provided below.
 - Column adjustment: calculate turning counts across columns using survey data proportions in combination with the target link flow out of each arm.
 - Sum row: calculate the sum of each arm row total.
 - Row adjustment: calculate turning counts across rows using survey data proportions in combination with the target link flow into each arm.
 - Sum column: calculate the sum of each column.
 - Round all values in the matrix to the closest integer.
 - Update sums for column and row total.
 - Repeat the above 'x' number of iterations until the flows converge.
- 3.5 The macro has been built to run the furnessing 20 times for each matrix, however it should be noted that every time the macro is executed, it runs an additional 20 times. The furnessing spreadsheet therefore has been run for at least 20 iterations. The furnessing methodology has been double constrained, i.e. both origin and destination and the traffic flow matrices are furnessed until link flows are within a GEH of 3.
- 3.6 Additional matrices are provided to calculate the absolute difference and percentage difference between the forecast and furnessed link flows for each scenario respectively. A review of these indicates that this is considered to be convergent with the accepted furnessing methodology.
- 3.7 For certain turning movements there is expected to be negative growth. The PRTM rassigns vehicle routes based on delays and cost of journey and therefore some movements may experience a reduction in flows. The negative growth forecast by PRTM will also be taken into account during furnessing as excluding this would overestimate impacts at junctions.
- 3.8 Due to high volumes of traffic that travel on the motorways and key A-roads there is the potential for these numbers to affect the furnessing outputs. As the furnessing process is based on turning proportions, the large motorway flows could cause the furnessing to assign traffic that would use the junction o the motorway mainline movements instead.
- 3.9 Therefore, there M1 and A42 mainline flows have been removed and furnessed seperately to avoid any re assignment.



4. DEVELOPMENT TRAFFIC

- 4.1 Strategic models reroute traffic in response to congestion within the network. To ensure the true impact of the development is modelled and mitigation is provided along the main routes that the development traffic would take, rather than rerouting via smaller junctions. The distribution of development traffic was extracted from the PRTM model, and it is proposed that the assignment of development traffic is assigned manually to exclude for any rerouting of traffic as a result the proposed development.
- 4.2 It was noticed that in the latest PRTM outputs that 7% of development car trips are originating/travelling to East Midlands Airport. This was queried this with AECOM/LCC NDI and it is because EMA is a zone in PRTM. Therefore, it is proposed to proportionately distribute the 7% of traffic to/from EMA along the seven highest other routes as set out to the TWG.

5. COMMITTED DEVELOPMENT TRAFFIC

5.1 The East Midlands Point comitted development off Finger Farm roundabout has been included in the PRTM modelling however as the development is not operational, there are no surveyed flows associated with the development. As such, the forecast traffic flows associated with the development has been directly extracted from the PRTM VISSIM cordon matrices and will be utilised for assessment in VISSIM.

6. TRAFFIC FLOW DATA SET

- 6.1 BWB was provided a copy of both 'Actual' and 'Demand' flow datasets by AECOM. Demand flow within SATURN does not assume a fully unconstrained network, both demand and actual flow account for all network constraints i.e. congestion, etc. and include for rerouting within the network.
- 6.2 Demand flow can be considered as the flow from the model assignment within the modelled period, independent of when the flow arrives i.e. if 100 vehicles are predicted to arrive at a certain junction between 0800-0900, demand flow will be displayed as 100.
- 6.3 On the contrary, actual flows can be considered as the flow that reaches a particular link or turn during the simulated time, i.e. if 100 vehicles are predicted to arrive at a certain junction between 0800-0900 however 20 vehicles are unable to get to the link within the modelled time due to constraints elsewhere in the network, actual flows will be displayed as 80 vehicles.
- 6.4 Discussions were held with AECOM and LCC/NDI and it was concluded that 'Actual' flows should be utilised within the modelling therefore all furnessing has been undertaken utilising 'Actual' flow data.

7. VISSIM MODELLING

- 7.1 A VISSIM model has been developed for the following junctions.
 - i. M1 J24;



- ii. M1 J24a southbound merge onto the M1 and M1 junction 24;
- iii. A453/EMG Phase 1/Kegworth Bypass signal controlled gyratory;
- iv. M1 J23a Finger Farm roundabout (including M1/A42 on and off slip roads);
- v. A453/Hunter Road/minor EMG Phase 2 access roundabout;
- vi. A453/EMG Phase 2 site access roundabout.
- 7.2 Subsequently cordoned model flows have been obtained from AECOM to furness the traffic flows for each of the junctions in the VISSIM network in line with furnessing methodology Option 4 to derive forecast modelling traffic flow matrices.

Post Stage 1a Modelling

- 7.3 Stage 1a modelling comprises of 2028/38 forecast years with committed development, proposed development and Local Plan allocations
- 7.4 Upon receiving the Stage 1a VISSIM Corden modelling outputs from AECOM, a review of the data was undertaken to determine if the PRTM model outputs were coherent and that there was no unforeseen increase/decrease in flows on the links within the VISSIM network.
- 7.5 A review of the Stage 1a VISSIM cordon model was undertaken, which indicated that the 2022 flows provided as part of Stage 1a were lower than that provided previously. A proportion of the reduction in flows between the 2022 datasets were as a result of lower traffic flows accessing/egressing the EMG 1 site having previously considered such informatio in greater detail. The EMG1 traffic flows are more in line with that set out in the planning application. However, in addition to this, reduction in flows were noted primarily on the A42 and M1 S approach arms.
- 7.6 The agreed furnessing methodology, Option 4, calculates the flow difference between 2022 base and forecast modelling scenarios and adds the increase/decrease in traffic to the observed link flows. Therefore a lower 2022 base would provide a higher furnessed link flow to be modelled in VISSIM.
- 7.7 Whilst this approach has been retained, an additional comparison has been undertaken between the furnessed link flows and PRTM link flows by way of considering flows forecast to travel along each link in 2038. This is illustrated in **Table 1** below.

		AM (Origin Total		PM	Origin Total	
Link No	Link Name	Furnessed Target Flows	2038 Raw Link Flows	Diff	Furnessed Target Flows	2038 Raw Link Flows	Diff
1	A50	2720	2650	-70	1926	2884	+958
2	M1 North	5957	4558	-1399	5309	4011	-1298
3	A453 Remembrance Way	1769	2163	+394	2112	2364	+252
4	Derby Road	684	857	+173	639	938	+299
5	Hilton Lane	493	524	+31	342	446	+104



6	Keg Worth Bypass	1028	1008	-20	1045	1083	+38
7	M1 South	5253	5160	-93	5710	5286	-424
8	A42	2895	2793	-102	2164	2547	+383
9	A453	614	670	+56	948	959	+11
10	Wilders Way	217	202	-15	655	842	+187
11	Services	259	0	-259	268	0	-268
12	Hunter Road	107	106	-1	263	411	+148

- 7.8 Based on the above, there are some links that have significant changes in vehicles. As a result, a further option (Option 5) has been considered to furness the flows, where the target link flows have been extrcated the PRTM outputs In 2038 and the turning proportions have been split proportionally using the 2022 observed turning movements.
- 7.9 Both scenarios will be tested within VISSIM to determine if the mitigation still provides the benefits envisaged within the internal testing.

8. TRAFFIC FLOW FURNESSING

- 8.1 Future forecast traffic flows for the 2028 and 2038 assessment years have been derived in line with the Option 4 methodology, using outputs from the PRTM and the survey data (noting the junctions included in VISSIM have used separate outputs from the cordon model flows). Option 5 methodology has also been utilised to provide a sensitivity test.
- 8.2 A copy of the furnessing spreadsheet has been issued separately which show that the vast majority of link flows are converged so that furnessed link flows are within a GEH of 5 of calculated link flows. In calculating the final Passenger Car Unit (PCU) flows, a PCU factor of 2.0 has been applied to all HGVs and 1.0 for light vehicles, which mirrors the PRTM for consistency.
- 8.3 A worked example of how the traffic flows have been furnessed is shown on the 'Furness Process' tab within the spreadsheets.

9. SUMMARY

- 9.1 This Technical Note has been produced to set out the furnessing methodology to derive future forecast traffic flow matrices for each junction being assessed in the Transport Assessment.
- 9.2 To understand how the 2022 PRTM flows compare to the 2022 observed counts at each junction, the GEH Statistics formula has been used to provide an indication of the statistical significance of any differences. This will then provide a gauge as to which of the four furnessing methodologies considered up until this point is most appropriate, which are summarised below:
 - Option 1 Extraction of target entry and exit flows directly from PRTM
 - **Option 2** Use 2022 PRTM model base in conjunction with future PRTM flows to calculate percentage growth factors and apply this to the 2022 observed counts



- **Option 3** Use 2022 PRTM base in conjunction with future PRTM flows to calculate absolute increases in turning movements and apply this to the 2022 observed counts
- Option 4 Use 2022 PRTM base in conjunction with future PRTM flows to calculate absolute increases in link flows and apply the increase proportionatley to the 2022 observed turning counts
- 9.3 A comparison of GEH indicated that several movements for all surveyed junctions did not meet the GEH threshold therefore Options 1 and 3 had been discounted.
- 9.4 Furthermore, a review of the percentage increase between PRTM base and forecast traffic flow matrices was calculated however this resulted in a significant overestimate of traffic flow movements in some instances therefore Option 2 has also been discounted.
- 9.5 Based on the above, it is considered that Option 4 is the most appropriate furnessing methodology to be utilised in this instance.
- 9.6 Discussions were undertaken with AECOM and LCC/NDI and it was concluded that 'Actual' flows should be utilised in the forecast modelling scenarios.
- 9.7 Additionally, NH was consulted on the approach to utilise forecast flows within the VISSIM modelling and it was concluded that a cordon of the VISSIM extent should be used to extract OD flows from PRTM. Subsequently Option 4 furnessing methodology will be used to derive forecast traffic flow matrices.
- 9.8 A review of the Stage 1a VISSIM cordon model was undertaken, which indicated that the 2022 flows provided as part of Stage 1a were lower than that provided previously. The agreed Option 4 furnessing methodology would provide a higher furnessed link flow to be modelled in VISSIM as a result.
- 9.9 Nevertheless, this approach has been retained, albeit an additional sensitivity test **Option 5** - will be undertaken to utilise PRTM link flows as the target flows and proportion these in accordance with the surveyed traffic flows.
- 9.10 Both scenarios will be tested within VISSIM to determine if the suggested mitigation still provides significant benefits. The final furnessed traffic flows at this stage of the process for the forecast years have been provided within separate spreadsheets.



APPENDIX 1 – A453/Hunter Road Roundabout Turning Count Results

East Midlands Gateway

Thursday 3rd November 2022

Junction: 3

Approach: Hunter Road

				Le	eft to A453 (E)				Right to A453 (W)								
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	23	1	0	1	3	28	32.3	0	0	6	0	1	0	0	7	7.5
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	16	0	2	1	0	19	21.3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	17	4	4	0	4	29	35.0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	16	0	0	0	1	17	18.0	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	5	5.0
Hourly Total	0	0	72	5	6	2	8	93	106.6	0	0	17	2	1	0	0	20	20.5
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	23	3	2	3	2	33	39.9	0	0	6	4	0	0	0	10	10.0
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	19	7	0	1	1	28	30.3	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	28	4	2	0	2	36	39.0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	6	6.0
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	9	3	2	2	2	18	23.6	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1.0
Hourly Total	0	0	79	17	6	6	7	115	132.8	0	0	16	6	0	0	0	22	22.0
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	4	1	1	2	2	10	15.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	11	5	2	0	3	21	25.0	0	0	2	2	2	0	0	6	7.0
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	13	6	2	0	1	22	24.0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	5	5.0
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	5	3	1	0	4	13	17.5	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	3	4.3
Hourly Total	0	0	33	15	6	2	10	66	81.6	0	0	8	3	2	1	0	14	16.3
TOTAL	0	0	184	37	18	10	25	274	321.0	0	0	41	11	3	1	0	56	58.8
,				n		n		1			1	n					1	
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	118	6	0	3	4	131	138.9	0	0	20	0	0	0	0	20	20.0
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	70	4	1	3	1	79	84.4	0	0	6	0	0	0	1	7	8.0
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	80	7	0	1	1	89	91.3	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	12	12.0
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	64	1	1	1	3	70	74.8	0	0	6	0	0	0	1	7	8.0
Hourly Total	0	0	332	18	2	8	9	369	389.4	0	0	44	0	0	0	2	46	48.0
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	101	3	1	1	2	108	111.8	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	14	14.0
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	85	7	2	2	1	97	101.6	0	0	7	1	0	0	0	8	8.0
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	60	7	2	2	1	72	76.6	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	64	8	1	4	0	77	82.7	0	0	7	0	1	0	0	8	8.5
Hourly Total	0	0	310	25	6	9	4	354	372.7	0	0	33	1	1	0	0	35	35.5
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	41	2	0	0	3	46	49.0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	7	7.0
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	38	3	0	1	2	44	47.3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	29	0	2	8	1	40	52.4	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	23	0	1	2	3	29	35.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	131	5	3	11	9	159	183.8	0	0	9	1	0	0	0	10	10.0
		1 1						1	1						1	1	1	
TOTAL	0	0	773	48	11	28	22	882	945.9	0	0	86	2	1	0	2	91	93.5

PCU Factors:								
CYCLE	0.2							
M/CYCLE	0.4							
CAR	1.0							
LGV	1.0							
OGV1	1.5							
OGV2	2.3							
BUS	2.0							

Road Data Services Ltd

East Midlands Gateway

Thursday 3rd November 2022

Junction: 3 Approach: A453 East

	Ahead to A453 (W)									Right to Hunter Road								U-Turn									
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	118	18	6	4	0	146	154.2	0	1	29	1	0	3	1	35	39.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	99	28	6	3	0	136	142.9	0	0	38	0	2	1	2	43	47.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	135	15	2	7	0	159	169.1	0	0	54	4	1	3	3	65	72.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	101	14	5	5	1	126	136.0	0	0	102	3	1	2	2	110	115.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	453	75	19	19	1	567	602.2	0	1	223	8	4	9	8	253	274.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	102	15	3	5	0	125	133.0	0	1	81	8	2	0	1	93	94.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	127	16	3	9	0	155	168.2	0	0	108	6	1	0	1	116	117.5	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1.0
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	122	19	8	6	2	157	170.8	0	0	96	5	0	0	2	103	105.0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	97	16	4	4	0	121	128.2	0	0	82	4	1	1	0	88	89.8	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0
Hourly Total	0	0	448	66	18	24	2	558	600.2	0	1	367	23	4	1	4	400	406.7	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	5	5.0
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	77	11	7	4	1	100	109.7	0	1	78	6	1	3	3	92	98.8	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	58	13	5	5	0	81	90.0	0	0	68	4	1	2	2	77	82.1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0
09:30 - 09:45	0	1	53	8	4	7	0	73	83.5	0	0	31	4	3	2	2	42	48.1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0
09:45 - 10:00	0	2	41	12	6	9	1	71	85.5	0	0	31	7	1	1	2	42	45.8	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0
Hourly Total	0	3	229	44	22	25	2	325	368.7	0	1	208	21	6	8	9	253	274.8	0	0	8	1	0	0	0	9	9.0
								1									1										
TOTAL	0	3	1130	185	59	68	5	1450	1571.1	0	3	798	52	14	18	21	906	955.6	0	0	11	3	0	0	0	14	14.0
		1					1			1													1	1			
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	92	14	3	7	0	116	126.6	0	0	18	2	1	1	3	25	29.8	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	5	5.5
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	83	13	1	8	0	105	115.9	0	0	21	3	4	2	2	32	38.6	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	92	24	3	4	0	123	129.7	0	0	16	2	0	1	1	20	22.3	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	3	3.0
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	122	27	2	3	1	155	160.9	0	0	18	2	0	2	2	24	28.6	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	4	4.0
Hourly Total	0	0	389	78	9	22	1	499	533.1	0	0	73	9	5	6	8	101	119.3	0	0	7	5	1	0	0	13	13.5
17:00 - 17:15	0	2	120	12	4	2	0	140	143.4	0	0	15	4	2	1	1	23	26.3	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	4	4.5
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	112	15	6	5	0	138	147.5	0	0	27	3	0	3	3	36	42.9	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	102	14	3	2	0	121	125.1	0	0	26	2	1	0	2	31	33.5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	98	13	3	1	0	115	117.8	0	0	29	4	1	2	0	36	39.1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0
Hourly Total	0	2	432	54	16	10	0	514	533.8	0	0	97	13	4	6	6	126	141.8	0	0	10	0	1	0	0	11	11.5
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	92	12	2	3	0	109	113.9	0	0	19	4	0	2	2	27	31.6	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0
18:15 - 18:30	0	1	103	10	3	2	0	119	122.5	0	0	24	2	0	6	3	35	45.8	0	U	2	0	0	U	0	2	2.0
18:30 - 18:45	0	2	77	6	2	2	0	89	91.4	0	0	13	1	1	1	0	16	17.8	0	U	2	0	0	U	0	2	2.0
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	69	5	2	5	0	81	88.5	0	0	27	3	2	1	3	36	41.3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2.3
Hourly Total	U	3	341	33	9	12	U	398	416.3	U	U	83	10	3	10	8	114	136.5	U	U	6	U	U	1	U	1	8.3
TOTAL	0	5	1162	165	34	44	1	1411	1483 2	0	0	253	32	12	22	22	341	397.6	0	0	23	5	2	1	0	31	33.3

 PCU Factors:

 CYCLE
 0.2

 M/CYCLE
 0.4

 CAR
 1.0

 LGV
 1.0

 OGV1
 1.5

 OGV2
 2.3

 BUS
 2.0

East Midlands Gateway

Thursday 3rd November 2022

Junction: 3

Approach: A453 West

		Left to Hunter Road									Ahead to A453 (E)							
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	5	5.5	0	0	64	11	2	9	0	86	98.7
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	9	1	0	0	0	10	10.0	0	2	99	21	6	7	0	135	145.9
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	1	100	17	5	14	0	137	157.1
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	25	0	1	0	0	26	26.5	0	0	103	17	4	5	1	130	139.5
Hourly Total	0	0	40	2	2	0	0	44	45.0	0	3	366	66	17	35	1	488	541.2
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	10	10.0	0	0	103	26	3	8	1	141	153.9
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	12	0	0	0	0	12	12.0	0	0	117	28	6	12	1	164	183.6
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	7	1	0	0	0	8	8.0	0	0	66	19	5	12	1	103	122.1
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	8	1	0	0	0	9	9.0	0	0	65	9	4	14	1	93	114.2
Hourly Total	0	0	37	2	0	0	0	39	39.0	0	0	351	82	18	46	4	501	573.8
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	11	1	0	0	0	12	12.0	0	0	48	7	9	7	0	71	84.6
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	1	38	11	4	6	1	61	71.2
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	27	11	6	5	1	50	60.5
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	37	10	2	7	0	56	66.1
Hourly Total	0	0	22	1	0	0	0	23	23.0	0	1	150	39	21	25	2	238	282.4
TOTAL	0	0	99	5	2	0	0	106	107.0	0	4	867	187	56	106	7	1227	1397.4
		· · · · ·		r	r						· · · · ·		r	T.	r	r	1	
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	110	18	5	8	0	141	153.9
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	98	17	5	1	0	121	124.8
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	122	17	2	3	0	144	148.9
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	125	15	0	2	1	143	146.6
Hourly Total	0	0	11	2	0	0	0	13	13.0	0	0	455	67	12	14	1	549	574.2
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	136	11	1	3	1	152	157.4
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	8	8.0	0	0	73	4	1	3	0	81	85.4
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	5	3	0	0	0	8	8.0	0	0	135	9	2	3	1	150	155.9
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	134	7	1	1	0	143	144.8
Hourly Total	0	0	25	3	0	0	0	28	28.0	0	0	478	31	5	10	2	526	543.5
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	94	9	1	2	0	106	109.1
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	79	8	2	1	0	90	92.3
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	1	62	6	0	1	0	70	70.7
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	55	6	1	2	0	64	67.1
Hourly Total	0	0	17	1	0	0	0	18	18.0	0	1	290	29	4	6	0	330	339.2
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·																		
TOTAL	0	0	53	6	0	0	0	59	59.0	0	1	1223	127	21	30	3	1405	1456.9

PCU Factors:									
CYCLE	0.2								
M/CYCLE	0.4								
CAR	1.0								
LGV	1.0								
OGV1	1.5								
OGV2	2.3								
BUS	2.0								


APPENDIX 2 – Finger Farm Roundabout Turning Count Results

East Midlands Gateway

Thursday 3rd November 2022

Junction: 4 Approach: A453 North

				То	M1 J23A Ac	cess							To Donii	ngton Service	es Access								To A453 (W)			
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	47	13	4	10	0	74	89.0	0	0	4	5	4	11	0	24	40.3	0	1	78	4	2	1	1	87	89.7
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	41	10	1	0	1	53	54.5	0	0	7	0	3	4	0	14	20.7	0	0	77	10	3	3	2	95	102.4
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	63	23	7	12	0	105	124.1	0	1	5	3	0	0	0	9	8.4	0	0	93	6	1	3	3	106	113.4
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	119	42	6	18	0	185	211.4	0	0	9	2	0	3	0	14	17.9	0	0	73	4	2	4	3	86	95.2
Hourly Total	0	0	270	88	18	40	1	417	479.0	0	1	25	10	7	18	0	61	87.3	0	1	321	24	8	11	9	374	400.7
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	122	30	4	10	0	166	181.0	0	0	12	7	1	4	0	24	29.7	0	1	82	9	1	2	1	96	99.5
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	67	20	2	15	0	104	124.5	0	0	16	2	0	2	0	20	22.6	0	0	117	10	2	2	1	132	136.6
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	48	15	7	12	1	83	103.1	0	0	13	2	0	4	0	19	24.2	0	0	122	11	0	2	3	138	143.6
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	49	9	3	12	1	74	92.1	0	0	18	3	0	4	0	25	30.2	0	0	76	12	0	1	0	89	90.3
Hourly Total	0	0	286	74	16	49	2	427	500.7	0	0	59	14	1	14	0	88	106.7	0	1	397	42	3	7	5	455	470.0
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	18	4	8	11	0	41	59.3	0	0	14	4	1	2	0	21	24.1	0	1	81	6	3	3	4	98	106.8
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	28	5	4	9	0	46	59.7	0	0	18	4	2	5	1	30	38.5	0	0	77	8	1	1	1	88	90.8
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	11	4	6	7	0	28	40.1	0	0	19	2	3	6	0	30	39.3	0	1	44	7	0	4	2	58	64.6
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	12	7	3	11	1	34	50.8	0	1	14	5	2	3	0	25	29.3	0	2	37	6	5	4	2	56	64.5
Hourly Total	0	0	69	20	21	38	1	149	209.9	0	1	65	15	8	16	1	106	131.2	0	4	239	27	9	12	9	300	326.7
								1																		1	1
TOTAL	0	0	625	182	55	127	4	993	1189.6	0	2	149	39	16	48	1	255	325.2	0	6	957	93	20	30	23	1129	1197.4
45.00.45.45			70			40		00	440.0						-		05	00.5								20	05.0
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	72	14	2	10	0	98	112.0	0	0	12	4	4	5	0	25	33.5	0	0	21	8	0	1	2	32	35.3
10:15 - 10:30	0	0	/8	18	4	- 11	0	00	127.3	0	0	9	2	0	3	0	40	20.9	0	0	39	0	0	1	2	40	27.6
16:45 17:00	0	0	61	6	2	7	1	99	02.1	0	0	14	2	0	4	0	20	23.2	0	0	30	9	2	2	2	23	50.2
Hourly Total	0	0	298	49	8	34	1	390	439.2	0	0	47	14	4	15	0	80	101 5	0	0	97	37	2	5	3	149	164.5
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	05	43	1	34	0	103	107.4	0	0		2	-	6	0	20	29.3	0	1	24	6	5	2	1	39	44.5
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	64	5	3	7	0	79	89.6	0	0	12	2	0	5	0	19	25.5	0	0	50	12	3	1	3	69	74.8
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	72	7	1	7	0	87	96.6	0	0	13	0	0	4	0	17	22.2	0	0	21	7	2	2	2	34	39.6
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	77	8	3	10	0	98	112.5	0	0	19	5	0	8	0	32	42.4	0	0	29	4	1	2	0	36	39.1
Hourly Total	0	0	308	24	8	27	0	367	406.1	0	0	53	9	3	23	0	88	119.4	0	1	124	29	11	7	6	178	198.0
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	105	6	2	7	1	121	132.1	0	0	11	0	2	4	0	17	23.2	0	0	40	11	0	4	2	57	64.2
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	58	2	3	5	1	69	78.0	0	1	10	1	1	3	0	16	19.8	0	1	79	9	1	3	3	96	102.8
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	78	3	2	5	0	88	95.5	0	0	11	2	0	2	0	15	17.6	0	1	58	3	2	0	0	64	64.4
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	34	2	1	5	0	42	49.0	0	0	16	6	0	4	0	26	31.2	0	0	47	5	3	1	3	59	64.8
Hourly Total	0	0	275	13	8	22	2	320	354.6	0	1	48	9	3	13	0	74	91.8	0	2	224	28	6	8	8	276	296.2
TOTAL	0	0	881	86	24	83	3	1077	1199.9	0	1	148	32	10	51	0	242	312.7	0	3	445	94	19	20	22	603	658.7

 PCU Factors:

 CYCLE
 0.2

 M/CYCLE
 0.4

 CAR
 1.0

 LGV
 1.0

 OGV1
 1.5

 OGV2
 2.3

 BUS
 2.0

East Midlands Gateway

Thursday 3rd November 2022

Junction: 4 Approach: M1 J23A Access

Approach. Milizaria

_

				To Donir	ngton Servic	es Access								To A453 (W	/)								To A453 (N)				
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	16	6	3	5	0	30	38.0	0	0	60	14	2	6	0	82	90.8	0	0	97	28	7	27	2	161	201.6
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	13	6	4	5	Ō	28	36.5	0	0	53	17	3	1	0	74	76.8	0	0	172	29	8	19	0	228	256.7
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	11	7	2	7	1	28	39.1	0	0	89	10	2	7	0	108	118.1	0	0	184	38	10	23	2	257	293.9
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	21	11	2	3	0	37	41.9	0	0	121	12	2	2	0	137	140.6	0	1	199	28	9	22	0	259	291.5
Hourly Total	0	0	61	30	11	20	1	123	155.5	0	0	323	53	9	16	0	401	426.3	0	1	652	123	34	91	4	905	1043.7
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	19	3	2	3	0	27	31.9	0	0	89	12	3	3	0	107	112.4	0	0	152	29	8	13	0	202	222.9
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	17	13	4	8	0	42	54.4	0	0	107	8	1	7	0	123	132.6	0	0	163	34	15	22	0	234	270.1
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	20	7	2	7	0	36	46.1	0	0	85	13	6	4	1	109	118.2	0	0	102	15	8	25	1	151	188.5
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	22	5	0	6	0	33	40.8	0	0	90	6	3	4	0	103	109.7	0	0	106	21	10	15	0	152	176.5
Hourly Total	0	0	78	28	8	24	0	138	173.2	0	0	371	39	13	18	1	442	472.9	0	0	523	99	41	75	1	739	858.0
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	24	8	3	9	0	44	57.2	0	0	69	8	5	4	0	86	93.7	0	0	108	18	8	19	0	153	181.7
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	19	7	1	9	0	36	48.2	0	0	40	8	4	5	1	58	67.5	0	0	67	20	6	19	0	112	139.7
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	22	6	2	10	0	40	54.0	0	0	31	3	6	5	0	45	54.5	0	0	74	17	7	9	1	108	124.2
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	16	6	2	6	0	30	38.8	0	0	30	11	1	6	0	48	56.3	0	0	56	15	9	16	0	96	121.3
Hourly Total	0	0	81	27	8	34	0	150	198.2	0	0	170	30	16	20	1	237	272.0	0	0	305	70	30	63	1	469	566.9
TOTAL	0	0	220	85	27	78	1	411	526.9	0	0	864	122	38	54	2	1080	1171.2	0	1	1480	292	105	229	6	2113	2468.6
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	27	5	2	9	0	43	55.7	0	0	79	5	4	6	1	95	105.8	0	0	81	35	9	13	0	138	159.4
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	20	5	2	3	0	30	34.9	0	0	59	9	4	8	0	80	92.4	0	0	97	36	2	16	2	153	176.8
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	25	6	1	5	0	37	44.0	0	0	85	17	1	3	0	106	110.4	0	1	110	27	5	16	0	159	181.7
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	26	13	2	4	0	45	51.2	0	0	101	16	2	4	0	123	129.2	0	1	101	23	4	0	0	129	130.4
Hourly Total	0	0	98	29	7	21	0	155	185.8	0	0	324	47	11	21	1	404	437.8	0	2	389	121	20	45	2	579	648.3
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	19	6	4	7	0	36	47.1	0	1	105	7	2	1	0	116	117.7	0	0	141	19	6	18	0	184	210.4
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	26	5	0	4	0	35	40.2	0	0	84	5	2	7	0	98	108.1	0	0	194	20	7	17	0	238	263.6
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	17	12	0	6	0	35	42.8	0	0	95	6	2	0	0	103	104.0	0	0	174	15	2	11	0	202	217.3
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	24	2	3	3	0	32	37.4	0	0	88	11	3	1	0	103	105.8	0	0	130	7	0	9	1	147	159.7
Hourly Total	0	0	86	25	7	20	0	138	167.5	0	1	372	29	9	9	0	420	435.6	0	0	639	61	15	55	1	771	851.0
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	23	2	1	6	0	32	40.3	0	0	60	3	1	0	0	64	64.5	0	0	105	3	6	14	0	128	149.2
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	12	4	0	2	0	18	20.6	0	0	38	1	1	4	0	44	49.7	0	0	76	6	1	7	0	90	99.6
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	5	5.5	0	0	25	4	1	2	0	32	35.1	0	0	26	1	1	4	0	32	37.7
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	32	7	2	4	0	45	51.2	0	0	39	3	1	6	0	49	57.3	0	0	93	8	3	9	0	113	126.2
Hourly Total	0	0	70	14	4	12	0	100	117.6	0	0	162	11	4	12	0	189	206.6	0	0	300	18	11	34	0	363	412.7
TOTAL	0	0	254	68	18	53	0	393	470.9	0	1	858	87	24	42	1	1013	1080.0	0	2	1328	200	46	134	3	1713	1912.0
-																											

PCU F	actors:
CYCLE	0.2
M/CYCLE	0.4
CAR	1.0
LGV	1.0
OGV1	1.5
OGV2	2.3
BUS	2.0

East Midlands Gateway

Thursday 3rd November 2022

Junction: 4

Approach: Donington Services Access

					To A453 (W	/)								To A453 (N)							То	M1 J23A Ac	cess						
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	Р	CU Fac	ctors:
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	9	1	2	0	0	12	13.0	0	0	8	10	3	8	0	29	40.9	0	0	8	3	1	7	0	19	28.6	CY	CLE	0.2
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	7	1	2	0	0	10	11.0	0	0	10	8	3	2	0	23	27.1	0	0	9	4	4	6	0	23	32.8	M/C	YCLE	0.4
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	7	3	0	0	0	10	10.0	0	0	7	8	2	10	0	27	41.0	0	0	6	2	2	3	0	13	17.9	C/	AR	1.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	9	1	2	1	0	13	15.3	0	0	8	5	5	14	0	32	52.7	0	0	14	5	0	5	0	24	30.5	LC	GV	1.0
Hourly Total	0	0	32	6	6	1	0	45	49.3	0	0	33	31	13	34	0	111	161.7	0	0	37	14	7	21	0	79	109.8	00	SV1	1.5
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	12	2	1	0	0	15	15.5	0	0	1	4	3	5	0	13	21.0	0	0	24	5	2	4	0	35	41.2	00	SV2	2.3
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	11	5	1	0	0	17	17.5	0	0	4	4	2	7	0	17	27.1	0	0	23	10	1	6	0	40	48.3	В	US	2.0
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	13	1	2	0	0	16	17.0	0	0	5	7	3	3	0	18	23.4	0	0	21	6	2	6	0	35	43.8			
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	14	2	2	0	0	18	19.0	0	0	0	2	1	3	1	7	12.4	0	0	19	3	3	3	0	28	33.4			
Hourly Total	0	0	50	10	6	0	0	66	69.0	0	0	10	17	9	18	1	55	83.9	0	0	87	24	8	19	0	138	166.7			
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	6	3	0	0	0	9	9.0	0	0	7	6	1	7	0	21	30.6	0	0	27	3	2	5	0	37	44.5			
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	10	2	1	1	0	14	15.8	0	0	20	5	3	6	0	34	43.3	0	0	16	7	2	4	0	29	35.2			
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	12	2	1	0	0	15	15.5	0	0	10	2	0	6	0	18	25.8	0	0	20	5	4	3	0	32	37.9			
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	8	2	1	0	1	12	13.5	0	0	13	8	0	11	0	32	46.3	0	0	12	4	1	6	0	23	31.3			
Hourly Total	0	0	36	9	3	1	1	50	53.8	0	0	50	21	4	30	0	105	146.0	0	0	75	19	9	18	0	121	148.9	1		
																												-		
TOTAL	0	0	118	25	15	2	1	161	172.1	0	0	93	69	26	82	1	271	391.6	0	0	199	57	24	58	0	338	425.4			
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	13	4	1	1	0	19	20.8	0	0	23	2	0	5	0	30	36.5	0	0	18	5	2	5	0	30	37.5			
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	7	1	1	1	0	10	11.8	0	0	15	4	2	4	0	25	31.2	0	0	21	3	1	6	0	31	39.3			
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	15	2	0	0	0	17	17.0	0	1	25	1	5	7	0	39	50.0	0	0	20	5	3	5	0	33	41.0			
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	13	1	0	0	0	14	14.0	0	0	10	2	2	4	0	18	24.2	0	0	22	5	0	3	0	30	33.9			
Hourly Total	0	0	48	8	2	2	0	60	63.6	0	1	73	9	9	20	0	112	141.9	0	0	81	18	6	19	0	124	151.7			
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	9	3	0	0	0	12	12.0	0	0	9	7	0	5	0	21	27.5	0	0	31	4	0	2	0	37	39.6			
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	8	1	1	0	0	10	10.5	0	0	21	1	2	1	0	25	27.3	0	0	19	8	2	4	0	33	39.2			
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	13	3	0	0	0	16	16.0	0	0	13	0	0	1	0	14	15.3	0	0	22	2	0	4	0	28	33.2			
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	13	2	0	0	0	15	15.0	0	0	17	8	0	3	0	28	31.9	0	0	21	2	0	3	0	26	29.9			
Hourly Total	0	0	43	9	1	0	0	53	53.5	0	0	60	16	2	10	0	88	102.0	0	0	93	16	2	13	0	124	141.9			
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	13	2	1	1	0	17	18.8	0	0	26	4	0	3	0	33	36.9	0	0	12	2	1	5	0	20	27.0			
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	12	2	1	1	0	16	17.8	0	0	15	2	0	2	0	19	21.6	0	0	11	3	3	4	0	21	27.7			
18:30 - 18:45	0	1	9	0	0	1	0	11	11.7	0	0	17	2	0	4	0	23	28.2	0	0	9	0	0	1	0	10	11.3			
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	10	10.0	0	0	14	2	0	0	0	16	16.0	0	0	13	1	1	3	0	18	22.4			
Hourly Total	0	1	44	4	2	3	0	54	58.3	0	0	72	10	0	9	0	91	102.7	0	0	45	6	5	13	0	69	88.4			
																												-		
TOTAL	0	1	135	21	5	5	0	167	175.4	0	1	205	35	11	39	0	291	346.6	0	0	219	40	13	45	0	317	382.0			

East Midlands Gateway

Thursday 3rd November 2022

_

Junction: 4 Approach: A453 West

Approach An

					To A453 (N	1)							То	M1 J23A Ac	cess							To Donii	ngton Servic	es Access						
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	PCU	Factors:	
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	46	10	0	6	3	65	75.8	0	0	29	1	2	4	0	36	42.2	0	0	12	1	0	0	0	13	13.0	CYCLE	0.2	
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	85	16	2	6	0	109	117.8	0	1	25	3	5	2	0	36	40.5	0	1	5	2	1	0	0	9	8.9	M/CYCLE	E 0.4	
07:30 - 07:45	0	1	81	11	5	8	3	109	124.3	0	0	32	10	4	6	1	53	63.8	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0	CAR	1.0	
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	77	12	0	2	0	91	93.6	0	0	35	5	4	3	2	49	56.9	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	7	7.0	LGV	1.0	
Hourly Total	0	1	289	49	7	22	6	374	411.5	0	1	121	19	15	15	3	174	203.4	0	1	28	3	1	0	0	33	32.9	OGV1	1.5	
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	76	12	4	6	2	100	111.8	0	0	48	16	1	5	1	71	79.0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	OGV2	2.3	
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	81	17	3	5	2	108	118.0	0	0	48	19	1	8	0	76	86.9	0	0	7	0	2	0	0	9	10.0	BUS	2.0	
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	60	15	4	7	2	88	101.1	0	0	30	8	3	5	1	47	56.0	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	7	7.0	i -		
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	48	7	3	8	3	69	83.9	0	0	24	3	3	7	0	37	47.6	0	0	3	2	0	1	0	6	7.3	i -		
Hourly Total	0	0	265	51	14	26	9	365	414.8	0	0	150	46	8	25	2	231	269.5	0	0	18	4	2	1	0	25	27.3	i -		
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	26	3	5	8	2	44	58.9	0	0	20	4	4	1	0	29	32.3	0	0	7	1	1	0	0	9	9.5	i -		
09:15 - 09:30	0	1	25	9	6	2	4	47	56.0	0	0	21	4	0	4	0	29	34.2	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	8	8.0	i -		
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	24	11	7	1	2	45	51.8	0	0	12	3	1	3	0	19	23.4	0	0	7	3	0	1	0	11	12.3	i -		
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	31	8	2	4	4	49	59.2	0	0	9	5	0	2	0	16	18.6	0	0	5	0	1	1	0	7	8.8	i -		
Hourly Total	0	1	106	31	20	15	12	185	225.9	0	0	62	16	5	10	0	93	108.5	0	0	23	8	2	2	0	35	38.6	i -		
TOTAL	0	2	660	131	41	63	27	924	1052.2	0	1	333	81	28	50	5	498	581.4	0	1	69	15	5	3	0	93	98.8	1		
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	161	12	2	3	3	181	188.9	0	0	66	11	2	8	1	88	100.4	0	0	4	2	2	0	0	8	9.0	i -		
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	136	12	3	2	1	154	159.1	0	0	25	7	3	2	0	37	41.1	0	0	8	2	0	0	0	10	10.0	i -		
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	126	9	0	2	1	138	141.6	0	0	68	15	0	2	0	85	87.6	0	0	9	2	2	0	0	13	14.0	i -		
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	133	16	0	1	3	153	157.3	0	0	48	1	1	2	1	53	57.1	0	0	10	1	0	0	0	11	11.0	i -		
Hourly Total	0	0	556	49	5	8	8	626	646.9	0	0	207	34	6	14	2	263	286.2	0	0	31	7	4	0	0	42	44.0	i -		
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	132	8	2	4	3	149	158.2	0	0	97	4	0	0	0	101	101.0	0	0	11	2	1	0	0	14	14.5	i -		
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	113	2	2	1	1	119	122.3	0	0	36	9	1	3	0	49	53.4	0	0	12	0	0	1	0	13	14.3	i -		
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	154	8	0	2	2	166	170.6	0	0	30	6	2	3	0	41	45.9	0	0	12	2	2	0	0	16	17.0	i -		
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	143	8	1	1	0	153	154.8	0	0	51	6	1	4	0	62	67.7	0	0	7	1	0	0	0	8	8.0	i -		
Hourly Total	0	0	542	26	5	8	6	587	605.9	0	0	214	25	4	10	0	253	268.0	0	0	42	5	3	1	0	51	53.8	1		
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	88	7	0	0	3	98	101.0	0	0	41	3	1	2	0	47	50.1	0	0	8	1	0	0	0	9	9.0	1		
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	83	7	1	1	2	94	97.8	0	0	28	4	1	1	0	34	35.8	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	8	8.0	i -		
18:30 - 18:45	0	1	74	4	0	7	1	87	96.5	0	0	16	2	1	2	0	21	24.1	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	4	4.5	1		
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	57	3	2	2	3	67	73.6	0	0	16	2	0	3	0	21	24.9	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	6	6.0	1		
Hourly Total	0	1	302	21	3	10	9	346	368.9	0	0	101	11	3	8	0	123	134.9	0	0	24	2	1	0	0	27	27.5	1		
					_												_													
TOTAL	0	1	1400	96	13	26	23	1559	1621.7	0	0	522	70	13	32	2	639	689.1	0	0	97	14	8	1	0	120	125.3	i i		

_



APPENDIX 3 – A453/EMGP1 Gyratory Turning Count Results

East Midlands Gateway Wednesday 23rd November 2022 Junction: 1 Approach: A453 North

			Le	ft to A6	i Kegwo	rth Bypa	ass						Ahea	d to A45	3 (S)							Right 1	to Wild	lers Way								U-Turn						
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCL	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCL	E CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1 OG	V2 E	US TOTA	AL P	CUs	PCU F	actors:
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	2	4	0	1	0	7	8.3	0	0	70	10	4	7	1	92	104.1	0	0	90	5	3	7	0	105	115.6	0	0	0	0	0 0		0 0		0.0	CYCLE	0.2
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	15	2	2	1	0	20	22.3	0	0	66	12	2	7	0	87	97.1	0	0	107	5	1	7	1	121	131.6	0	0	1	0	0 0		0 1		1.0	M/CYCL	.E 0.4
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	5	2	2	2	0	11	14.6	0	0	65	8	0	6	0	79	86.8	0	1	106	5	2	5	0	119	125.9	0	0	1	1	0 0		0 2		2.0	CAR	1.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	8	0	2	6	0	16	24.8	0	0	88	12	1	4	0	105	110.7	0	0	83	2	1	7	1	94	104.6	0	0	1	0	0 0		0 1		1.0	LGV	1.0
Hourly Total	0	0	30	8	6	10	0	54	70.0	0	0	289	42	7	24	1	363	398.7	0	1	386	17	7	26	2	439	477.7	0	0	3	1	0 (0 4		4.0	OGV1	1.5
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	9	2	5	3	0	19	25.4	0	0	95	7	1	5	0	108	115.0	0	0	42	11	5	5	0	63	72.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	1	0 0		0.0	OGV2	2.3
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	6	1	1	0	0	8	8.5	0	0	91	4	3	3	0	101	106.4	0	0	35	4	3	5	0	47	55.0	0	0	3	0	1 (0 4		4.5	BUS	2.0
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	7	1	1	1	0	10	11.8	0	0	107	7	4	0	0	118	120.0	0	1	28	9	1	10	1	50	63.9	0	0	0	0	0 :		0 1		2.3		
08:45 - 09:00	0	1	5	3	4	5	0	18	25.9	0	0	87	10	4	5	0	106	114.5	0	0	40	5	1	3	1	50	55.4	0	0	2	0	0 0		0 2		2.0		
Hourly Total	0	1	27	7	11	9	0	55	71.6	0	0	380	28	12	13	0	433	455.9	0	1	145	29	10	23	2	210	246.3	0	0	5	0	1 '		0 7		8.8		
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	3	1	1	5	0	10	17.0	0	0	87	4	6	5	0	102	111.5	0	0	48	9	0	6	0	63	70.8	0	0	1	0	0 0		0 1		1.0		
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	7	7.0	0	0	74	6	3	14	0	97	116.7	0	0	52	11	3	5	0	71	79.0	0	0	1	1	0 0		0 2		2.0		
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	4	3	3	4	0	14	20.7	0	0	56	3	6	8	0	73	86.4	0	0	55	15	0	9	2	81	94.7	0	0	0	0	0 0		0 0		0.0		
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	2	2	2	2	0	8	11.6	0	0	54	6	2	5	0	67	74.5	0	0	66	20	1	9	0	96	108.2	0	0	2	0	0 0		0 2		2.0		
Hourly Total	0	0	15	7	6	11	0	39	56.3	0	0	271	19	17	32	0	339	389.1	0	0	221	55	4	29	2	311	352.7	0	0	4	1	0 (0 5		5.0		
					-	-					1	-							1		_										-		_					
TOTAL	0	1	72	22	23	30	0	148	197.9	0	0	940	89	36	69	1	1135	1243.7	0	2	752	101	21	78	6	960	1076.7	0	0	12	2	1 '		0 16	1	17.8		
	1		-		1																-			-		-			1		1	1 I		-	-			
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	9	2	1	1	0	13	14.8	0	0	54	11	2	6	1	74	83.8	0	0	10	5	1	3	0	19	23.4	0	0	0	0	0 0	1	0 0		0.0		
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	19	3	2	1	0	25	27.3	0	0	45	14	3	3	0	65	70.4	0	0	10	9	0	4	1	24	30.2	0	0	1	0	0 (0 1		1.0		
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	15	4	1	0	0	20	20.5	0	0	41	8	3	11	0	63	78.8	0	0	16	3	0	4	0	23	28.2	0	0	2	0	0 0		0 2		2.0		
16:45 - 17:00	0	1	15	0	1	0	0	17	16.9	0	0	40	6	4	3	0	53	58.9	0	0	15	7	0	6	1	29	37.8	0	0	3	1	0 (0 4		4.0		
Hourly Total	0	1	58	9	5	2	0	75	79.5	0	0	180	39	12	23	1	255	291.9	0	0	51	24	1	17	2	95	119.6	0	0	6	1	0 0	1	0 7		7.0		
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	13	2	0	0	0	15	15.0	0	0	45	8	4	8	1	66	79.4	0	0	19	13	0	4	0	36	41.2	0	0	1	0	0 0	1	0 1		1.0		
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	22	1	1	1	0	25	26.8	0	1	58	1	3	10	0	73	86.9	0	1	31	13	0	6	1	52	60.2	0	0	3	0	0 (0 3		3.0		
17:30 - 17:45	0	1	17	0	2	1	0	21	22.7	0	0	73	4	3	4	0	84	90.7	0	3	54	11	0	4	0	72	75.4	0	0	2	0	0 (0 2		2.0		
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	11	5	0	0	0	16	16.0	0	0	70	2	3	7	0	82	92.6	0	0	66	14	0	3	0	83	86.9	0	0	0	0	0 (0 0		0.0		
Hourly Total	0	1	63	8	3	2	0	77	80.5	0	1	246	15	13	29	1	305	349.6	0	4	170	51	0	17	1	243	263.7	0	0	6	0	0 (1	0 6		6.0		
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	7	0	0	2	0	9	11.6	0	0	42	3	2	7	0	54	64.1	0	1	103	10	0	3	0	117	120.3	0	0	2	0	0 (0 2		2.0		
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	12	3	0	0	0	15	15.0	0	1	59	3	0	4	0	67	71.6	0	1	103	14	0	2	1	121	124.0	0	0	1	0	0 (0 1		1.0		
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	10	0	1	1	0	12	13.8	0	0	84	0	4	4	0	92	99.2	0	0	54	12	0	4	0	70	75.2	0	0	0	0	0 :		0 1		2.3		
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	10	0	0	2	0	12	14.6	0	0	80	1	1	4	0	86	91.7	0	0	43	9	0	4	1	57	63.2	0	0	0	0	0 (1	0 0		0.0		
Hourly Total	0	0	39	3	1	5	0	48	55.0	0	1	265	7	7	19	0	299	326.6	0	2	303	45	0	13	2	365	382.7	0	0	3	0	0		0 4		5.3		
			-				-			-			-	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,										-				-										
TOTAL	0	2	160	20	9	9	0	200	215.0	0	2	691	61	32	71	2	859	968.1	0	6	524	120	1	47	5	703	766.0	0	0	15	1	0		0 17	1	18.3		

East Midlands Gateway Wednesday 23rd November 2022 Junction: 1 Approach: A6 Kegworth Bypass

		YCLE M/CYCLE		ft to A	453 (S)								Ahead	to Wild	ers Way	(Righ	t to A45	53 (N)			
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	2	15	3	0	0	0	20	18.8	0	1	87	11	10	2	0	111	118.0	0	0	74	10	8	3	2	97	106.9
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	26	2	1	0	0	29	29.5	0	1	101	16	4	1	1	124	127.7	0	1	65	18	5	0	0	89	90.9
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	29	3	1	0	1	34	35.5	0	0	76	10	2	0	2	90	93.0	0	0	61	10	1	0	0	72	72.5
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	29	5	0	1	0	35	36.3	0	0	109	21	3	3	1	137	143.4	0	0	92	21	4	2	0	119	123.6
Hourly Total	0	2	99	13	2	1	1	118	120.1	0	2	373	58	19	6	4	462	482.1	0	1	292	59	18	5	2	377	393.9
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	35	1	0	0	0	36	36.0	1	0	74	15	3	1	1	95	98.0	0	0	73	13	3	1	0	90	92.8
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	30	2	2	2	1	37	41.6	0	3	69	19	2	1	0	94	94.5	0	3	66	16	1	2	0	88	89.3
08:30 - 08:45	0	1	41	7	1	3	0	53	56.8	0	1	46	14	3	1	1	66	69.2	0	1	43	12	2	2	0	60	63.0
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	31	0	4	0	0	35	37.0	0	0	54	11	6	3	0	74	80.9	0	0	51	10	6	3	0	70	76.9
Hourly Total	0	1	137	10	7	5	1	161	171.4	1	4	243	59	14	6	2	329	342.6	0	4	233	51	12	8	0	308	322.0
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	24	5	0	1	0	30	31.3	0	0	61	13	6	3	3	86	95.9	0	0	54	12	7	3	1	77	85.4
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	17	4	1	0	0	22	22.5	0	0	34	12	3	4	1	54	61.7	0	0	32	12	4	4	0	52	59.2
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	17	5	0	1	0	23	24.3	0	0	30	12	1	2	0	45	48.1	0	0	28	9	1	1	0	39	40.8
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	9	4	0	1	1	15	17.3	0	0	37	19	3	3	0	62	67.4	0	0	26	16	4	3	0	49	54.9
Hourly Total	0	0	67	18	1	3	1	90	95.4	0	0	162	56	13	12	4	247	273.1	0	0	140	49	16	11	1	217	240.3
TOTAL	0	3	303	41	10	9	3	369	386.9	1	6	778	173	46	24	10	1038	1097.8	0	5	665	159	46	24	3	902	956.2
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	20	6	0	0	1	27	28.0	0	0	50	9	7	4	0	70	78.7	0	0	47	13	7	4	0	71	79.7
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	16	5	2	0	0	23	24.0	0	0	77	17	2	5	1	102	110.5	0	1	67	16	2	5	0	91	97.9
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	28	9	0	1	1	39	41.3	0	0	74	20	1	1	2	98	101.8	0	0	76	21	1	1	0	99	100.8
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	17	4	0	0	0	21	21.0	0	0	85	15	2	0	1	103	105.0	0	0	88	16	1	1	0	106	107.8
Hourly Total	0	0	81	24	2	1	2	110	114.3	0	0	286	61	12	10	4	373	396.0	0	1	278	66	11	11	0	367	386.2
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	25	4	2	0	0	31	32.0	0	0	80	13	2	4	1	100	107.2	0	0	76	16	2	2	0	96	99.6
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	33	3	1	0	0	37	37.5	0	0	94	8	2	0	0	104	105.0	0	0	88	9	2	0	0	99	100.0
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	28	3	1	1	0	33	34.8	0	0	90	15	2	0	1	108	110.0	0	1	87	16	2	0	0	106	106.4
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	26	2	3	0	0	31	32.5	0	0	81	6	2	0	1	90	92.0	0	0	81	6	1	0	0	88	88.5
Hourly Total	0	0	112	12	7	1	0	132	136.8	0	0	345	42	8	4	3	402	414.2	0	1	332	47	7	2	0	389	394.5
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	24	4	1	0	0	29	29.5	0	0	71	2	1	1	0	75	76.8	0	0	55	4	1	1	0	61	62.8
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	14	3	0	0	0	17	17.0	0	1	55	6	1	0	2	65	66.9	0	0	45	8	1	0	0	54	54.5
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	24	2	0	0	1	27	28.0	0	0	47	7	0	0	1	55	56.0	0	0	37	6	0	0	0	43	43.0
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	14	3	0	1	0	18	19.3	0	1	30	2	0	0	0	33	32.4	0	1	24	4	0	0	0	29	28.4
Hourly Total	0	0	76	12	1	1	1	91	93.8	0	2	203	17	2	1	3	228	232.1	0	1	161	22	2	1	0	187	188.7
TOTAL	0	0	269	48	10	3	3	333	344.9	0	2	834	120	22	15	10	1003	1042.3	0	3	771	135	20	14	0	943	969.4

 CYCLE
 0.2

 CYCLE
 0.4

 CAR
 1.0

 LGV
 1.0

 OGV1
 1.5

 OGV2
 2.3

 BUS
 2.0

East Midlands Gateway Wednesday 23rd November 2022 Junction: 1 Approach:A453 South

				Left to	Wilders	Way							Ahead	to A45	3 (N)						Rig	ht to A	6 Kegw	orth Byp	oass							U-Turn				
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1 O	GV2 B	US	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1 0	GV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1 OGV	2 BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	PC2 Pastoni
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	36	1	3	5	2	47	57.0	0	0	102	22	5	8	0	137	149.9	0	0	13	2	1	1	0	17	18.8	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	C/C28 6.2
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	102	3	3	11	1	120	136.8	0	0	143	24	4	15	0	186	207.5	0	0	17	11	1	1	1	31	33.8	0	0	2	0	0 0	0	2	2.0	M/CPCLE D-6
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	66	2	1	7	3	79	91.6	0	2	162	35	5	19	1	224	251.0	0	0	21	6	1	1	0	29	30.8	0	0	2	0	0 0	0	2	2.0	CMR 1.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	57	2	1	9	1	70	83.2	0	0	184	43	8	20	0	255	285.0	0	0	26	10	1	0	1	38	39.5	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	LEV 1.0
Hourly Total	0	0	261	8	8	32	7	316	368.6	0	2	591	124	22	62	1	802	893.4	0	0	77	29	4	3	2	115	122.9	0	0	4	0	0 0	0	4	4.0	0895 5.5
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	25	4	1	4	1	35	41.7	0	0	215	40	9	2	1	267	275.1	0	0	24	5	3	0	0	32	33.5	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0812 2.8
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	15	2	3	12	3	35	55.1	0	0	191	49	9	18	1	268	296.9	0	0	17	11	0	0	0	28	28.0	0	0	2	0	0 0	0	2	2.0	845 2.0
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	16	2	1	11	1	31	46.8	0	1	143	30	8	31	0	213	256.7	0	0	17	10	4	2	1	34	39.6	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	27	6	1	9	2	45	59.2	0	0	92	24	6	19	0	141	168.7	0	0	23	2	0	0	0	25	25.0	0	0	1	0	0 0	0	1	1.0	
Hourly Total	0	0	83	14	6	36	7	146	202.8	0	1	641	143	32	70	2	889	997.4	0	0	81	28	7	2	1	119	126.1	0	0	3	0	0 0	0	3	3.0	
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	18	3	3	5	2	31	41.0	0	0	86	28	5	25	0	144	179.0	0	0	18	7	1	1	0	27	28.8	0	0	2	0	0 0	0	2	2.0	
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	20	6	1	7	1	35	45.6	0	0	88	22	11	19	0	140	170.2	0	0	26	6	0	2	0	34	36.6	0	0	1	0	0 0	0	1	1.0	
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	28	12	1	2	3	46	52.1	0	0	81	19	4	21	0	125	154.3	0	0	22	2	3	1	0	28	30.8	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	21	12	2	7	2	44	56.1	0	0	76	17	14	11	0	118	139.3	0	0	7	6	0	1	0	14	15.3	0	0	1	0	0 0	0	1	1.0	
Hourly Total	0	0	87	33	7	21	8	156	194.8	0	0	331	86	34	76	0	527	642.8	0	0	73	21	4	5	0	103	111.5	0	0	4	0	0 0	0	4	4.0	
TOTAL	0	0	431	55	21	89 2	22	618	766.2	0	3	1563	353	88 2	208	3	2218	2533.6	0	0	231	78	15	10	3	337	360.5	0	0	11	0	0 0	0	11	11.0	
												-																								
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	3	2	1	6	4	16	28.3	0	0	205	50	10	20	0	285	316.0	0	0	26	8	0	0	0	34	34.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	4	3	0	3	1	11	15.9	0	0	153	37	4	15	0	209	230.5	0	0	31	2	2	1	0	36	38.3	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	
16:30 - 16:45	0	1	8	0	2	5	1	17	24.9	0	1	198	39	10	15	0	263	286.9	0	0	28	6	2	0	1	37	39.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	6	0	1	5	2	14	23.0	0	1	203	38	9	21	0	272	303.2	0	0	35	5	1	1	0	42	43.8	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	
Hourly Total	0	1	21	5	4	19	8	58	92.1	0	2	759	164	33	71	0	1029	1136.6	0	0	120	21	5	2	1	149	155.1	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	8	0	1	9	2	20	34.2	0	1	243	30	10	23	0	307	341.3	0	0	29	7	1	0	0	37	37.5	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	13	0	3	3	3	22	30.4	0	0	206	19	5	17	1	248	273.6	0	0	46	7	1	0	0	54	54.5	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	10	0	4	6	1	21	31.8	0	0	211	27	8	11	0	257	275.3	0	0	53	2	0	0	0	55	55.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	18	1	1	5	2	27	36.0	0	0	179	23	2	10	0	214	228.0	0	1	36	1	1	2	0	41	43.5	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	
Hourly Total	0	0	49	1	9	23	8	90	132.4	0	1	839	99	25	61	1	1026	1118.2	0	1	164	17	3	2	0	187	190.5	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	34	1	1	2	3	41	47.1	0	1	156	23	3	14	1	198	218.1	0	0	30	5	0	1	1	37	39.3	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	
18:15 - 18:30	0	1	51	0	0	2	0	54	56.0	0	0	154	9	6	8	0	177	190.4	0	0	24	3	1	0	0	28	28.5	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	28	0	0	3	1	32	36.9	0	0	91	6	6	13	0	116	135.9	0	0	23	7	1	0	0	31	31.5	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	24	0	0	8	1	33	44.4	0	0	98	10	4	7	0	119	130.1	0	1	27	2	0	1	0	31	31.7	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	
Hourly Total	0	1	137	1	1	15	5	160	184.4	0	1	499	48	19	42	1	610	674.5	0	1	104	17	2	2	1	127	131.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	
	1											_																								
TOTAL	0	2	207	7	14	57 2	21	308	408.9	0	4	2097	311	77	174	2	2665	2929.3	0	2	388	55	10	6	2	463	476.6	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	

East Midlands Gateway Wednesday 23rd November 2022 Junction: 1 Approach: Wilders Way

met met <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Lef</th> <th>t to A45</th> <th>53 (N)</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Ah</th> <th>ead to</th> <th>A6 Kegv</th> <th>worth E</th> <th>Bypass</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>Rig</th> <th>ht to A4</th> <th>53 (S)</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>					Lef	t to A45	53 (N)						Ah	ead to	A6 Kegv	worth E	Bypass						Rig	ht to A4	53 (S)					
00 00 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 11 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 10 1 1 10 1 1 10 10 1 10 10 1 10 <t< th=""><th>TIME</th><th>CYCLE</th><th>M/CYCLE</th><th>CAR</th><th>LGV</th><th>OGV1</th><th>OGV2</th><th>BUS</th><th>TOTAL</th><th>PCUs</th><th>CYCLE</th><th>M/CYCLE</th><th>CAR</th><th>LGV</th><th>OGV1</th><th>OGV2</th><th>2 BUS</th><th>TOTAL</th><th>PCUs</th><th>CYCLE</th><th>M/CYCLE</th><th>CAR</th><th>LGV</th><th>OGV1</th><th>OGV2</th><th>BUS</th><th>TOTAL</th><th>PCUs</th><th>PCU F</th><th>actors:</th></t<>	TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	2 BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	PCU F	actors:
embed by and	07:00 - 07:15	0	0	30	0	2	5	0	37	44.5	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	1	12	1	0	7	0	21	29.5	CYCLE	0.2
0 0 1 1 9 0 2 0 0 0 4 66 0 0 9 0 3 5 0 17 250 07% 00 0	07:15 - 07:30	0	0	8	1	1	5	0	15	22.0	0	0	2	1	1	1	0	5	6.8	0	0	4	1	0	9	0	14	25.7	M/CYC	LE 0.4
0 1 1 1 0 0 1	07:30 - 07:45	0	0	18	1	1	9	0	29	41.2	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	4	6.6	0	0	9	0	3	5	0	17	25.0	CAR	1.0
Houry Total 0 0 78 4 4 24 0 10 1432 0 0 6 1 13 0 13 5 0 74 111 0 0 1 3 0 75 0 74 111 1 1 3 0 75 0 74 111 1	07:45 - 08:00	0	0	22	2	0	5	0	29	35.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	13	1	2	6	0	22	30.8	LGV	1.0
0 0 2 3 1 5 0 32 38 0	Hourly Total	0	0	78	4	4	24	0	110	143.2	0	0	6	1	1	3	0	11	15.4	0	1	38	3	5	27	0	74	111.0	OGV1	1.5
0 0 0 11 1 2 3 0 17 219 0 0 2 1 0 0 0 3 3 0 0 13 1 1 1 7 0 222 316 0630<045	08:00 - 08:15	0	0	23	3	1	5	0	32	39.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	11	3	0	5	0	19	25.5	OGV2	2.3
0 0	08:15 - 08:30	0	0	11	1	2	3	0	17	21.9	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	13	1	1	7	0	22	31.6	BUS	2.0
0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 10 0 0 2 2 0 6 0 10 17.8 Houry Total 0 0 4 2 0 0 6 600 0 500 600 0 3 0 0 0 6 600 0 1 1 6 1 11 20.3 2 2 1 1 6 1 11 20.3 0930-0945 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0	08:30 - 08:45	0	0	8	3	3	6	0	20	29.3	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	7	1	1	6	0	15	23.3		
Houry Total 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <th< td=""><td>08:45 - 09:00</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>5</td><td>2</td><td>0</td><td>10</td><td>0</td><td>17</td><td>30.0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>1.0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>0</td><td>6</td><td>0</td><td>10</td><td>17.8</td><td></td><td></td></th<>	08:45 - 09:00	0	0	5	2	0	10	0	17	30.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	2	2	0	6	0	10	17.8		
000000000000000000000000000000000000	Hourly Total	0	0	47	9	6	24	0	86	120.2	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	33	7	2	24	0	66	98.2		
0915-0930 0	09:00 - 09:15	0	0	3	2	0	8	0	13	23.4	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	4	5.3	0	0	2	1	1	6	1	11	20.3		
09:00:00:45 0 0 1 1 1 0 3 4.8 0 0 3 2 0 9 0 14 257 09:45:10:00 0 0 3 1 1 0 3 4.8 0 0 3 2 0 9 0 14 257 09:45:10:00 0 0 53 53 4 28 1 139 178 0 0 9 2 2 2 0 15 18.8 0 0 13 11 3 3 2 0 9 1 1 0 8 8.5 0 0 13 11 1 1 0 15 15 1 13 13 14 1 0 15 15 0 13 14 1 15 16 11 13 13 14 1 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 13 13 13 14 14 13	09:15 - 09:30	0	0	7	1	2	6	0	16	24.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	2	2	1	8	0	13	23.9		
09:45-10:00 0 0 1 1 0 0 8 8.5 0 0 6 1 7 2 22 33.6 Hourly Total 0 0 5 3 5 0 15 18.6 0 0 13 11 3 30 3 60 103.5 TOTAL 0 0 1 7 2 2 2 3 5 0 32 40.0 0 13 11 3 30 3 60 103.5 1600-1615 0 1 76 9 1 5 0 92 98.0 0 0 8 0 2 0 10 11.0 0 0 2 3 5 0 33 0 29 34.2 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0	09:30 - 09:45	0	0	12	2	0	8	0	22	32.4	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	3	4.8	0	0	3	2	0	9	0	14	25.7		
Hourly Total 0 0 53 63 4 28 1 139 178.4 0 0 9 2 2 2 0 15 18.6 0 0 13 11 3 30 3 60 103.5 TOTAL 0 0 178 66 14 76 1 335 441.8 0 0 19 5 3 5 0 32 40.0 0 1 84 21 10 81 3 200 312.7 16:05 : 16:30 0 1 5 0 92 98.4 0 0 2 1 0 10 11.0 0 0 2 2 3 3 0 29 34.4 3 200 34.4 3 200 31 30 0 0 0 2 2 3 3 0 29 34.4 16:35 : 16:30 0	09:45 - 10:00	0	0	31	48	2	6	1	88	97.8	0	0	6	1	1	0	0	8	8.5	0	0	6	6	1	7	2	22	33.6		
TOTAL 0 178 66 14 76 1 335 441.8 0 0 19 5 3 5 0 32 40.0 0 1 84 21 10 81 3 200 312.7 16:00-16:15 0 1 76 9 1 5 0 92 98.4 0 0 8 0 2 0 0 10 11.0 0 0 3 5 0 37 45.0 16:30-16:45 0 0 56 61 1 0 77 78 0 0 0 0 9 0 0 0 14 5 0 62 32.8 3 0 0 28 28.7 0 0 18 11 7 19 0 118 14.5 14 16 14 5 0 32 28.7 0 0 8 14	Hourly Total	0	0	53	53	4	28	1	139	178.4	0	0	9	2	2	2	0	15	18.6	0	0	13	11	3	30	3	60	103.5		
TOTAL 0 0 178 66 14 76 1 335 441.8 0 0 19 5 3 5 0 32 40.0 0 1 84 21 10 81 3 200 312.7 16:00-16:15 0 1 76 9 1 5 0 92 98.4 0 0 8 0 2 0 10 11.0 0 0 27 2 3 5 0 37 45.0 16:15-16:30 0 1 5 0 61 64.0 0 0 2 1 0 4 5.3 0 0 14 5 0 6 0 2 1 0 0 0 14 5 0 6 0 1 1 3 0 0 0 2 1 0 0 14 1 0 1 0																														
16:00 - 16:15 0 1 5 0 92 98.4 0 0 8 0 2 0 0 10 11.0 0 0 27 2 3 5 0 37 45.0 16:15 - 16:30 0 1 54 2 2 2 0 61 64.0 0 0 2 1 0 1 0 4 5.3 0 0 21 2 3 3 0 29 34.4 16:30 - 16:45 0 0 4 2 0 66 60.6 0 1 1 3 0 0 0 5 4.4 0 0 14 5 0 6 0 27 3 3 0 23 3 0 0 14 5 0 6 0 2 1 0 0 0 28 29.7 0 0 81 11 7 19 0 148 146.2 1700-17.15 0 0 4	TOTAL	0	0	178	66	14	76	1	335	441.8	0	0	19	5	3	5	0	32	40.0	0	1	84	21	10	81	3	200	312.7		
16:00-16:15 0 1 76 9 1 5 0 92 98.4 0 0 8 0 2 0 0 11.0 0 0 27 2 3 5 0 37 45.0 16:15-16:30 0 1 54 0 0 2 1 0 1 0 73 74.8 0 0 2 1 0 4 5.3 0 0 14 5 0 6 0 25 3.8 0 0 9 9.0 0 0 14 5 0 25 3.4 16:63-16:45 0 0 4 2 0 56 60.6 0 1 1 3 0 0 55 4.4 0 0 14 6 0 14 6 1 16 1				1		1		1						1			1						1	1	1					
16:15:-16:30 0 1 54 2 2 0 61 64.0 0 0 2 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0	16:00 - 16:15	0	1	76	9	1	5	0	92	98.4	0	0	8	0	2	0	0	10	11.0	0	0	27	2	3	5	0	37	45.0		
16:30-16:45 0 0 6 0 73 74.8 0 0 9 0 0 0 9 0.0 0 0 0 0 14 5 0 6 0 25 32.8 16:45-17:00 0 0 4 2 0 56 60.6 0 1 1 3 0 0 5 4.4 0 0 19 2 1 5 0 27 34.0 Hourly Total 0 2 24 20 8 10 0 28 29.7 0 0 81 11 7 19 0 118 146.2 17:15-17:30 0 0 4 0 1 2 1 0 1 0 6 7.3 0 1 26 2 2 5 0 33 43.6 17:15-17:30 0 0 33 4 4 0 45 52.2 0 0 6 7.5 0 1 16 <th< td=""><td>16:15 - 16:30</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>54</td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>0</td><td>61</td><td>64.0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>2</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>4</td><td>5.3</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>21</td><td>2</td><td>3</td><td>3</td><td>0</td><td>29</td><td>34.4</td><td></td><td></td></th<>	16:15 - 16:30	0	1	54	2	2	2	0	61	64.0	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	4	5.3	0	0	21	2	3	3	0	29	34.4		
16:45 - 17:00 0 0 47 3 4 2 0 56 60.6 0 1 1 1 3 0 0 0 5 4.4 0 0 19 2 1 5 0 2/7 34.0 Hourly Total 0 2 242 20 8 10 0 282 297.8 0 1 20 4 2 1 0 28 297.7 0 0 81 11 7 19 0 118 146.2 17:00 - 17:15 0 0 4 0 0 4 1 0 1 0 22 20 0 0 0 22 2 5 0 36 42.9 17:35 17:30 0 0 4 0 1 2 1 0 0 4 3.4 0 0 21 2 3 7 0 33 43.6 17:35 17:30 0 0 1 1 2 1 0	16:30 - 16:45	0	0	65	6	1	1	0	73	74.8	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	9	9.0	0	0	14	5	0	6	0	25	32.8		
Hourry Iotal 0 2 242 20 8 10 0 282 297.8 0 10 28 297.8 0 0 28 297.7 0 0 81 11 7 19 0 118 146.2 17:00-17:15 0 0 4 0 2 0 0 0 2 2.0 0 0 25 2 3 10 1 41 56.5 17:15-17:30 0 0 4 0 1 2 1 0 0 4 3 0 1 2 2 3 0 1 41 56.5 17:35-17:30 0 0 58 4 0 7 0 69 78.1 0 1 2 1 0 0 7.5 0 1 16 4 3 6 0 30 38.7 Hourly Total 0 0 11 14 2 1 1 0 19 20.2 0 28 1	16:45 - 17:00	0	0	4/	3	4	2	0	56	60.6	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	5	4.4	0	0	19	2	1	5	0	27	34.0		
17:00-17:15 0 0 40 7 1 5 0 53 60.0 0 2 0 0 0 2 2.0 0 0 0 25 2 3 10 1 41 56.5 17:15-17:30 0 0 42 8 0 4 0 54 59.2 0 0 4 1 0 1 0 6 7.3 0 1 26 2 2 5 0 36 42.9 17:30-17:45 0 0 58 4 0 7 0 69 78.1 0 1 2 1 0 0 4 3.4 0 0 21 2 3 7 0 33 43.6 17:45-18:00 0 0 1 14 2 1 1 0 19 20.2 0 2 88 10 11 28 1 140 181.7 18:00-18:15 0 0 24 1 0	Hourly I otal	U	2	242	20	8	10	U	282	297.8	0	1	20	4	2	1	U	28	29.7	0	0	81	11	1	19	0	118	146.2		
17:15 - 17:30 0 0 4/2 8 0 4/4 0 54 59.2 0 0 4/4 1 0 1 0 6 7.3 0 1 26 2 2 5 0 356 42.9 17:30 - 17:45 0 0 58 4 0 7 0 69 78.1 0 1 2 1 0 0 4 3.4 0 0 21 2 3 7 0 33 43.6 17:45 - 18:00 0 0 173 23 5 20 0 221 24.9 0 1 1 0 0 7 7.5 0 1 16 4 3 6 0 30 38.7 Hourly Total 0 0 14 2 1 1 0 19 20.2 0 2 88 10 11 28 1 140 181.7 18:00 - 18:15 0 0 2 1 0 0 25	17:00 - 17:15	0	0	40	/	1	5	0	53	60.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	25	2	3	10	1	41	56.5		
17:30-17:45 0 0 58 4 0 7 0 69 78:1 0 1 2 1 0 0 4 3.4 0 0 21 2 3 7 0 33 43:6 17:45-18:00 0 0 33 4 4 4 0 45 52.2 0 0 6 0 1 0 0 7 7.5 0 1 16 4 3 6 0 30 38.7 Hourly Total 0 0 173 23 5 20 0 215 218.1 0 0 24 1 1 0 19 20.2 0 2 88 10 11 28 1 140 181.7 18:00-18:15 0 0 21 1 0 0 24 1 0 0 25 25.0 0 0 31 2 1 7 0 41 50.6 18:15-18:30 0 2 179	17:15 - 17:30	0	0	42	8	0	4	0	54	59.2	0	0	4	1	0	1	0	6	7.3	0	1	26	2	2	5	0	36	42.9		
17/45 - 18:00 0 0 33 4 4 4 0 45 52.2 0 0 6 0 1 0 0 1 16 4 3 6 0 38.7 Hourly Total 0 0 173 23 5 20 0 221 249.5 0 1 14 2 1 1 0 19 20.2 0 2 88 10 11 28 1 140 181.7 18:00 - 18:15 0 0 203 9 1 2 0 215 218.1 0 0 24 1 0 0 25 25.0 0 0 74 1 4 6 1 866 96.8 18:15 - 18:30 0 1 59 2 2 0 166 69.0 0 0 0 0 27.75 0 0 63 1 1 4 0 69 74.7 18:45 - 19:00 0 0 3 1	17:30 - 17:45	0	0	58	4	0		0	69	78.1	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	4	3.4	0	0	21	2	3	/	0	33	43.6		
Hourry Total 0 0 173 23 5 20 0 221 249.5 0 1 14 2 1 1 0 19 20.2 0 2 88 10 11 28 1 140 181.7 18:00-18:15 0 0 24 1 0 0 25 25.0 0 0 74 1 4 6 1 86 96.8 18:15-18:30 0 1 59 2 2 2 0 66 69.0 0 0 0 0 10 10.0 0 0 31 2 1 7 0 41 50.6 18:30-18:45 0 2 170 3 2 2 0 179 181.4 0 0 24 2 1 0 0 27.5 0 0 63 1 1 4 0 69 74.7 18:45-19:00 0 0 4 1 0 0 72 72.5 <td< td=""><td>17:45 - 18:00</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>33</td><td>4</td><td>4</td><td>4</td><td>0</td><td>45</td><td>52.2</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>6</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>7.5</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>16</td><td>4</td><td>3</td><td>6</td><td>0</td><td>30</td><td>38.7</td><td></td><td></td></td<>	17:45 - 18:00	0	0	33	4	4	4	0	45	52.2	0	0	6	0	1	0	0	1	7.5	0	1	16	4	3	6	0	30	38.7		
18:00-18:15 0 0 203 9 1 2 0 213 216.1 0 0 24 1 0 0 0 23 23.0 0 0 74 1 4 6 1 666 96.8 18:15-18:30 0 1 59 2 2 2 0 666 69.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 31 2 1 7 0 41 50.6 18:15-18:30 0 2 170 3 2 2 0 181.4 0 0 24 2 1 0 0 27 27.5 0 0 63 1 1 4 0 69 74.7 18:45-19:00 0 0 4 1 0 0 54 54.5 0 0 9 1 0 0 10 10.0 0 13 3 1 1 0 18 19.8 18 19.8 14 214 241.9 <	Houriy Total	U	U	1/3	23	5	20	U	221	249.5	U	1	14	2	1	1	U	19	20.2	0	2	88	10	11	28	1	140	181.7		
18:15-18:30 0 1 59 2 2 2 0 66 89.0 0 0 10 0 0 10 10.0 10 10.0 0 31 2 1 7 0 41 50.6 18:30-18:45 0 2 170 3 2 2 0 179 181.4 0 0 24 2 1 0 0 27 27.5 0 0 63 1 1 4 0 69 74.7 18:45-19:00 0 0 44 1 0 0 54 54.5 0 0 9 1 0 0 10 10.0 0 0 13 3 1 1 0 18 19.8 Hourly Total 0 3 481 18 6 6 0 514 523.0 0 67 4 1 0 0 72 72.5 0 0 181 7 7 18 1 214 241.9 241.9 </td <td>18:00 - 18:15</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>203</td> <td>9</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td> <td>215</td> <td>210.1</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>24</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>25</td> <td>25.0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>74</td> <td>1</td> <td>4</td> <td>-</td> <td>1</td> <td>00</td> <td>90.0</td> <td></td> <td></td>	18:00 - 18:15	0	0	203	9	1	2	0	215	210.1	0	0	24	1	0	0	0	25	25.0	0	0	74	1	4	-	1	00	90.0		
10:30*10:45 0 2 100 5 2 2 0 179 101.4 0 0 24 2 1 0 0 0 53 1 1 4 0 69 74.7 18:45-19:00 0 0 49 4 1 0 0 54 54.5 0 0 9 1 0 0 10 10.0 0 0 13 3 1 1 0 18 19.8 Hourly Total 0 3 481 18 6 6 0 514 523.0 0 0 67 4 1 0 0 72 72.5 0 0 181 7 7 18 1 214 241.9 TOTAL 0 5 896 61 19 36 0 1017 1070.3 0 2 101 10 0 72 72.5 0 0 181 7 7 18 1 214 241.9 h	18:15 - 18:30	0	1	170	2	2	2	0	170	191.4	0	0	10	2	1	0	0	10	10.0 27.5	0	0	31	2	1	/	0	41	50.6		
10:43-19:00 0 0 04 04 04 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 13 3 1 1 0 18 19.8 Hourly Total 0 3 481 18 6 6 0 514 523.0 0 0 67 4 1 0 0 72 72.5 0 0 181 7 7 18 1 214 241.9 TOTAL 0 5 896 61 19 36 0 1007.3 0 2 101 109 122.4 0 2 350 28 25 65 2 472 569.8	18:45 10:00	0	2	1/0	3	1	2	0	EA	54 F	0	0	24	2	0	0	0	10	10.0	0	0	12	2	1	4	0	40	10.9		
TOTAL 0 5 896 61 19 36 0 1017 1070.3 0 2 101 10 4 2 0 119 122.4 0 2 350 28 25 65 2 472 569.8	18:45 - 19:00	0	2	49	4	6	6	0	54	54.5	0	0	67	1	1	0	0	70	72.5	0	0	104	3	7	10	1	214	241.0		
TOTAL 0 5 896 61 19 36 0 1017 1070.3 0 2 101 10 4 2 0 119 122.4 0 2 350 28 25 65 2 472 569.8	Houriy i Otal	U	3	401	10	0	0	U	514	523.0	U	U	0/	4		U	U	12	12.5	U	U	101	1	1	10		214	241.9		
	TOTAL	0	5	896	61	19	36	0	1017	1070.3	0	2	101	10	4	2	0	119	122.4	0	2	350	28	25	65	2	472	569.8		

East Midlands Gateway Wednesday 23rd November 2022 Junction: 1 Bus Moves

				Bus N	/loves			
TIME	Move 1	Move 2	Move 3	Move 4	Move 5	Move 6	Move 7	Move 8
07:00 - 07:15	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	2
07:15 - 07:30	2	3	1	0	3	1	2	1
07:30 - 07:45	1	2	1	1	2	0	2	2
07:45 - 08:00	2	2	0	2	2	1	1	2
Hourly Total	6	8	2	4	8	2	5	7
08:00 - 08:15	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1
08:15 - 08:30	2	1	0	1	1	0	0	2
08:30 - 08:45	1	3	0	1	3	1	1	1
08:45 - 09:00	2	0	1	1	0	1	0	2
Hourly Total	6	5	2	3	5	2	2	6
09:00 - 09:15	1	2	1	1	2	0	2	2
09:15 - 09:30	2	1	0	1	1	0	1	1
09:30 - 09:45	1	2	0	1	2	1	0	3
09:45 - 10:00	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	2
Hourly Total	6	6	2	4	6	1	3	8

TOTAL	18	19	6	11	19	5	10	21
16:00 - 16:15	1	2	1	2	2	0	0	3
16:15 - 16:30	2	2	0	1	2	1	1	1
16:30 - 16:45	1	2	1	0	2	0	2	1
16:45 - 17:00	2	1	0	1	1	1	1	2
Hourly Total	6	7	2	4	7	2	4	7
17:00 - 17:15	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	2
17:15 - 17:30	2	3	1	1	3	1	0	3
17:30 - 17:45	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1
17:45 - 18:00	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
Hourly Total	6	5	3	3	5	1	3	7
18:00 - 18:15	1	1	0	2	1	0	0	3
18:15 - 18:30	2	3	0	1	3	1	2	1
18:30 - 18:45	1	2	0	1	2	0	1	2
18:45 - 19:00	2	1	1	0	1	1	0	1
Hourly Total	6	7	1	4	7	2	3	7
TOTAL	18	19	6	11	19	5	10	21





APPENDIX 4 - M1 Junction 24 Turning Count Results

East Midlands Gateway Thursday 3rd November 2022 Junction: 5

Approach: M1 J24 North

				То	A453 (I	N)						т	o Derby	Road							То	M1 J2	4 (S)							To A	453 (S))							To A50				Τ			т	o Hilto	n Hotel	l Lane				
TIME	CYCLE I/	CYCL C	AR LG	V OG	V1 OGV	2 BUS	TOTAL	PCU	CYC	.EN/CY	CL CAR	LGV	0GV1 0	GV2 BU	s тот	AL P	PCUs	CYCLE	I/CYCL	CAR	LGV O	GV1 C	GV2 BL	s to	TAL	PCUs	CYCLE/	CYCL C	AR LG	v ogv	1 OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	сусы	.EI/CYC	CAR	LGV C	0GV1 00	SV2 BUS	s тот.	AL PC	Us CY	CLE 1/C1	YCL CA	R LG	iv og	V1 OGV	V2 BU	s тот	AL P	CUs	PCU Factor
07:00 - 07:15	0	1 1	38 4	8 11	1 9	0	207	223.6	0	0	97	31	8	1 0	13	7 1	142.3	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0.0	0	2	94 11	6	12	0	125	142.4	0	0	36	23	2	4 0	65	71	.2	0 0	1	0	0) 0	0	1		1.0	CYCLE 0.
07:15 - 07:30	0	0 1	23 3	5 9	9	1	178	195.2	. 0	0	97	34	2	0 0	13	3 1	134.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0.0	0	1 1	19 11	. 9	9	0	149	164.6	0	0	40	29	2	7 0	78	88	.1	0 0	1	1	. 0) 0	0	2		2.0	M/CYCLE 0.
07:30 - 07:45	0	0 1	52 5	5 8	5	0	220	230.5	0	0	139	38	7	0 0	18	4 1	187.5	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0.0	0	0 1	10 16	5 2	8	0	136	147.4	0	0	69	14	2	6 0	91	99.	.8	0 0	3	1	0) 0	0	4		4.0	CAR 1.
07:45 - 08:00	0	0 1	46 3	7 9	7	0	199	212.6	0	0	79	22	0	1 0	10	2 1	103.3	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0.0	0	0 1	24 28	8 4	13	0	169	187.9	0	0	62	20	4	6 0	92	101	1.8	0 0	3	a	0) 0	0	3		3.0	LGV 1.
Hourly Total	0	1 5	59 17	6 37	7 30	1	804	861.9	0	0	412	125	17	2 0	55	6 5	567.1	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0.0	0	3 4	47 66	5 21	42	0	579	642.3	. 0	0	207	86	10 2	3 0	32	360).9	0 0	8	2	2 0	0	0	10	1	10.0	OGV1 1.
08:00 - 08:15	0	3 1	51 4	7 5	18	0	224	248.1	0	0	92	25	6	0 0	12	3 1	126.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0.0	0	0 1	00 15	6	4	0	125	133.2	0	0	55	16	2	4 0	77	83	.2	0 0	3	0	0) 0	0	3		3.0	OGV2 2.
08:15 - 08:30	0	0 1	96 3	3 15	5 13	0	257	281.4	0	0	85	25	7	0 0	11	7 1	120.5	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0.0	0	0	88 10	2	12	0	112	128.6	0	0	40	11	3	7 0	61	71.	.6	0 0	3	1	0) 0	0	4		4.0	BUS 2.
08:30 - 08:45	0	1 1	79 4	2 16	5 17	0	255	284.5	. 0	2	78	29	1	0 0	11	0 1	109.3	0	0	1	0	0	0 0		1	1.0	0	0	88 7	4	12	0	111	128.6	0	0	35	13	3	5 1	57	66	.0	0 0	2	1	0) 0	0	3		3.0	
08:45 - 09:00	0	0 1	57 4	5 11	16	1	230	257.3	0	1	82	29	7	0 0	11	9 1	121.9	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0.0	0	0	52 14	1 2	10	0	88	102.0	0	0	26	15	2	2 0	45	48	.6	0 1	2	1	0) 0	0	4		3.4	
Hourly Total	0	4 6	83 16	7 47	64	1	966	1071.	3 0	3	337	108	21	0 0	46	9 4	477.7	0	0	1	0	0	0 0		1	1.0	0	0 3	38 46	5 14	38	0	436	492.4	0	0	156	55	10 1	8 1	24	269	.4	0 1	10) 3	. 0) 0	0	14	1	13.4	
09:00 - 09:15	0	0 1	17 3	4 14	21	0	186	220.3	. 0	0	59	17	1	0 0	77	,	77.5	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0.0	0	2	55 6	5	12	0	80	96.9	0	1	36	8	4	8 0	57	68	.8	0 0	3	1	0	0 0	0	4		4.0	
09:15 - 09:30	0	1 1	11 2	1 8	22	0	163	195.0	0	0	53	21	1	2 0	73	,	80.1	0	0	1	0	0	0 0		1	1.0	0	0	52 10	7	8	0	87	100.9	0	0	28	11	4	6 1	50	60	.8	0 0	2	a	0) 0	0	2		2.0	
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	79 2	7 6	16	0	128	151.8	. 0	0	51	23	0	0 0	74		74.0	0	0	0	1	0	0 0		1	1.0	0	0	56 7	3	13	0	79	97.4	0	0	33	8	3	4 0	48	54	.7	0 0	3	0	0	0 0	0	3		3.0	
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	74 2!	9 9	17	0	129	155.6	. 0	0	52	14	4	0 0	70		72.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0.0	0	1	46 9	4	10	0	70	84.4	0	0	27	3	2	6 0	38	46	.8	0 0	2	1	. 0) 0	0	3		3.0	
Hourly Total	0	1 3	81 11	1 37	7 76	0	606	722.7	. 0	0	215	75	6	2 0	29	8 3	303.6	0	0	1	1	0	0 0		2	2.0	0	3 2	19 32	2 19	43	0	316	379.6	0	1	124	30	13 2	4 1	19:	3 231	1.1	0 0	10	2	2 0	0	0	12	2 1	12.0	
TOTAL	0	6 1	523 45	4 12	1 170	2	2376	2655.	9 0	3	964	308	44	4 0	132	23 1:	348.4	0	0	2	1	0	0 0		3	3.0	0	6 1	004 14	4 54	123	0	1331	1514.3	3 0	1	487	171	33 E	5 2	75	861	1.4	0 1	28	3 7	, 0	0	0	36	5 3	35.4	
16:00 - 16:15	0	0 1	24 31	5 8	9	0	171	186.7		0	88	17	4	0 0	10	9 1	111.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0.0	0	0	24 3	2	5	0	34	41.5	0	0	32	9	3	3 0	47	52	4	0 0	2	0	0	0 0	0	2		2.0	
16:15 - 16:30	0	0 1	63 2	в з	6	0	200	209.3	. 0	0	103	20	0	0 0	12	3 1	123.0	0	0	1	0	0	0 0		1	1.0	0	0	29 3	2	4	0	38	44.2	0	0	38	6	3	7 0	54	64	.6	0 0	1	a	0) 0	0	1		1.0	
16:30 - 16:45	0	0 1	54 3	3 2	9	0	198	210.7		0	101	19	1	0 0	12	1 1	121.5	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0.0	0	0	24 4	4	5	0	37	45.5	0	0	31	7	4	3 0	45	50	.9	0 0	1	a	0) 0	0	1		1.0	
16:45 - 17:00	0	1 1	76 2	7 6	8	0	218	230.8	. 0	0	92	12	0	0 0	10	4 1	104.0	0	0	2	0	0	0 0		2	2.0	0	0	28 3	3	6	0	40	49.3	0	0	33	15	3	4 0	55	61	.7	0 0	1	0	0	0 0	0	1		1.0	
Hourly Total	0	1 6	17 11	8 19	32	0	787	837.5	. 0	0	384	68	5	0 0	45	7 4	459.5	0	0	3	0	0	0 0		3	3.0	0	0 1	05 13	3 11	20	0	149	180.5	0	0	134	37	13 1	7 0	20	229	9.6	0 0) 5	0	0	0 0	0	5		5.0	
17:00 - 17:15	0	1 1	81 3	2 5	10	0	229	243.9		0	94	18	1	2 0	11	5 1	118.1	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0.0	0	0	27 2	4	9	0	42	55.7	0	0	34	8	2	5 0	49	56	.5	0 0	2	0	0	0 0	0	2		2.0	
17:15 - 17:30	0	0 1	86 34	4 3	8	0	231	242.9	0	0	143	20	4	0 0	16	7 1	169.0	0	0	1	0	0	0 0		1	1.0	0	1	36 2	2	0	0	41	41.4	0	0	52	6	1	6 0	65	73	.3	0 0	2	1	0	0 0	0	3		3.0	
17:30 - 17:45	0	0 1	91 2	в 2	7	2	230	242.1	0	0	120	19	2	0 0	14	1 1	142.0	0	0	2	0	0	0 0		2	2.0	0	2	34 4	0	2	0	42	43.4	0	1	49	9	1	2 0	62	64	.5	0 0	2	0	0	0 0	0	2		2.0	
17:45 - 18:00	0	0 1	91 1	3 4	9	0	217	230.7		1	103	12	5	0 1	12	2 1	124.9	0	0	0	1	0	0 0		1	1.0	0	0	48 4	0	5	0	57	63.5	0	0	46	13	2	3 0	64	68	.9	0 0	2	1	0	0 0	0	3		3.0	
										1																					1														1								

ourly Total 0 1 749 107 14 34 2 907 959.6 0 1 460 69 12 2 1 545 554.0 0 0 0 3 145 12 6 240 263.2 0 0 8 2 0 0 **108 108.0** 0 0 1 0 173 13 3 5 0 **194 202.0** 1 1.0 0 0 55 2 0 7 0 64 73.1 0 0 43 13 1 4 0 61 66.7 0 0 0 98 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 . . 0 0 0 2 2.0 18:00 - 18:15 80 4 2 1 4 0 91 98.5 0 0 47 5 2 3 0 **57 61.9** 0 0 2 0 0 0 152 157.7 0 0 103 5 0 0 0 108 108.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0.0 2 2.0 18:15 - 18:30 136 1 0 94 15 4 10 0 **123 138.0** 0 0 53 5 1 0 0 **59 59.5** 0 0 0 0 0 0.0 0 1 67 8 1 8 0 85 95.3 0 0 42 5 2 4 0 **53 59.2** 0 0 2 0 0 0 2 2.0 18:30 - 18:45 **86 87.3** 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 95 7 2 6 0 110 118.8 0 0 83 2 0 1 0 **0 0.0** 0 0 53 5 0 7 0 **65 74.1** 0 0 32 6 2 3 0 43 47.9 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 2 2.0 18:45 - 19:00 0 0 0 498 46 10 25 0 579 616.5 0 0 337 22 1 1 0 361 362.8 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 1.0 0 1 255 19 3 27 0 305 341.0 0 0 164 29 7 14 0 214 235.7 0 0 8 0 0 0 0 8 8.0 Hourly Total

TOTAL 0 2 1864 271 43 91 2 2273 2413.6 0 1 1181 159 18 3 1 1363 1376.3 0 0 7 1 0 0 8 8.0 0 4 505 44 20 63 0 536 725.5 0 1 479 102 26 47 0 655 728.5 0 0 21 2 0 0 0 21 2 0 0 0 23 23.0

Read Service and a little

East Midlands Gateway Thursday 3rd November 2022 Junction: 5 Approach: A453 North

																																	1								
_				To Der	by Road					To M1 J24 (S)						To A453 (S)						To A50						To Hiltor	Hotel Lane					To M1 J24	(N)				
	TIME	CYCLE				TOTAL	PCUs				2 BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLEN/		R IGV	0611 06		TOTAL	PCIIs	CYCLEN			061/1				CYCLEA				τοται	PCUs			061106		TOTAL	PCUs	POLLE	actors.
	07:00 - 07:15	0	1 8	3 0	0 0	12	11.4	0 0	103 29	3 14	0	149	168.7	0	0 6	9 9	6 6	0	90	100.8	0	0	31 19	4	3	0 57	62.9	0	0 1	0 0	0 0	1	1.0	0 0	24 10	2 1	1 0	37	39.3	CYCLE	0.2
	07:15 - 07:30	0	0 10	3 1	0 0	14	14.5	0 0	96 16	3 14	2	131	152.7	0	0 8	2 8	4 9	2	105	120.7	0	0	29 21	2	7	0 59	69.1	0	0 1	1 0	0 0	2	2.0	0 0	32 10	2 6	5 0	50	58.8	M/CYCL	E 0.4
	07-20 07-45		0 30	4 0		24	24.0		69 13	5 6		02	102.2			7 10	2		121	122.2			40 12	2	c		76 5		0 2	1 0			4.0		37 6			50	57 E	CAR	1.0
	07:45 - 08:00	0	1 6	0 1	0 0	8	7.9	0 1	81 16	8 8	0	114	127.8	0	0 8	9 9	4 9	0	111	124.7	0	1	49 13	8	4	0 64	72.6	0	0 3	0 0	0 0	3	3.0	0 0	30 9	3 4	. 0	46	52.7	LGV	1.0
	Hourly Total	0	2 44	10 2	0 0	58	57.8	0 1	348 74	19 42	2	486	551.5	0	4 32	7 44	16 3	3 3	427	478.5	0	1 1	53 60	16	19	0 249	9 ####	0	0 8	2 0	0 0	10	10.0	0 0	123 35	9 1	6 0	183	208.3	OGV1	1.5
	08:00 - 08:15	0	0 9	2 0	0 0	11	11.0	0 0	100 21	6 8	0	135	148.4	0	0 8	1 12	3 4	. 0	100	106.7	0	0	44 13	1	6	0 64	72.3	0	0 3	0 0	0 0	3	3.0	0 0	41 11	1 3	8 0	56	60.4	OGV2	2.3
	08:15 - 08:30	0	0 15	2 0	0 0	17	17.0	0 0	97 26	11 7	1	142	157.6	0	0 7	3 8	2 8	1	92	104.4	0	0	32 9	2	6	0 49	57.8	0	0 3	1 0	0 0	4	4.0	0 0	22 6	2 5	5 0	35	42.5	BUS	2.0
	08:30 - 08:45	0	0 11	2 1	0 0	14	14.5	0 0	98 24	10 8	0	140	155.4	0	0 7	1 7	3 8	0	89	100.9	0	0	28 13	з	6	1 51	61.3	0	0 2	1 0	0 0	3	3.0	0 0	18 8	5 5	5 0	36	45.0		
	08:45 - 09:00	0	0 7	2 1	0 0	10	10.5	0 0	74 18	8 11	1	112	131.3	0	0 4	9 7	2 5	0	63	70.5	0	0	24 6	2	2	1 35	39.6	0	0 2	1 0	0 0	3	3.0	0 0	13 6	2 2	2 0	23	26.6		
	Hourly Total	0	0 42	8 2	0 0	52	53.0	0 0	369 89	35 34	2	529	592.7	0	0 27	4 34	10 2	5 1	344	382.5	0	0 1	28 41	8	20	2 199	9 ####	0	0 10	3 0	0 0	13	13.0	0 0	94 31	10 1	5 0	150	174.5		
	09:00 - 09:15	0	0 5	0 2	0 0	7	8.0	0 0	69 20	3 11	2	105	122.8	0	0 4	5	6 7	2	60	74.1	0	0	25 5	4	4	0 38	45.2	0	0 2	1 0	0 0	3	3.0	0 0	19 2	2 3	0	26	30.9		
	09:15 - 09:30	0	0 4	3 0	1 0	8	9.3	0 0	88 26	2 18	0	134	158.4	0	0 4	4 5	2 9	0	60	72.7	0	0	19 5	3	5	2 34	44.0	0	0 2	0 0	0 0	2	2.0	0 0	15 4	2 5	5 0	26	33.5		
	09:30 - 09:45	0	0 9	3 0	0 0	12	12.0	0 0	70 13	3 12	0	98	115.1	0	0 3	8 8	6 8	0	60	73.4	0	0	26 6	4	4	0 40	47.2	0	0 2	0 0	0 0	2	2.0	0 0	27 6	0 4	. 0	37	42.2		
	09:45 - 10:00	o	0 5	3 0	0 0	8	8.0	0 0	82 24	10 9	0	125	141.7	0	1 4	2 9	4 1	2 0	68	85.0	0	1	26 7	2	9	0 45	57.1	o	0 2	1 0	0 0	3	3.0	0 0	20 4	0 6	5 0	30	37.8		
	Hourly Total	0	0 23	9 2	1 0	35	37.3	0 0	309 83	18 50	2	462	538.0	0	1 16	4 27	18 3	6 2	248	305.2	0	1	96 23	13	22	2 157	7 ####	0	0 8	2 0	0 0	10	10.0	0 0	81 16	4 1	8 0	119	144.4		
	TOTAL	0	2 109	27 6	1 0	145	148.1	0 1	1026 24	72 126	6	1477	1682.2	0	5 76	5 105	44 9	4 6	1019	1166.2	0	2 3	377 124	37	61	4 605	5 ####	0	0 26	7 0	0 0	33	33.0	0 0	298 82	23 4	9 0	452	527.2		
	16:00 - 16:15	0	1 8	0 1	0 0	10	9.9	0 0	160 23	7 9	1	200	216.2	0	0 4	3 11	2 7	0	63	73.1	0	1	76 27	6	8	0 118	в ####	0	0 2	0 0	0 0	2	2.0	0 0	52 26	2	. 0	84	90.2		
	16:15 - 16:30	0	0 9	1 0	0 0	10	10.0	0 2	167 33	2 10	0	214	226.8	0	0 4	7 7	2 6	0	62	70.8	0	0	64 26	3	8	0 101	1 ####	0	0 2	0 0	0 0	2	2.0	0 0	51 16	5 6	5 0	78	88.3		
	16:30 - 16:45	0	0 13	1 0	0 0	14	14.0	0 2	181 45	9 2	0	239	244.9	0	0 3	0 6	3 7	0	46	56.6	0	2	52 17	з	6	0 80	88.1	0	0 1	0 0	0 0	1	1.0	0 0	54 25	4 4	. 0	87	94.2		
	16:45 - 17:00	0	0 15	3 0	0 0	18	18.0	0 0	193 35	4 4	0	236	243.2	0	1 5	8 10	1 3	1	74	78.8	0	0	68 23	1	3	0 95	99.4	0	0 2	0 0	0 0	2	2.0	0 0	60 21	2 3	8 0	86	90.9		
	Hourly Total	0	1 45	5 1	0 0	52	51.9	0 4	701 13	5 22 25	1	889	931.1	0	1 17	8 34	8 2	3 1	245	279.3	0	3 2	860 93	13	25	0 394	4 ####	0	0 7	0 0	0 0	7	7.0	0 0	217 88	13 1	7 0	335	363.6		
	17:00 - 17:15	0	0 10	3 0	0 0	13	13.0	0 1	184 22	2 2	0	211	214.0	0	1 4	7 3	3 5	0	59	66.4	0	0	66 12	2	1	0 81	83.3	0	0 2	0 0	0 0	2	2.0	0 1	53 15	3 2	2 0	74	77.5		
	17:15 - 17:30	0	0 27	1 0	0 0	28	28.0	0 0	175 25	0 8	0	208	218.4	0	1 5	9 2	6 0	0	68	70.4	0	0	93 17	2	4	0 116	6 ####	o	0 2	1 0	0 0	3	3.0	0 0	58 12	0 6	5 0	76	83.8		
	17:30 - 17:45	0	0 18	0 0	0 0	18	18.0	0 0	171 14	4 5	0	194	202.5	0	0 6	5 6	0 2	0	74	76.6	0	0	89 13	2	5	0 109	9 ####	0	0 2	0 0	0 0	2	2.0	0 0	45 8	0 3	8 0	56	59.9		
	17:45 - 18:00	0	1 12	1 1	0 0	15	14.9	0 0	173 12	4 11	0	200	216.3	0	0 8	1 4	0 8	0	93	103.4	0	0	74 15	4	6	0 99		0	0 3	1 0	0 0	4	4.0	0 0	49 6	0 3	2 0	57	59.6		
	Hourly Total	0	1 67	5 1	0 0	74	73.9	0 1	703 73	10 26	0	813	851.2	0	2 25	3 15	9 1	5 0	294	316.8	0	0 3	22 57	10	16	0 405	5 ####	0	0 9	2 0	0 0	11	11.0	0 1	205 41	3 1	3 0	263	280.8		
	18:00 - 18:15	0	0 17	0 0	0 0	17	17.0	0 0	171 9	3 8	2	193	206.9	0	1 7	1 2	1 4	0	79	84.1	0	0	54 17	1	2	0 74	77.1	0	0 2	0 0	0 0	2	2.0	0 0	33 8	0 3	8 0	44	47.9		
	18:15 - 18:30	0	0 6	1 0	0 0	7	7.0	0 0	144 14	0 6	0	164	171.8	0	0 7	7 5	2 3	0	87	91.9	0	0	46 6	2	4	0 58	64.2	0	0 3	1 0	0 0	4	4.0	0 0	28 3	1 2	2 0	34	37.1		
	18:30 - 18:45	0	0 13	3 1	0 0	17	17.5	0 0	116 9	1 3	0	129	133.4	0	0 6	3 11	2 4	0	80	86.2	0	0	36 6	2	1	0 45	47.3	0	0 2	0 0	0 0	2	2.0	0 0	40 5	2 2	2 0	49	52.6		
L	18:45 - 19:00	0	0 7	1 0	1 0	9	10.3	0 0	104 8	1 6	0	119	127.3	0	0 4	3 9	2 5	0	59	66.5	0	0	23 10	1	2	1 37	41.1	0	0 2	0 0	0 0	2	2.0	0 0	31 4	1 3	8 0	39	43.4		
	Hourly Total	0	0 43	5 1	1 0	50	51.8	0 0	535 40	5 23	2	605	639.4	0	1 25	4 27	7 1	6 0	305	328.7	0	0 1	59 39	6	9	1 214	4	0	0 9	1 0	0 0	10	10.0	0 0	132 20	4 1	0 0	166	181.0		

TOTAL 0 2 155 15 3 1 0 176 177.6 0 5 1939 249 37 74 3 2307 24217 0 4 685 76 24 54 1 844 924.8 0 3 74 189 29 50 1 1013 ### 0 0 25 3 0 0 0 28 28.0 0 1 554 149 20 40 0 764 625.4

Roled Same (Summary 1)

East Midlands Gateway Thursday 3rd November 2022 Junction: 5 Approach:Derby Road

				To M1 J24	(S)						1	To A453	8 (S)						1	o A50							To I	Hilton H	otel Lar	ne					т	o M1 J2	4 (N)						Тс	A453 (N	1)			
TIME	CYCLE	1/CYCL CA	R LGV	OGV1 OG	GV2 BUS	то		CUs CY	CLEI/CY	CL CAR	LGV	OGV1 C	DGV2 BU	s тот		CUs CY	CLEI/C	YCL CAR	LGV OC	V1 06	V2 BUS	тот		PCUs CY	CLE 1/C	CYCL	CAR LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS 1	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE /	YCL CA	R LGV	OGV1 C	DGV2 BUS	тота		Js CYCL	EI/CYCL	CAR L	LGV O	GV1 OG	V2 BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	PCU Factors:
07:00 - 07:15	0	0 18	8 1	0	1 0	2	20 2	1.3	0 0	26	2	0	0 1	2	3	0.0	0 0	10	2		L O	1:	3	14.3	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0 9	0	0	0 0	9	9.0	0	0	7	2	0 0	0	9	9.0	CYCLE 0.2
07:15 - 07:30	0	0 9	3	0	0 0	1	12 1	2.0	0 0	27	2	0	0 0	2	2	9.0	0 0	10	3	1 3	2 0	1	6	19.1	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0 9	0	0	0 0	9	9.0	0	0	8	2	1 0	0	11	11.5	M/CYCLE 0.4
07:30 - 07:45	0	0 1	1 3	0	0 0	1	14 1	4.0	0 0	35	2	0	0 0	3	3	7.0	0 0	18	2	2 :	L O	2	3	25.3	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0 1	0	0	0 0	10	10.	0 0	0	12	4	1 0	0	17	17.5	CAR 1.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	0 7	0	1	1 0	9	9 1	0.8	0 0	46	7	0	0 1	5	4 5	5.0	0 0	24	5	1 :	L O	3	1	32.8	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0 1	i 9	0	0 0	25	25.	0 0	0	16	4	1 0	0	21	21.5	LGV 1.0
Hourly Total	0	0 4	5 7	1	2 0	5	55 5	8.1	0 0	134	13	0	0 2	14	9 15	51.0	0 0	62	12		5 0	8	3	91.5	0	0	2 0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0 4	1 9	0	0 0	53	53.	0 0	0	43	12	3 0	0	58	59.5	0GV1 1.5
08:00 - 08:15	0	0 1:	1 5	2	0 0	1	18 1	9.0	0 0	33	з	0	0 0	3	5 3	6.0	0 0	20	3		2 0	2	5	27.6	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0 1	2	0	0 0	13	13.	0 0	0	17	4	0 0	0	21	21.0	OGV2 2.3
08:15 - 08:30	0	0 1	1 1	1	0 0	1	13 1	3.5	0 0	43	4	0	0 0	4	4	7.0	0 0	19	3	1	2 0	2	8	32.6	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0 1	3	0	0 0	13	13.	0 0	0	12	4	0 0	0	16	16.0	BUS 2.0
08:30 - 08:45	0	0 8	4	0	1 0	1	13 1	4.3	0 0	32	з	0	0 0	3	5 3	5.0	0 0	16	9	3 (1	2	9	31.5	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0 1:	4	0	0 0	15	15.	0 0	0	9	3	1 0	0	13	13.5	
08:45 - 09:00	0	0 3	2	0	0 0		5 (5.0	0 0	24	2	0	0 0	2	5 2	6.0	0 0	13	2	2 :	2	2	0	24.3	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0 7	3	2	0 0	12	13.	0 0	0	9	2	2 0	0	13	14.0	
Hourly Total	0	0 33	3 12	3	1 0	4	19 5	1.8	0 0	132	12	0	0 0	14	4 14	44.0	0 0	68	17		5 3	10)2 ·	116.0	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0 3	12	2	0 0	53	54.0	0 0	0	47	13	3 0	0	63	64.5	
09:00 - 09:15	0	0 10	5	1	1 0	1	17 1	8.8	0 0	21	5	0	0 0	2	5 2	6.0	0 0	14	3		0	18	8	19.3	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0 1	3	0	0 0	17	17.	0 0	0	11	2	0 1	0	14	15.3	
09:15 - 09:30	0	0 12	2 0	0	0 0	1	12 1	2.0	0 0	22	4	0	0 0	2	5 2	6.0	0 0	9	3	ı :	2 0	1	5	18.1	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0 6	3	0	0 0	9	9.0	0	0	8	1	1 0	0	10	10.5	
09:30 - 09:45	0	0 5	0	1	1 0		7 8	B.8	0 0	17	2	0	0 0	1	9 1	9.0	0 0	10	2	2 (0	14	4	15.0	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0 7	2	0	0 0	9	9.0	0	0	5	2	0 0	0	7	7.0	
09:45 - 10:00	0	0 6	2	0	2 0	1	10 1	2.6	0 1	11	4	0	0 0	1	5 1	5.4	0 1	5	4	1 (0	1	1	10.9	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0 5	2	o	0 0	7	7.0	0	0	5	2	1 0	0	8	8.5	
Hourly Total	0	0 33	3 7	2	4 0	4	46 5	2.2	0 1	71	15	0	0 0	8	7 8	6.4	0 1	38	12	:	3 0	5	8	63.3	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0 3:	2 10	0	0 0	42	42.0	0 0	0	29	7	2 1	0	39	41.3	
																																																_
TOTAL	0	0 11	1 26	6	7 0	1	50 10	62.1	0 1	337	40	0	0 2	38	0 38	31.4	0 1	168	41 1	7 1	3 3	24	13	270.8	0	0	4 0	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0 11	5 31	2	0 0	148	149.	.0 0	0	119	32	8 1	0	160	165.3	1
																																						_			_					_		_
16:00 - 16:15	0	0 14	4 0	0	0 0	1	14 1	4.0	0 0	20	2	0	0 0	2	2 2	2.0	0 0	33	9		0	4:	2	42.0	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0 2	7	0	0 0	30	30.	0 0	0	16	3	0 0	0	19	19.0	
16:15 - 16:30	0	0 8	1	2	0 0	1	11 1	2.0	0 0	20	2	0	0 0	2	2 2	2.0	0 0	27	14	2 (0	4:	3	44.0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0 2:	6	0	0 0	27	27.	0 0	0	13	6	0 0	0	19	19.0	
16:30 - 16:45	0	0 10	0 4	0	0 0	1	14 1	4.0	0 0	14	4	0	0 0	1	3 1	8.0	0 4	26	9		0	3	9	36.6	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0 2	3	0	0 0	26	26.	0 0	0	11	7	0 0	0	18	18.0	
16:45 - 17:00	0	0 6	2	0	1 0	9	9 1	0.3	0 0	22	2	0	0 0	2	4 2	4.0	0 0	26	4		2 0	3	2	34.6	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0 2	4	0	0 0	24	24.	0 0	0	11	2	0 0	0	13	13.0	
Hourly Total	0	0 3	8 7	2	1 0	4	18 5	0.3	0 0	76	10	0	0 0	8	5 8	6.0	0 4	112	36	2 2	2 0	15	56	157.2	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0 8	20	0	0 0	107	107.	.0 0	0	51	18	0 0	0	69	69.0	
17:00 - 17:15	0	0 1	7 5	0	1 0	2	23 2	4.3	0 0	25	0	0	2 0	2	7 2	9.6	0 0	31	10		L O	4:	2	43.3	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0 2!	6	0	0 0	31	31.	0 0	0	9	1	0 0	0	10	10.0	
17:15 - 17:30	0	0 8	1	0	0 0	ę	9 9	9.0	0 1	16	0	0	0 0	1	1	6.4	0 0	28	4		0	3:	2	32.0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0 1	5 2	0	0 0	18	18.	0 0	0	16	1	0 0	0	17	17.0	
17:30 - 17:45	0	0 15	5 0	0	0 0	1	15 1	5.0	0 0	25	2	0	0 0	2	2	7.0	0 0	33	3	ı :	0	3	8	39.8	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0 1	2	0	0 0	21	21.0	0 0	0	17	2	0 0	1	20	21.0	
17:45 - 18:00	0	0 4	0	0	0 0	2	4 4	4.0	0 0	17	0	0	0 0	1	7 1	7.0	0 0	16	4	ı :	2 0	2	3	26.1	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0 1	3	0	0 0	17	17.	0 0	0	8	2	0 0	0	10	10.0	
Hourly Total	0	0 4	4 6	0	1 0	5	51 5	2.3	0 1	83	2	0	2 0	8	3 9	0.0	0 0	108	21	2 4	L 0	13	35 ·	141.2	0	0	2 0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0 74	13	0	0 0	87	87.	0 0	0	50	6	0 0	1	57	58.0	
18:00 - 18:15	0	0 14	4 0	0	0 0	1	14 1	4.0	0 0	36	1	0	0 0	3	3	7.0	0 0	27	5	1 (0	3	3	33.5	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0 1	5	0	0 0	23	23.	0 0	0	12	4	1 0	0	17	17.5	
18:15 - 18:30	0	0 8	1	1	0 0	1	10 1	0.5	0 0	28	0	0	0 0	2	3 2	8.0	0 0	17	4		0 0	2	1	21.0	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0 1	0	0	0 0	12	12.0	0 0	0	9	0	0 0	0	9	9.0	
18:30 - 18:45	0	0 6	0	0	0 0		6 6	6.0	0 0	12	1	0	0 0	1:	3 1	3.0		10	1	1 (0	1:	2	12.5	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0 7	1	0	0 0	8	8.0	0	0	10	2	1 0	0	13	13.5	
18:45 - 19:00	0	0 3	0	1	0 0	4	4 4	4.5	0 0	29	3	0	0 0	3:	2 3	2.0	0 0	16	4	3 (0 0	2	3	24.5	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0 1	5 1	0	0 0	17	17.	0 0	0	10	1	0 0	1	12	13.0	
Hourly Total	0	0 3'	1 1	2	0 0	3	34 3	5.0	0_0	105	5	0	0 0	11	0 11	10.0	0 0	70	14	5 () 0	8	9	91.5	0	0	3 0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0 5	8 7	0	0 0	60	60.0	0 0	0	41	7	2 0	1	51	53.0	
																																																-

TOTAL 0 0 113 14 4 2 0 133 137.6 0 1 264 17 0 2 0 284 286.0 0 4 290 71 9 6 0 380 389.9 0 0 6 0 0 6 6.0 0 0 214 40 0 0 214 40 0 0 0 254 254.0 0 0 142 31 2 0 2 177 180.0

East Midlands Gateway Thursday 3rd November 2022 Junction: 5 Approach: M1 J24 South

			To A453 (S)						To A	50				To H	Iton Hote	el Lane					T	o M1 J24 (N)					To /	453 (N)						To Der	rby Road	d			
TIME CYC	CLEI/CYCL	CAR LG	OGV1 OGV2	2 BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLEI/CYC	L CAR	LGV OGV1	OGV2 BU	s тоти	AL PCUs CYCL	EI/CYC	CAR LG	OGV1	OGV2	BUS TO		CYCLE	/CYCL CAR	LGV	OGV1 OG	V2 BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	YCLEI/CY	CL CAR	LGV OG	/1 OGV2	BUS TO		CUs CYC	LEI/CYC	LCAR	LGV OGV	/1 OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	PCU Factors:
07:00 - 07:15 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0 0	139	42 11	38 0	230	284.9 0	0	1 0	0	0	0	1 1.0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0 0	87	35 9	17	0 1	48 1	74.6 0	0	8	5 1	1	o	15	16.8	CYCLE 0.2
07:15 - 07:30 (0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0 0	181	50 12	32 0	275	322.6 0	0	2 1	0	0	0	3 3.0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0 0	130	39 8	12	0 1	89 20	08.6 0	0	15	6 1	1	o	23	24.8	M/CYCLE 0.4
07:30 - 07:45 C	0 0	0 0	0 1	0	1	2.3	0 0	197	45 15	35 0	292	345.0 0	0	4 1	0	0	0	5 5.0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0 0	119	37 11	18	0 1	85 2	13.9 0	0	17	5 1	2	0	25	28.1	CAR 1.0
07:45 - 08:00 C	0 0	1 1	0 1	0	3	4.3	0 0	202	40 14	29 0	285	329.7 0	0	6 0	0	0	0	6 6.0	0	0 3	1	0 0	0	4	4.0	0 0	130	30 8	7	0 1	75 1	88.1 0	0	16	2 1	1	0	20	21.8	LGV 1.0
Hourly Total 0	0 0	1 1	0 2	0	4	6.6	0 0	719	177 52	134 0	108	2 1282.2 0	0	13 2	0	0	0	15 15.0	0	0 3	1	0 0	0	4	4.0	0 0	466	141 30	54	0 6	97 7	85.2 0	0	56	18 4	5	0	83	91.5	0GV1 1.5
08:00 - 08:15 (0 0	1 0	0 0	0	1	1.0	0 0	186	27 14	37 0	264	319.1 0	0	4 0	0	0	0	4 4.0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0 0	145	36 5	6	0 1	92 2	02.3 0	0	24	5 3	0	0	32	33.5	OGV2 2.3
08:15 - 08:30 (0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0 0	220	40 21	28 0	309	355.9 0	0	5 1	0	0	0	6 6.0	0	0 0	1	0 0	0	1	1.0	0 0	138	26 4	11	0 1	79 1	95.3 0	0	16	2 2	1	0	21	23.3	BUS 2.0
08:30 - 08:45 C	0 0	1 0	0 0	0	1	1.0	0 0	203	43 9	29 0	284	326.2 0	0	9 1	0	0	0.	10 10.0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0 0	104	18 7	7	0 1	36 14	48.6 0	0	11	1 3	1	0	16	18.8	
08:45 - 09:00 (0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0 0	202	29 9	36 0	276	327.3 0	0	6 1	0	0	0	7 7.0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0 0	89	20 7	6	0 1	22 1	33.3 0	0	9	4 2	0	o	15	16.0	
Hourly Total (0 0	2 0	0 0	0	2	2.0	0 0	811	139 53	130 0	113	3 1328.5 0	0	24 3	0	0	0 :	27 27.0	0	0 0	1	0 0	0	1	1.0	0 0	476	100 23	30	0 6	29 6	79.5 0	0	60	12 10	2	0	84	91.6	
09:00 - 09:15 (0 0	1 1	0 0	0	2	2.0	0 0	138	42 10	31 0	221	266.3 0	0	7 2	0	0	0	9 9.0	0	0 0	0	1 0	0	1	1.5	0 0	120	28 9	18	0 1	75 2	02.9 0	0	14	5 2	0	0	21	22.0	
09:15 - 09:30 (0 0	1 1	0 1	0	3	4.3	0 0	161	47 11	29 1	249	293.2 0	0	3 0	0	0	0	3 3.0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0 0	106	27 1	8	0 1	54 1	70.9 0	0	5	2 2	2	0	11	14.6	
09:30 - 09:45 (0 0	3 0	2 0	0	5	6.0	0 0	141	29 16	36 0	222	276.8 0	0	3 0	0	0	0	3 3.0	0	0 1	0	0 0	0	1	1.0	0 1	92	15 6	4	0 1	18 1:	25.6 0	0	10	4 3	0	0	17	18.5	
09:45 - 10:00 (0 0	1 0	1 0	0	2	2.5	0 0	121	29 16	30 0	196	243.0 0	0	4 1	0	0	0	5 5.0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0 0	67	24 10	13	0 1	14 1:	35.9 0	0	6	4 2	0	0	12	13.0	
Hourly Total (0 0	6 2	3 1	0	12	14.8	0 0	561	147 53	126 1	888	1079.3 0	0	17 3	0	0	0 2	20 20.0	0	0 1	0	1 0	0	2	2.5	0 1	385	94 38	43	0 5	61 6	35.3 0	0	35	15 9	2	0	61	68.1	
TOTAL	0 0	9 3	3 3	0	18	23.4	0 0	2091	463 158	390 1	310	3 3690.0 0	0	54 8	0	0	0	62 62.0	0	0 4	2	1 0	0	7	7.5	0 1	1327	335 97	127	0 1	887 21	00.0	0	151	45 23	9	0	228	251.2	
16:00 - 16:15 (0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0 0	146	41 11	37 0	235	288.6 0	0	2 0	0	0	0	2 2.0	0	0 1	0	0 0	0	1	1.0	0 0	48	16 3	6	0	73 8	2.3 0	0	7	3 0	1	0	11	12.3	
16:15 - 16:30 (0 0	0 0	1 0	0	1	1.5	0 0	138	48 7	34 0	227	274.7 0	0	1 0	0	0	0	1 1.0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0 0	65	17 3	11	2	98 1	15.8 0	0	9	5 0	2	0	16	18.6	
16:30 - 16:45 C	0 0	1 0	0 0	0	1	1.0	0 0	182	29 7	30 0	248	290.5 0	0	3 0	0	0	0	3 3.0	0	0 1	0	0 0	0	1	1.0	0 0	73	21 6	4	0 1	04 1	12.2 0	0	12	5 1	0	0	18	18.5	
16:45 - 17:00 C	0 0	1 0	0 0	0	1	1.0	0 0	174	38 8	23 0	243	276.9 0	0	2 0	0	0	0	2 2.0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0 0	82	21 3	4	0 1	10 1	16.7 0	0	9	4 2	0	0	15	16.0	
Hourly Total (0 0	2 0	1 0	0	3	3.5	0 0	640	156 33	124 0	953	1130.7 0	0	8 0	0	0	0	8 8.0	0	0 2	0	0 0	0	2	2.0	0 0	268	75 1	25	2 3	85 4	27.0 0	0	37	17 3	3	0	60	65.4	
17:00 - 17:15 (0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0 0	216	38 4	22 0	280	310.6 0	0	3 0	0	0	0	3 3.0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0 0	91	16 7	7	0 1	21 1	33.6 0	0	11	4 1	2	0	18	21.1	
17:15 - 17:30 (0 0	1 0	0 0	0	1	1.0	0 0	185	25 8	16 0	234	258.8 0	0	2 1	0	0	0	3 3.0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0 0	96	14 1	6	1 1	18 1	27.3 0	0	15	4 0	2	0	21	23.6	
17:30 - 17:45 (0 0	1 0	0 1	0	2	3.3	0 1	225	21 4	24 0	275	307.6 0	0	3 0	0	0	0	3 3.0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0 0	112	21 4	5	3 1	45 1	56.5 O	0	12	4 1	2	0	19	22.1	
17:45 - 18:00 (0 0	2 0	0 0	0	2	2.0	0 0	228	39 8	25 0	300	336.5 0	0	3 2	0	0	0	5 5.0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0 0	122	17 2	3	0 1	44 14	48.9 0	0	12	5 1	0	0	18	18.5	
Hourly Total (0 0	4 0	0 1	0	5	6.3	0 1	854	123 24	87 0	108	9 1213.5 0	0	11 3	0	0	0	14 14.0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0 0	421	68 14	21	4 5	28 5	66.3 0	0	50	17 3	6	0	76	85.3	
18:00 - 18:15 (0 0	0 1	0 0	0	1	1.0	0 0	174	20 4	34 0	232	278.2 0	0	2 0	0	0	0	2 2.0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0 0	79	9 3	2	0 9	3 9	7.1 0	0	8	2 0	0	0	10	10.0	
18:15 - 18:30 (0 0	2 0	0 0	0	2	2.0	0 0	94	18 4	11 0	127	143.3 0	0	2 1	0	0	0	3 3.0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0 0	59	5 0	5	0	59 7	5.5 0	0	5	1 0	2	0	8	10.6	
18:30 - 18:45	0 0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0 0	27	3 0	4 0	34	39.2 0	0	1 0	0	0	0	1 1.0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0 0	29	4 0	1	0	34 3	5.3 0	0	2	3 0	0	0	5	5.0	
18:45 - 19:00	0 0	0 1	0 0	0	1	1.0	0 0	127	18 4	13 0	163	180.9	0	2 0	0	0	0	2 2.0	0	0 0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0 0	119	6 1	7	0 1	33 1	42.6 0	0	12	1 0	2	0	15	17.6	
Hourly Total	0 0	2 2	0 0	0	4	4.0	0 0	422	59 12	62 0	555	641.6 0	,	7 1	0	0	0	8 80	0	0 0	0	0 0		0	0.0	0 0	286	24 4	15	0 3	29 3	50.5	0	27	7 0	4	o l	38	43.2	
		4 4	0 0	U	4	4.0	0 0	422	JJ 12	32 0	000	041.0 0	U	1 1 1	U	U	5	0 0.0	U		U	5 1 0		U	0.0	5 0	200	24 4	10	5 3	20 0	00.0 0		21	, , ,		U	30	43.2	

TOTAL 0 0 8 2 1 1 0 12 13.8 0 1 1916 338 69 273 0 2597 2385.8 0 0 26 4 0 0 30 30.0 0 0 2 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 975 167 33 61 6 1242 1343.8 0 0 114 41 6 13 0 174 133.9

Thursday 3rd November 2022 Junction: 5

Approach: A453 South

				1	To A50							1	۲o Hilto	n Hotel	Lane						1	To M1	J24 (N)							т	o A453	(N)						т	o Derby	Road							To M	11 J24 (f	(S)					
TIME	CYCLE	/CYCL	CAR L	GV OG	V1 OG	iV2 BL	JS TO	TAL	PCUs	CYCLE	/CYCL	CAR L	GV OG	V1 OG\	/2 BU	тот		Js CYC	CLE4/CY	CL CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS 1	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLI	E1/CYC	L CAR	LGV	DGV1 C	OGV2 BI	us TOT		Us CY	CLE 1/C1	CL CAP	R LGV	0GV1	OGV2 E		DTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	I/CYCL C	AR Lf	SV OG	V1 OGV	V2 BUS	5 TOT/	AL PC	Us	PCU I	act
07:00 - 07:15	0	1	128	30 1	9 1	7 0) 1	95	226.0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0.) c	0 0	30	2	2	7	0	41	51.1	0	0	23	11	1	2 (3	7 40	0.1	0 0	3	2	1	1	0	7	8.8	0	0	4 ;	2 1	3	0	10	14	.4	CYCL	E
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	154	30 3	1	7 0	2	08	233.6	0	0	0	1 0	0	0	1	1.	b c	0	33	5	0	7	0	45	54.1	0	0	25	9	1	2 :	1 3	8 42	2.1	0 0	4	3	1	1	0	9	10.8	0	0	4	3 1	4	0	12	17	.7	M/CYC	:LE
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	180	37 1	0 2	3 1	2	51	286.9	0	0	1	0 0	0	0	1	1.0	b c	0	43	5	0	4	0	52	57.2	0	0	36	7	2	2 :	1 4	8 52	2.6	0 0	5	2	1	0	0	8	8.5	0	0	4 ;	2 1	. 3	0	10	14	4	CAR	
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	180	26 1	2 1	9 0	2	37	267.7	0	0	2	0 0	0	0	2	2.	b c	0	50	10	0	10	0	70	83.0	0	0	55	8	2	5 (7	0 77	.5	0 0	6	1	0	1	0	8	9.3	0	0	9 :	2 2	! 5	0	18	25	.5	LGV	
Hourly Total	0	1	642 1	23 4	8 7	6 1	1 8	91 1	1014.2	0	0	3	1 (0	0	4	4.	0 0	0	156	22	2	28	0	208	245.4	0	0	139	35	6	11 2	2 19	3 21	2.3	0 0	18	8	3	3	0	32	37.4	0	0;	11 1	9 5	5 15	5 0	50	72		OGV	1
08:00 - 08:15	0	1	173	12 1	3 8	3 0	2	37	253.3	0	0	1	0 0	0	0	1	1.	0 0	0 0	37	1	ы	10	0	51	65.5	0	0	38	7	3	1 (D 4	9 51	.8	0 0	5	2	1	0	0	8	8.5	0	0	8 :	3 1	1	0	13	14	.8	OGV	2
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	183	24 1	5 1	0 0	2	32	252.5	0	0	2	0 0	0	0	2	2.	b c	0	51	7	2	7	0	67	77.1	0	0	51	9	3	2 (6	5 69	.1	0 0	5	1	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	8 :	2 2	. 4	0	16	22	.2	BUS	
08:30 - 08:45	0	1	125	18 1	5 1	7 1	L 1	77	207.0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0.	b c	0 0	58	8	0	7	0	73	82.1	0	0	60	9	2	4 (7	5 81	.2	0 0	6	1	0	1	0	8	9.3	0	0 1		1 2	3	0	17	21	.9		
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	107	22 1	0 1	8 O	1	57	185.4	0	0	2	0 0	0	0	2	2.	b c	0 0	51	8	2	12	0	73	89.6	0	0	51	4	2	1 (5	8 60	0.3	0 0	5	2	1	0	0	8	8.5	0	0	6	1 1	2	2	12	17	1		
Hourly Total	0	2	588 1	06 5	3 5	3 1	1 8	03	898.2	0	0	5	0 0	0	0	5	5.	0 0	0 0	197	24	7	36	0	264	314.3	0	0	200	29	10	8 (0 24	17 26	2.4	0 0	21	6	2	1	0	30	32.3	0	0 1	33 .	7 E	3 10	3 2	58	76	i.0		
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	101	17 1	0 2	3 1	1	52	187.9	0	0	1	0 0	0	0	1	1.0	b c	0 0	16	3	2	5	0	26	33.5	0	0	16	3	2	6 (2	7 35	5.8	0 0	3	2	1	0	0	6	6.5	0	0	5 :	2 2	2 3	0	12	16	.9		
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	84	17 1	0 1	9 1	L 1:	31	161.7	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0.	b c	0 0	29	8	2	12	0	51	67.6	0	0	30	5	4	4 (0 4	3 50	0.2	0 0	2	1	1	0	0	4	4.5	0	0	4 :	1 2	2 2	0	9	12			
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	74	18 1	4 1	2 2	2 1:	20	144.6	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0.0	b c	0 0	22	8	0	9	0	39	50.7	0	0	17	5	3	2 (2	7 31	.1	0 0	3	2	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	5 :	2 0	2	0	9	11	.6		
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	63	16 1	0 1	2 0	1	01	121.6	0	0	1	0 0	0	0	1	1.	b c	0 0	16	2	0	6	0	24	31.8	0	0	17	9	0	7 :	1 3	4 44	.1	0 0	2	2	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	5 :	2 0) 4	0	11	16	.2		
Hourly Total	0	0	322	58 4	4 6	6 4	1 5	04	615.8	0	0	2	0 0	0	0	2	2.	0 0	0 0	83	21	4	32	0	140	183.6	0	0	80	22	9	19 ·	1 13	31 16	1.2	0 0	10	7	2	0	0	19	20.0	0	0 4		7 4	1 11	0	41	57	.3		
																																																-						

TOTAL 0 3 1552 297 145 195 6 2198 25262 0 0 10 1 0 0 0 11 11.0 0 0 436 67 13 96 0 612 7433 0 0 419 86 25 38 3 571 635.9 0 0 49 21 7 4 0 81 89.7 0 0 73 23 15 36 2 149 205.3

16:00 - 16:15	0	0	178	44	14 :	13	0	249	272.9	0	0	2	0	0	0 0	2	2.0	0	0	95	16	0	4	0	115	120.2	0	0	44	10	3	. 0	57	60.9	0	0	6	2	0	0 0	8	8.0		0	5	2	0	2	0	9	11.6
16:15 - 16:30	0	1	181	33	7 :	12	1	235	254.5	0	0	1	0	0	0 0	1	1.0	0	0	85	8	3	1	0	97	99.8	0	0	48	9	2 1	0	60	62.3	0	0	6	3	0	0 0	9	9.0	0	0	7	2	2	1	0	12	14.3
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	192	55	9	13	0	269	290.4	0	0	1	0	0	0 0	1	1.0	0	0	88	15	6	9	0	118	132.7	0	0	33	10	1 5		49	56.0	0	0	5	2	1	0 0	8	8.5			7	3	1	2		13	16.1
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	130	20	7	8	0	165	178.9	0	0	1	0	0	0 0	1	1.0	0	0	94	q	3	2	0	108	112.1	0	0	53	7	. 1		62	63.8		0	7	2	0	0 0	9	9.0			7	2	1	2		12	15.1
Hourly Total	0	1	681	152	37 4	46	1	918	996.7	0	0	5	0	0	0 0	5	5.0	0	0	362	48	12	16	0	438	464.8	0	0	178	36	1 1	0 0	228	243.	0 0	0	24	9	1	0 0	34	34	5 0	0	26	9	4	7	0	46	57.1
17:00 - 17:15	0		204	76	7 .	16	1	254	279.3	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0.0		0	91	16	2	3		112	116.9	0	0	44	6		1	56	62.7		0	7	2		1 0	10	11	3 0		8	2	1	1		12	13.8
17.00 - 17.13		0	204	20		10		207	210.5		0		0	0			0.0		0	51	201	2	2		- CO	co.c	0	0	44				50	64.2		0	,	2			10					2	1	-			13.0
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	255	20		20		307	336.0	0	0		0	0	0 0		1.0	0	0	50	2	0	2		60	02.0	0	0	49	4			50	70.0		0	9	2		0 0		11.				2	0	2		3	11.0
17:30 - 17:45	0	1	191	23	4	9	0	220	241.1	0	0	1	0	0	0 0		1.0	0	U	60	1	0	3	0	04	67.9	0	0	67	0	, 2	. 1	/6	79.0		U	/	2	0	0 0		9.0		- 0	0	2	0	2	5	10	12.0
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	181	19	2	8	0	210	221.4	0	0	2	1	0	0 0	3	3.0	0	0	78	5	0	4	0	87	92.2	0	0	59	7) (0 0	66	66.0	0	0	7	4	0	0 0	11	11.	0 0	0	7	3	0	1	0	11	12.3
Hourly Total	0	1	831	94	19 5	53	1	999	1077.8	8 0	0	4	1	0	0 0	5	5.0	0	0	285	24	2	12	0	323	339.6	0	0	219	23	5 7	2	256	269.	6 0	0	30	10	0	1 0	41	42.3	3 0	0	26	9	1	6	0	42	50.3
18:00 - 18:15	0	1	172	14	5 1	11	1	204	221.2	0	0	1	0	0	0 0	1	1.0	0	0	86	12	0	0	0	98	98.0	0	1	63	10	2	. 0	76	78.0	0	0	6	2	0	0 0	8	8.0	0	. 0	8	1	0	1	0	10	11.3
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	106	11	1	6	0	124	132.3	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0.0	0	0	64	5	6	0	0	75	78.0	0	0	57	4	0	0	61	61.0	0	0	5	0	0	0 0	5	5.0	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	7	7.0
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	115	7	1	5	0	128	135.0	0	0	2	0	0	0 0	2	2.0	0	0	49	3	0	0	0	52	52.0	0	0	67	4	3	1	75	79.9	0	0	4	2	0	0 0	6	6.0		0	8	3	0	1	0	12	13.3
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	114	13	2	6	0	135	143.8	0	o	0	0	0	0 0	0	0.0	0	0	41	3	1	5		50	57.0	0	0	36	3	3 2	1	45	50.1	0	0	5	1	0	1 0	7	8.3	a	0	6	1	0	1	0	8	9.3
Hourly Total	0	1	507	45	9 2	28	1	591	632.3	0	0	3	0	0	0 0	3	3.0	0	0	240	23	7	5	0	275	285.0	0	1	223	21	3 7	2	257	269.0	0 0	0	20	5	0	1 0	26	27.3	3 0	0	28	6	0	3	0	37	40.9

TOTAL 0 3 2019 291 65 127 3 2508 2706.8 0 0 12 1 0 0 0 13 13.0 0 0 887 95 21 33 0 1036 1089.4 0 1 620 80 12 24 4 741 781.5 0 0 74 24 1 2 0 101 104.1 0 0 80 24 5 16 0 125 148.3

Roled Rose (Summaria) (1)

East Midlands Gateway Thursday 3rd November 2022 Junction: 5 Approach: A50

				o Hilton	Hotel Lan	e						Tof	M1 J24	(N)						т	o A453	(N)						т	o Derby	/ Road							To P	И1 J24 (S	5)						т	o A453 (f							
TIME	CYCLE1/	CYCL (CAR L	gv ogv	1 OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	I/CYCL	CAR	LGV O	GV1 00	GV2 BUS	TOTA	PCU		LE I/CYCI	CAR	LGV C	OGV1 O	GV2 BUS	тот.	AL PC	Us CY	CLE 1/C1	CL CA	LGV	0GV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	CYCL C	AR L	.GV OG	5V1 OGV	2 BUS	TOTAL	PCU	CYCLE	1/CYCL	CAR	LGV	DGV1 OG	V2 BU!	s TOT	AL PC	Us	PCU	Facto	,
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	.0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	o o	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.	.0	CYC	LE C	c
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	.0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	o o	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.	.0	M/CY	CLE C	c
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	.0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.	.0	CA	R 1	1
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	.0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 C	0	0	0	.0	LG'	N 1	1
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	.0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	.0	OG'	V1 ?	1
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	.0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	o o	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	.0	OG'	V2 7	2
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	.0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 C	0	0	0	.0	BU	JS 7	2
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	.0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	o o	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 C	0	0	0	.0			
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	.0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	o o	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 C	0	0	0	.0			
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	.0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	.0			
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	.0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 C		0	0	.0			
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	.0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	o o	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 C	0	0	0	.0			
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	.0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 C	0	0	0	.0			
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	.0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	.0			
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0		0 0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	.0	0 0		0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	.0			
,																																																					

16:00 - 16:15	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	o 0	0.	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	o 0	0.	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	o 0	0.	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0.	o o	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0.		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	0	0			0		0.0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	0	0			0		0.0	0			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0			0	0	0			0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	0	0			0		0.0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	0	0			0		0.0	0			0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourby Total		•							0.0					0	0	0	0.0			0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0			0	0	•			0.			0	0		0	0		0.0		0	0	0	0		0	• •	0.0
18:00 18:15	0	0					0		0.0						0	0	0.0				0	0	•	0	0	0.0				0	0			0.						0	0		0.0					0	0		0	0.0
18.00 - 18.13																	0.0									0.0								0.									0.0									0.0
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	0	0	0 (0 0		0	0.0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0		0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	5 0	0.	.0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0.0
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0.	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0.0
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0.	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	- 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 (0 0	0.	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0

Rolet Res- Second of D

East Midlands Gateway Thursday 3rd November 2022 Junction: 5 Approach: Hilton Hotel Lane

			ToP	И1 J24 (N)						То	A453 (1	N)						To D	erby Roa	d						То	M1 J24	(S)						To A4	53 (S)							To A5	0				
TIME	CYCLE	CYCL CA	R LGV O	GV1 OG	V2 BUS		L PCUs	CYCLE	EI/CYCI	CAR	LGV 0	GV1 00	SV2 BU	s тоти		s CYCLE	EI/CYCL	CAR	LGV O	GV1 OGV	2 BUS	TOTAL			EI/CYCI	CAR	LGV	OGV1 O	GV2 BU	JS TOT		s CYCLE	EI/CYCL	CAR L	.GV OGV	1 OGV2	BUS T	DTAL	PCUs Ci	CLEI/C	YCL CAR	LGV	OGV1	JGV2 B	us TOT		Us PC	U Factors:
07:00 - 07:15	0	0 0	2	0 0	0	2	2.0	0	0	1	0	0	0 0	1	1.0	0	0	1	0	0 0	0	1	1.0	0	0	1	0	0	0 0	1	1.0	0	0	1	0 0	0	0	1	1.0	0 C) 1	0	0	0	0 1	1.	. 0 C	YCLE 0.2
07:15 - 07:30	0	0 1	0	0 0	0 0	1	1.0	0	0	1	1	0	0 0	2	2.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0	0	2	0	0	0 0	2	2.0	0	0	3	0 0	0	0	3	3.0	0 C) 1	1	0	0	0 2	2.	.0 M/	CYCLE 0.4
07:30 - 07:45	0	0 1	0	0 0	0 0	1	1.0	0	0	15	0	0	0 0	15	15.0	0	0	1	0	0 0	0	1	1.0	0	0	5	0	0	0 0	5	5.0	0	0	5	0 0	0	0	5	5.0	0 C	ο (0	0	0	o o	0.	.0 0	CAR 1.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	0 2	0	0 0	0 0	2	2.0	0	0	10	3	0	0 0	13	13.0	0	0	2	1	0 0	0	3	3.0	0	0	4	0	0	0 0	4	4.0	0	0	2	1 0	0	0	3	3.0	0 C) 1	1	0	0	0 2	2.	. o	LGV 1.0
Hourly Total	0	0 4	2	0 0	0 0	6	6.0	o	0	27	4	0	0 0	31	31.0	0	0	4	1	0 0	0	5	5.0	0	0	12	0	0	0 0	12	2 12.0	0	0	11	1 0	0	0	12	12.0	0 C) 3	2	0	0	0 5	5.		GV1 1.5
08:00 - 08:15	0	0 1	0	0 0	0 0	1	1.0	0	0	20	1	0	0 0	21	21.0	0	0	3	1	0 0	0	4	4.0	0	0	7	1	0	0 0	8	8.0	0	0	2	1 0	0	0	3	3.0	0 C) 2	1	0	0	0 3	3.	.0 0	JGV2 2.3
08:15 - 08:30	0	0 1	0	0 0	0 0	1	1.0	о	1	10	3	0	0 0	14	13.4	0	0	1	0	0 0	0	1	1.0	0	0	5	1	0	0 0	6	6.0	0	0	6	0 0	0	0	6	6.0	o c) 2	2	0	0	0 4	4.	.0	BUS 2.0
08:30 - 08:45	0	0 1	0	0 0	0	1	1.0	0	0	9	0	0	0 0	9	9.0	0	0	1	0	0 0	0	1	1.0	0	0	3	0	0	0 0	3	3.0	0	0	3	0 0	0	0	3	3.0	o c) 2	5	0	0	0 7	7.	.0	
08:45 - 09:00	0	0 2	2	0 0	0 0	4	4.0	0	0	1	1	0	0 0	2	2.0	0	1	2	0	0 0	0	3	2.4	0	0	1	0	0	0 0	1	1.0	0	0	2	0 0	0	0	2	2.0	0 C) 1	1	0	0	0 2	2.	.0	
Hourly Total	0	0 5	2	0 0	o o	7	7.0	0	1	40	5	0	0 0	46	45.4	0	1	7	1	0 0	0	9	8.4	0	0	16	2	0	0 0	18	18.0	0 0	0	13	1 0	0	0	14	14.0	0 0	7	9	0	0	0 10	õ 16		
09:00 - 09:15	0	0 1	0	0 0	0 0	1	1.0	0	0	2	0	0	0 0	2	2.0	0	0	1	0	0 0	0	1	1.0	0	0	2	0	0	0 0	2	2.0	0	0	2	0 0	0	0	2	2.0	o c) 2	0	0	0	0 2	2.	.0	
09:15 - 09:30	0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0.0	0	0	1	1	1	0 0	3	3.5	0	0	1	0	0 0	0	1	1.0	0	0	1	0	0	0 0	1	1.0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0.0	o c) 1	0	0	0	0 1	1/	.0	
09:30 - 09:45	0	0 2	0	0 0	0 0	2	2.0	0	0	1	1	0	0 0	2	2.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	• •	0.0	0	0	3	0 0	0	0	3	3.0	0 C) 1	0	0	0	0 1	1/	.0	
09:45 - 10:00	0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0.0	0	0	1	0	0	0 0	1	1.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0	0	1	0	0	0 0	1	1.0	0	0	1	0 0	0	0	1	1.0	o c) 1	0	0	0	0 1	1/	.0	
Hourly Total	0	0 3	0	0 0	0	3	3.0	0	0	5	2	1	0 0	8	8.5	0	0	2	0	0 0	0	2	2.0	0	0	4	0	0	0 0	4	4.0	0	0	6	0 0	0	0	6	6.0	0 0) 5	0	0	0	0 5	5.	.0	
						_		1					_	_		_					_			_						_		_										_						
TOTAL	0	0 1	2 4	0 0	o o	16	16.0	0	1	72	11	1	0 0	85	84.9	0	1	13	2	0 0	0	16	15.4	0	0	32	2	0	0 0	34	34.0	0	0	30	2 0	0	0	32	32.0	0 0) 15	11	0	0	0 26	5 26	.0	
[1												1											_										-						
16:00 - 16:15	0	0 8	1	0 0	0	9	9.0	0	0	5	0	0	0 0	5	5.0	0	0	4	0	0 0	0	4	4.0	0	0	1	0	0	0 0	1	1.0	0	0	2	0 0	0	0	2	2.0	0 0) 1	1	0	0	0 2	2.0	.0	
16:15 - 16:30	0	0 2	0	0 0	0	2	2.0	0	0	2	0	0	0 0	2	2.0	0	0	2	0	0 0	0	2	2.0	0	0	2	0	0	0 0	2	2.0	0	0	2	0 0	0	0	2	2.0	0 0) 2	0	0	0	0 2	2.0	.0	
16:30 - 16:45	0	0 6	0	0 0	0	6	6.0	0	0	12	0	0	0 0	12	12.0	0	0	2	0	0 0	0	2	2.0	0	0	4	0	0	0 0	4	4.0	0	0	1	0 0	0	0	1	1.0	0 0	0 (0	0	0	o 0) <u>0.</u>	.0	
16:45 - 17:00	0	0 5	1	0 0	0 0	6	6.0	0	0	9	0	0	o o	9	9.0	0	0	4	0	0 0	0	4	4.0	0	0	2	0	0	0 0	2	2.0	0	0	2	0 0	0	0	2	2.0	0 0) 2	0	0	0	0 2	2.0	.0	
Hourly Total	0	0 2	1 2	0 0	0 0	23	23.0	0	0	28	0	0	0 0	28	28.0	0	0	12	0	0 0	0	12	12.0	0	0	9	0	0	0 0	9	9.0	0	0	7	0 0	0	0	7	7.0	0 0	j 5	1	0	0	0 6	6. (.0	
17:00 - 17:15	0	0 7	0	0 0	0	7	7.0	0	0	9	0	0	0 0	9	9.0	0	0	3	0	0 0	0	3	3.0	0	0	1	0	0	0 0	1	1.0	0	0	1	0 0	0	0	1	1.0	0 0) 2	0	0	0	0 2	2.0	.0	
17:15 - 17:30	0	0 4	1	0 0	0	5	5.0	0	0	6	1	0	0 0	7	7.0	0	0	1	0	0 0	0	1	1.0	0	0	3	0	0	0 0	3	3.0	0	0	2	0 0	0	0	2	2.0	0 0) 2	1	0	0	0 3	3.(.0	
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	0	0 0	0	3	3.0	0	0	2	0	0	0 0	2	2.0	0	0	2	0	0 0	0	2	2.0	0	0	2	0	0	0 0	2	2.0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0.0	0 0) 5	0	0	0	0 5	j <u>5.</u> (.0	
17:45 - 18:00	0	0 0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0.0	0	0	2	0	0	o o	2	2.0	0	0	4	0	0 0	0	4	4.0	0	0	2	0	0	0 0	2	2.0	0	0	1	0 0	0	0	1	1.0	0 0) 1	0	0	0	0 1	1.0	.0	
Hourly Total	0	0 1	1	0 0	0	15	15.0	0	0	19	1	0	0 0	20	20.0	0	0	10	0	0 0	0	10	10.0	0	0	8	0	0	0 0	8	8.0	0	0	4	0 0	0	0	4	4.0	0 0	<u>) 10</u>	1	0	0	0 11	1 11.	.0	
18:00 - 18:15	0	0 3	0	0 0	0	3	3.0	0	0	2	0	0	0 0	2	2.0	0	0	1	0	0 0	0	1	1.0	0	0	2	0	0	0 0	2	2.0	0	0	1	0 0	0	0	1	1.0	0 0	0 (0	0	0	o o	<u> </u>	.0	
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	0	0 0	0	3	3.0	0	0	2	0	0	0 0	2	2.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0	0	3	0	0	0 0	3	3.0	0	0	1	0 0	0	0	1	1.0	0 0	0 (0	0	0	o o) <u>0.</u> (.0	
18:30 - 18:45	0	0 1	1	0 0	0 0	2	2.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0 0	• •	0.0	0	0	2	0 0	0	0	2	2.0	0 0) 0	0	0	0	o o	0.	.0	
18:45 - 19:00	0	0 2	0	0 0	0 0	2	2.0	0	0	1	0	0	0 0	1	1.0	0	o	1	0	0 0	0	1	1.0	0	0	1	0	0	0 0	1	1.0	0	0	1	0 0	0	0	1	1.0	0 0) 1	0	0	0	0 1	1.	.0	
Hourly Total	0	0 9	1	0 0	0 0	10	10.0	0	0	5	0	0	0 0	5	5.0	0	0	2	0	0 0	0	2	2.0	0	0	6	0	0	0 0	6	6.0	0	0	5	0 0	0	0	5	5.0	0 0	J 1	0	0	0	0 1	1.	.0	
																								_									_									_						

TOTAL 0 0 44 4 0 0 0 48 48.0 0 0 52 1 0 0 53 53.0 0 0 24 0 0 24 0 0 24 0 0 24 24.0 0 23 0 0 23 0 0 23 23.0 0 0 16 0 0 0 16 16.0 0 0 16 2 0 0 0 18 18.0



APPENDIX 5 - A453/Grimes Gate Priority Junction Turning Count Results

Wednesday 23rd November 2022

3

Junction:

Approach: A453 East

		To Grim	nes Gate			To A4	53 (W)	
TIME	LIGHT	HEAVY	TOTAL	PCUs	LIGHT	HEAVY	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	4	0	4	4.0	50	7	57	66.1
07:15 - 07:30	2	1	3	4.3	55	8	63	73.4
07:30 - 07:45	5	0	5	5.0	53	5	58	64.5
07:45 - 08:00	6	0	6	6.0	63	11	74	88.3
Hourly Total	17	1	18	19.3	221	31	252	292.3
08:00 - 08:15	4	0	4	4.0	61	9	70	81.7
08:15 - 08:30	5	0	5	5.0	57	6	63	70.8
08:30 - 08:45	7	1	8	9.3	92	11	103	117.3
08:45 - 09:00	3	1	4	5.3	75	6	81	88.8
Hourly Total	19	2	21	23.6	285	32	317	358.6
09:00 - 09:15	7	0	7	7.0	46	7	53	62.1
09:15 - 09:30	6	0	6	6.0	53	6	59	66.8
09:30 - 09:45	7	1	8	9.3	31	5	36	42.5
09:45 - 10:00	2	1	3	4.3	41	9	50	61.7
Hourly Total	22	2	24	26.6	171	27	198	233.1
TOTAL	58	5	63	69.5	677	90	767	884.0
16:00 - 16:15	21	0	21	21.0	86	9	95	106.7
16:15 - 16:30	12	0	12	12.0	63	7	70	79.1
16:30 - 16:45	9	0	9	9.0	75	4	79	84.2
16:45 - 17:00	9	2	11	13.6	78	7	85	94.1
Hourly Total	51	2	53	55.6	302	27	329	364.1
17:00 - 17:15	15	2	17	19.6	80	6	86	93.8
17:15 - 17:30	20	0	20	20.0	90	6	96	103.8
17:30 - 17:45	20	0	20	20.0	98	6	104	111.8
17:45 - 18:00	15	0	15	15.0	92	6	98	105.8
Hourly Total	70	2	72	74.6	360	24	384	415.2
18:00 - 18:15	8	1	9	10.3	78	2	80	82.6
18:15 - 18:30	16	0	16	16.0	79	4	83	88.2
18:30 - 18:45	7	1	8	9.3	99	5	104	110.5
18:45 - 19:00	11	0	11	11.0	77	3	80	83.9
Hourly Total	42	2	44	46.6	333	14	347	365.2
TOTAL	163	6	169	176.8	995	65	1060	1144.5

PCU F	actors:
LIGHT	1.0
HEAVY	2.3

Wednesday 23rd November 2022

3

Junction:

Approach:

Grimes Gate

		To A4	53 (W)			To A4	153 (E)	
TIME	LIGHT	HEAVY	TOTAL	PCUs	LIGHT	HEAVY	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	0	0.0	12	0	12	12.0
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	0	0.0	15	1	16	17.3
07:30 - 07:45	1	0	1	1.0	15	0	15	15.0
07:45 - 08:00	4	0	4	4.0	21	1	22	23.3
Hourly Total	5	0	5	5.0	63	2	65	67.6
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	0	0.0	9	0	9	9.0
08:15 - 08:30	1	0	1	1.0	17	0	17	17.0
08:30 - 08:45	2	0	2	2.0	15	1	16	17.3
08:45 - 09:00	7	0	7	7.0	14	0	14	14.0
Hourly Total	10	0	10	10.0	55	1	56	57.3
09:00 - 09:15	1	0	1	1.0	5	0	5	5.0
09:15 - 09:30	3	0	3	3.0	11	0	11	11.0
09:30 - 09:45	1	0	1	1.0	8	1	9	10.3
09:45 - 10:00	1	1	2	3.3	5	2	7	9.6
Hourly Total	6	1	7	8.3	29	3	32	35.9
TOTAL	21	1	22	23.3	147	6	153	160.8
16:00 - 16:15	1	0	1	1.0	5	0	5	5.0
16:15 - 16:30	3	0	3	3.0	4	0	4	4.0
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	0	0.0	3	0	3	3.0
16:45 - 17:00	1	0	1	1.0	9	1	10	11.3
Hourly Total	5	0	5	5.0	21	1	22	23.3
17:00 - 17:15	2	0	2	2.0	7	0	7	7.0
17:15 - 17:30	3	0	3	3.0	4	0	4	4.0
17:30 - 17:45	3	0	3	3.0	11	0	11	11.0
17:45 - 18:00	1	0	1	1.0	6	0	6	6.0
Hourly Total	9	0	9	9.0	28	0	28	28.0
18:00 - 18:15	1	0	1	1.0	10	1	11	12.3
18:15 - 18:30	3	0	3	3.0	6	0	6	6.0
18:30 - 18:45	1	0	1	1.0	7	0	7	7.0
18:45 - 19:00	2	0	2	2.0	4	0	4	4.0
Hourly Total	7	0	7	7.0	27	1	28	29.3
TOTAL	21	0	21	21.0	76	2	78	80.6

PCU F	actors:
LIGHT	1.0
HEAVY	2.3

Wednesday 23rd November 2022 Junction: 3

Junction.

Approach: A453 West

		To A4	53 (E)	To Grimes Gate					
TIME	LIGHT	HEAVY	TOTAL	PCUs	LIGHT	HEAVY	TOTAL	PCUs	
07:00 - 07:15	67	12	79	94.6	1	0	1	1.0	
07:15 - 07:30	99	16	115	135.8	0	0	0	0.0	
07:30 - 07:45	121	13	134	150.9	2	0	2	2.0	
07:45 - 08:00	132	8	140	150.4	1	0	1	1.0	
Hourly Total	419	49	468	531.7	4	0	4	4.0	
08:00 - 08:15	116	9	125	136.7	3	0	3	3.0	
08:15 - 08:30	98	10	108	121.0	1	0	1	1.0	
08:30 - 08:45	80	16	96	116.8	7	0	7	7.0	
08:45 - 09:00	74	9	83	94.7	2	0	2	2.0	
Hourly Total	368	44	412	469.2	13	0	13	13.0	
09:00 - 09:15	61	8	69	79.4	0	0	0	0.0	
09:15 - 09:30	42	13	55	71.9	0	0	0	0.0	
09:30 - 09:45	38	15	53	72.5	2	0	2	2.0	
09:45 - 10:00	42	8	50	60.4	1	0	1	1.0	
Hourly Total	183	44	227	284.2	3	0	3	3.0	
-		1	1	1		1	1		
TOTAL	970	137	1107	1285.1	20	0	20	20.0	
16:00 - 16:15	69	8	77	87.4	3	0	3	3.0	
16:15 - 16:30	60	5	65	71.5	3	0	3	3.0	
16:30 - 16:45	93	2	95	97.6	3	0	3	3.0	
16:45 - 17:00	45	2	47	49.6	3	0	3	3.0	
Hourly Total	267	17	284	306.1	12	0	12	12.0	
17:00 - 17:15	76	2	78	80.6	5	0	5	5.0	
17:15 - 17:30	52	7	59	68.1	1	0	1	1.0	
17:30 - 17:45	97	4	101	106.2	3	0	3	3.0	
17:45 - 18:00	63	2	65	67.6	3	0	3	3.0	
Hourly Total	288	15	303	322.5	12	0	12	12.0	
18:00 - 18:15	51	3	54	57.9	1	0	1	1.0	
18:15 - 18:30	40	2	42	44.6	2	0	2	2.0	
18:30 - 18:45	32	5	37	43.5	1	0	1	1.0	
18:45 - 19:00	32	2	34	36.6	3	0	3	3.0	
Hourly Total	155	12	167	182.6	7	0	7	7.0	
TOTAL	710	44	754	811.2	31	0	31	31.0	

PCU F	actors:
LIGHT	1.0
HEAVY	2.3



APPENDIX 6 - A453/The Green Priority Junction Turning Count Results

Wednesdsay 23rd November 2022 Junction: 2

. .

Approach: A453 East

		To The	Green					
TIME LIGHT HEAVY TOT				PCUs	LIGHT	HEAVY	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	3	0	3	3.0	47	7	54	63.1
07:15 - 07:30	1	0	1	1.0	54	8	62	72.4
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	0	0.0	54	5	59	65.5
07:45 - 08:00	0	1	1	2.3	67	10	77	90.0
Hourly Total	4	1	5	6.3	222	30	252	291.0
08:00 - 08:15	2	0	2	2.0	59	9	68	79.7
08:15 - 08:30	8	0	8	8.0	50	6	56	63.8
08:30 - 08:45	11	0	11	11.0	83	11	94	108.3
08:45 - 09:00	4	0	4	4.0	78	6	84	91.8
Hourly Total	25	0	25	25.0	270	32	302	343.6
09:00 - 09:15	2	0	2	2.0	45	7	52	61.1
09:15 - 09:30	4	0	4	4.0	52	6	58	65.8
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	0	0.0	32	5	37	43.5
09:45 - 10:00	3	0	3	3.0	39	10	49	62.0
Hourly Total	9	0	9	9.0	168	28	196	232.4
TOTAL	38	1	39	40.3	660	90	750	867.0
16:00 - 16:15	7	0	7	7.0	80	9	89	100.7
16:15 - 16:30	0	1	1	2.3	66	6	72	79.8
16:30 - 16:45	3	0	3	3.0	72	4	76	81.2
16:45 - 17:00	5	0	5	5.0	74	7	81	90.1
Hourly Total	15	1	16	17.3	292	26	318	351.8
17:00 - 17:15	2	0	2	2.0	80	6	86	93.8
17:15 - 17:30	4	0	4	4.0	89	6	95	102.8
17:30 - 17:45	3	0	3	3.0	98	6	104	111.8
17:45 - 18:00	3	0	3	3.0	90	6	96	103.8
Hourly Total	12	0	12	12.0	357	24	381	412.2
18:00 - 18:15	3	0	3	3.0	76	2	78	80.6
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	0	0.0	82	4	86	91.2
18:30 - 18:45	1	0	1	1.0	99	5	104	110.5
18:45 - 19:00	3	0	3	3.0	76	3	79	82.9
Hourly Total	7	0	7	7.0	333	14	347	365.2
TOTAL	34	1	35	36.3	982	64	1046	1129.2

PCU Fa	actors:
LIGHT	1.0
HEAVY	2.3

Wednesdsay 23rd November 2022 2

Junction:

Approach: The Green

		To A4	53 (W)		To A453 (E)					
TIME	LIGHT	HEAVY	TOTAL	PCUs	LIGHT	HEAVY	TOTAL	PCUs		
07:00 - 07:15	6	1	7	8.3	2	0	2	2.0		
07:15 - 07:30	10	0	10	10.0	3	0	3	3.0		
07:30 - 07:45	17	0	17	17.0	6	0	6	6.0		
07:45 - 08:00	18	0	18	18.0	2	1	3	4.3		
Hourly Total	51	1	52	53.3	13	1	14	15.3		
08:00 - 08:15	16	0	16	16.0	3	0	3	3.0		
08:15 - 08:30	34	0	34	34.0	5	0	5	5.0		
08:30 - 08:45	23	2	25	27.6	2	0	2	2.0		
08:45 - 09:00	22	0	22	22.0	3	0	3	3.0		
Hourly Total	95	2	97	99.6	13	0	13	13.0		
09:00 - 09:15	10	1	11	12.3	1	0	1	1.0		
09:15 - 09:30	9	0	9	9.0	2	0	2	2.0		
09:30 - 09:45	6	0	6	6.0	1	0	1	1.0		
09:45 - 10:00	11	2	13	15.6	2	0	2	2.0		
Hourly Total	36	3	39	42.9	6	0	6	6.0		
TOTAL	182	6	188	195.8	32	1	33	34.3		
16:00 - 16:15	20	0	20	20.0	2	0	2	2.0		
16:15 - 16:30	16	0	16	16.0	2	0	2	2.0		
16:30 - 16:45	16	0	16	16.0	4	0	4	4.0		
16:45 - 17:00	19	1	20	21.3	1	0	1	1.0		
Hourly Total	71	1	72	73.3	9	0	9	9.0		
17:00 - 17:15	11	0	11	11.0	5	0	5	5.0		
17:15 - 17:30	14	0	14	14.0	2	0	2	2.0		
17:30 - 17:45	15	0	15	15.0	3	0	3	3.0		
17:45 - 18:00	18	0	18	18.0	4	0	4	4.0		
Hourly Total	58	0	58	58.0	14	0	14	14.0		
18:00 - 18:15	13	1	14	15.3	2	0	2	2.0		
18:15 - 18:30	8	0	8	8.0	2	0	2	2.0		
18:30 - 18:45	10	0	10	10.0	1	0	1	1.0		
18:45 - 19:00	9	0	9	9.0	2	0	2	2.0		
Hourly Total	40	1	41	42.3	7	0	7	7.0		
TOTAL	169	2	171	173.6	30	0	30	30.0		

PCU Fa	actors:
LIGHT	1.0
HEAVY	2.3

Wednesdsay 23rd November 2022 2

Junction:

Approach: A453 West

		To A4	153 (E)		To The Green						
TIME	LIGHT	HEAVY	TOTAL	PCUs	LIGHT	HEAVY	TOTAL	PCUs			
07:00 - 07:15	66	12	78	93.6	10	1	11	12.3			
07:15 - 07:30	96	16	112	132.8	27	0	27	27.0			
07:30 - 07:45	117	13	130	146.9	17	0	17	17.0			
07:45 - 08:00	131	7	138	147.1	16	1	17	18.3			
Hourly Total	410	48	458	520.4	70	2	72	74.6			
08:00 - 08:15	116	9	125	136.7	14	1	15	16.3			
08:15 - 08:30	94	10	104	117.0	22	0	22	22.0			
08:30 - 08:45	85	16	101	121.8	12	0	12	12.0			
08:45 - 09:00	73	9	82	93.7	18	1	19	20.3			
Hourly Total	368	44	412	469.2	66	2	68	70.6			
09:00 - 09:15	60	8	68	78.4	7	0	7	7.0			
09:15 - 09:30	40	13	53	69.9	6	0	6	6.0			
09:30 - 09:45	39	15	54	73.5	6	0	6	6.0			
09:45 - 10:00	41	8	49	59.4	4	1	5	6.3			
Hourly Total	180	44	224	281.2	23	1	24	25.3			
TOTAL	958	136	1094	1270.8	159	5	164	170.5			
16:00 - 16:15	70	8	78	88.4	33	0	33	33.0			
16:15 - 16:30	61	5	66	72.5	15	0	15	15.0			
16:30 - 16:45	92	2	94	96.6	30	0	30	30.0			
16:45 - 17:00	47	2	49	51.6	28	0	28	28.0			
Hourly Total	270	17	287	309.1	106	0	106	106.0			
17:00 - 17:15	76	2	78	80.6	28	0	28	28.0			
17:15 - 17:30	51	7	58	67.1	22	0	22	22.0			
17:30 - 17:45	97	4	101	106.2	37	0	37	37.0			
17:45 - 18:00	62	2	64	66.6	17	0	17	17.0			
Hourly Total	286	15	301	320.5	104	0	104	104.0			
18:00 - 18:15	50	3	53	56.9	14	0	14	14.0			
18:15 - 18:30	40	2	42	44.6	9	0	9	9.0			
18:30 - 18:45	32	5	37	43.5	5	0	5	5.0			
18:45 - 19:00	33	2	35	37.6	8	0	8	8.0			
Hourly Total	155	12	167	182.6	36	0	36	36.0			
TOTAL	711	44	755	812.2	246	0	246	246.0			

PCU Fa	actors:
LIGHT	1.0
HEAVY	2.3



APPENDIX 7 – A453/East Midlands Airport Signal Junction Turning Count Results

Thursday 3rd November 2022

Junction: 2

Approach: East Midlands Airport Access

				Le	eft to A453	(E)							Rig	tt to A453	(W)			
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	33	0	0	0	0	33	33.0	0	0	9	5	0	0	0	14	14.0
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	34	4	1	0	0	39	39.5	0	0	7	1	1	0	1	10	11.5
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	19	19.0	0	0	6	3	0	0	0	9	9.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	17	3	1	1	0	22	23.8	0	0	6	4	0	0	0	10	10.0
Hourly Total	0	0	103	7	2	1	0	113	115.3	0	0	28	13	1	0	1	43	44.5
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	23	4	1	0	0	28	28.5	0	0	10	1	0	0	0	11	11.0
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	35	3	1	1	0	40	41.8	0	0	3	2	0	1	1	7	9.3
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	23	1	0	0	1	25	26.0	0	0	4	1	1	0	0	6	6.5
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	14	2	0	1	1	18	20.3	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	3	4.3
Hourly Total	0	0	95	10	2	2	2	111	116.6	0	0	19	4	1	2	1	27	31.1
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	9	0	1	0	0	10	10.5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	5	0	1	0	0	6	6.5	0	0	3	2	0	0	1	6	7.0
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	7	2	1	0	0	10	10.5	0	0	2	0	1	1	0	4	5.8
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	8	3	0	0	0	11	11.0	0	0	1	2	0	1	0	4	5.3
Hourly Total	0	0	29	5	3	0	0	37	38.5	0	0	11	4	1	2	1	19	23.1
TOTAL	0	0	227	22	7	3	2	261	270.4	0	0	58	21	3	4	3	89	98.7
<u> </u>										1						1		
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	66	4	1	0	0	71	71.5	0	0	25	4	1	0	0	30	30.5
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	55	5	0	0	0	60	60.0	0	0	18	6	0	0	0	24	24.0
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	60	5	0	0	0	65	65.0	0	0	26	2	0	0	1	29	30.0
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	69	7	0	0	1	77	78.0	0	0	8	2	0	0	0	10	10.0
Hourly Total	0	0	250	21	1	0	1	273	274.5	0	0	77	14	1	0	1	93	94.5
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	57	3	0	0	1	61	62.0	0	0	18	4	0	0	0	22	22.0
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	27	0	1	0	0	28	28.5	0	0	15	2	0	0	0	17	17.0
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	64	6	0	0	0	70	70.0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	15	15.0
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	59	4	0	0	0	63	63.0	0	0	10	1	0	0	0	11	11.0
Hourly Total	0	0	207	13	1	0	1	222	223.5	0	0	58	7	0	0	0	65	65.0
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	49	5	0	0	0	54	54.0	0	1	12	2	0	0	1	16	16.4
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	47	6	0	0	0	53	53.0	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	7	7.0
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	44	6	0	0	0	50	50.0	0	0	4	1	0	0	1	6	7.0
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	20	0	0	1	0	21	22.3	0	0	10	2	1	0	0	13	13.5
Hourly Total	0	0	160	17	0	1	0	178	179.3	0	1	32	6	1	0	2	42	43.9
TOTAL	0	0	617	51	2	1	2	673	677.3	0	1	167	27	2	0	3	200	203.4

PCU Fa	actors:
CYCLE	0.2
M/CYCLE	0.4
CAR	1.0
LGV	1.0
OGV1	1.5
OGV2	2.3
BUS	2.0

East Midlands Gateway

Thursday 3rd November 2022

Junction: 2

Approach: A453 East

		Ahead to A453 (W)									Right to East Midlands Airport Access							
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	59	12	6	4	0	81	89.2	0	0	65	6	1	0	0	72	72.5
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	36	16	5	3	0	60	66.4	0	0	65	12	1	0	0	78	78.5
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	78	10	2	7	0	97	107.1	0	0	63	5	0	0	0	68	68.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	47	10	5	5	1	68	78.0	0	0	57	6	0	0	0	63	63.0
Hourly Total	0	0	220	48	18	19	1	306	340.7	0	0	250	29	2	0	0	281	282.0
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	56	16	3	5	0	80	88.0	0	0	52	3	0	0	0	55	55.0
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	81	14	2	9	0	106	118.7	0	0	51	2	1	0	0	54	54.5
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	72	18	7	4	0	101	109.7	0	0	55	2	1	2	2	62	67.1
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	67	15	4	4	0	90	97.2	0	0	30	2	0	0	0	32	32.0
Hourly Total	0	0	276	63	16	22	0	377	413.6	0	0	188	9	2	2	2	203	208.6
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	55	8	7	4	1	75	84.7	0	0	22	3	0	0	0	25	25.0
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	37	11	6	3	0	57	63.9	0	0	23	4	1	2	0	30	33.1
09:30 - 09:45	0	1	25	8	4	7	0	45	55.5	0	0	32	1	0	0	0	33	33.0
09:45 - 10:00	0	2	22	10	5	9	1	49	63.0	0	0	21	2	1	1	0	25	26.8
Hourly Total	0	3	139	37	22	23	2	226	267.1	0	0	98	10	2	3	0	113	117.9
																		. <u> </u>
TOTAL	0	3	635	148	56	64	3	909	1021.4	0	0	536	48	6	5	2	597	608.5
		•		•									•					
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	75	9	2	7	0	93	103.1	0	0	37	5	1	0	0	43	43.5
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	62	10	1	8	0	81	91.9	0	0	27	3	0	0	1	31	32.0
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	74	21	3	4	0	102	108.7	0	0	30	3	0	0	0	33	33.0
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	86	19	2	3	0	110	114.9	0	0	42	8	0	0	2	52	54.0
Hourly Total	0	0	297	59	8	22	0	386	418.6	0	0	136	19	1	0	3	159	162.5
17:00 - 17:15	0	2	102	11	4	2	0	121	124.4	0	0	32	1	0	0	0	33	33.0
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	87	15	5	4	0	111	118.7	0	0	32	1	1	1	0	35	36.8
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	86	12	2	2	0	102	105.6	0	0	21	2	1	0	0	24	24.5
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	73	9	3	1	0	86	88.8	0	0	32	4	1	0	0	37	37.5
Hourly Total	0	2	348	47	14	9	0	420	437.5	0	0	117	8	3	1	0	129	131.8
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	78	8	2	3	0	91	95.9	0	0	21	4	0	0	0	25	25.0
18:15 - 18:30	0	1	68	8	3	2	0	82	85.5	0	0	36	2	0	0	0	38	38.0
18:30 - 18:45	0	2	51	3	2	2	0	60	62.4	0	0	27	4	0	0	0	31	31.0
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	50	2	2	5	0	59	66.5	0	0	19	3	0	0	0	22	22.0
Hourly Total	0	3	247	21	9	12	0	292	310.3	0	0	103	13	0	0	0	116	116.0
TOTAL	0	5	892	127	31	43	0	1098	1166.4	0	0	356	40	4	1	3	404	410.3

PCU Factors: CYCLE

M/CYCLE

CAR

LGV

OGV1

OGV2

BUS

0.2

0.4

1.0

1.0

1.5

2.3

2.0

East Midlands Gateway

Thursday 3rd November 2022

Junction: 2

Approach: A453 West

				Left to Ea	ast Midlands Airp						Ahead to A453 (E			
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	18	2	0	0	0	20	20.0	0	0	34	12	3
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	18	1	0	0	1	20	21.0	0	2	74	18	5
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	26	5	0	0	0	31	31.0	0	1	84	17	5
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	31	6	0	1	0	38	39.3	0	0	111	14	4
Hourly Total	0	0	93	14	0	1	1	109	111.3	0	3	303	61	17
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	24	6	0	0	0	30	30.0	0	0	90	22	2
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	28	1	0	0	0	29	29.0	0	0	94	25	5
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	20	1	2	0	1	24	26.0	0	0	50	19	5
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	18	1	0	0	0	19	19.0	0	0	59	8	4
Hourly Total	0	0	90	9	2	0	1	102	104.0	0	0	293	74	16
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	11	11.0	0	0	50	8	8
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	7	1	0	1	0	9	10.3	0	1	36	11	3
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	4	2	1	0	1	8	9.5	0	0	22	9	5
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	9	3	0	0	0	12	12.0	0	0	35	7	2
Hourly Total	0	0	31	6	1	1	1	40	42.8	0	1	143	35	18
TOTAL	0	0	214	29	3	2	3	251	258.1	0	4	739	170	51
	1		1	1		1	1			1	1		1	1
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	6	1	1	0	0	8	8.5	0	0	46	14	4
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	11	3	0	0	0	14	14.0	0	0	45	12	5
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	10	2	1	0	0	13	13.5	0	0	66	12	2
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	8	3	0	0	1	12	13.0	0	0	59	10	0
Hourly Total	0	0	35	9	2	0	1	47	49.0	0	0	216	48	11
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	9	9.0	0	0	85	8	1
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	7	7.0	0	0	54	4	0
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	9	0	0	0	1	10	11.0	0	0	76	6	2
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	11	1	0	0	1	13	14.0	0	0	81	3	1
Hourly Total	0	0	35	2	0	0	2	39	41.0	0	0	296	21	4
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	50	4	1
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	6	2	0	0	0	8	8.0	0	0	38	2	2
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	7	7.0	0	1	19	0	0
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	9	2	1	0	0	12	12.5	0	0	40	7	1
Hourly Total	0	0	27	5	1	0	0	33	33.5	0	1	147	13	4
		-			-	-	-			-				
TOTAL	0	0	97	16	3	0	3	119	123.5	0	1	659	82	19



APPENDIX 8 - A453/East Midlands Airport Roundabout Turning Count Results

Wednesday 23rd November 2022 Junction: 1

Junction.

Approach: Northern Arm

	To A453 (E)				To A453 (W)			
TIME	LIGHT	HEAVY	TOTAL	PCUs	LIGHT	HEAVY	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	21	3	24	27.9	13	4	17	22.2
07:15 - 07:30	10	3	13	16.9	12	1	13	14.3
07:30 - 07:45	8	4	12	17.2	4	6	10	17.8
07:45 - 08:00	11	4	15	20.2	7	5	12	18.5
Hourly Total	50	14	64	82.2	36	16	52	72.8
08:00 - 08:15	13	1	14	15.3	13	2	15	17.6
08:15 - 08:30	10	2	12	14.6	4	3	7	10.9
08:30 - 08:45	5	3	8	11.9	9	4	13	18.2
08:45 - 09:00	5	2	7	9.6	8	4	12	17.2
Hourly Total	33	8	41	51.4	34	13	47	63.9
09:00 - 09:15	5	2	7	9.6	5	4	9	14.2
09:15 - 09:30	1	5	6	12.5	6	1	7	8.3
09:30 - 09:45	5	5	10	16.5	10	2	12	14.6
09:45 - 10:00	5	3	8	11.9	7	2	9	11.6
Hourly Total	16	15	31	50.5	28	9	37	48.7
TOTAL	99	37	136	184.1	98	38	136	185.4
16:00 - 16:15	22	6	28	35.8	55	2	57	59.6
16:15 - 16:30	5	4	9	14.2	40	2	42	44.6
16:30 - 16:45	50	1	51	52.3	64	3	67	70.9
16:45 - 17:00	29	1	30	31.3	47	3	50	53.9
Hourly Total	106	12	118	133.6	206	10	216	229.0
17:00 - 17:15	31	1	32	33.3	62	1	63	64.3
17:15 - 17:30	18	3	21	24.9	18	3	21	24.9
17:30 - 17:45	67	3	70	73.9	88	2	90	92.6
17:45 - 18:00	28	2	30	32.6	39	1	40	41.3
Hourly Total	144	9	153	164.7	207	7	214	223.1
18:00 - 18:15	16	2	18	20.6	25	1	26	27.3
18:15 - 18:30	9	1	10	11.3	12	2	14	16.6
18:30 - 18:45	10	2	12	14.6	24	5	29	35.5
18:45 - 19:00	9	2	11	13.6	11	2	13	15.6
Hourly Total	44	7	51	60.1	72	10	82	95.0
TOTAL	294	28	322	358.4	485	27	512	547.1

PCU Fa	actors:
LIGHT	1.0
HEAVY	2.3

Wednesday 23rd November 2022

Junction:

Approach: A453 East

17:45 - 18:00

Hourly Total

18:00 - 18:15

18:15 - 18:30

18:30 - 18:45

18:45 - 19:00

Hourly Total

TOTAL

	To A453 (W)				To Northern Arm			
TIME	LIGHT	HEAVY	TOTAL	PCUs	LIGHT	HEAVY	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	32	3	35	38.9	19	5	24	30.5
07:15 - 07:30	31	5	36	42.5	31	3	34	37.9
07:30 - 07:45	43	4	47	52.2	28	1	29	30.3
07:45 - 08:00	47	8	55	65.4	38	2	40	42.6
Hourly Total	153	20	173	199.0	116	11	127	141.3
08:00 - 08:15	48	6	54	61.8	27	3	30	33.9
08:15 - 08:30	67	5	72	78.5	17	1	18	19.3
08:30 - 08:45	62	10	72	85.0	44	3	47	50.9
08:45 - 09:00	67	4	71	76.2	33	2	35	37.6
Hourly Total	244	25	269	301.5	121	9	130	141.7
09:00 - 09:15	44	4	48	53.2	11	4	15	20.2
09:15 - 09:30	42	4	46	51.2	19	2	21	23.6
09:30 - 09:45	27	1	28	29.3	11	4	15	20.2
09:45 - 10:00	39	7	46	55.1	11	5	16	22.5
Hourly Total	152	16	168	188.8	52	15	67	86.5
TOTAL	549	61	610	689.3	289	35	324	369.5
16:00 - 16:15	94	4	98	103.2	6	5	11	17.5
16:15 - 16:30	69	4	73	78.2	11	2	13	15.6
16:30 - 16:45	74	1	75	76.3	12	3	15	18.9
16:45 - 17:00	75	6	81	88.8	14	2	16	18.6
Hourly Total	312	15	327	346.5	43	12	55	70.6
17:00 - 17:15	77	2	79	81.6	12	4	16	21.2
17:15 - 17:30	92	4	96	101.2	11	2	13	15.6
17:30 - 17:45	85	2	87	89.6	24	4	28	33.2

94.9

367.3

69.6

48.3

58.0

40.0

215.9

929.7

26.9

96.9

24.3

50.9

62.5

51.9

189.6

357.1

PCU Factors:						
LIGHT	1.0					
HEAVY	2.3					

Wednesday 23rd November 2022 1

Junction:

Approach: A453 West

	To Northern Arm				To A453 (E)			
TIME	LIGHT	HEAVY	TOTAL	PCUs	LIGHT	HEAVY	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	41	3	44	47.9	55	10	65	78.0
07:15 - 07:30	40	4	44	49.2	113	13	126	142.9
07:30 - 07:45	62	5	67	73.5	126	9	135	146.7
07:45 - 08:00	51	3	54	57.9	136	4	140	145.2
Hourly Total	194	15	209	228.5	430	36	466	512.8
08:00 - 08:15	35	2	37	39.6	117	9	126	137.7
08:15 - 08:30	60	6	66	73.8	106	8	114	124.4
08:30 - 08:45	88	5	93	99.5	92	13	105	121.9
08:45 - 09:00	57	2	59	61.6	86	8	94	104.4
Hourly Total	240	15	255	274.5	401	38	439	488.4
09:00 - 09:15	31	4	35	40.2	62	6	68	75.8
09:15 - 09:30	19	1	20	21.3	45	8	53	63.4
09:30 - 09:45	24	4	28	33.2	40	10	50	63.0
09:45 - 10:00	13	3	16	19.9	40	6	46	53.8
Hourly Total	87	12	99	114.6	187	30	217	256.0
TOTAL	521	42	563	617.6	1018	104	1122	1257.2

16:00 - 16:15	8	1	9	10.3	81	2	83	85.6
16:15 - 16:30	13	0	13	13.0	71	1	72	73.3
16:30 - 16:45	9	4	13	18.2	72	1	73	74.3
16:45 - 17:00	15	4	19	24.2	46	1	47	48.3
Hourly Total	45	9	54	65.7	270	5	275	281.5
17:00 - 17:15	17	3	20	23.9	73	1	74	75.3
17:15 - 17:30	32	1	33	34.3	55	4	59	64.2
17:30 - 17:45	34	1	35	36.3	67	1	68	69.3
17:45 - 18:00	27	7	34	43.1	51	0	51	51.0
Hourly Total	110	12	122	137.6	246	6	252	259.8
18:00 - 18:15	27	3	30	33.9	48	1	49	50.3
18:15 - 18:30	35	3	38	41.9	40	1	41	42.3
18:30 - 18:45	69	2	71	73.6	27	3	30	33.9
18:45 - 19:00	49	4	53	58.2	32	0	32	32.0
Hourly Total	180	12	192	207.6	147	5	152	158.5
TOTAL	335	33	368	410.9	663	16	679	699.8

PCU Factors:					
LIGHT	1.0				
HEAVY	2.3				



APPENDIX 9 - A453/Walton Hill Signal Junction Turning Count Results
East Midlands Gateway

Thursday 3rd November 2022

Junction: 1

Approach: Northern Arm

					Left to A453	•							Rig	ht to Waltor	n Hill			
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	1	1	66	9	6	0	1	84	86.6	0	2	27	4	1	0	0	34	33.3
07:15 - 07:30	0	2	66	9	1	0	1	79	79.3	0	0	37	7	1	1	0	46	47.8
07:30 - 07:45	0	1	107	8	2	2	4	124	131.0	0	1	43	12	7	2	0	65	70.5
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	97	12	5	2	3	119	127.1	0	0	48	6	2	1	0	57	59.3
Hourly Total	1	4	336	38	14	4	9	406	424.0	0	3	155	29	11	4	0	202	210.9
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	90	16	3	2	2	113	119.1	0	1	55	13	3	2	0	74	77.5
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	92	7	11	8	1	119	135.9	0	0	51	11	1	5	0	68	75.0
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	86	9	7	3	3	108	118.4	0	1	50	7	2	1	0	61	62.7
08:45 - 09:00	0	1	65	10	1	1	3	81	85.2	0	4	33	5	5	4	0	51	56.3
Hourly Total	0	1	333	42	22	14	9	421	458.6	0	6	189	36	11	12	0	254	271.5
09:00 - 09:15	1	0	26	6	4	1	4	42	48.5	0	0	37	8	4	3	0	52	57.9
09:15 - 09:30	0	1	33	5	2	1	1	43	45.7	2	0	28	7	2	4	0	43	47.6
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	22	3	2	3	3	33	40.9	0	0	28	9	0	1	0	38	39.3
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	19	2	2	0	3	26	30.0	0	0	25	8	4	1	0	38	41.3
Hourly Total	1	1	100	16	10	5	11	144	165.1	2	0	118	32	10	9	0	171	186.1
TOTAL	2	6	769	96	46	23	29	971	1047.7	2	9	462	97	32	25	0	627	668.5
16:00 - 16:15	0	2	42	8	2	2	2	58	62.4	0	0	62	15	5	2	0	84	89.1
16:15 - 16:30	0	2	39	11	2	1	1	56	58.1	1	1	59	12	0	0	0	73	71.6
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	35	5	2	2	1	45	49.6	0	0	68	10	0	1	0	79	80.3
16:45 - 17:00	1	3	37	5	1	1	2	50	51.2	0	1	41	8	0	2	1	53	56.0
Hourly Total	1	7	153	29	7	6	6	209	221.3	1	2	230	45	5	5	1	289	297.0
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	51	9	1	2	2	65	70.1	0	0	81	4	1	2	0	88	91.1
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	43	10	0	1	1	55	57.3	0	0	67	5	0	1	0	73	74.3
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	47	4	0	0	0	51	51.0	1	2	51	9	0	1	0	64	63.3
17:45 - 18:00	1	0	43	1	0	0	3	48	50.2	0	0	56	2	1	0	0	59	59.5
Hourly Total	1	0	184	24	1	3	6	219	228.6	1	2	255	20	2	4	0	284	288.2
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	49	1	0	0	2	52	54.0	0	0	53	4	0	0	0	57	57.0
18:15 - 18:30	0	1	49	9	0	1	2	62	64.7	0	0	45	1	0	0	0	46	46.0
18:30 - 18:45	1	2	64	4	1	1	2	75	76.8	0	0	17	2	2	0	0	21	22.0
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	52	4	1	1	2	60	63.8	0	0	39	3	1	0	0	43	43.5
Hourly Total	1	3	214	18	2	3	8	249	259.3	0	0	154	10	3	0	0	167	168.5
TOTAL	3	10	551	71	10	12	20	677	709.2	2	4	639	75	10	9	1	740	753.7

PCU F	actors:
CYCLE	0.2
M/CYCLE	0.4
CAR	1.0
LGV	1.0
OGV1	1.5
OGV2	2.3
BUS	2.0

East Midlands Gateway

Thursday 3rd November 2022

Junction: 1

Approach: A453

				Ahe	ad to Walto	n Hill							Right	to Norther	n Arm			
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	20	4	1	3	0	28	32.4	0	0	19	5	1	0	3	28	31.5
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	25	7	1	3	0	36	40.4	0	0	21	7	4	1	0	33	36.3
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	23	9	4	3	1	40	46.9	0	0	27	5	1	1	2	36	39.8
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	24	8	2	4	0	38	44.2	0	0	35	10	2	3	2	52	58.9
Hourly Total	0	0	92	28	8	13	1	142	163.9	0	0	102	27	8	5	7	149	166.5
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	27	3	1	3	0	34	38.4	0	1	29	14	3	2	3	52	58.5
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	35	4	2	8	0	49	60.4	0	0	34	10	2	3	1	50	55.9
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	38	5	1	4	0	48	53.7	0	1	25	6	3	1	1	37	40.2
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	19	5	1	4	0	29	34.7	0	0	30	10	1	3	2	46	52.4
Hourly Total	0	0	119	17	5	19	0	160	187.2	0	2	118	40	9	9	7	185	207.0
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	28	7	1	3	0	39	43.4	0	0	19	5	5	1	1	31	35.8
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	13	5	4	1	0	23	26.3	0	0	15	5	2	2	2	26	31.6
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	17	3	1	4	0	25	30.7	0	0	25	6	4	0	1	36	39.0
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	10	6	1	6	1	24	33.3	0	2	21	6	2	1	1	33	35.1
Hourly Total	0	0	68	21	7	14	1	111	133.7	0	2	80	22	13	4	5	126	141.5
TOTAL	0	0	279	66	20	46	2	413	484.8	0	4	300	89	30	18	19	460	515.0
16:00 - 16:15	0	1	63	3	3	5	0	75	82.4	0	0	62	4	0	2	2	70	74.6
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	60	7	2	3	1	73	78.9	0	0	57	9	0	2	3	71	76.6
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	92	13	2	3	0	110	114.9	1	0	61	5	1	1	1	70	72.0
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	62	8	0	0	0	70	70.0	0	1	65	3	0	0	2	71	72.4
Hourly Total	0	1	277	31	7	11	1	328	346.2	1	1	245	21	1	5	8	282	295.6
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	78	3	2	1	0	84	86.3	0	2	85	7	0	0	1	95	94.8
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	56	5	0	1	1	63	65.3	0	0	62	8	2	0	2	74	77.0
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	72	0	1	3	0	76	80.4	1	1	78	5	0	0	1	86	85.6
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	53	3	0	0	0	56	56.0	0	0	74	3	0	0	3	80	83.0
Hourly Total	0	0	259	11	3	5	1	279	288.0	1	3	299	23	2	0	7	335	340.4
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	49	2	0	0	0	51	51.0	0	1	37	1	0	1	1	41	42.7
18:15 - 18:30	0	2	36	0	1	0	0	39	38.3	0	2	38	6	0	1	2	49	51.1
18:30 - 18:45	0	2	30	1	0	0	1	34	33.8	0	0	27	4	1	0	0	32	32.5
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	29	1	2	0	0	32	33.0	0	0	26	2	0	0	0	28	28.0
Hourly Total	0	4	144	4	3	0	1	156	156.1	0	3	128	13	1	2	3	150	154.3
TOTAL	0	5	680	46	13	16	3	763	790.3	2	7	672	57	4	7	18	767	790.3

CYCLE	0.2
M/CYCLE	0.4
CAR	1.0
LGV	1.0
OGV1	1.5
OGV2	2.3
BUS	2.0

East Midlands Gateway

Thursday 3rd November 2022

Junction: 1

Approach: Walton Hill

				Left	to Northern	Arm							А	head to A4	53			
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	1	0	33	12	2	0	0	48	48.2	0	0	45	6	1	6	1	59	68.3
07:15 - 07:30	1	0	45	12	1	1	0	60	61.0	0	1	64	8	2	5	0	80	86.9
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	49	10	4	1	0	64	67.3	0	0	81	8	3	9	0	101	114.2
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	60	20	1	3	0	84	88.4	0	0	90	6	1	4	0	101	106.7
Hourly Total	2	0	187	54	8	5	0	256	264.9	0	1	280	28	7	24	1	341	376.1
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	54	8	2	0	0	64	65.0	0	0	70	7	2	5	0	84	91.5
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	74	9	3	1	0	87	89.8	0	0	62	16	5	3	1	87	94.4
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	58	4	3	2	1	68	73.1	0	0	57	3	3	6	0	69	78.3
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	49	3	3	3	0	58	63.4	0	0	66	4	4	6	0	80	89.8
Hourly Total	0	0	235	24	11	6	1	277	291.3	0	0	255	30	14	20	1	320	354.0
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	28	3	6	3	0	40	46.9	0	0	47	10	4	6	0	67	76.8
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	36	3	3	2	0	44	48.1	0	0	31	6	3	2	2	44	50.1
09:30 - 09:45	0	1	30	5	5	0	0	41	42.9	0	0	25	6	1	2	0	34	37.1
09:45 - 10:00	0	1	38	5	1	3	0	48	51.8	0	0	31	5	2	3	0	41	45.9
Hourly Total	0	2	132	16	15	8	0	173	189.7	0	0	134	27	10	13	2	186	209.9
		1			1												1	
TOTAL	2	2	554	94	34	19	1	706	745.9	0	1	669	85	31	57	4	847	940.0
i		1 1			1						1		1	1				
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	37	9	0	2	0	48	50.6	0	0	33	6	1	1	0	41	42.8
16:15 - 16:30	0	1	47	6	0	1	0	55	55.7	0	0	25	12	3	0	0	40	41.5
16:30 - 16:45	0	2	36	7	0	0	0	45	43.8	0	0	23	11	0	0	0	34	34.0
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	57	7	0	1	0	65	66.3	0	0	30	5	0	0	1	36	37.0
Hourly Total	0	3	177	29	0	4	0	213	216.4	0	0	111	34	4	1	1	151	155.3
17:00 - 17:15	0	1	49	1	1	0	0	52	51.9	0	0	41	3	0	0	0	44	44.0
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	51	3	1	1	0	56	57.8	0	0	35	1	0	0	0	36	36.0
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	50	6	2	0	0	58	59.0	0	1	54	3	0	1	1	60	61.7
17:45 - 18:00	0	1	45	6	2	0	0	54	54.4	0	0	41	2	1	0	0	44	44.5
Hourly Total	0	2	195	16	6	1	0	220	223.1	0	1	171	9	1	1	1	184	186.2
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	36	2	1	0	0	39	39.5	0	0	29	2	2	0	1	34	36.0
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	32	4	0	1	0	37	38.3	0	0	23	0	0	0	0	23	23.0
18:30 - 18:45	0	1	27	3	1	0	0	32	31.9	0	0	39	2	1	1	0	43	44.8
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	28	1	0	0	0	29	29.0	0	0	39	4	0	0	0	43	43.0
Hourly Total	0	1	123	10	2	1	0	137	138.7	0	0	130	8	3	1	1	143	146.8
		· · · · · ·																
TOTAL	0	6	495	55	8	6	0	570	578.2	0	1	412	51	8	3	3	478	488.3

PCU F	actors:
CYCLE	0.2
M/CYCLE	0.4
CAR	1.0
LGV	1.0
OGV1	1.5
OGV2	2.3
BUS	2.0



APPENDIX 10 - M1 Junction 23 Turning Count Results

East Midlands Gateway

Wednesday 2nd November 2022

Junction: 6 Approach: M1 J23 Slip Road North

					To A51	L 2						Т	o M1 J	23 Slip	Road (S)						To As	hby Roa	ad East			
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	E CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	41	28	1	1	2	73	76.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	26	9	0	4	0	39	44.2
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	59	16	6	2	0	83	88.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	27	22	2	1	0	52	54.3
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	115	19	2	0	0	136	137.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	33	17	7	0	0	57	60.5
07:45 - 08:00	0	1	189	31	6	1	0	228	231.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	68	18	9	4	0	99	108.7
Iourly Tota	0	1	404	94	15	4	2	520	534.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	154	66	18	9	0	247	267.7
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	153	39	8	3	0	203	210.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	53	24	6	3	0	86	92.9
08:15 - 08:30	0	1	170	23	2	5	0	201	207.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	58	18	7	8	0	91	104.9
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	165	24	9	8	0	206	220.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	39	16	9	2	0	66	73.1
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	131	17	0	3	0	151	154.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	61	17	1	4	1	84	90.7
Iourly Tota	0	1	619	103	19	19	0	761	794.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	211	75	23	17	1	327	361.6
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	92	17	2	6	2	119	129.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	42	10	4	5	0	61	69.5
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	84	13	7	4	3	111	122.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	46	16	2	7	0	71	81.1
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	83	16	5	1	0	105	108.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	25	12	2	7	0	46	56.1
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	74	20	5	3	1	103	110.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	25	4	4	4	0	37	44.2
Iourly Tota	0	0	333	66	19	14	6	438	471.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	138	42	12	23	0	215	250.9
TOTAL	0	2	1356	263	53	37	8	1719	1800.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	503	183	53	49	1	789	880.2
	r				T					T			T	T										r			
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	58	11	3	3	1	76	82.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	28	8	1	3	1	41	46.4
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	83	17	2	0	0	102	103.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	30	17	2	5	0	54	61.5
16:30 - 16:45	0	1	88	20	3	1	0	113	115.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	44	12	5	5	0	66	75.0
16:45 - 17:00	0	1	100	11	2	1	1	116	118.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	1	36	10	2	4	0	53	58.6
Iourly Tota	0	2	329	59	10	5	2	407	419.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	1	138	47	10	17	1	214	241.5
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	91	14	0	2	0	107	109.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	36	9	0	1	0	46	47.3
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	107	12	1	0	0	120	120.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	37	6	2	7	0	52	62.1
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	70	8	1	1	0	80	81.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	38	5	0	3	0	46	49.9
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	83	9	0	2	0	94	96.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	22	2	1	2	0	27	30.1
Iourly Tota	0	0	351	43	2	5	0	401	408.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	133	22	3	13	0	171	189.4
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	71	12	1	1	0	85	86.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	33	5	2	1	0	41	43.3
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	99	6	1	0	1	107	108.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	59	1	2	3	0	65	69.9
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	65	7	0	1	0	73	74.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	41	6	3	4	0	54	60.7
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	84	6	2	0	1	93	95.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	34	11	1	3	0	49	53.4
Iourly Tota	0	0	319	31	4	2	2	358	364.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	167	23	8	11	0	209	227.3
	-	-								-	-				-		-										
TOTAL	0	2	999	133	16	12	4	1166	1192.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	1	438	92	21	41	1	594	658.2

PCU Fac	ctors:
CYCLE	0.2
M/CYCLE	0.4
CAR	1.0
LGV	1.0
OGV1	1.5
OGV2	2.3
BUS	2.0

East Midlands Gateway

Wednesday 2nd November 2022

Junction: 6 Approach: A512

			Т	o M1 J	23 Slip	Road (S)						To As	hby Ro	ad East						т	o M1 J	23 Slip	Road (N)				
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	PCU Fa	ctors:
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	42	7	4	1	0	54	57.3	0	1	39	11	3	0	1	55	56.9	0	0	90	20	2	4	0	116	122.2	CYCLE	0.2
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	28	9	2	0	0	39	40.0	0	1	52	14	3	1	0	71	73.2	0	0	106	24	3	4	0	137	143.7	M/CYCLE	0.4
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	30	5	2	1	0	38	40.3	0	0	65	8	4	4	1	82	90.2	0	1	121	13	4	1	0	140	142.7	CAR	1.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	21	7	1	2	1	32	36.1	0	0	79	14	2	1	2	98	102.3	0	0	100	14	3	5	0	122	130.0	LGV	1.0
Hourly Tota	0	0	121	28	9	4	1	163	173.7	0	2	235	47	12	6	4	306	322.6	0	1	417	71	12	14	0	515	538.6	OGV1	1.5
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	35	3	2	3	0	43	47.9	0	0	101	12	5	0	0	118	120.5	0	1	82	10	3	4	0	100	106.1	OGV2	2.3
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	22	4	4	1	0	31	34.3	0	0	51	8	0	0	1	60	61.0	0	0	90	12	3	2	0	107	111.1	BUS	2.0
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	19	7	2	6	3	37	48.8	0	0	72	14	2	4	0	92	98.2	0	0	81	7	1	3	1	93	98.4		
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	19	7	3	3	1	33	39.4	0	0	59	18	0	0	2	79	81.0	0	1	70	9	2	3	0	85	89.3		
Hourly Tota	0	0	95	21	11	13	4	144	170.4	0	0	283	52	7	4	3	349	360.7	0	2	323	38	9	12	1	385	404.9		
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	19	12	3	2	1	37	42.1	0	0	45	20	3	2	1	71	76.1	0	1	76	15	3	1	0	96	98.2		
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	19	2	6	2	0	29	34.6	0	0	45	14	3	0	2	64	67.5	0	0	57	11	2	5	0	75	82.5		
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	28	7	7	4	2	48	58.7	0	0	42	14	1	3	0	60	64.4	0	0	64	12	4	5	0	85	93.5		
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	22	9	3	2	0	36	40.1	0	0	46	13	4	1	1	65	69.3	0	1	53	10	6	2	0	72	77.0		
Hourly Tota	0	0	88	30	19	10	3	150	175.5	0	0	178	61	11	6	4	260	277.3	0	2	250	48	15	13	0	328	351.2		
																			_										
TOTAL	0	0	304	79	39	27	8	457	519.6	0	2	696	160	30	16	11	915	960.6	0	5	990	157	36	39	1	1228	1294.7		
		1					T			T	r			1	r					r				r	r				
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	102	14	2	1	0	119	121.3	0	0	127	16	2	1	2	148	152.3	0	0	102	16	3	0	3	124	128.5		
16:15 - 16:30	0	1	99	12	0	1	1	114	115.7	0	0	113	10	2	2	2	129	134.6	0	0	121	11	4	2	1	139	144.6		
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	79	16	1	3	0	99	103.4	1	1	121	14	1	1	0	139	139.4	0	0	120	22	1	1	0	144	145.8		
16:45 - 17:00	0	1	95	12	0	0	0	108	107.4	0	2	134	15	1	2	0	154	155.9	0	0	126	18	2	2	2	150	155.6		
Hourly Tota	0	2	375	54	3	5	1	440	447.8	1	3	495	55	6	6	4	570	582.2	0	0	469	67	10	5	6	557	574.5		
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	90	11	0	0	1	102	103.0	0	0	162	13	0	1	3	179	183.3	0	0	112	16	1	2	1	132	136.1		
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	87	8	1	1	1	98	100.8	0	1	92	10	0	0	0	103	102.4	0	0	135	14	1	3	1	154	159.4		
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	78	4	1	0	0	83	83.5	0	0	124	13	1	3	1	142	147.4	0	0	91	4	1	0	0	96	96.5		
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	53	10	0	0	0	63	63.0	0	0	72	9	0	0	3	84	87.0	0	0	91	6	1	0	0	98	98.5		
Hourly Tota	0	0	308	33	2	1	2	346	350.3	0	1	450	45	1	4	7	508	520.1	0	0	429	40	4	5	2	480	490.5		
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	52	3	0	0	0	55	55.0	0	7	83	17	1	3	2	113	115.2	0	1	62	6	0	0	0	69	68.4		
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	38	4	0	1	3	46	50.3	0	0	104	15	0	0	2	121	123.0	0	1	48	4	3	0	0	56	56.9		
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	40	2	2	0	0	44	45.0	0	0	61	9	0	0	1	71	72.0	0	1	50	6	0	1	0	58	58.7		
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	24	2	0	0	1	27	28.0	0	0	56	7	0	1	1	65	67.3	0	0	47	5	1	1	1	55	57.8		
Hourly Tota	0	0	154	11	2	1	4	172	178.3	0	7	304	48	1	4	6	370	377.5	0	3	207	21	4	2	1	238	241.8		
TOTAL	0	2	837	98	7	7	7	958	976.4	1	11	1249	148	8	14	17	1448	1479.8	0	3	1105	128	18	12	9	1275	1306.8		

East Midlands Gateway

Wednesday 2nd November 2022

Junction: 6 Approach: M1 J23 Slip Road South

				To Asl	hby Roa	ad East						Т	o M1 J2	23 Slip	Road (I	N)							To A51	2			
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	15	2	2	4	0	23	29.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	30	9	3	0	0	42	43.5
07:15 - 07:30	0	1	24	7	1	0	0	33	32.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	49	6	6	0	0	61	64.0
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	34	8	2	2	0	46	49.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	60	10	6	3	0	79	85.9
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	37	7	1	3	0	48	52.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	1	74	8	2	2	0	87	90.0
lourly Tota	0	1	110	24	6	9	0	150	164.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	1	213	33	17	5	0	269	283.4
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	33	9	5	4	0	51	58.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	92	13	2	2	0	109	112.6
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	21	11	1	4	0	37	42.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	109	16	2	1	0	128	130.3
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	17	7	1	4	0	29	34.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	105	6	2	2	1	116	120.6
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	19	5	1	2	0	27	30.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	80	8	3	1	0	92	94.8
Iourly Tota	0	0	90	32	8	14	0	144	166.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	386	43	9	6	1	445	458.3
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	16	9	3	3	0	31	36.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	76	5	4	0	0	85	87.0
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	13	10	2	2	0	27	30.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	55	7	3	1	0	66	68.8
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	14	3	1	1	1	20	22.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	36	6	4	3	1	50	56.9
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	18	5	0	2	0	25	27.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	34	8	2	4	0	48	54.2
Iourly Tota	0	0	61	27	6	8	1	103	117.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	201	26	13	8	1	249	266.9
TOTAL	0	1	261	83	20	31	1	397	447.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	1	800	102	39	19	2	963	1008.6
гт						1																1			1		
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	12	5	2	4	0	23	29.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	28	2	2	0	1	33	35.0
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	34	7	2	4	0	47	53.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	28	10	2	1	0	41	43.3
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	32	7	3	2	0	44	48.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	47	5	0	4	0	56	61.2
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	22	3	2	1	0	28	30.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	28	3	1	0	0	32	32.5
Iourly Tota	0	0	100	22	9	11	0	142	160.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	131	20	5	5	1	162	172.0
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	17	3	1	6	0	27	35.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	47	3	1	0	1	52	53.5
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	38	4	3	5	0	50	58.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	50	4	1	1	0	56	57.8
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	30	4	1	2	0	37	40.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	44	2	2	1	0	49	51.3
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	24	2	3	2	0	31	35.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	32	3	2	0	0	37	38.0
Hourly Tota	0	0	109	13	8	15	0	145	168.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	1/3	12	6	2	1	194	200.6
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	20	6	2	2	0	30	33.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	U	0.0	0	0	41	0	1	1	0	43	44.8
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	31	3	2	1	0	37	39.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	34	3	0	0	0	37	37.0
18:30 - 18:45	0	U	29	3	1	3	0	36	40.4	0	U	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	23	4	0	0	0	27	27.0
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	24	0	1	1	0	26	27.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	22	3	1	0	0	26	26.5
iourly lota	U	U	104	12	6	1	U	129	141.1	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	0.0	U	U	120	10	2	1	U	133	135.3
τοται	0	0	313	47	23	33	0	416	470 4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	474	42	13	8	2	489	507 9

PCU Fac	ctors:
CYCLE	0.2
M/CYCLE	0.4
CAR	1.0
LGV	1.0
OGV1	1.5
OGV2	2.3
BUS	2.0

East Midlands Gateway

Wednesday 2nd November 2022

Junction: 6 Approach: Ashby Road East

	To M1 J23 Slip Road (N)													To A5	12						То	o M1 J	23 Slip	Road (S)		
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	1	58	23	2	8	0	92	102.8	0	0	74	13	1	1	1	90	92.8	0	0	38	11	1	2	0	52	55.1
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	55	13	2	10	0	80	94.0	0	1	75	21	2	2	1	102	106.0	0	0	28	7	1	3	0	39	43.4
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	70	17	2	7	0	96	106.1	0	1	135	17	5	1	1	160	164.2	0	0	27	9	0	1	0	37	38.3
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	52	9	1	3	0	65	69.4	0	1	165	15	4	1	2	188	192.7	0	0	20	9	4	3	0	36	41.9
Iourly Tota	0	1	235	62	7	28	0	333	372.3	0	3	449	66	12	5	5	540	555.7	0	0	113	36	6	9	0	164	178.7
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	44	10	6	1	0	61	65.3	0	0	137	15	0	0	4	156	160.0	0	0	21	2	2	1	0	26	28.3
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	30	10	3	1	0	44	46.8	0	2	162	21	6	6	2	199	210.6	0	0	16	4	0	1	0	21	22.3
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	31	7	2	1	0	41	43.3	0	0	149	13	5	8	1	176	189.9	0	0	15	3	2	4	0	24	30.2
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	39	8	2	2	0	51	54.6	0	0	114	15	6	1	1	137	142.3	0	1	19	1	4	4	0	29	35.6
Iourly Tota	0	0	144	35	13	5	0	197	210.0	0	2	562	64	17	15	8	668	702.8	0	1	71	10	8	10	0	100	116.4
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	27	6	3	6	0	42	51.3	0	1	102	15	5	2	0	125	129.5	0	0	15	1	2	2	0	20	23.6
09:15 - 09:30	0	1	15	6	1	5	1	29	36.4	1	0	88	13	8	3	1	114	122.1	0	0	10	5	1	2	0	18	21.1
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	30	6	2	4	0	42	48.2	0	1	95	11	6	1	1	115	119.7	0	0	12	3	1	4	0	20	25.7
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	7	8	5	2	0	22	27.1	0	1	109	16	0	1	1	128	129.7	0	0	10	6	2	6	0	24	32.8
Iourly Tota	0	1	79	26	11	17	1	135	163.0	1	3	394	55	19	7	3	482	501.0	0	0	47	15	6	14	0	82	103.2
TOTAL	0	2	458	123	31	50	1	665	745.3	1	8	1405	185	48	27	16	1690	1759.5	0	1	231	61	20	33	0	346	398.3
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	55	15	3	1	0	74	76.8	0	0	85	11	1	0	1	98	99.5	0	0	34	8	1	1	0	44	45.8
16:15 - 16:30	0	1	44	9	1	3	0	58	61.8	1	0	84	17	0	0	1	103	103.2	0	0	33	9	0	1	0	43	44.3
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	38	15	1	1	1	56	58.8	0	0	88	10	0	0	0	98	98.0	0	0	31	10	2	5	0	48	55.5
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	31	7	3	0	0	41	42.5	0	0	79	11	0	0	1	91	92.0	0	0	24	7	1	2	0	34	37.1
Iourly Tota	0	1	168	46	8	5	1	229	239.9	1	0	336	49	1	0	3	390	392.7	0	0	122	34	4	9	0	169	182.7
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	47	9	1	1	0	58	59.8	0	1	89	11	0	0	1	102	102.4	0	0	28	5	2	0	0	35	36.0
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	49	8	2	1	0	60	62.3	0	1	104	13	0	1	0	119	119.7	0	0	28	2	0	0	0	30	30.0
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	41	8	0	0	0	49	49.0	0	0	74	5	0	0	3	82	85.0	0	0	29	4	0	0	0	33	33.0
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	35	7	0	2	0	44	46.6	0	0	72	3	2	1	0	78	80.3	0	0	17	1	0	0	0	18	18.0
Iourly Tota	0	0	172	32	3	4	0	211	217.7	0	2	339	32	2	2	4	381	387.4	0	0	102	12	2	0	0	116	117.0
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	25	4	1	2	0	32	35.1	0	0	72	14	0	1	1	88	90.3	0	0	20	2	1	1	0	24	25.8
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	23	3	0	0	0	26	26.0	0	1	55	5	0	0	3	64	66.4	0	0	16	3	0	2	0	21	23.6
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	17	17.0	0	0	84	9	0	0	2	95	97.0	0	0	10	3	0	1	1	15	17.3
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	18	1	1	0	0	20	20.5	0	0	75	7	1	0	0	83	83.5	0	0	10	2	0	1	0	13	14.3
Iourly Tota	0	0	83	8	2	2	0	95	98.6	0	1	286	35	1	1	6	330	337.2	0	0	56	10	1	5	1	73	81.0
TOTAL	0	1	423	86	13	11	1	535	556.2	1	3	961	116	4	3	13	1101	1117.3	0	0	280	56	7	14	1	358	380.7

PCU Factors: CYCLE 0.2 M/CYCLE 0.4 CAR 1.0 LGV 1.0 1.5 OGV1 2.3 OGV2 BUS 2.0



APPENDIX 11 – A42/A453A/Top Brand Junction Turning Count Results

East Midlands Airport

Wednesday 20th September 2023 Junction: 8

Approach: A453

				To G	elscoe	Lane							To	Top Bra	and						T	o A42 I	Entry S	lip Roa	d		
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	10	0	0	1	0	11	12.3	0	0	6	5	2	1	0	14	16.3
07:15 - 07:30	1	0	11	1	0	0	0	13	12.2	0	1	7	3	0	8	0	19	28.8	0	0	18	2	1	0	0	21	21.5
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	11	2	1	0	0	14	14.5	0	1	14	5	0	4	0	24	28.6	0	0	9	1	1	0	0	11	11.5
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	11	2	0	0	0	13	13.0	0	0	12	2	0	6	0	20	27.8	0	0	17	2	1	0	0	20	20.5
Hourly Total	1	0	36	5	1	0	0	43	42.7	0	2	43	10	0	19	0	74	97.5	0	0	50	10	5	1	0	66	69.8
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	9	2	0	10	0	21	34.0	0	0	10	1	2	2	0	15	18.6
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	9	9.0	0	0	18	5	1	8	0	32	42.9	0	0	18	3	1	1	0	23	24.8
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	8	3	1	0	0	12	12.5	0	0	10	5	1	11	0	27	41.8	0	0	7	4	0	1	0	12	13.3
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	6	2	0	0	0	8	8.0	0	0	5	3	0	6	0	14	21.8	0	0	12	1	1	1	0	15	16.8
Hourly Total	0	0	28	6	1	0	0	35	35.5	0	0	42	15	2	35	0	94	140.5	0	0	47	9	4	5	0	65	73.5
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	7	7.0	0	0	7	2	0	6	0	15	22.8	0	0	8	3	0	0	0	11	11.0
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	3	2	0	1	0	6	7.3	0	0	5	2	0	7	0	14	23.1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	3	4.3
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	3	4	2	0	0	9	10.0	0	0	4	2	1	6	0	13	21.3	0	0	8	1	1	0	0	10	10.5
09:45 - 10:00	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	4	3.2	0	0	5	0	0	15	0	20	39.5	0	0	3	2	1	2	0	8	11.1
Hourly Total	1	0	15	7	2	1	0	26	27.5	0	0	21	6	1	34	0	62	106.7	0	0	20	7	2	3	0	32	36.9
	-	-	-			-	-				-			-				-		-							
TOTAL	2	0	79	18	4	1	0	104	105.7	0	2	106	31	3	88	0	230	344.7	0	0	117	26	11	9	0	163	180.2
	-		-	_	-	_	-	-			-			-		-						_	-	_	_		
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	8	8.0	0	0	15	4	0	3	0	22	25.9	0	0	23	5	0	0	0	28	28.0
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	4	3	0	0	0	1	7.0	0	0	12	4	1	3	0	20	24.4	0	0	22	4	1	1	0	28	29.8
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	/	3	0	0	0	10	10.0	0	0	23	1	1	0	0	25	25.5	0	0	31	5	1	0	1	38	39.5
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	/	8	0	1	0	16	17.3	0	0	16	2	1	0	0	19	19.5
	0	0	22	6	0	0	0	28	28.0	0	0	5/	1/	2	1	0	83	93.1	0	0	92	16	3	1	1	113	116.8
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	11	1	0	0	0	12	12.0	0	1	26	2	0	0	0	29	28.4	0	U	18	10	0	0	0	28	28.0
17:15 - 17:30	0	U	4	0	U	0	U	4	4.0	0	U	24	0	0	0	U	24	24.0	0	U	33	4	1	0	0	38	38.5
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	8	1	0	0	0	9	9.0	0	0	20	4	0	0	0	24	24.0	0	0	21	2	0	1	0	24	25.3
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	1	12	1	0	0	0	14	13.4	0	0	11	3	0	0	0	14	14.0
	0	U	29	2	0	U	0	31	31.0	0	2	82	1	0	U	0	91	09.0	0	U	83	19	1	1	U	104	105.8
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	10	2	U	0	0	12	12.0	0	U	20	U	0	1	0	21	22.3	0	U	22	4	U	2	0	20	30.6
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	9	0	0	1	0	10	11.3	0	U	10	2	0	1	0	13	14.3
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	10	2	0	0	0	12	12.0	0	0	10	2	0	0	0	18	10.0	0	U	9	1	2	1	0	13	15.3
19:42 - 18:00	0	0	8	1	0	0	0	9	9.0	0	0	8	0	0	1	0	9	10.3	0	0	11 50	7	0	1	0	12	13.3
Laure Tatal										_							×	N 1 U			57				0		135
Hourly Total	0	U	30	Ð	U	U	U	41	41.0	U	U	55	2	U	J	U	50	01.5	U	v	02		-	v	•	00	10.0

PCU Fac	ctors:
CYCLE	0.2
M/CYCLE	0.4
CAR	1.0
LGV	1.0
OGV1	1.5
OGV2	2.3
BUS	2.0

East Midlands Airport Wednesday 20th September 2023

Junction: 8

Approach: Gelscoe Lane

				To	Top Bra	and						То	o A42 E	Entry Sl	ip Roa	d						٦	Го A453	3			
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	5	0	1	0	0	6	6.5	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	9	9.0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	4	4.5	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6.0
Hourly Tota	0	0	9	0	1	0	0	10	10.5	0	0	22	0	1	0	0	23	23.5	0	0	12	1	0	0	0	13	13.0
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	4	0	2	0	0	6	7.0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	5	5.0
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	4	4.5	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	7	7.0
Hourly Tota	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	7	7.0	0	0	12	0	3	0	0	15	16.5	0	0	20	1	0	0	0	21	21.0
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6.0
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	4	4.5	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0
Hourly Tota	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	13	1	1	0	0	15	15.5	0	0	12	1	0	0	0	13	13.0
TOTAL	0	0	20	2	1	0	0	23	23.5	0	0	47	1	5	0	0	53	55.5	0	0	44	3	0	0	0	47	47.0
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	6	2	0	0	0	8	8.0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.0
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	1	4	2	0	0	0	7	6.4
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	7	7.0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	6	6.0
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	7	7.0
lourly Tota	0	0	21	2	0	0	0	23	23.0	0	0	11	3	0	0	0	14	14.0	0	1	18	5	0	0	0	24	23.4
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	7	1	0	0	0	8	8.0	1	0	9	0	0	0	0	10	9.2
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	6	2	0	0	0	8	8.0
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0
Hourly Tota	0	0	12	1	0	0	0	13	13.0	0	0	16	3	0	0	0	19	19.0	1	0	20	3	0	0	0	24	23.2
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	6	6.0
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0
Hourly Tota	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	8	3	0	0	0	11	11.0	0	0	17	1	0	0	0	18	18.0
TOTAL	0	0	37	4	0	0	0	41	41.0	0	0	35	9	0	0	0	44	44.0	1	1	55	9	0	0	0	66	64.6

PCU Fa	ctors:
CYCLE	0.2
M/CYCLE	0.4
CAR	1.0
LGV	1.0
OGV1	1.5
OGV2	2.3
BUS	2.0

East Midlands Airport

Wednesday 20th September 2023 Junction: 8

Approach: Top Brand

			T	o A42	Entry S	lip Roa	d						Т	o A45	3							To G	ielscoe	Lane			
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	1	0	0	6	0	7	14.8	0	0	9	2	1	4	0	16	21.7	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	3	4.3
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	0	2	0	6	0	8	15.8	0	0	18	4	2	9	0	33	45.7	0	0	9	2	0	0	0	11	11.0
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	4.6	0	0	31	2	0	13	0	46	62.9	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	1	0	0	7	0	8	17.1	0	0	23	4	0	6	1	34	42.8	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	7	7.0
Hourly Total	0	0	2	2	0	21	0	25	52.3	0	0	81	12	3	32	1	129	173.1	0	0	21	4	0	1	0	26	27.3
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	9.2	0	0	22	2	1	4	0	29	34.7	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	7	7.0
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	1	0	1	5	0	7	14.0	0	1	15	1	1	7	1	26	36.0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.0
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	0	0	1	3	0	4	8.4	0	0	13	3	2	5	0	23	30.5	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	8	0	8	18.4	0	0	20	4	0	4	0	28	33.2	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0
Hourly Total	0	0	1	0	2	20	0	23	50.0	0	1	70	10	4	20	1	106	134.4	0	0	16	2	0	0	0	18	18.0
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2.3	0	0	6	1	0	3	0	10	13.9	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	0	0	0	6	0	6	13.8	0	0	8	4	0	5	0	17	23.5	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	9.2	0	0	7	2	0	2	0	11	13.6	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	7	7.0
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	5	11.5	0	0	7	1	0	1	0	9	10.3	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0
lourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	16	0	16	36.8	0	0	28	8	0	11	0	47	61.3	0	0	16	0	0	0	0	16	16.0
TOTAL	0	0	3	2	2	57	0	64	139.1	0	1	179	30	7	63	2	282	368.8	0	0	53	6	0	1	0	60	61.3
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	3	4.3	0	0	10	2	0	0	0	12	12.0	0	0	4	0	2	0	0	6	7.0
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	11	2	1	0	0	14	14.5	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.0
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	15	1	0	0	0	16	16.0	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	7	7.0
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	1	7	0	0	0	0	8	7.4	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	3	3.0
lourly Total	0	0	6	0	0	1	0	7	8.3	0	1	43	5	1	0	0	50	49.9	0	0	13	5	2	0	0	20	21.0
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	1	0	14	3	0	1	0	19	19.5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	12	1	0	0	0	13	13.0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	11	0	0	1	0	12	13.3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	11	11.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0
Hourly Total	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	1	0	48	4	0	2	0	55	56.8	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	7	7.0
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	13	0	0	2	0	15	17.6	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.0
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	1	12	1	0	0	0	14	13.4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	1	5	1	0	0	0	7	6.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	12	1	0	2	0	15	17.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Jourly Total	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	2	42	3	0	4	0	51	55.0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	5	5.0
TOTAL	0	0	9	0	0	1	0	10	11.3	1	3	133	12	1	6	0	156	161.7	0	0	24	6	2	0	0	32	33.0

PCU Fac	ctors:
CYCLE	0.2
M/CYCLE	0.4
CAR	1.0
LGV	1.0
OGV1	1.5
OGV2	2.3
BUS	2.0

East Midlands Airport

Wednesday 20th September 2023 Junction: 8

Approach: A42 Entry Slip Road

				٦	o A453	3							To G	elscoe	Lane							To	Top Bra	and			
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
								-					-				-			-						-	
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
	1	r	1	1		1	1	-			r	1	1	1	1								1	1	1		
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
1/:15 - 1/:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
1/:30 - 17:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
1/:45 - 18:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Houriy Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	0.0	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	0.0	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	0.0
TOTAL	•	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	0.0	•	0	•	•	•	•	•	0	0.0	•	•	•	•	•	•	0	•	0.0
IUTAL	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	0.0	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	0.0	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	0.0

PCU Fac	ctors:
CYCLE	0.2
M/CYCLE	0.4
CAR	1.0
LGV	1.0
OGV1	1.5
OGV2	2.3
BUS	2.0



APPENDIX 12 - A50 Junction 1 Turning Count Results

East Midlands Airport Thursday 21st September 2023 Junction: 3 Approach: B5010

		т	o B6540							To A50	J1 Slip I	Road (E)							To F	Ryecroft	Road							То	Frent La	ne						1	To A50 .	1 Slip F	Road (W)			
TIME CYCLE I/CYCL	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2 BU	s гот		Js CYC	CLE V/CYCI	LI CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	τοτα	PCU	CYCLE	и/сүс	L CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	и/сүсь	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	/CYCL	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	ΓΟΤΑΙ	PCUs	PCU Factors:
07:00 - 07:15 0 1	1	0	1	0 0	3	2.9) 0	0 0	19	8	0	0	0	27	27.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	10	1	0	0	1	12	13.0	0	0	11	2	0	0	0	13	13.0	CYCLE 0.2
07:15 - 07:30 0 0	3	1	0	0 0	4	4.0) 0	0 0	14	7	0	0	0	21	21.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	12	2	0	0	1	15	16.0	0	0	13	2	0	0	0	15	15.0	M/CYCLI 0.4
07:30 - 07:45 0 0	13	3	0	0 0	16	6 16.	o 0	0 0	19	4	0	0	0	23	23.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	1	17	5	0	0	0	23	22.4	0	0	16	4	0	0	0	20	20.0	CAR 1.0
07:45 - 08:00 0 0	9	4	0	0 0	13	3 13.	o 0	0 0	12	4	1	0	0	17	17.5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	1	22	2	1	0	1	27	27.9	0	0	16	2	0	0	0	18	18.0	LGV 1.0
Hourly Total 0 1	26	8	1	0 0	36	6 35.	9 0	0 0	64	23	1	0	0	88	88.5	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	2	61	10	1	0	3	77	79.3	0	0	56	10	0	0	0	66	66.0	OGV1 1.5
08:00 - 08:15 0 0	12	2	1	0 2	17	7 19.	5 0	0 0	24	2	0	0	0	26	26.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	1	13	1	0	0	1	16	16.4	0	0	11	5	0	0	0	16	16.0	OGV2 2.3
08:15 - 08:30 0 1	11	4	0	0 0	16	6 15.	4 0	0 0	18	3	0	0	0	21	21.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	20	4	0	0	1	25	26.0	0	0	16	2	0	0	0	18	18.0	BUS 2.0
08:30 - 08:45 0 0	12	5	0	0 0	17	7 17.	o 0	0 0	14	1	0	0	0	15	15.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	17	0	0	0	0	17	17.0	0	0	11	1	0	0	0	12	12.0	
08:45 - 09:00 0 0	12	3	1	0 0	16	6 16.	5 0	0 0	15	2	0	0	0	17	17.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	14	2	0	0	1	17	18.0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	10	10.0	
Hourly Total 0 1	47	14	2	0 2	66	6 68.	4 0	0 0	71	8	0	0	0	79	79.0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	1	64	7	0	0	3	75	77.4	0	0	48	8	0	0	0	56	56.0	
09:00 - 09:15 0 0	12	2	1	0 0	15	5 15.	5 0	0 0	11	8	1	0	0	20	20.5	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	11	1	1	0	0	13	13.5	0	0	8	1	0	0	0	9	9.0	
09:15 - 09:30 0 0	8	1	0	0 0	9	9.0) 0	0 0	10	3	1	0	0	14	14.5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	9	3	1	0	2	15	17.5	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	6	6.0	
09:30 - 09:45 0 1	14	4	0	0 0	19	9 18.	4 0	0 0	11	5	1	0	0	17	17.5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	10	1	1	0	0	12	12.5	0	0	8	1	0	0	0	9	9.0	
09:45 - 10:00 0 0	16	5	0	0 0	21	1 21.	o 0	0 0	9	3	1	0	0	13	13.5	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	1.2	0	0	7	1	0	0	0	8	8.0	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	7	7.0	
Hourly Total 0 1	50	12	1	0 0	64	4 63.	9 0	0 0	41	19	4	0	0	64	66.0	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	6	5.2	0	0	37	6	3	0	2	48	51.5	0	0	26	5	0	0	0	31	31.0	
TOTAL 0 3	123	34	4	0 2	16	6 168	.2 0	0 0	176	50	5	0	0	231	233.5	1	0	14	0	0	0	0	15	14.2	0	3	162	23	4	0	8	200	208.2	0	0	130	23	0	0	0	153	153.0	
16:00 - 16:15 0 0	13	3	0	0 0	16	6 16.	o 0	0	24	4	0	0	0	28	28.0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.2	0	0	15	2	0	0	0	17	17.0	0	0	14	2	0	0	0	16	16.0	
16:15 - 16:30 0 0	17	0	0	0 0	17	7 17.	o 0	0 0	18	3	0	0	0	21	21.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	2	15	2	0	0	2	21	21.8	0	0	12	1	0	0	0	13	13.0	
16:30 - 16:45 0 0	11	0	2	0 0	13	3 14.	o 0	0 0	15	2	0	0	0	17	17.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	1	14	2	1	0	1	19	19.9	0	0	17	3	0	0	0	20	20.0	
16:45 - 17:00 0 1	9	3	0	0 0	13	3 12.	4 0	0	16	2	0	0	0	18	18.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	15	1	0	0	1	17	18.0	0	0	16	1	0	0	0	17	17.0	
Hourly Total 0 1	50	6	2	0 0	59	9 59.	4 0	0 0	73	11	0	0	0	84	84.0	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	4	3.2	0	3	59	7	1	0	4	74	76.7	0	0	59	7	0	0	0	66	66.0	
17:00 - 17:15 0 1	7	3	0	0 0	11	1 10.	4 0	0 0	14	4	0	0	0	18	18.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	15	3	0	0	0	18	18.0	0	0	30	3	0	0	0	33	33.0	
17:15 - 17:30 0 0	12	1	0	0 0	13	3 13.	o 0	0 0	13	1	0	0	0	14	14.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	11	1	0	0	0	12	12.0	0	0	12	1	0	0	0	13	13.0	
17:30 - 17:45 0 0	12	4	0	0 0	16	6 16.	0 0	0 0	13	4	0	0	0	17	17.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	13	2	1	0	0	16	16.5	0	1	14	3	0	0	0	18	17.4	
17:45 - 18:00 0 0	9	2	0	0 0	11	1 11.	o o	0 0	9	3	0	0	0	12	12.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	11	3	1	0	1	16	17.5	0	0	11	4	0	0	0	15	15.0	
Hourly Total 0 1	40	10	0	0 0	51	1 50.	4 0	0 0	49	12	0	0	0	61	61.0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	50	9	2	0	1	62	64.0	0	1	67	11	0	0	0	79	78.4	
18:00 - 18:15 0 2	10	3	0	0 0	15	5 13.	8 0	0 0	17	2	0	0	0	19	19.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	18	1	0	0	2	21	23.0	0	0	13	1	0	0	0	14	14.0	
18:15 - 18:30 0 0	7	1	0	0 0	8	8.0) 0	0 0	8	2	0	0	0	10	10.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	10	2	0	0	0	12	12.0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	6	6.0	
18:30 - 18:45 0 0	16	2	0	0 0	18	8 18.	o 0	0 0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	9	1	0	0	0	10	10.0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	6	6.0	
18:45 - 19:00 0 0	10	0	0	0 0	10	0 10.	0 0	0	7	2	0	0	0	9	9.0	0	o	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	10	10.0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	8	8.0	
Hourly Total 0 2	43	6	0	0 0	51	1 49.	8 0	0 0	38	6	0	0	0	44	44.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	47	4	0	0	2	53	55.0	0	0	31	3	0	0	0	34	34.0	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·																											1																
TOTAL 0 4	133	22	2	0 0	16	1 159	.6 0	0 0	160	29	0	0	0	189	189.0	1	0	7	0	0	0	0	8	7.2	0	3	156	20	3	0	7	189	195.7	0	1	157	21	0	0	0	179	178.4	

CU Factors: CYCLE 0.2 /CYCLI 0.4 CAR 1.0 LGV 1.0

East Midlands Airport Thursday 21st September 2023 Junction: 3 Approach: B6540

_

			I	To A50 J	11 Slip I	Road (E)							To R	yecroft	Road							Тс	o Trent L	ane							To A50 J	J1 Slip R	oad (W)						То	B5010	,				
TIME	CYCLE	и/сүсц	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2 BL	us T	OTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	и/сүсі	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	τοτα	PCUs	CYCLE	и/сүс	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	гота	PCUs	CYCLE	и/сүсі	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тота	PCUs	CYCLE //CY	CL CA	R LG	sv c	JGV1	OGV2	BUS	гота	PCUs	PCU Factors
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	36	11	1	5 (D	53	60.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	6	31	3	0	0	2	42	40.4	0	0	39	10	2	4	0	55	61.2	0 1	e	; 1	1	0	0	0	8	7.4	CYCLE 0.2
07:15 - 07:30	0	1	65	15	2	7 (D	90	99.5	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	1	40	4	2	1	2	50	53.7	0	0	48	5	2	2	0	57	60.6	0 0	E	; 1	1	0	0	0	7	7.0	M/CYCLI 0.4
07:30 - 07:45	0	1	66	13	1	3 (D	84	87.8	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	2	51	6	1	0	1	61	61.3	0	0	49	2	1	2	0	54	57.1	0 0	1'	o c	3	0	0	0	10	10.0	CAR 1.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	1	56	18	4	1 (D	80	82.7	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	2	47	5	2	0	0	56	55.8	0	0	31	9	0	0	0	40	40.0	0 0	٤	3 3	3	0	0	0	11	11.0	LGV 1.0
Hourly Tota	0	3	223	57	8	16 0	D	307	330.0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	8	8.0	0	11	169	18	5	1	5	209	211.2	0	0	167	26	5	8	0	206	218.9	0 1	3	0 5	5	0	0	0	36	35.4	OGV1 1.5
08:00 - 08:15	0	1	59	8	5	2 (D	75	79.5	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	1	44	2	0	1	0	48	48.7	0	0	29	7	0	2	0	38	40.6	0 0	5	; 1	1	0	0	0	6	6.0	OGV2 2.3
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	41	5	4	3 (D	53	58.9	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	55	8	1	0	1	65	66.5	0	0	43	6	3	3	0	55	60.4	0 0	٤	3 2	2	0	0	0	10	10.0	BUS 2.0
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	43	8	4	1 (D	56	59.3	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	58	2	0	2	0	62	64.6	0	0	34	10	0	0	1	45	46.0	0 0	1'	0 1	1	0	0	0	11	11.0	
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	27	14	2	5 (D	48	55.5	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	40	7	2	0	1	50	52.0	0	0	28	6	0	2	0	36	38.6	0 0	5	; 3	3	0	0	1	9	10.0	
Hourly Tota	0	1	170	35	15	11 (D	232	253.2	0	0	12	2	0	0	0	14	14.0	0	1	197	19	3	3	2	225	231.8	0	0	134	29	3	7	1	174	185.6	0 0	2	8 7	,	0	0	1	36	37.0	
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	39	2	1	3 (D	45	49.4	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	33	3	1	1	1	39	41.8	0	0	29	7	2	2	0	40	43.6	0 0	٥	<u>۱</u>	1	0	0	0	5	5.0	
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	21	9	2	4 (D	36	42.2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	39	8	2	0	2	51	54.0	0	0	18	6	0	2	0	26	28.6	0 0	8	\$ 4	4	0	0	0	12	12.0	
09:30 - 09:45	0	1	26	15	3	0 0	D	45	45.9	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	24	7	2	2	1	36	40.6	0	0	21	8	0	7	0	36	45.1	0 0	7	/ 2	2	0	0	1	10	11.0	
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	19	6	1	6 (D	32	40.3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	20	2	2	0	1	25	27.0	0	1	22	6	0	3	0	32	35.3	0 0	8	\$ 1	1	0	0	0	9	9.0	
Hourly Tota	0	1	105	32	7	13 (D	158	177.8	0	0	12	2	0	0	0	14	14.0	0	0	116	20	7	3	5	151	163.4	0	1	90	27	2	14	0	134	152.6	0 0	2	7 8	3	0	0	1	36	37.0	
TOTAL	0	5	498	124	30	40 (D	697	761.0	0	0	32	4	0	0	0	36	36.0	0	12	482	57	15	7	12	585	606.4	0	1	391	82	10	29	1	514	557.1	0 1	8	5 20	0	0	0	2	108	109.4	
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	36	8	1	6 (D	51	59.3	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	1	27	6	0	2	3	39	44.0	0	1	26	8	0	2	0	37	39.0	0 0	٤	3 2	2	0	0	0	10	10.0	
16:15 - 16:30	0	1	33	8	2	6 0	D	50	58.2	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	1	36	4	1	1	0	43	44.2	0	0	32	6	0	1	0	39	40.3	0 0	1	4 2	2	0	0	0	16	16.0	
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	34	5	1	4 (D	44	49.7	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	36	9	0	1	0	46	47.3	0	0	41	8	0	4	0	53	58.2	0 0	1	1 2	2	0	0	0	13	13.0	
16:45 - 17:00	0	1	42	8	3	6 0	D	60	68.7	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	45	4	0	0	2	51	53.0	0	1	46	2	0	0	0	49	48.4	0 1	1	7 3	3	0	0	0	21	20.4	
Hourly Tota	0	2	145	29	7	22 (D	205	235.9	0	0	8	2	0	0	0	10	10.0	0	2	144	23	1	4	5	179	188.5	0	2	145	24	0	7	0	178	185.9	0 1	5	0 9	э –	0	0	0	60	59.4	
17:00 - 17:15	0	2	55	9	0	6 1	1	73	80.6	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	1	28	4	1	1	2	37	40.2	0	2	41	5	0	1	0	49	49.1	0 1	1	4 2	2	0	0	0	17	16.4	
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	44	2	2	2 (D	50	53.6	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	2	38	4	0	2	2	48	51.4	0	2	40	6	0	2	0	50	51.4	0 0	1	2 4	4	0	0	0	16	16.0	
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	62	5	0	5 (D	72	78.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	2	29	3	1	1	0	36	36.6	0	2	34	4	0	0	0	40	38.8	0 1	1	8 1	1	0	0	0	20	19.4	
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	39	3	0	4 (D	46	51.2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	35	3	0	1	1	40	42.3	0	0	34	4	0	1	0	39	40.3	0 0	1	2 4	4	0	0	0	16	16.0	
Hourly Tota	0	2	200	19	2	17 1	1	241	263.9	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	5	130	14	2	5	5	161	170.5	0	6	149	19	0	4	0	178	179.6	0 2	5	6 1 [.]	1	0	0	0	69	67.8	
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	48	6	0	6 (D	60	67.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	3	35	7	0	0	2	47	47.2	0	0	26	1	0	0	0	27	27.0	0 0	1	2 2	2	0	0	0	14	14.0	
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	31	7	1	3 1	1	43	48.4	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	42	5	0	0	1	48	49.0	0	0	25	2	0	0	0	27	27.0	0 0	1	6 E	ō	0	0	0	22	22.0	
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	31	4	0	3 (D	38	41.9	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	47	2	0	1	2	52	55.3	0	0	22	1	0	0	0	23	23.0	0 0	1	3 1	1	0	0	0	14	14.0	
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	27	8	0	4 (D	39	44.2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	1	34	6	0	1	1	43	44.7	0	0	25	3	0	1	0	29	30.3	0 1	1	1 2	2	0	0	0	14	13.4	
Hourly Tota	0	0	137	25	1	16 1	1	180	202.3	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	4	158	20	0	2	6	190	196.2	0	0	98	7	0	1	0	106	107.3	0 1	5	2 1	1	0	0	0	64	63.4	
							_							1	1	1								1								1														
TOTAL	0	4	482	73	10	55 2	2	626	702.1	0	0	16	4	0	0	0	20	20.0	0	11	432	57	3	11	16	530	555.2	0	8	392	50	0	12	0	462	472.8	0 4	15	i8 3	1	0	0	0	193	190.6	

East Midlands Airport Thursday 21st September 2023 Junction: 3 Approach: A50 J1 Slip Road East

			To Rye	ecroft R	toad								To Tre	ent Lan	e							To A	0 J1 Slip I	Road (W	/)						1	To B5010	0								To B654	10				
TIME CYC	LE M/CYC	L	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	ΓΟΤΑΙ	PCUs	CYCLI	е и/сүс		LGV	og	GV1 O	GV2	BUS	τοται	L PCI	Js CYCLI	л/сү	CLI CAR	LG	V OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	и/сүсі	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	τοτα	L PCUs	CYCLE M	/CYCL	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тота	PCUs	PCU Factors:
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1.5	0	0	41	17	2	2	1	1	62	65.	3 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	7	2	0	0	0	9	9.0	0	0	25	7	1	4	0	37	42.7	CYCLE 0.2
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	1	57	11	7	7	1	0	77	81.	2 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	6	2	0	0	0	8	8.0	0	0	39	7	1	4	0	51	56.7	M/CYCLE 0.4
07:30 - 07:45	0	4	1	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	43	16	2	2	5	2	68	77.	5 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	8	3	0	0	0	11	11.0	0	0	33	5	3	2	0	43	47.1	CAR 1.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	84	24	4	4	3	1	116	122	. 9 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	14	5	2	0	0	21	22.0	0	0	55	7	2	5	0	69	76.5	LGV 1.0
Hourly Total	0	7	2	1	0	0	10	10.5	0	1	225	68	1	5	10	4	323	346	.9 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	35	12	2	0	0	49	50.0	0	0	152	26	7	15	0	200	223.0	OGV1 1.5
08:00 - 08:15	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	1	79	18	5	5	2	0	105	109	. 5 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	11	2	0	0	0	13	13.0	0	0	39	4	2	10	0	55	69.0	OGV2 2.3
08:15 - 08:30	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	77	9	5	5	4	1	96	104	.7 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	10	5	1	0	0	16	16.5	0	0	46	10	5	6	0	67	77.3	BUS 2.0
08:30 - 08:45	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	75	16	1	1	8	0	100	110	. 9 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	16	7	0	1	0	24	25.3	0	1	45	5	2	5	0	58	64.9	
08:45 - 09:00	0	5	1	0	0	1	7	8.0	0	0	73	14	7	7	6	0	100	111	. 3 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	13	4	1	0	0	18	18.5	0	0	48	10	2	6	0	66	74.8	
Hourly Total	0	12	1	0	0	1	14	15.0	0	1	304	57	1	8	20	1	401	436	.4 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	50	18	2	1	0	71	73.3	0	1	178	29	11	27	0	246	286.0	
09:00 - 09:15	0	4	1	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	60	16	e	6	4	0	86	94.	2 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	6	4	1	0	0	11	11.5	0	0	45	19	0	4	0	68	73.2	
09:15 - 09:30	0	4	0	1	0	0	5	5.5	0	0	32	10	g	9	3	0	54	62.	4 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	9	4	0	0	0	13	13.0	0	0	53	13	2	5	0	73	80.5	
09:30 - 09:45	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	36	6	g	9	6	0	57	69.	3 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	13	2	1	0	0	16	16.5	0	0	40	11	5	4	0	60	67.7	
09:45 - 10:00	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	25	11	2	2	0	1	39	41.	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	10	5	0	0	0	15	15.0	0	1	34	4	4	7	0	50	60.5	
Hourly Total	0	11	3	1	0	0	15	15.5	0	0	153	43	2	26	13	1	236	266	.9 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	38	15	2	0	0	55	56.0	0	1	172	47	11	20	0	251	281.9	
										_	_	_	_	_				-		-	-	_	_																		-	-	_	_		
TOTAL	0	30	6	2	0	1	39	41.0	0	2	682	168	5	59	43	6	960	105) <mark>.2</mark> 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	123	45	6	1	0	175	179.3	0	2	502	102	29	62	0	697	790.9	
TOTAL	0	30	6	2	0	1	39	41.0	0	2	682	2 168	3 5	59	43	6	960	1050	0.2 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	123	45	6	1	0	175	179.3	0	2	502	102	29	62	0	697	790.9	
TOTAL	0	30	6 0	2 0	0	1	39 5	41.0 6.3	0	2	682 37	2 168	5	59	43 8	6 0	960 58	1050 69.	0.2 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	123	45	6	1	0	175 15	179.3	0	2 0	502 39	102	29	62	0	697 55	790.9 62.0	
TOTAL (16:00 - 16:15 (16:15 - 16:30 (0 0 0	30 4	6 0 1	2 0	0 1 0	1 0 0	39 5 2	41.0 6.3 2.0	0 0 0	2 0 0	682 37 49	2 168 11 8	5	59	43 8 6	6 0	960 58 67	1050 69. 76.	0.2 0 4 0 8 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0	0 0 0	0 0	0 0 0	0	0.0	0 0 0	0 0 0	123 11 20	45 4 4	6 0 0	1 0	0 0 0	175 15 24	179.3 15.0 24.0	0 0 0	2 0	502 39 47	102 10 12	29 1 2	62 5 3	0 0 0	697 55 65	62.0 69.3	
TOTAL () 16:00 - 16:15 () 16:15 - 16:30 () 16:30 - 16:45 ()	0 0 0	30 4 1 2	6 0 1 1	2 0 0 0	0 1 0 1	1 0 0 0	39 5 2 4	41.0 6.3 2.0 5.3	0 0 0	2 0 0 1	682 37 49 59	2 168 111 8 13	3 5	2 4 3	43 8 6 5	6 0 0	960 58 67 81	1050 69. 76. 88.	 0.2 0 4 0 8 0 4 0 	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0	0 0 0	123 11 20 18	45 4 4 4	6 0 0	1 0 0	0 0 0	175 15 24 23	179.3 15.0 24.0 23.5	0 0 0	2 0 1 3	502 39 47 50	102 10 12 10	29 1 2 4	62 5 3 3	0 0 0	697 55 65 70	790.9 62.0 69.3 74.1	
TOTAL 0 16:00 - 16:15 0 16:15 - 16:30 0 16:30 - 16:45 0 16:45 - 17:00 0	0 0 0 0 0	30 4 1 2 1	6 0 1 1 0	2 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1	1 0 0 0 0	39 5 2 4 1	41.0 6.3 2.0 5.3 1.0	0 0 0 0	2 0 0 1 0	682 377 49 59 59	2 168 111 8 13 6	3 50 2 4 3 4	2	43 8 6 5 1	6 0 0 0	960 58 67 81 70	1050 69. 76. 88. 73.	0.2 0 4 0 8 0 4 0 3 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	123 11 20 18 22	45 4 4 4 5	6 0 0 1 0	1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	175 15 24 23 27	179.3 15.0 24.0 23.5 27.0	0 0 0 0	2 0 1 3 0	502 39 47 50 57	102 10 12 10 9	29 1 2 4 0	62 5 3 3 4	0 0 0 0	697 55 65 70 70	790.9 62.0 69.3 74.1 75.2	
TOTAL O 16:00 - 16:15 O 16:15 - 16:30 O 16:30 - 16:45 O 16:45 - 17:00 O Houry Total O	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	30 4 1 2 1 8	6 0 1 1 0 2	2 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 0 2	1 0 0 0 0 0 0	39 5 2 4 1 12	41.0 6.3 2.0 5.3 1.0 14.6	0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 1 0 1	682 37 49 59 59 204	2 168 111 8 13 6 4 38	3 5 2 4 3 4	2 4 3 4 13	 43 8 6 5 1 20 	6 0 0 0 0 0	960 58 67 81 70 276	1050 69. 76. 88. 73. 307	0.2 0 4 0 8 0 4 0 3 0 .9 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	123 11 20 18 22 71	45 4 4 4 5 5 17	6 0 1 0 1	1 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	175 15 24 23 27 89	179.3 15.0 24.0 23.5 27.0 89.5	0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 1 3 0 4	502 39 47 50 57 193	102 10 12 10 9 41	29 1 2 4 0 7	62 5 3 3 4 4 15	0 0 0 0 0 0	697 55 65 70 70 260	790.9 62.0 69.3 74.1 75.2 280.6	
TOTAL I 16:00 - 16:15 I 16:15 - 16:30 I 16:30 - 16:45 I 16:45 - 17:00 I Houry Total I 17:00 - 17:15 I	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	30 4 1 2 1 8 8 1	6 0 1 1 0 2 0	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 0 2 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	39 5 2 4 1 12 1	41.0 6.3 2.0 5.3 1.0 14.6 1.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	682 377 499 599 599 204 48	2 168 111 8 13 6 4 38 11	3 53 2 4 3 4 1 5	59 2 4 3 4 4 13 3	43 8 6 5 1 20 1	6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	960 58 67 81 70 276 66	1050 69. 76. 88. 73. 307 70.	0.2 0 4 0 8 0 4 0 3 0 .9 0 3 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	123 11 20 18 22 71 27	45 4 4 4 5 5 17 3	6 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	175 15 24 23 27 89 30	179.3 15.0 24.0 23.5 27.0 89.5 30.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 1 3 0 4 0	502 39 47 50 57 193 52	102 10 12 10 9 41 3	29 1 2 4 0 7 2	62 5 3 3 4 4 15 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	697 55 65 70 70 260 58	790.9 62.0 69.3 74.1 75.2 280.6 60.3	
TOTAL I 16:00 - 16:15 () 16:15 - 16:30 () 16:30 - 16:45 () 16:45 - 17:00 () Houriy Total () 17:00 - 17:15 () 17:15 - 17:30 ()	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	30 4 1 2 1 8 8 1 3	6 0 1 1 0 2 0 0	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 1 0 2 0 1	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	39 5 2 4 1 12 1 4	41.0 6.3 2.0 5.3 1.0 14.6 1.0 5.3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 1 1 0 1 0 0 0 0	682 377 499 599 599 204 488 61	2 168 111 8 13 6 4 38 11 11 9	3 5 2 4 3 4 1 1 6 2	2	43 8 6 5 1 20 1 5	6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	960 58 67 81 70 276 66 77	1050 69. 76. 88. 73. 307 70. 84.	0.2 0 4 0 8 0 4 0 3 0 .9 0 3 0 5 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	123 11 20 18 22 71 27 21	45 4 4 5 5 17 3 4	6 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	175 15 24 23 27 89 30 25	179.3 15.0 24.0 23.5 27.0 89.5 30.0 25.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 1 3 0 4 0 0	502 39 47 50 57 193 52 57	102 10 12 10 9 41 3 6	1 2 4 0 7 2 0	62 5 3 3 4 15 5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	697 55 65 70 70 260 58 68	790.9 62.0 69.3 74.1 75.2 280.6 60.3 74.5	
TOTAL I 16:00 - 16:15 (1) 16:15 - 16:30 (1) 16:30 - 16:45 (1) 16:45 - 17:00 (1) Hourly Tota (1) 17:00 - 17:15 (1) 17:30 - 17:45 (1)	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	30 4 1 2 1 8 8 1 3 3 3	6 0 1 1 0 2 0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 0 2 0 1 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	39 5 2 4 1 12 1 4 3	41.0 6.3 2.0 5.3 1.0 14.6 1.0 5.3 3.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	682 377 499 599 599 599 204 488 611 53	2 168 111 8 13 6 4 38 11 9 11	3 5 2 4 3 4 4 1 5 2 3	2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	 43 8 6 5 1 20 1 5 5 	6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	960 58 67 81 70 276 66 77 72	1050 69. 76. 88. 73. 307 70. 84. 80.	0.2 0 4 0 8 0 4 0 3 0 9 0 3 0 5 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	123 11 20 18 22 71 27 21 27	45 4 4 5 5 17 3 4 4	6 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	175 15 24 23 27 89 30 25 31	179.3 15.0 24.0 23.5 27.0 89.5 30.0 25.0 31.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 1 3 0 4 0 0 0 0 0	502 39 47 50 57 193 52 57 66	102 10 12 10 9 41 3 6 4	29 1 2 4 0 7 2 0 0 0	62 5 3 3 4 15 1 5 3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	697 55 65 70 70 260 58 68 73	790.9 62.0 69.3 74.1 75.2 280.6 60.3 74.5 76.9	
TOTAL I 16:00 - 16:15 I 16:15 - 16:30 I 16:30 - 16:45 I 16:45 - 17:00 I Hourly Total I 17:00 - 17:15 I 17:30 - 17:45 I 17:30 - 17:45 I	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	30 4 1 2 1 8 8 1 3 3 3 4	6 0 1 1 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 1	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 1 0 2 0 1 0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	39 5 2 4 1 12 1 4 3 6	41.0 6.3 2.0 5.3 1.0 14.6 1.0 5.3 3.0 6.5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	682 377 499 599 599 599 599 599 599 599 599 599	2 168 111 8 13 6 4 38 11 9 9 111 5	3 5 2 4 3 4 4 1 1 2 2 2 3 3 2	2	43 8 6 5 1 20 1 5 5 8	6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	960 58 67 81 276 66 777 72 81	1050 69. 76. 88. 73. 307 70. 84. 80. 94.	0.2 0 4 0 8 0 4 0 3 0 .9 0 3 0 5 0 0 0 4 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	123 11 20 18 22 71 27 21 27 21 27 25	45 4 4 4 5 17 3 4 4 6	6 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 2	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	175 15 24 23 27 89 30 25 31 33	179.3 15.0 24.0 23.5 27.0 89.5 30.0 25.0 31.0 34.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 1 3 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	502 39 47 50 57 193 52 57 66 50	102 10 12 10 9 41 3 6 4 9	29 1 2 4 0 7 2 0 0 0 1	62 5 3 3 4 15 3 4 5 3 4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	697 55 65 70 70 260 58 68 73 64	790.9 62.0 69.3 74.1 75.2 280.6 60.3 74.5 76.9 69.7	
TOTAL I 16:00 - 16:15 I 16:15 - 16:30 I 16:30 - 16:45 I 16:45 - 17:00 I Hourly Total I 17:00 - 17:15 I 17:30 - 17:45 I 17:30 - 17:45 I 17:45 - 18:00 I	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	30 4 1 2 1 8 8 1 3 3 4 4 11	6 0 1 1 0 2 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1	0 1 0 1 0 2 0 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	39 5 2 4 1 12 1 4 3 6 6 14	41.0 6.3 2.0 5.3 1.0 14.6 1.0 5.3 3.0 6.5 15.8	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	682 37 49 59 59 59 2044 8 61 61 53 64 22	! 168 111 8 133 6 4 38 111 9 111 5 5 36	3 5 2 4 4 3 4 4 1 1 2 2 3 3 2 2 2 1	2 4 3 4 3 2 4 3 3 2 3 2 3 3	43 8 6 5 1 20 1 5 5 8 8 19	6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 2 2	960 58 67 81 70 276 66 77 72 81 296	1050 69. 76. 88. 73. 307 70. 84. 80. 94. 329	0.2 0 4 0 8 0 4 0 3 0 .9 0 3 0 5 0 0 0 4 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	123 11 20 18 22 71 27 21 27 21 27 25 100	45 4 4 5 5 17 3 4 4 4 6 17	6 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 2 2 2	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	175 15 24 23 27 89 30 25 31 33 119	179.3 15.0 24.0 23.5 27.0 89.5 30.0 25.0 31.0 34.0 120.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 1 3 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	502 39 47 50 57 193 52 57 66 50 225	102 10 12 10 9 41 3 6 4 9 9 22	29 1 2 4 0 7 2 0 0 0 1 1 3	62 5 3 4 15 3 4 13	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	697 55 65 70 70 260 58 68 73 64 42 263	790.9 62.0 69.3 74.1 75.2 280.6 60.3 74.5 76.9 69.7 281.4	
TOTAL I 16:00 - 16:15 I 16:15 - 16:30 I 16:30 - 16:45 I 16:45 - 17:00 I Hourly Total I 17:00 - 17:15 I 17:30 - 17:45 I 17:30 - 17:45 I 17:45 - 18:00 I Hourly Total I 18:80 - 18:15 I	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	30 4 1 2 1 8 8 1 3 3 4 4 11 4	6 0 1 1 0 2 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0	0 1 0 1 0 2 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	39 5 2 4 1 12 1 4 3 3 6 14 4 4	41.0 6.3 2.0 5.3 1.0 14.6 1.0 5.3 3.0 6.5 15.8 4.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	682 377 49 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59 59	! 168 11 8 13 6 4 38 11 9 111 9 111 5 5 36 4 4	2 2 4 3 4 4 4 4 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	2 . 2 . 4 . 3 . 4 . 13 . 6 . 2 . 3 . 2 . 3 . 3 . 13 . 2 . 13 . 13 . 13 . 13 .	43 8 6 5 1 20 1 5 8 8 1 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 2 2 0	960 58 67 81 70 276 66 66 777 72 81 296 74	1050 69. 76. 88. 73. 307 70. 84. 80. 94. 329 76.	0.2 0 4 0 8 0 4 0 3 0 .9 0 3 0 5 0 0 0 4 0 7 0	0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	123 11 20 18 22 71 27 21 27 21 27 25 100 20	45 4 4 4 4 5 5 17 3 3 4 4 4 6 17 1	6 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 2 2 2 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	175 24 23 27 89 30 25 31 33 119 21	179.3 15.0 24.0 23.5 27.0 89.5 30.0 25.0 31.0 34.0 120.0 21.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 1 3 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	502 39 47 50 57 193 52 57 66 50 50 225 45	102 10 12 10 9 41 3 6 4 4 9 9 22 13	29 1 2 4 0 7 2 2 0 0 0 1 1 3 1	62 5 3 3 4 15 3 4 13 4	0 0	697 55 65 70 70 260 58 68 73 64 263 63	790.9 62.0 69.3 74.1 75.2 280.6 60.3 74.5 76.9 69.7 281.4 68.7	
TOTAL I 16:00 - 16:15 0 16:15 - 16:30 0 16:30 - 16:45 0 16:45 - 17:00 0 Hourly Total 0 17:00 - 17:15 0 17:30 - 17:45 0 17:30 - 17:45 0 17:45 - 18:00 0 Hourly Total 0 18:00 - 18:15 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	30 4 1 2 1 8 1 3 3 3 4 4 11 4 4 4	6 0 1 1 1 0 2 0 0 1 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0	0 1 0 2 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	39 5 2 4 1 1 1 2 1 4 3 6 6 14 4 4	41.0 6.3 2.0 5.3 1.0 14.6 1.0 5.3 3.0 6.5 15.8 4.0 4.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	682 37 49 59 59 204 48 61 53 64 64 226 64 37	2 168 111 8 13 6 4 38 11 9 111 5 3 36 4 4 4	2 2 4 3 4 4 1 7 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 2 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	2	43 8 6 5 1 20 1 5 5 8 1 1 4	6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 2 2 0 0 0	960 58 67 81 70 276 66 77 72 81 296 74 45	1056 69. 76. 88. 73. 307 70. 84. 80. 94. 329 76. 50.	4 0 4 0 8 0 4 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 3 0 4 0 5 0 0 0 4 0 7 0 2 0	0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	123 11 20 18 22 71 27 21 27 25 100 20 14	45 4 4 5 17 3 4 4 4 6 17 1 1 4	6 0 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 2 2 2 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	175 24 23 27 89 30 25 31 33 119 21 18	179.3 15.0 24.0 23.5 30.0 25.0 31.0 34.0 120.0 21.0 18.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 1 3 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	502 39 47 50 57 193 52 57 66 50 225 45 44	102 10 12 10 9 41 3 6 4 9 22 13 5	29 1 2 4 0 7 2 0 0 0 1 1 3 1 0 0	62 5 3 4 15 3 4 13 4 7	0 0	697 55 65 70 70 260 58 68 73 64 263 63 56	790.9 62.0 69.3 74.1 75.2 280.6 60.3 74.5 76.9 69.7 281.4 68.7 65.1	
TOTAL I 16:00 - 16:15 0 16:15 - 16:30 0 16:30 - 16:45 0 16:45 - 17:00 0 Hourly Total 0 17:00 - 17:15 0 17:30 - 17:45 0 17:30 - 17:45 0 17:45 - 18:00 0 Hourly Total 0 18:00 - 18:15 0 18:15 - 18:30 0 18:30 - 18:45 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	30 4 1 2 1 3 3 3 4 4 11 4 4 4 1	6 0 1 1 0 2 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 2 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	39 5 2 4 1 1 12 1 4 3 6 6 14 4 4 1	41.0 6.3 2.0 5.3 1.0 14.6 1.0 5.3 3.0 6.5 15.8 4.0 4.0 1.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	682 37 49 59 59 204 48 61 53 64 64 222 64 37 50	111 8 131 6 4 38	3 5: 2 4 3 4 4 2 2 3 3 2 3 3 2 1: 4 0 4 0 4 4	2	43 8 6 5 1 20 1 5 5 8 1 1 4 2 2	6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 2 2 0 0 0 0 0	960 58 67 81 70 276 66 777 72 81 296 74 45 60	1054 69. 76. 88. 73. 307 70. 84. 80. 94. 329 76. 50. 64.	A 0 4 0 8 0 3 0 5 0 0 0 4 0 3 0 5 0 0 0 4 0 3 0 5 0 0 0 2 0 6 0	0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	123 11 20 18 22 71 27 21 27 21 27 21 27 21 27 21 27 21 27 21 27 21 27 21 27 100 20 14	45 4 4 5 5 17 3 4 4 4 4 6 17 1 1 4 2	6 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 2 2 2 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	175 15 24 23 27 89 30 25 31 33 119 21 18 17	179.3 15.0 24.0 23.5 27.0 89.5 30.0 25.0 31.0 34.0 120.0 21.0 18.0 16.4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 1 3 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	502 39 47 50 57 193 52 57 66 50 225 45 44 26	102 10 12 10 9 41 3 6 4 9 22 13 5 2	29 1 2 4 0 7 2 0 0 0 0 1 1 3 1 0 0 1 1	62 5 3 4 15 3 4 15 3 4 13 4 7 2	0 0	697 55 65 70 260 58 68 73 64 263 63 63 56 31	790.9 62.0 69.3 74.1 75.2 280.6 60.3 74.5 76.9 69.7 281.4 68.7 65.1 34.1	
TOTAL I 16:00 - 16:15 0 16:15 - 16:30 0 16:30 - 16:45 0 16:45 - 17:00 0 Hourly Total 0 17:00 - 17:15 0 17:30 - 17:45 0 17:30 - 17:45 0 17:45 - 18:00 0 Hourly Total 0 18:15 - 18:30 0 18:30 - 18:15 0 18:31 - 18:30 0 18:45 - 19:00 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	30 4 1 2 1 1 8 8 1 3 3 3 4 4 11 4 4 4 1 1 1	6 0 1 1 1 1 0 2 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 2 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	39 5 2 4 1 12 1 4 3 6 14 4 1 2	41.0 6.3 2.0 5.3 1.0 14.6 1.0 5.3 3.0 6.5 15.8 4.0 4.0 1.0 2.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	682 37 49 59 59 204 48 61 53 53 64 64 64 37 50 50 35	111 8 13 6 13 6 11 9 111 9 111 5 3 6 4 4 4 4	8 5: 2 4 3 4 1:: 6 2 3 2 3 2 1: 4 4 0 1: 4 4 0 1: 4 4 0 1:	2	43 8 6 5 1 20 1 5 5 8 1 1 4 2 1 1	6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 2 2 0 0 0 0 0 0	960 58 67 81 70 276 66 77 72 81 296 74 45 60 42	1054 69 76. 88. 73. 307 70. 84. 80. 94. 329 76. 50. 64. 44.	A 0 4 0 8 0 4 0 3 0 5 0 0 0 4 0 3 0 5 0 0 0 4 0 5 0 0 0 4 0 0 0 4 0 5 0 6 0 3 0	0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	123 11 20 18 22 71 27 25 100 20 14 11	45 4 4 5 5 17 3 4 4 4 6 17 1 4 2 2	6 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 2 2 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	175 24 23 27 89 30 25 31 33 119 21 18 17 13	179.3 15.0 24.0 23.5 27.0 89.5 30.0 25.0 31.0 34.0 120.0 21.0 18.0 16.4 13.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 1 1 3 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	502 39 47 50 57 193 52 57 66 50 225 45 44 44 26 31	102 10 12 10 9 41 3 6 4 4 9 22 13 5 5 2 2 2	29 1 2 4 0 7 2 0 0 1 3 1 0 1 2 2	62 5 3 4 15 1 5 3 4 15 3 4 7 2 2	0 0	697 555 65 70 260 58 68 73 64 263 63 64 263 63 56 31 37	790.9 62.0 69.3 74.1 75.2 280.6 60.3 74.5 76.9 69.7 281.4 68.7 65.1 34.1 40.5	

TOTAL 0 0 29 4 1 3 0 37 41.4 0 2 616 90 36 47 2 793 872.9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 230 43 3 0 0 277 277.9 0 4 564 85 14 43 0 710 770.5

East Midlands Airport Thursday 21st September 2023 Junction: 3 Approach: Ryecroft Road

				To Trent	Lane						T	o A50 J	1 Slip F	toad (W)							1	o B5010								1	o B654	0					· · · · ·		To A50	J1 Slip F	toad (E)				
TIME	CYCLE	л/сүсц	CAR LO	ogv	1 OG	/2 BUS	ΤΟΤΑΙ	PCUs	CYCLE	N/CYCL	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	ΤΟΤΑΙ	PCUs	CYCLE	и/сүсь	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS T	OTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	1/CYCLI	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	И/CYCL	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	ΤΟΤΑΙ	PCUs	PCU Factors:
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	2	L 0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	5	5.0	CYCLE 0.2
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	M/CYCLI 0.4
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	1 :	L O	0	1	3	4.0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	CAR 1.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	2	L O	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	5	5.0	LGV 1.0
Hourly Tota	0	0	5 3	3 0	0	1	9	10.0	0	0	9	3	0	0	0	12	12.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	9	2	0	0	0	11	11.0	0	0	12	4	0	0	0	16	16.0	OGV1 1.5
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	2 (0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	OGV2 2.3
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	6 (0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	6	6.0	BUS 2.0
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	2 (0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	2 2	2 0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	5	5.0	
Hourly Tota	0	0	12 2	2 0	0	0	14	14.0	0	0	6	2	0	0	0	8	8.0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	6	2	0	0	0	8	8.0	0	0	11	2	0	0	0	13	13.0	
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	1 (0	1	0	2	3.3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.0	
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	2 (0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	2 :	L O	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	2 (0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	
Hourly Tota	0	0	7	1 0	1	0	9	10.3	0	0	8	2	0	o	0	10	10.0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	12	3	0	0	0	15	15.0	0	0	9	2	0	0	0	11	11.0	
TOTAL	0	0	24 (5 0	1	1	32	34.3	0	0	23	7	0	0	0	30	30.0	0	0	8	2	0	0	0	10	10.0	0	0	27	7	0	0	0	34	34.0	0	0	32	8	0	0	0	40	40.0	
					·																																								
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	3 :	L O	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.0	
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	5 (0	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	1 (0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0	
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	1 :	L O	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0	
Hourly Tota	0	0	10 2	2 0	0	0	12	12.0	0	0	13	3	0	0	0	16	16.0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	12	2	0	0	0	14	14.0	0	0	14	2	0	0	0	16	16.0	
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	1 (0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	7	7.0	
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	3 (0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	4 :	L O	1	0	6	7.3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0	
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0	
Hourly Tota	0	0	8	1 0	1	0	10	11.3	0	0	15	0	0	0	0	15	15.0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	11	4	0	0	0	15	15.0	0	0	16	1	0	0	0	17	17.0	
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	2 (0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	1 (0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	2	0	4	0	0	0	0	6	4.4	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	2	L O	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	3	3.5	
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	1 (0 0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	
Hourly Tota	0	0	6	1 0	0	0	7	7.0	0	0	10	2	0	0	0	12	12.0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	6	6.0	2	0	10	1	0	0	0	13	11.4	0	0	10	0	1	0	0	11	11.5	
TOTAL	0	0	24	1 0	1	0	29	30.3	0	0	38	5	0	0	0	43	43.0	0	0	16	1	0	0	0	17	17.0	2	0	33	7	0	0	0	42	40.4	0	0	40	3	1	0	0	44	44.5	

East Midlands Airport Thursday 21st September 2023 Junction: 3 Approach: Trent Lane

				To A50) J1 Slip	Road (\	W)							To B50	10								To B654	10							To A50) J1 Slip I	Road (E	E)						To F	tyecrof	ft Road				_
TIME	CYCLE	N/CYCL	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	2 BUS	TOTA	AL PCUs	CYCLE	и/сүс	LI CAR	LGV	OGV	1 OGV2	BUS	тота		s CYCL	E N/CYC	CLI CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	A/CYCL	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	ΓΟΤΑ	L PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCL	CAR	LGV	OGV:	1 OGV2	2 BUS	тота		s PC
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	38	14	2	4	0	58	64.2	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	15	2	0	1	1	19	21.3	0	0	38	8	2	3	0	51	55.9	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	44	12	8	7	0	71	84.1	0	0	4	1	0	0	1	6	7.0	0	0	13	2	1	1	1	18	20.8	0	0	43	7	4	2	0	56	60.6	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	M/!
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	70	13	6	1	0	90	94.3	0	0	8	1	1	0	1	11	12.5	0	0	26	4	2	0	1	33	35.0	0	0	57	10	7	0	0	74	77.5	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	c
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	62	15	3	3	0	83	88.4	0	0	8	2	2	0	0	12	13.0	0	0	30	3	2	1	1	37	40.3	0	0	45	8	4	3	0	60	65.9	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	L.
lourly Tota	0	0	214	54	19	15	0	302	331.0	0	0	25	5	3	0	2	35	38.5	0	0	84	11	5	3	4	107	117.4	0	0	183	33	17	8	0	241	259.9	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	7	7.0	0
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	69	4	1	3	0	77	81.4	0	0	7	1	0	0	2	10	12.0	1	0	19	10	1	1	2	34	37.0	0	0	59	5	6	7	0	77	89.1	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	5	5.0	о
8:15 - 08:30	0	0	55	11	4	3	0	73	78.9	0	0	5	3	1	0	0	9	9.5	0	0	19	6	1	0	2	28	30.5	0	0	38	8	4	0	0	50	52.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	F
8:30 - 08:45	i o	0	37	5	2	2	0	46	49.6	0	0	8	3	0	0	0	11	11.0	0	0	18	3	1	1	0	23	24.8	0	0	33	9	4	2	0	48	52.6	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.0	
8:45 - 09:00	0	0	40	5	2	2	0	49	52.6	0	0	6	3	1	0	2	12	14.5	0	1	18	6	0	1	0	26	26.7	0	0	45	8	2	4	0	59	65.2	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0	
ourly Tota		0	201	25	9	10	0	245	262.5	0	0	26	10	2	0	4	42	47.0	1	1	74	25	3	3	4	111	119.0	0	0	175	30	16	13	0	234	258.9	0	0	13	2	0	0	0	15	15.0	
9:00 - 09:15	0	1	33	13	2	2	0	51	54.0	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	5	5,5	0	1	27	0	0	1	0	29	29.7	0	0	36	3	5	5	0	49	58.0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0	1
9:15 - 09:30	0	0	28	8	1	1	0	38	39.8	0	0	4	2	0	0	3	9	12 0		1	17	6	0	1	0	25	25.7	0	0	26	5	5	5	0	41	50.0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.0	1
		0	32	10	0	3	0	45	48.9	0	0	7	1	1	0	1	10	11.5		0	17	3	1	1	2	24	27.8	0	0	31	13	7	4	0	55	63.7	0	0	3	0		0		3	3.0	1
	0	0	37	7	2	1	0	20	40.9	0	0		2	_	0		7	7.0		0	15	2	1	1	1	20	22.0	0	0	21	- 15	,	7	0	44	56.6	0	-	2	-	0	-	0	-	2.0	
.43 - 10.00			420	20		7	0	470	40.0		0	20		2	0		24	20.0		2	70		1		2	20	400.0	0	0	21	30	24	24	•	44	220.0	0	0	42		0	0			2.0	
uny rota			120	30	0	1	U	1/2	103.5	U	U	20	0	2	U	4	31	30.0		2	/0	11	2	4	3	90	106.0	U	U	114	30	24	21	U	109	220.3	U	U	13		U	0		14	14.0	
TOTAL			525	447	24	22		740	777.0			74	20	-	•	40	409	424			224	47	40	40	44	24.6	242.4	•	•	470	02	67	40	•		747.4	•		22					26	20.0	
			555	117	34	32	0	/13	111.0	Ū	U	11	20	'	U	10	100	121.	5 1	3	234	4/	10	10		310	342.4	U	U	4/2	55	57	42	Ū	004	747.1	0		33	3	0			30	30.0	
			00	10		2	0	404	420.0			47	2				20	40.4			52			2	2	64	CO A	0	0	00	20	2	2	_	400	407.4									6.0	
JU - 16:15	0	0	99	18	4	3	0	124	129.9	0	1	1/	2	0	0	0	20	19.4		2	53	4	0	2	3	64	50.4	0	0	98	20	3	2	0	123	02.0	0	0	4	- 2	0	0	0		0.0	-
15 - 16:30	0		6/	8	3	2	0	00	04.1	0	0	19	3	0	0	0	22	22.0		4	43	0	1	0	0	04	52.1	0	0	00	15	4	3	0	00	93.9	0	0	4	- 0	0	- 0	0	-	4.0	-
:30 - 16:45	0	1	84	14	1	2	0	102	104.5	0	0	22	3	0	0	0	25	25.0	0	3	57	5	0	0	0	65	63.2	0	0	88	13	0	1	0	102	103.3	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0	
:45 - 17:00	0	1	82	8	1	2	0	94	96.5	0	1	19	3	0	0	3	26	28.4	0	4	44	3	2	1	3	57	59.9	0	0	66	11	2	3	0	82	86.9	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	6	6.0	-
urly Tota	0	2	332	48	9	9	0	400	415.0	0	2	77	11	0	0	3	93	94.8	0	13	197	18	3	3	6	240	243.6	0	0	318	59	9	9	0	395	411.2	0	0	17	3	0	0	0	20	20.0	-
00 - 17:15	0	0	137	5	3	5	0	150	158.0	0	1	29	2	0	0	0	32	31.4	0	4	62	3	0	1	0	70	68.9	0	0	119	7	2	2	0	130	133.6	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	7	7.0	-
15 - 17:30	0	1	92	6	2	2	0	103	106.0	0	0	20	5	0	0	0	25	25.0	0	2	49	7	0	1	1	60	61.1	0	0	87	10	4	3	0	104	109.9	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0	-
:30 - 17:45	0	2	120	3	1	0	0	126	125.3	0	2	24	2	0	0	0	28	26.8	0	1	56	4	0	0	2	63	64.4	0	0	90	7	1	2	0	100	103.1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	-
:45 - 18:00	0	0	92	11	0	3	0	106	109.9	0	1	18	3	0	0	0	22	21.4	0	0	33	4	0	0	0	37	37.0	0	0	57	6	0	2	0	65	67.6	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	4
ourly Tota	0	3	441	25	6	10	0	485	499.2	0	4	91	12	0	0	0	107	104.	6 0	7	200	18	0	2	3	230	231.4	0	0	353	30	7	9	0	399	414.2	0	0	14	3	0	0	0	17	17.0	4
:00 - 18:15	0	2	101	6	2	1	0	112	113.1	0	0	23	1	0	0	3	27	30.0	0	3	47	2	1	0	2	55	55.7	0	0	73	3	0	0	1	77	78.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	
:15 - 18:30	0	2	62	6	0	1	0	71	71.1	0	0	15	3	0	0	0	18	18.0	0	3	38	3	1	1	0	46	46.0	0	1	70	6	1	2	0	80	82.5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	
:30 - 18:45	. 0	0	99	5	1	2	0	107	110.1	0	1	22	2	0	0	1	26	26.4	0	2	35	2	0	1	1	41	42.1	0	0	54	2	2	1	0	59	61.3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	
:45 - 19:00	0	0	38	6	1	1	0	46	47.8	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	11	11.0	0	2	29	0	0	0	1	32	31.8	0	0	37	0	2	0	0	39	40.0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	
ourly Tota	0	4	300	23	4	5	0	336	342.1	0	1	71	6	0	0	4	82	85.4	0	10	149	7	2	2	4	174	175.6	0	1	234	11	5	3	1	255	261.8	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	8	8.0	
TOTAL	0	9	1073	96	19	24	0	1221	1 1256	3 0	7	239	29	0	0	7	282	284	8 0	30	546	43	5	7	13	644	650.6	0	1	905	100	21	21	1	1049	1087 2	0	0	39	6	0	0	0	45	45.0	

East Midlands Airport Thursday 21st September 2023 Junction: 3 Approach: A50 J1 Slip Road West

				т	To B501	0							To B6	540	_		_			1	o A50 J	1 Slip Ro	oad (E)							To R	ecroft	Road						Тс	Trent	Lane				
TIME	CYCLE	A/CYCL	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2 BI	us tot		Us CY	CLE M/O	YCLI CA	AR LG	V OG	1 OGV	2 BUS	тоти		CYCLE	A/CYCLI	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	A/CYCL	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2 B	us гот		S CYCL	е и/сүс	L CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	ΓΟΤΑΙ	PCUs	PCU Factors
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	2	0	0	0 0	2	2 2.	0	0	0 2	7 9	1	1	0	38	39.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	1	0	0	0) 1	1.0	0	0	40	10	4	3	0	57	62.9	CYCLE 0.2
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	0	1	0	0 (1	1 1.	0	0	0 3	3 8	1	2	0	44	47.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	76	12	3	3	0	94	99.4	M/CYCLE 0.4
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	0	0	0	0 0		0.	0	0	0 4	7 4	2	6	0	59	67.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	4	1	0	0	5	5.0	0	1	79	14	3	6	0	103	111.7	CAR 1.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	4	2	0	0 (o e	6 6.	0	0	0 3	5 7	1	4	0	47	52.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	91	10	3	1	0	105	107.8	LGV 1.0
Hourly Tota	0	0	6	3	0	0 0) 9	9.	0	0	0 14	42 28	5	13	0	188	207.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	10	1	0	0) 11	11.0	0	1	286	6 46	13	13	0	359	381.8	OGV1 1.5
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	3	1	0	0 (4	4 4.	0	0	0 4	0 11	. 2	9	0	62	74.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	72	14	4	3	0	93	98.9	OGV2 2.3
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	4	0	0	0 (4	4 4.	0	0	0 3	7 8	1	3	0	49	53.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	88	16	6	6	0	116	126.8	BUS 2.0
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	7	3	0	0 (1	0 10	.0	0	0 3	9 7	1	2	0	49	52.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	4	1	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	90	8	3	2	0	103	107.1	
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	1	1	0	0 (2	2 2.	0	0	0 4	4 6	0	5	0	55	61.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	6	1	0	1	8	9.3	0	0	84	6	4	5	0	99	107.5	
Hourly Tota	0	0	15	5	0	0 (2	0 20	.0	0	0 16	60 32	4	19	0	215	241.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	17	2	0	1	20	21.3	0	0	334	44	17	16	0	411	440.3	
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	0	2	0	0 (2	2 2.	0	0	0 2	8 3	3	1	0	35	37.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	4	1	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	34	11	5	5	0	55	64.0	
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	4	1	0	0 (5 5.	0	0	0 2	3 8	0	7	0	38	47.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	4	1	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	42	7	3	7	0	59	69.6	
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	4	1	0	0 (5 5.	0	0	0 2	.0 8	1	2	0	31	34.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	3	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	32	9	2	4	0	47	53.2	
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	4	2	0	0 (6 6.	0	0	1 3	0 10	1	4	0	46	51.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	2	1	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	31	5	1	2	0	39	42.1	
Hourly Tota	0	0	12	6	0	0 0	1	8 18	.0	0	1 10	01 29	5	14	0	150	170.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	13	3	0	0) 16	16.0	0	0	139	32	11	18	0	200	228.9	
															_		_																		_									
TOTAL	0	0	33	14	0	0 0	4	7 47	.0	0	1 40	03 89	14	46	0	553	619.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	40	6	0	1	47	48.3	0	1	759	122	41	47	0	970	1051.0	
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	6	0	0	0 0	o e	6 6.	0	0	0 4	5 8	2	0	0	55	56.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	53	7	2	4	0	66	72.2	
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	5	0	0	0 0		5 5.	0	0	0 4	1 8	1	3	0	53	57.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	2	1	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	52	11	4	5	0	72	80.5	
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	5	1	0	0 0	o 6	6 6.	0	0	1 3	8 7	0	1	0	47	47.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	4	2	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	57	7	3	3	0	70	75.4	
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	5	0	0	0 0		5 5.	0	0	0 3	7 6	0	1	0	44	45.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	3	1	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	55	9	1	4	0	69	74.7	
Hourly Tota	0	0	21	1	0	0 0	2	2 22	.0	0	1 16	61 29	3	5	0	199	206.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	11	4	0	0) 15	15.0	0	0	217	34	10	16	0	277	302.8	
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	4	2	0	0 0	o 6	6 6.	0	0	1 3	1 7	1	1	0	41	42.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	74	8	4	3	0	89	94.9	
17:15 - 17:30	0	1	4	0	0	0 (5 4.	4	0	1 4	1 7	0	2	0	51	53.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	3	1	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	63	10	0	2	0	75	77.6	
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	5	0	0	0 0		5 5.	0	0	0 5	0 7	0	1	0	58	59.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	2	95	4	1	2	0	104	105.9	
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	4	0	0	0 (4	4 4.	0	0	0 3	6 6	0	1	0	43	44.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	3	1	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	85	6	3	3	0	97	102.4	
Hourly Tota	0	1	17	2	0	0 0	2	0 19	.4	0	2 15	58 27	' 1	5	0	193	198.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	12	2	0	0) 14	14.0	0	2	317	28	8	10	0	365	380.8	
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	6	1	0	0 0	7	7.	0	0	0 4	3 4	0	2	0	49	51.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	3	67	4	0	2	0	76	76.8	
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	3	0	0	0 0) 3	3 3.	0	0	0 2	5 2	2	0	0	29	30.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	1	0	0	0) 1	1.0	0	2	62	4	0	0	0	68	66.8	
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	5	0	0	0 0		5 5.	0	0	2 3	1 1	0	1	0	35	35.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	58	3	0	3	0	64	67.9	
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	6	0	0	0 0	o e	6 6.	0	0	1 1	.8 2	0	0	0	21	20.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	1	0	0	0) 1	1.0	0	0	49	2	0	0	0	51	51.0	
Hourly Tota	0	0	20	1	0	0	2	1 21	.0	0	3 11	17 9	2	3	0	134	137.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	6	0	0	0) 6	6.0	0	5	236	5 13	0	5	0	259	262.5	
														_																														
TOTAL	0	1	58	4	0	0 0	6	3 62	.4	0	6 43	36 65	6	13	0	526	542.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	29	6	0	0	35	35.0	0	7	770	75	18	31	0	901	946.1	



APPENDIX 13 - M1 Junction 25 Turning Count Results

A A B				То	A52 (E)							To Bost	tocks La	ne (E)						Тс	5 M1 J2	5 Slip Roa	d (S)							Тс	o A52 (V	v)							To Bos	tocks La	ane (W)				
a a b </th <th>TIME</th> <th>CYCLE M/CYCL</th> <th>E CAR</th> <th>LGV</th> <th>OGV1</th> <th>OGV2 BUS</th> <th>тоти</th> <th></th> <th>CYCLE</th> <th>M/CYCLE</th> <th>CAR</th> <th>LGV</th> <th>OGV1</th> <th>OGV2</th> <th>BUS</th> <th>TOTAL</th> <th>PCUs</th> <th>CYCLE M</th> <th>/CYCLE</th> <th>CAR</th> <th>LGV</th> <th>OGV1 O</th> <th>SV2 B</th> <th>sus T</th> <th></th> <th>PCUs</th> <th>CYCLE</th> <th>M/CYCLE</th> <th>CAR</th> <th>LGV</th> <th>OGV1</th> <th>OGV2</th> <th>BUS 1</th> <th>TOTAL</th> <th>PCUs</th> <th>CYCLE</th> <th>M/CYCLE</th> <th>E CAR</th> <th>LGV</th> <th>OGV1</th> <th>OGV2</th> <th>BUS</th> <th>TOTAL</th> <th>PCUs</th> <th>PCU Factors:</th>	TIME	CYCLE M/CYCL	E CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2 BUS	тоти		CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE M	/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1 O	SV2 B	sus T		PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS 1	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	E CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	PCU Factors:
a) a) b)	07:00 - 07:15	0 0	28	14	1	3 0	46	50.4	0	1	39	7	8	1	0	56	60.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	104	45	8	4	0	161	170.2	0	1	13	6	2	2	0	24	27.0	CYCLE 0.2
a b </td <td>07:15 - 07:30</td> <td>0 0</td> <td>47</td> <td>19</td> <td>2</td> <td>0 0</td> <td>68</td> <td>69.0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>50</td> <td>26</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td> <td>80</td> <td>83.6</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>126</td> <td>37</td> <td>5</td> <td>4</td> <td>0</td> <td>172</td> <td>179.7</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>29</td> <td>7</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td> <td>40</td> <td>43.6</td> <td>M/CYCLE 0.4</td>	07:15 - 07:30	0 0	47	19	2	0 0	68	69.0	0	0	50	26	2	2	0	80	83.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	126	37	5	4	0	172	179.7	0	0	29	7	2	2	0	40	43.6	M/CYCLE 0.4
100 01 <t< td=""><td>07:30 - 07:45</td><td>0 0</td><td>59</td><td>25</td><td>1</td><td>1 0</td><td>86</td><td>87.8</td><td>0</td><td>2</td><td>37</td><td>9</td><td>5</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>54</td><td>56.6</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0.0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>121</td><td>41</td><td>9</td><td>2</td><td>0</td><td>173</td><td>180.1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>20</td><td>12</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>34</td><td>35.8</td><td>CAR 1.0</td></t<>	07:30 - 07:45	0 0	59	25	1	1 0	86	87.8	0	2	37	9	5	1	0	54	56.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	121	41	9	2	0	173	180.1	0	0	20	12	1	1	0	34	35.8	CAR 1.0
best <	07:45 - 08:00	0 0	54	22	2	2 0	80	83.6	0	1	67	20	4	1	0	93	95.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	1	111	33	5	4	0	154	161.1	0	0	27	4	2	o	0	33	34.0	LGV 1.0
a b </td <td>Hourly Total</td> <td>0 0</td> <td>188</td> <td>80</td> <td>6</td> <td>6 0</td> <td>280</td> <td>290.8</td> <td>0</td> <td>4</td> <td>193</td> <td>62</td> <td>19</td> <td>5</td> <td>0</td> <td>283</td> <td>296.6</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>462</td> <td>156</td> <td>27</td> <td>14</td> <td>0</td> <td>660</td> <td>691.1</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>89</td> <td>29</td> <td>7</td> <td>5</td> <td>0</td> <td>131</td> <td>140.4</td> <td>OGV1 1.5</td>	Hourly Total	0 0	188	80	6	6 0	280	290.8	0	4	193	62	19	5	0	283	296.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	1	462	156	27	14	0	660	691.1	0	1	89	29	7	5	0	131	140.4	OGV1 1.5
10 0 1 1 2 0 1 1 1 1 0	08:00 - 08:15	0 0	58	18	2	2 0	80	83.6	0	0	66	14	6	0	0	86	89.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	1	111	28	6	0	0	146	148.4	0	0	28	9	6	0	0	43	46.0	OGV2 2.3
a b b b b <	08:15 - 08:30	0 0	73	14	4	3 0	94	99.9	0	1	36	15	1	2	0	55	57.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	98	31	5	2	0	136	141.1	0	0	29	9	1	0	0	39	39.5	BUS 2.0
best <	08:30 - 08:45	0 1	78	16	4	2 0	101	105.0	0	1	60	19	4	5	0	89	96.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	100	20	5	1	0	126	129.8	0	0	30	5	2	1	0	38	40.3	
bit bit <	08:45 - 09:00	0 0	35	7	4	3 0	49	54.9	0	0	22	6	0	0	0	28	28.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	52	17	1	2	0	72	75.1	0	0	17	4	1	4	0	26	31.7	
90 90 85 9 4 0 0 77 1 1 0 44 64 0 </td <td>Hourly Total</td> <td>0 1</td> <td>244</td> <td>55</td> <td>14</td> <td>10 0</td> <td>324</td> <td>343.4</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>184</td> <td>54</td> <td>11</td> <td>7</td> <td>0</td> <td>258</td> <td>271.4</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>361</td> <td>96</td> <td>17</td> <td>5</td> <td>0</td> <td>480</td> <td>494.4</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>104</td> <td>27</td> <td>10</td> <td>5</td> <td>0</td> <td>146</td> <td>157.5</td> <td></td>	Hourly Total	0 1	244	55	14	10 0	324	343.4	0	2	184	54	11	7	0	258	271.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	1	361	96	17	5	0	480	494.4	0	0	104	27	10	5	0	146	157.5	
bit bit <td>09:00 - 09:15</td> <td>0 0</td> <td>25</td> <td>9</td> <td>4</td> <td>0 0</td> <td>38</td> <td>40.0</td> <td>o</td> <td>0</td> <td>27</td> <td>12</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>41</td> <td>42.8</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>51</td> <td>14</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td> <td>69</td> <td>72.6</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>16</td> <td>6</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>24</td> <td>25.8</td> <td></td>	09:00 - 09:15	0 0	25	9	4	0 0	38	40.0	o	0	27	12	1	1	0	41	42.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	51	14	2	2	0	69	72.6	0	0	16	6	1	1	0	24	25.8	
box box b	09:15 - 09:30	0 0	55	10	3	0 0	68	69.5	0	0	32	6	0	0	0	38	38.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	50	18	7	1	0	76	80.8	0	0	21	9	2	1	0	33	35.3	
best best	09:30 - 09:45	0 0	42	7	3	0 0	52	53.5	0	0	21	5	0	1	0	27	28.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	63	13	5	7	0	88	99.6	0	0	24	7	3	1	0	35	37.8	
bear bear	09:45 - 10:00	0 0	27	8	3	3 0	41	46.4	0	0	27	13	2	0	0	42	43.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	25	13	6	4	0	48	56.2	0	0	15	5	2	1	0	23	25.3	
OTAL 0 1 58 19 0 83 6 64 152 33 14 0 68 72.0 0	Hourly Total	0 0	149	34	13	3 0	199	209.4	0	0	107	36	3	2	0	148	152.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	189	58	20	14	0	281	309.2	0	0	76	27	8	4	0	115	124.2	
O 1 581 69 33 19 0 833 0 6 844 12 33 10 0 60 70 0										1																1												_							
1600-161: 0	TOTAL	0 1	581	169	33	19 0	803	843.6	0	6	484	152	33	14	0	689	720.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	2	1012	310	64	33	0	1421	1494.7	0	1	269	83	25	14	0	392	422.1	
besic b			1							1																											1			<u> </u>					
1615 163	16:00 - 16:15	0 0	33	17	3	2 0	55	59.1	0	0	40	13	0	0	0	53	53.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	59	19	2	3	1	84	89.9	0	0	16	13	3	0	0	32	33.5	
130 15 15 15 16 0 74 78 0 0 16 0 <th0< td=""><td>16:15 - 16:30</td><td>0 0</td><td>49</td><td>16</td><td>2</td><td>1 0</td><td>68</td><td>70.3</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>60</td><td>13</td><td>2</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>75</td><td>76.0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0.0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>63</td><td>20</td><td>2</td><td>6</td><td>0</td><td>91</td><td>99.8</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>20</td><td>6</td><td>2</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>29</td><td>31.3</td><td></td></th0<>	16:15 - 16:30	0 0	49	16	2	1 0	68	70.3	0	0	60	13	2	0	0	75	76.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	63	20	2	6	0	91	99.8	0	0	20	6	2	1	0	29	31.3	
1 (45) (-1) 0 <th< td=""><td>16:30 - 16:45</td><td>0 2</td><td>57</td><td>13</td><td>2</td><td>0 0</td><td>74</td><td>73.8</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>47</td><td>11</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>58</td><td>58.0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0.0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>60</td><td>8</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>0</td><td>71</td><td>74.1</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>28</td><td>15</td><td>2</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>46</td><td>48.3</td><td></td></th<>	16:30 - 16:45	0 2	57	13	2	0 0	74	73.8	0	0	47	11	0	0	0	58	58.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	60	8	1	2	0	71	74.1	0	0	28	15	2	1	0	46	48.3	
Houry Total 0 1 0 2 1 1 1 2 3 0 2 0 0 1 2 0 <th0< th=""> 0 0 0 0</th0<>	16:45 - 17:00	0 0	55	4	0	0 0	59	59.0	0	0	41	11	0	0	1	53	54.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	61	18	2	0	0	81	82.0	0	0	27	9	1	0	0	37	37.5	
1 1 20 1 3 3 3 9 0 6<	Hourly Total	0 2	194	50	7	3 0	256	262.2	0	0	188	48	2	0	1	239	241.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	243	65	7	11	1	327	345.8	0	0	91	43	8	2	0	144	150.6	
1715-1730 0 62 62 62 62 63 60 67 12 0 70	17:00 - 17:15	0 1	38	14	3	0 0	56	56.9	0	0	42	15	2	0	0	59	60.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	54	12	2	2	0	70	73.6	0	0	24	12	0	2	0	38	40.6	
1730-1745 0 57 10 0 10 68 69.3 0 52 8 0 60 60 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 68 69.3 0 0 52 8 0 </td <td>17:15 - 17:30</td> <td>0 0</td> <td>62</td> <td>5</td> <td>2</td> <td>0 0</td> <td>69</td> <td>70.0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>67</td> <td>12</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>79</td> <td>79.0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>73</td> <td>7</td> <td>1</td> <td>4</td> <td>0</td> <td>85</td> <td>90.7</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>32</td> <td>10</td> <td>4</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td> <td>48</td> <td>52.6</td> <td></td>	17:15 - 17:30	0 0	62	5	2	0 0	69	70.0	0	0	67	12	0	0	0	79	79.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	73	7	1	4	0	85	90.7	0	0	32	10	4	2	0	48	52.6	
1/45-18:00 0 1 63 10 1 10 76 77.2 0 1 48 8 1 0 58 57.9 0 <td>17:30 - 17:45</td> <td>0 0</td> <td>57</td> <td>10</td> <td>0</td> <td>1 0</td> <td>68</td> <td>69.3</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>52</td> <td>8</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>60</td> <td>60.0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>45</td> <td>6</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td> <td>55</td> <td>58.6</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>26</td> <td>7</td> <td>2</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>36</td> <td>38.3</td> <td></td>	17:30 - 17:45	0 0	57	10	0	1 0	68	69.3	0	0	52	8	0	0	0	60	60.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	45	6	2	2	0	55	58.6	0	0	26	7	2	1	0	36	38.3	
Houring Total 0 2 220 39 6 2 0 26 27.4 0 1 209 43 3 0 0 26 0 </td <td>17:45 - 18:00</td> <td>0 1</td> <td>63</td> <td>10</td> <td>1</td> <td>1 0</td> <td>76</td> <td>77.2</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>48</td> <td>8</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>58</td> <td>57.9</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>54</td> <td>8</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>64</td> <td>65.5</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>23</td> <td>4</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>29</td> <td>28.9</td> <td></td>	17:45 - 18:00	0 1	63	10	1	1 0	76	77.2	0	1	48	8	1	0	0	58	57.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	54	8	1	0	1	64	65.5	0	1	23	4	1	0	0	29	28.9	
18:00-18:15 0 0 47 6 0 1 0 54 55.3 0 0 39 8 2 0 0 49 50.0 0 0 49 50.0 0 0 49 50.0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Hourly Total	0 2	220	39	6	2 0	269	273.4	0	1	209	43	3	0	0	256	256.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	226	33	6	8	1	274	288.4	0	1	105	33	7	5	0	151	160.4	
18:15-18:30 0 0 41 5 0 0 0 46 46.0 0 0 54 5 3 1 0 63 65.8 0 0 0 0 54 5 3 1 0 63 65.8 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 41 8 0 2 0 51 53.6 0 0 18 2 0 2 0 22 24.6	18:00 - 18:15	0 0	47	6	0	1 0	54	55.3	0	0	39	8	2	0	0	49	50.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	45	9	0	0	0	54	54.0	0	0	25	4	2	2	0	33	36.6	
	18:15 - 18:30	0 0	41	5	0	0 0	46	46.0	0	0	54	5	3	1	0	63	65.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	41	8	0	2	0	51	53.6	0	0	18	2	0	2	0	22	24.6	
18:30-18:45 0 0 31 2 0 0 0 33 33.0 0 0 42 3 0 4 0 49 54.2 0 0 0 0 33 33.0 0 4 2 3 0 4 0 49 54.2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 45 47.0 0 0 14 3 2 0 0 19 20.0	18:30 - 18:45	0 0	31	2	0	0 0	33	33.0	0	0	42	3	0	4	0	49	54.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	36	8	2	0	0	46	47.0	0	0	14	3	2	0	0	19	20.0	
18:45-19:00 0 0 33 5 1 1 1 0 40 41.8 0 0 32 2 0 7 0 41 50.1 0 0 0 32 2 0 7 0 41 50.1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	18:45 - 19:00	0 0	33	5	1	1 0	40	41.8	0	0	32	2	0	7	0	41	50.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	33	6	0	0	0	39	39.0	0	0	16	2	0	0	0	18	18.0	
Hourly Total 0 0 152 18 1 2 0 173 176.1 0 0 167 18 5 12 0 202 220.1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 155 31 2 2 0 190 193.6 0 0 73 11 4 4 0 92 99.2	Hourly Total	0 0	152	18	1	2 0	173	176.1	0	0	167	18	5	12	0	202	220.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	155	31	2	2	0	190	193.6	0	0	73	11	4	4	0	92	99.2	
	TOTA			407		7 .		744				400	40	40		c07	740.0											•	604	400	45	24		704	007.0	•		200		40			207	440.5	

CYCLE	0.2
M/CYCLE	0.4
CAR	1.0
LGV	1.0
OGV1	1.5
OGV2	2.3
BUS	2.0

- 11	<1						

East Midlands Airport Tuesday 26th September 2023 Junction: 1

Approach:	A52 Eas	t																																												
				To Bos	tocks Lar	e (E)							To M	1 J25 SI	p Road	(5)							To A52 (\	N)							To Bost	ocks La	ne (W)							To M1	125 Slip	Road (N	0			
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	GV2 BU	s TO		CUs	CYCLE	I/CYCLI	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLI	M/CYC	LE CAR	LGV	0GV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	0GV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCL	E CAR	LGV	0GV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTA	L PCUs	PCU Factor
07:00 - 07:1	5 0	0	12	3	0	0 0	1	5 1	15.0	0	0	123	21	2	2	0	148	151.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	9	2	0	0	1	12	13.0	0	0	40	12	2	1	0	55	57.3	CYCLE 0.2
07:15 - 07:3	0 0	0	35	9	0	0 0	4	4 4	4.0	0	1	94	20	2	1	0	118	119.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	16	2	1	1	0	20	21.8	0	0	73	10	5	0	0	88	90.5	M/CYCLI 0.4
07:30 - 07:4	5 0	0	36	0	0	1 0	3	17 3	88.3	0	0	97	20	3	0	1	121	123.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	22	4	1	0	0	27	27.5	0	0	90	15	2	2	0	109	112.6	CAR 1.0
07:45 - 08:0	0 0	0	36	5	0	0 0	4	11 4	1.0	0	0	99	27	6	3	2	137	145.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	17	2	1	0	0	20	20.5	0	1	59	9	5	0	0	74	75.9	LGV 1.0
Hourly Tot	a 0	0	119	17	0	1 0	13	37 1	38.3	0	1	413	88	13	6	3	524	540.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	64	10	3	1	1	79	82.8	0	1	262	46	14	3	0	326	336.3	OGV1 1.5
08:00 - 08:1	5 0	0	40	8	1	0 0	4	9 4	9.5	0	2	103	7	3	3	0	118	122.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	12	3	1	0	0	16	16.5	0	0	46	7	3	2	0	58	62.1	OGV2 2.3
08:15 - 08:3	0 0	0	57	7	1	1 0	6	i6 6	57.8	0	1	64	12	2	1	0	80	81.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	29	3	1	0	0	33	33.5	0	0	68	9	1	4	0	82	87.7	BUS 2.0
08:30 - 08:4	5 0	0	39	2	0	0 0	4	11 4	1.0	0	0	69	17	3	3	0	92	97.4	0	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	16	5	1	1	0	23	24.8	0	0	48	7	2	1	0	58	60.3	
08:45 - 09:0	0 0	0	29	6	2	1 0	3	18 4	10.3	0	0	69	12	5	2	0	88	93.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	27	3	1	1	0	32	33.8	0	0	36	7	1	3	0	47	51.4	
Hourly Tot	a 0	0	165	23	4	2 0	19	94 1	98.6	0	3	305	48	13	9	0	378	394.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	84	14	4	2	0	104	108.6	0	0	198	30	7	10	0	245	261.5	
09:00 - 09:1	5 0	0	25	2	1	0 0	2	8 2	28.5	0	1	46	10	2	6	2	67	77.2	0	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	14	3	1	1	0	19	20.8	0	0	39	8	4	1	1	53	57.3	
09:15 - 09:3	0 0	0	20	11	1	0 0	3	12 3	32.5	0	0	60	9	4	1	0	74	77.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	13	3	1	1	0	18	19.8	0	0	33	7	3	1	0	44	46.8	
09:30 - 09:4	s o	0	28	4	0	0 0	3	12 3	32.0	0	0	39	10	6	2	0	57	62.6	0	0	0	0	0	٥	0	0	0.0	0	0	20	5	1	1	0	27	28.8	0	0	41	7	2	1	1	52	55.3	
09:45 - 10:0	0 0	0	26	5	0	0 0	3	11 3	81.0	0	0	38	6	2	2	0	48	51.6	0	٥	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	12	2	1	1	0	16	17.8	0	0	18	4	1	1	0	24	25.8	
Hourly Tot	a 0	0	99	22	2	0 0	13	23 1:	24.0	0	1	183	35	14	11	2	246	268.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	59	13	4	4	0	80	87.2	0	0	131	26	10	4	2	173	185.2	
																		_	_			_														_									_	
TOTAL	0	0	383	62	6	3 0	4	54 4	60.9	0	5	901	171	40	26	5	1148	1203	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	207	37	11	7	1	263	278.6	0	1	591	102	31	17	2	744	783.0	
			-											-				_	_			-		-						-						_			_	-					_	
16:00 - 16:1	5 0	2	66	13	3	0 0	8	4 8	34.3	0	1	87	10	4	0	0	102	103.4	٥	٥	0	0	0	٥	0	0	0.0	0	0	23	5	1	0	0	29	29.5	0	1	51	20	4	٥	0	76	77.4	
16:15 - 16:3	0 0	1	84	9	1	0 0	9	15 9	94.9	0	0	89	16	3	1	1	110	113.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	1	17	4	1	1	0	24	25.2	0	0	56	13	2	0	0	71	72.0	
16:30 - 16:4	5 0	0	82	11	2	0 0	9	15 9	96.0	0	0	61	14	4	1	0	80	83.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	27	13	1	1	0	42	43.8	0	1	83	20	2	0	0	106	106.4	
16:45 - 17:0	0 0	1	89	9	0	0 0	9	9 9	88.4	0	0	76	15	1	1	0	93	94.8	٥	٥	0	0	0	٥	0	0	0.0	0	0	32	5	1	1	0	39	40.8	0	2	92	11	3	٥	0	108	108.3	
Hourly Tot	al 0	4	321	42	6	0 0	3	73 3	73.6	0	1	313	55	12	3	1	385	395.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	1	99	27	4	3	0	134	139.3	0	4	282	64	11	0	0	361	364.1	
17:00 - 17:1	5 0	0	88	8	2	0 0	9	8 9	99.0	0	0	88	13	2	3	0	106	110.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	29	6	0	1	0	36	37.3	0	0	84	18	3	3	1	109	115.4	
17:15 - 17:3	0 0	0	98	9	1	0 0	10	08 1	08.5	0	0	103	14	0	1	0	118	119.3	٥	٥	0	0	0	٥	0	0	0.0	0	0	22	6	0	0	0	28	28.0	0	0	70	11	0	1	0	82	83.3	
17:30 - 17:4	5 0	1	87	10	0	0 0	9	8 9	97.4	0	0	79	7	1	1	0	88	89.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	26	4	1	1	0	32	33.8	0	0	60	12	1	0	0	73	73.5	
17:45 - 18:0	0 0	0	97	9	0	0 0	10	06 1	06.0	0	0	95	6	0	0	1	102	103.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	28	3	0	0	0	31	31.0	0	0	58	5	0	0	0	63	63.0	
Hourly Tot	a 0	1	370	36	3	0 0	4	10 4	10.9	0	0	365	40	3	5	1	414	423.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	105	19	1	2	0	127	130.1	0	0	272	46	4	4	1	327	335.2	
18:00 - 18:1	5 0	1	75	11	1	0 1	8	19 8	9.9	0	0	48	6	2	2	0	58	61.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	24	2	1	1	0	28	29.8	0	0	47	3	3	2	0	55	59.1	
18:15 - 18:3	0 0	0	79	6	1	0 0	8	16 8	86.5	0	0	41	5	2	3	0	51	55.9	٥	٥	0	0	0	٥	0	0	0.0	٥	0	17	2	0	2	0	21	23.6	0	0	32	2	٥	2	٥	36	38.6	
18:30 - 18:4	5 0	0	48	5	٥	0 0	5	3 6	53.0	0	0	51	4	٥	0	0	55	55.0	٥	٥	0	0	0	٥	٥	0	0.0	٥	1	21	4	0	٥	0	26	25.4	٥	0	34	4	٥	0	٥	38	38.0	
18:45 - 19:0	0 0	0	62	3	1	0 1	6	i7 6	58.5	0	0	35	4	0	3	0	42	45.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	17	3	0	0	0	20	20.0	0	0	31	4	0	0	0	35	35.0	
Hourly Tot	a 0	1	264	25	3	0 2	2	95 2	97.9	0	0	175	19	4	8	0	206	218.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	1	79	11	1	3	0	95	98.8	0	0	144	13	3	4	0	164	170.7	

TOTAL 0 6 555 100 12 0 2 1076 10524 0 1 550 114 15 15 2 1005 100427 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 200 07 6 8 0 356 3642 0 4 069 123 18 8 1 852 170.0

Frank	Dim	100	50	1000	1.1.11	

East Midlands Airport Tuesday 20th September 2023

proach:	1 Bostock	s Lane East																-																									
			Т	o M1 J25	i Slip Road (S)						То	A52 (W	0							To Bos	ocks Lan	e (W)						To M1 J	25 Slip R	toad (N)							Ţ	To A52 ((E)			
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1 OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCL	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	OTAL	CUs CY	CLE M/C	CLE CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	I OGV:	2 BU	us TOT	AL PCU
:00 - 07:1	5 0	0	43	9	0 2	0	54	56.6	0	0	62	9	2	0	0	73	74.0	0	0	9	2	0	0	0	11	11.0	0 0	38	21	2	0	0	61	62.0	0	0	21	3	0	0	0	0 24	24.0
:15 - 07:3	0 0	0	52	8	3 0	0	63	64.5	0	1	38	8	1	0	0	48	47.9	0	0	8	3	0	0	0	11	11.0	0 0	46	15	1	0	0	62	62.5	0	1	35	1	0	0	0	0 37	36.4
30 - 07:4	5 0	1	34	8	2 2	0	47	50.0	0	0	49	6	1	0	0	56	56.5	0	0	9	2	0	0	0	11	11.0	0 0	37	7	1	0	0	45	45.5	0	0	35	5	0	0	0	0 40	40.0
45 - 08:0	0 0	0	54	8	0 1	0	63	64.3	0	1	50	6	1	0	0	58	57.9	0	0	11	2	1	0	0	14	14.5	0 0	41	11	1	0	0	53	53.5	0	0	29	2	1	0	0	0 32	32.0
urly Tota	. 0	1	183	33	5 5	0	227	235.4	0	2	199	29	5	0	0	235	236.3	0	0	37	9	1	0	0	47	47.5	0 0	163	54	5	0	0	221	223.5	0	1	120	11	1	0	0	0 13	132.
0 - 08:1	5 0	1	57	7	2 3	0	70	74.3	0	0	45	6	1	0	0	52	52.5	0	0	9	4	1	0	0	14	14.5	0 0	43	10	7	1	0	61	65.8	0	0	25	6	2	1	0	0 34	36.3
15 - 08:3	0 0	0	39	6	1 1	0	47	48.8	0	0	47	7	0	0	0	54	54.0	0	0	14	2	0	0	0	16	16.0	0 0	33	6	0	0	0	39	39.0	0	0	54	3	0	0	0	0 57	57.0
0 - 08:4	5 0	0	27	8	3 3	0	41	46.4	0	0	42	7	1	0	0	50	50.5	0	0	12	2	0	0	0	14	14.0	0 0	37	4	1	0	0	42	42.5	0	0	40	4	1	0	0	0 45	45.6
15 - 09:0	0 0	0	39	6	0 0	0	45	45.0	0	0	53	9	1	1	0	64	65.8	0	0	14	2	1	1	0	18	19.8	0 0	24	3	5	1	3	36	42.8	0	0	51	4	1	1	0	0 57	58.8
rly Tot	. 0	1	162	27	6 7	0	203	214.5	0	0	187	29	3	1	0	220	222.8	0	0	49	10	2	1	0	62	64.3	0 0	13	23	13	2	3	178	190.1	0	0	170	17	4	2	0	0 19	197.
0 - 09:1	5 0	0	33	5	2 0	0	40	41.0	0	0	41	7	1	0	0	49	49.5	0	0	14	4	1	0	0	19	19.5	0 0	36	14	4	1	1	56	60.3	0	0	51	3	2	0	0	0 56	57.0
5 - 09:3	0 0	0	19	4	6 2	0	31	36.6	0	0	43	6	1	0	0	50	50.5	0	0	16	4	0	1	0	21	22.3	0 0	42	13	2	1	3	61	66.3	0	0	40	5	1	0	0	0 46	46.0
0-09:4	5 0	0	16	5	1 3	0	25	29.4	0	0	36	6	2	0	0	44	45.0	0	0	13	3	1	0	0	17	17.5	0 0	27	7	2	0	3	39	43.0	0	0	37	3	1	0	0	0 41	41.0
5 - 10:0	0 0	0	16	3	0 1	0	20	21.3	0	0	32	9	2	0	0	43	44.0	0	0	16	3	1	0	0	20	20.5	0 0	26	13	2	0	0	41	42.0	0	1	45	5	2	0	0	0 53	53.4
rly Tota	. 0	0	84	17	9 6	0	116	128.3	0	0	152	28	6	0	0	186	189.0	0	0	59	14	3	1	0	77	79.8	0 0	13	47	10	2	7	197	211.6	0	1	173	16	6	0	0	0 19	5 198.
																																						-					
TOTAL	0	2	429	77	20 18	0	546	578.2	0	2	538	86	14	1	0	641	648.1	0	0	145	33	6	2	0	186	191.6	0 0	43	124	28	4	10	596	625.2	0	2	463	44	11	2	0	0 52	528.
0 - 16:1	5 0	0	12	8							_																		-	_													
5 - 16:3	0 0				0 0	0	20	20.0	0	0	44	3	0	0	0	47	47.0	0	0	14	2	1	0	0	17	17.5	0 1	24	7	2	0	0	34	34.4	0	1	22	2	0	0	0	0 25	24.4
0 - 16:4		0	10	2	0 0	0	20 13	20.0	0	0	44 32	3	0	0	0	47 35	47.0	0	0	14 9	2	1	0	0	17 13	17.5	0 1	24	7	2	0 0	0	34 38	34.4 38.0	0	1	22	2	0	0	0	0 28	24.4
	5 0	0	10 32	2	0 0 1 0 4	0	20 13 41	20.0 14.3 46.2	0	0	44 32 44	3	0	0 0	0 0	47 35 47	47.0 35.0 47.0	0	0	14 9 19	2 2 5	1	0 0	0 0	17 13 24	17.5 12.9 24.0	0 1 0 0	24 32 64	7 6 7	2 0 2	0 0	0 0	34 38 75	34.4 38.0 74.8	0	1 0	22 13 40	2 2 4	0	0	0	0 25 0 16	24.4
5 - 17:0	5 0 0 0	0	10 32 23	2 5	0 0 1 0 4 0 1	0 0 0	20 13 41 25	20.0 14.3 46.2 26.3	0	0 0 0	44 32 44 40	3 3 6	0	0	0 0 0	47 35 47 46	47.0 35.0 47.0 46.0	0 0 0	0 1 0 0	14 9 19	2 2 5 4	1 1 0 0	0 0 0	0	17 13 24 22	17.5 12.9 24.0 22.0	0 1 0 0 0 2	24 32 64 58	7 6 7 11	2 0 2 2 2	0 0 0	0 0 0	34 38 75 72	34.4 38.0 74.8 72.4	0 0 0	1 0 0	22 13 40 39	2 2 4 3	0 1 1 0	0 0 0	0	0 25 0 16 0 45	24.4 16.0 45.0 42.0
5 - 17:0 rly Tota	5 0 0 0	0	10 32 23 77	2 5 1 16	0 0 0 1 0 4 0 1 0 6	0 0 0 0	20 13 41 25 99	20.0 14.3 46.2 26.3 106.8	0 0 0 0	0 0 0	44 32 44 40 160	3 3 6 15	0 0 0	0 0 0	0 0 0 0	47 35 47 46 175	47.0 35.0 47.0 46.0 175.0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0	14 9 19 18 60	2 2 5 4 13	1 1 0 0 2	0 0 0	0 0 0	17 13 24 22 76	17.5 12.9 24.0 22.0 76.4		24 32 64 58 177	7 6 7 11 8 31	2 0 2 2 6	0 0 0	0 0 0	34 38 75 72 219	34.4 38.0 74.8 72.4 219.6	0 0 0	1 0 0	22 13 40 39 114	2 2 4 3 11	0 1 1 0 2	0 0 0 0	0	0 25 0 16 0 45 0 42 0 12	24.4 16.1 45.1 42.0 8 128.
i5 - 17:0 rly Tota 10 - 17:1	5 0 0 0 1 0	0 0 0	10 32 23 77 48	2 5 1 16 6	0 0 0 1 0 4 0 1 0 6 0 1	0 0 0 0	20 13 41 25 99 55	20.0 14.3 46.2 26.3 106.8 56.3	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	44 32 44 40 160 49	3 3 6 15 3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	47 35 47 46 175 52	47.0 35.0 47.0 46.0 175.0 52.0	0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 1	14 9 19 18 60 18	2 2 5 4 13 3	1 0 0 2	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	17 13 24 22 76 21	17.5 12.9 24.0 22.0 76.4 21.0		24 32 64 58 177 57	7 6 7 11 8 31 8	2 0 2 2 6	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	34 38 75 72 219 66	34.4 38.0 74.8 72.4 219.6 66.5	0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1	22 13 40 39 114 38	2 2 4 3 11	0 1 1 0 2	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 25 0 16 0 45 0 42 0 12 0 43	24.4 16.1 45.1 42.0 8 128. 42.1
45 - 17:0 arly Tot 00 - 17:1 15 - 17:3	5 0 0 0 1 0 5 0	0 0 0 0	10 32 23 77 48 39	2 5 1 16 6 7	0 0 0 1 0 4 0 1 0 6 0 1 1 0	0 0 0 0	20 13 41 25 99 55 47	20.0 14.3 46.2 26.3 106.8 56.3 47.5	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	44 32 44 40 160 49 46	3 3 6 15 3 2	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	47 35 47 46 175 52 48	47.0 35.0 47.0 46.0 175.0 52.0 48.0	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 1 0	14 9 19 18 60 18 18	2 5 4 13 3	1 0 0 2 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	17 13 24 22 76 21 22	17.5 12.9 24.0 22.0 76.4 21.0 22.0		24 32 64 58 171 57 70	7 6 7 11 8 8 5	2 2 2 6 1	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	34 38 75 72 219 66 75	34.4 38.0 74.8 72.4 219.6 66.5 75.0	0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 0	22 13 40 39 114 38 45	2 2 4 3 11 3 7	0 1 1 0 2 1 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0	0 28 0 16 0 45 0 42 0 12 0 12 0 42	244 16.5 45.5 42.0 8 128. 42.1 52.0
5 - 17:0 rly Tota 0 - 17:1 5 - 17:3 0 - 17:4	5 0 0 0 1 0 5 0 0 0 5 0	0 0 0 0	10 32 23 77 48 39 29	2 5 1 6 7 4	0 0 0 1 0 4 0 1 0 6 0 1 1 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0	20 13 41 25 99 55 47 34	20.0 14.3 46.2 26.3 106.8 56.3 47.5 35.3	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2	44 32 44 40 160 49 46 49	3 3 6 15 3 2 5	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	47 35 47 46 175 52 48 56	47.0 35.0 47.0 46.0 175.0 52.0 48.0 54.8	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 0 0 0	14 9 19 18 60 18 18 19 29	2 5 4 13 3 3 5	1 0 0 2 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	17 13 24 22 76 21 22 35	17.5 12.9 24.0 22.0 76.4 21.0 22.0 35.5	0 1 0 0 0 2 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	24 32 64 58 177 57 70 65	7 6 7 11 8 31 8 5 12	2 2 2 6 1 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	34 38 75 72 219 66 75 78	34.4 38.0 74.8 72.4 219.6 66.5 75.0 78.5	0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 0 0 1	22 13 40 39 114 38 45 53	2 2 4 3 11 3 7 5	0 1 1 0 2 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	0 25 0 16 0 45 0 42 0 12 0 42 0 52 0 55	24.4 16.1 45.5 128. 128. 52.0 58.4
5 - 17:0 rly Tota 0 - 17:1 5 - 17:3 0 - 17:4 5 - 18:0	5 0 0 0 1 0 5 0 5 0 5 0	0 0 0 0 0	10 32 23 77 48 39 29 24	2 5 1 6 7 4 2	0 0 0 1 0 4 0 1 0 6 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	20 13 41 25 99 55 47 34 27	20.0 14.3 46.2 26.3 106.8 56.3 47.5 35.3 28.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 2 0	44 32 44 40 160 49 46 49 48	3 3 6 15 3 2 5 6	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	47 35 47 46 175 52 48 56 55	47.0 35.0 47.0 46.0 175.0 52.0 48.0 54.8 56.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	14 9 19 18 60 18 19 29 22	2 2 5 4 13 3 3 5 2	1 0 0 2 0 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	17 13 24 22 76 21 22 35 24	17.5 12.9 24.0 22.0 76.4 21.0 22.0 35.5 24.0		24 32 64 58 17/ 57 70 65 44	7 6 7 11 8 8 5 12 3	2 2 2 6 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	34 38 75 72 219 66 75 78 48	34.4 38.0 74.8 72.4 219.6 66.5 75.0 78.5 49.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0	22 13 40 39 114 38 45 53 39	2 2 4 3 11 3 7 5 2	0 1 1 0 2 1 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 255 0 16 0 45 0 43 0 12 0 43 0 55 0 55 2 43	24.4 16.1 45.0 42.0 3 128. 42.0 52.0 58.4 45.0
5 - 17:0 rly Tota 0 - 17:1 5 - 17:3 0 - 17:4 5 - 18:0 rly Tota	5 0 0 0 1 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10 32 23 77 48 39 29 24 140	2 5 1 6 7 4 2 19	0 0 0 1 0 4 0 1 0 6 0 1 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	20 13 41 25 99 55 47 34 27 163	20.0 14.3 46.2 26.3 106.8 56.3 47.5 35.3 28.0 167.1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 2	44 32 44 40 160 49 46 49 48 48 192	3 3 6 15 3 2 5 5 6	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	47 35 47 46 175 52 48 56 55 211	47.0 35.0 47.0 46.0 175.0 52.0 48.0 54.8 56.0 210.8	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	14 9 19 18 60 18 19 29 22 88	2 2 5 4 13 3 3 5 2 13	1 0 0 2 0 0 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	17 13 24 22 76 21 22 35 24 102	17.5 12.9 24.0 22.0 76.4 21.0 22.0 35.5 24.0 102.5		24 32 64 58 177 57 70 65 44 23	7 6 7 11 8 5 12 3 6 28	2 2 2 6 1 1 0 1 0	• • • • • •	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	34 38 75 72 219 66 75 78 48 267	34.4 38.0 74.8 72.4 219.6 66.5 75.0 78.5 49.0 269.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	22 13 40 39 114 38 45 53 39 175	2 2 4 3 11 3 7 5 2 17	0 1 1 0 2 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 25 0 16 0 45 0 42 0 12 0 42 0 52 0 55 2 43 2 19	24,4 16,1 45,1 42,0 3 128, 42,0 52,0 58,4 45,0 58,4 45,0 58,4 197,5
5 - 17:0 rly Tot: 0 - 17:1 5 - 17:3 0 - 17:4 5 - 18:0 rly Tot: 0 - 18:1	5 0 0 0 1 0 5 0 5 0 5 0 1 0 5 0 1 0 5 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10 32 23 77 48 39 29 24 140 20	2 5 1 6 7 4 2 19 3	0 0 0 1 0 4 0 1 0 6 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 2 0 2 0 2 0 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1	20 13 41 25 99 55 47 34 27 163 25	20.0 14.3 46.2 26.3 106.8 56.3 47.5 35.3 28.0 167.1 27.6	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 2 0 2	44 32 44 40 49 49 46 49 48 49 48 192 34	3 3 6 15 3 2 5 6 16 3		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0	47 35 47 46 175 52 48 56 55 211 37	47.0 35.0 47.0 175.0 52.0 48.0 54.8 56.0 210.8 37.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	14 9 19 18 60 18 19 29 22 88 19	2 5 4 13 3 5 2 13 3	1 0 2 0 1 0 1 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	17 13 24 22 76 21 22 35 24 102 23	17.5 12.9 24.0 22.0 76.4 21.0 22.0 35.5 24.0 102.5 23.5		24 32 64 58 17/ 57 70 65 44 23/ 35	7 6 7 11 8 31 8 5 12 3 6 28 10	2 2 2 6 1 1 0 1 0 2 2 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0	34 38 75 72 219 66 75 78 48 267 46	34.4 38.0 74.8 72.4 219.6 66.5 75.0 78.5 49.0 269.0 46.5	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	22 13 40 39 114 38 45 53 39 175 34	2 2 4 3 11 3 7 5 2 17 3	0 1 2 1 0 0 0 0 1		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 25 0 16 0 45 0 42 0 12 0 42 0 52 0 55 2 43 2 19 0 37	24.4 16.0 45.0 42.0 8 128. 42.1 52.0 58.4 45.0 5 197. 37.0
5 - 17:0 rly Tot: 0 - 17:1 5 - 17:3 0 - 17:4 5 - 18:0 rly Tot: 0 - 18:1 5 - 18:3	5 0 1 0 1 0 5 0 0 0 5 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1		10 32 23 77 48 39 29 24 140 20 15	2 5 1 6 7 4 2 19 3 3	0 0 0 1 0 4 0 1 0 6 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 2 0 2 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0	20 13 41 25 99 55 47 34 27 163 25 18	20.0 14.3 46.2 26.3 106.8 56.3 47.5 35.3 28.0 167.1 27.6 18.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 0	44 32 44 40 160 49 46 49 48 48 192 34 39	3 3 6 15 3 2 5 6 16 3 4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	47 35 47 46 175 52 48 56 55 211 37 43	47.0 35.0 47.0 46.0 175.0 52.0 48.0 54.8 56.0 210.8 37.0 43.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	14 9 19 18 60 18 19 29 22 88 19	2 5 4 13 3 5 2 13 3 2	1 0 0 2 0 0 0 1 1 1 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	17 13 24 22 76 21 22 35 24 102 23 18	17.5 12.9 24.0 22.0 76.4 21.0 22.0 35.5 24.0 102.5 23.5 17.4		24 32 64 58 177 57 70 65 44 233 35	7 6 7 11 8 31 8 5 12 3 6 28 10 2	2 2 2 6 1 1 0 1 2 1 0 2 1 0	• • • • • • • • • • • •	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0	34 38 75 72 219 66 75 78 48 267 46 31	34.4 38.0 74.8 72.4 219.6 66.5 75.0 78.5 49.0 269.0 46.5 31.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	22 13 40 39 114 38 45 53 39 175 34 31	2 2 4 3 11 3 7 5 2 2 17 3 2 2	0 1 1 0 2 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 25 0 16 0 45 0 43 0 12 0 55 0 55 2 43 2 19 0 37 0 33	24.4 16.1 45.1 42.0 3 128 42.0 52.0 58.4 52.0 58.4 50.0 5197. 37.0 33.0
5 - 17:0 rly Tota 0 - 17:1 5 - 17:3 0 - 17:4 5 - 18:0 rly Tota 0 - 18:1 5 - 18:3 0 - 18:4 0 - 18:4	5 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10 32 23 77 48 39 29 24 140 20 15 19	2 5 1 6 7 4 2 19 3 3 1	0 0 0 1 0 4 0 1 0 6 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 2 0 2 0 2 0 2 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0	20 13 41 25 99 55 47 34 27 163 25 18 22	20.0 14.3 46.2 26.3 106.8 56.3 47.5 35.3 28.0 167.1 27.6 18.0 23.0		0 0 0 0 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0	44 32 40 160 49 46 49 48 48 48 192 34 39 33	3 3 6 15 3 2 5 6 16 3 4 5		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0	47 35 47 46 175 52 48 56 55 211 37 43 40	47.0 35.0 47.0 46.0 175.0 52.0 48.0 54.8 56.0 210.8 37.0 43.0 43.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	14 9 19 18 60 18 19 29 22 22 88 19 15 14	2 5 4 13 3 5 2 13 3 2 3	1 0 2 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	17 13 24 22 76 21 22 35 24 102 4 23 18 18	17.5 12.9 24.0 22.0 76.4 21.0 22.0 35.5 24.0 102.5 23.5 17.4 17.4		24 32 64 58 171 57 70 65 44 23 35 29	7 6 7 11 8 5 12 3 6 28 10 2 3	2 2 2 6 1 0 1 0 2 1 0 2 1 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0	34 38 75 72 219 66 75 78 48 267 46 31 22	34.4 38.0 74.8 72.4 219.6 66.5 75.0 78.5 49.0 269.0 46.5 31.0 22.0		1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	22 13 40 39 114 38 45 53 39 175 34 31 44	2 2 4 3 11 3 7 5 2 2 17 3 2 4	0 1 1 0 2 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 25 0 16 0 45 0 42 0 12 0 52 0 55 2 43 0 55 2 19 0 33 0 33	24.4 16.9 45.0 3 128 42.0 52.0 58.4 52.0 58.4 52.0 58.4 52.0 58.4 52.0 58.4 53.0 53.0 53.0 53.0 53.0 53.0 54.4 52.0 53.0 53.0 53.0 53.0 53.0 54.0 53.0 53.0 53.0 53.0 53.0 54.0 53.0 53.0 53.0 53.0 54.0 53.0 54.0 53.0 54.0 53.0 54.0 54.0 55.0 55.0 55.0 55.0 55.0 55
5 - 17:0 rly Tota 0 - 17:1 5 - 17:3 0 - 17:4 5 - 18:0 rly Tota 0 - 18:1 5 - 18:3 0 - 18:4 5 - 18:3 0 - 18:4 5 - 18:3 1 - 18:3 1 - 18:4 5 - 18:0	5 0 0 0 1 0 5 0 5 0 1 0 5 0 1 0 5 0 1 0 5 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1		10 32 23 77 48 39 29 24 140 20 15 19	2 5 1 6 7 4 2 19 3 3 1 2	0 0 0 1 0 4 0 1 0 6 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 2 0 2 0 0 2 0 2	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20 13 41 25 99 55 47 34 27 163 25 18 22 19	20.0 14.3 46.2 26.3 106.8 56.3 47.5 35.3 28.0 167.1 27.6 18.0 23.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0	44 32 44 40 40 49 46 49 48 192 34 39 33 33	3 3 6 15 3 2 5 6 16 3 4 4 6			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 1	47 35 47 46 175 52 48 56 55 211 37 43 40 24	47.0 35.0 47.0 46.0 52.0 48.0 54.8 56.0 210.8 37.0 43.0 41.0 24.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1	14 9 19 18 60 18 18 19 29 22 22 88 19 15 14	2 2 5 4 13 3 5 2 13 3 2 2 3 2	1 0 0 2 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	17 13 24 22 76 21 22 35 24 102 23 18 18 18	17.5 12.9 24.0 22.0 76.4 21.0 22.0 35.5 24.0 102.5 23.5 17.4 17.4 17.4		24 32 64 58 177 57 70 65 44 233 35 29 19	7 6 7 11 8 5 12 3 6 28 10 2 3 3 2	2 2 2 6 1 1 0 2 1 0 0 2 1 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	34 38 75 72 219 66 75 78 48 267 48 267 46 31 22 29	34.4 38.0 74.8 72.4 219.6 66.5 75.0 78.5 49.0 269.0 46.5 31.0 22.0 79.0		1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	22 13 40 39 114 38 45 53 39 175 34 31 44	2 2 4 3 11 3 7 5 2 17 3 2 4 1	0 1 1 0 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 25 0 16 0 42 0 42 0 42 0 42 0 52 2 43 2 49 0 33 0 45	24.4 16.9 45.0 128. 128. 42.0 52.0 58.4 45.0 58.4 45.0 5197.0 33.0 48.4 26.0

TOTAL 0 0 284 45 3 12 1 345 285. 0 2 488 48 0 0 2 649 540 0 3 211 35 4 0 0 2 649 540 0 3 211 35 4 0 0 26 2542 0 4 554 76 9 0 1 614 617. 0 3 433 38 3 0 2 479 660 7

Frank Deater Surviv.	
Kranzi Diniri Antyle	

East Midlands Airport Tuesday 20th September 2023 Junction: 1

Approach:	M1 J25	Slip Road S	South																																											
_				То	A52 (W)							To Bo	itocks Li	ane (W)							To M1	J25 Slip	Road (N	ŋ						Т	o A52 (E)							To Bo	stocks L	ane (E)				I	
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1 O	GV2 BU	тот		S CYCL	E M/CYC	LE CAS	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тота	L PCU	CYCLI	M/CYC	LE CA	R LGV	OGV:	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	E CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCL	E CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	2 BUS	TOTA	L PCUs	PCU Fact	tor
07:00 - 07:	15 0	0	36	14	4	4 0	58	65.3	2 0	0	15	6	0	2	0	23	25.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	51	10	2	4	0	67	73.2	0	0	11	5	3	1	0	20	22.8	CYCLE 0	0.2
07:15 - 07:	30 0	0	48	15	0	4 0	67	7 72.3	2 0	0	15	13	2	4	0	34	40.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	62	12	3	1	0	78	80.8	0	0	27	4	2	1	0	34	36.3	M/CYCLI (0.4
07:30 - 07:	45 0	0	50	16	2	5 0	73	80.1	5 0	0	18	11	5	4	0	38	45.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	64	18	5	6	0	93	103.3	0	0	41	7	4	2	0	54	58.6	CAR 1	1.0
07:45 - 08:	00 0	1	50	18	2	2 0	73	3 76.0	0	0	31	10	2	7	0	50	60.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	83	17	7	2	1	110	117.1	0	0	40	4	3	2	0	49	53.1	LGV 1	1.0
Hourly To	tal 0	1	184	63	8	15 0	27	1 293.	9 0	0	79	40	9	17	0	145	171.	6 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	260	57	17	13	1	348	374.4	0	0	119	20	12	6	0	157	170.8	0GV1 1	1.5
08:00 - 08:	15 0	0	62	11	6	2 0	81	86.6	5 0	0	32	10	4	1	0	47	50.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	80	24	3	8	0	115	126.9	0	0	33	6	2	4	0	45	51.2	OGV2 2	2.
08:15 - 08:	30 0	0	56	5	0	3 0	64	67.5		0	25	11	2	1	0	39	41.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	75	18	2	5	0	100	107.5	0	0	39	10	2	1	0	52	54.3	BUS 2	2.0
08:30 - 08:	45 0	0	36	7	3	2 0	48	52.	0	0	17	7	2	1	0	27	29.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	65	14	5	2	0	86	91.1	0	0	31	4	4	2	0	41	45.6	1	
08:45 - 09:	00 00	0	30	3	2	4 0	39	45.3	2 0	0	22	6	3	2	0	33	37.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	79	6	6	8	0	99	112.4	0	0	16	7	3	0	0	26	27.5	1	
Hourly To	tal 0	0	184	26	11	11 0	23	2 251.	8 0	0	96	34	11	5	0	146	158.	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	299	62	16	23	0	400	437.9	0	0	119	27	11	7	0	164	178.6		
09:00 - 09:	15 0	0	34	3	2	1 0	40	42.3	3 0	0	13	13	2	3	٥	31	35.9	0	0	0	٥	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	51	11	5	1	0	68	71.8	0	0	23	4	1	3	0	31	35.4	1	
09:15 - 09:	30 0	0	27	4	1	3 0	36	5 39.4	• 0	0	16	8	2	7	0	33	43.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	47	15	5	3	0	70	76.4	0	0	16	4	2	0	0	22	23.0	1	
09:30 - 09:	45 0	0	27	7	2	4 0	40	46.3	2 0	0	18	12	7	3	٥	40	47.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	51	9	3	8	0	71	82.9	0	0	22	9	3	2	0	36	40.1	1	
09:45 - 10:	00 0	0	19	4	3	1 0	27	7 29.1	8 0	0	17	10	1	4	٥	32	37.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	45	13	4	6	0	68	77.8	0	0	15	4	2	1	0	22	24.3	1	
Hourly To	tal 0	0	107	18	8	9 0	14	2 157.	7 0	0	64	43	12	17	0	136	164.	1 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	194	48	17	18	0	277	308.9	0	0	76	21	8	6	0	111	122.8	1	
	_		_	_	_	_	_	_	-		_	_	-	-	-	-	_		_	_	-		-			_	r		-		_	_	_			_			—	-	_				1	
TOTAL	0	1	475	107	27	35 0	64	5 703.	4 0	0	239	117	32	39	0	427	493.	7 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	753	167	50	54	1	1025	1121.2	0	0	314	68	31	19	0	432	472.2	J	
			-				_	_	-				1			_	_		-				1	r –		_	r	-								-	-								1	
16:00 - 16:	15 0	0	32	11	3	2 0	48	52.	1 0	0	47	18	1	5	0	71	78.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	88	18	4	0	1	111	114.0	0	0	33	9	0	0	0	42	42.0	1	
16:15 - 16:	30 0	0	34	4	0	1 0	39	9 40.3	8 0	2	56	20	4	7	0	89	98.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	79	21	3	4	0	107	113.7	0	0	38	13	0	0	0	51	51.0	1	
16:30 - 16:	45 0	0	27	9	1	2 0	39	42.	1 0	0	45	16	3	2	0	66	70.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	98	17	6	2	0	123	128.6	0	0	42	7	0	0	0	49	49.0	1	
16:45 - 17:	00 0	0	39	7	0	3 0	49	52.9	9 0	0	60	15	2	2	٥	79	82.6	0	0	0	٥	٥	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	125	18	2	2	0	147	150.6	0	0	48	5	2	0	0	55	56.0	1	
Hourly To	tal 0	0	132	31	4	8 0	17	5 187.	4 0	2	208	69	10	16	0	305	329.	6 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	390	74	15	8	1	488	506.9	0	0	161	34	2	0	0	197	198.0	1	
17:00 - 17:	15 0	0	34	2	1	0 0	37	7 37.	5 0	1	51	15	3	2	0	72	75.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	1	110	15	7	2	1	136	142.5	0	1	52	8	1	0	1	63	63.9	1	
17:15 - 17:	30 0	0	30	1	0	1 0	32	2 33.3	3 0	0	37	5	1	1	0	44	45.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	1	87	11	1	0	0	100	99.9	0	0	36	4	0	0	0	40	40.0	1	
17:30 - 17:	45 0	0	24	6	1	0 0	31	1 31.1	5 0	0	53	10	2	4	0	69	75.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	104	13	2	0	0	119	120.0	0	0	47	5	1	0	0	53	53.5	1	
17:45 - 18:	00 0	0	26	1	1	3 0	31	1 35.4	1 0	0	73	9	2	2	0	86	89.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	130	11	0	2	0	143	145.6	0	0	50	6	1	0	0	57	57.5	1	
Hourly To	tal 0	0	114	10	3	4 0	13	1 137.	7 0	1	214	39	8	9	0	271	286.	1 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	2	431	50	10	4	1	498	508.0	0	1	185	23	3	0	1	213	214.9	1	
18:00 - 18:	15 0	0	34	3	1	2 0	40	43.1	0	0	64	11	2	5	0	82	89.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	121	12	4	5	0	142	150.5	0	0	50	3	1	1	0	55	56.8	1	
18:15 - 18:	30 0	0	31	4	1	0 0	36	5 36.0	5 0	0	33	9	5	2	٥	49	54.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	80	6	0	3	0	89	92.9	0	0	35	6	0	1	0	42	43.3	1	
18:30 - 18:	45 0	0	20	4	0	1 0	25	5 26.3	8 0	0	21	3	0	3	٥	27	30.9	0	0	0	٥	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	78	5	1	2	0	86	89.1	0	0	21	3	0	0	0	24	24.0	1	
18:45 - 19:	00 0	0	27	5	0	1 1	34	4 36.3	8 0	0	26	5	2	0	0	33	34.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	70	2	0	0	0	72	72.0	0	0	30	5	2	0	0	37	38.0	1	
Hourly To	tal 0	0	112	16	2	4 1	13	5 142.	2 0	0	144	28	9	10	0	191	208.	5 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	349	25	5	10	0	389	404.5	0	0	136	17	3	2	0	158	162.1	I	

TOTAL 0 0 548 57 9 16 1 441 4673 0 3 566 156 27 35 0 767 1042 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 1170 160 30 22 2 1775 1454 0 1 442 74 8 2 1 568 1753

Ric	a		0	5		ce	21	Ú.

East Midlands Airport Tuesday 20th September 2023 Junction: 1

Approach:	A52 We	ut																	1																		r									
		1		To Boste	ocks Lan	e (W)			-			1	To M1	J25 Slip	Road (N	0	1		_	-			To A52	(E)		-	-		1	1	To Bos	stocks L	ane (E)		-			<u> </u>		To M1	J25 Slip	Road (S	1	-	-	
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	DGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTA	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CY	LE CA	R LG	V OGV	1 OG	V2 BU	IS TOTA	L PC	Us CYCLE	M/CYCL	E CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCL	E CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	PCU Factors:
07:00 - 07:1	0	0	9	4	4	1	0	18	21.3	0	0	61	16	2	3	0	82	86.9	0	0	0	. 0	1 0	0	0	0	0.	0 0	0	9	2	2	1	0	14	16.3	0	0	43	15	6	2	0	66	71.6	CYCLE 0.2
07:15 - 07:3	0	0	21	4	1	1	0	27	28.8	0	0	48	30	2	3	1	84	89.9	0	0	0		1 0	0	0	0	0.	0 0	0	19	2	1	1	1	24	26.8	0	0	59	8	3	1	0	71	73.8	M/CYCLI 0.4
07:30 - 07:4	6 0	0	13	3	4	2	0	22	26.6	0	0	53	12	6	2	0	73	78.6	0	0	0	. 0	1 0	0	0	0	0.	0 0	0	33	3	1	1	0	38	39.8	0	0	81	12	4	2	0	99	103.6	CAR 1.0
07:45 - 08:0	0	0	29	9	1	0	0	39	39.5	0	1	79	28	5	5	0	118	126.4	0	0	0	0	1 0	0	0	0	0.	o 0	1	26	2	1	1	0	31	32.2	0	0	57	6	6	1	0	70	74.3	LGV 1.0
Hourly Tota		0	72	20	10	4	0	106	116.2	0	1	241	86	15	13	1	357	381.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.	0 0	1	87	9	5	4	1	107	115.1	0	0	240	41	19	6	0	306	323.3	0GV1 1.5
08:00 - 08:1	0	0	29	6	4	0	0	39	41.0	0	0	67	18	6	1	0	92	96.3	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0.	o 0	0	19	4	1	1	0	25	26.8	0	0	60	15	3	4	0	82	88.7	0GV2 2.3
08:15 - 08:3	0	0	22	7	3	0	1	33	35.5	0	0	46	11	2	2	0	61	64.6	0	0	0		0 0	0	0	0	0.	o 0	0	36	4	1	0	0	41	41.5	0	1	73	20	4	2	0	100	104.0	BUS 2.0
08:30 - 08:4	0	0	12	1	0	0	0	13	13.0	0	0	46	17	8	2	0	73	79.6	0	0	0		0 0	0	0	0	0.	0 0	1	27	6	2	1	0	37	38.7	0	0	64	13	7	2	0	86	92.1	
08:45 - 09:0	0	0	19	5	1	2	0	27	30.1	0	0	50	11	4	3	0	68	73.9	0	0	0		0 0	0	0	0	0.	o 0	0	17	4	0	0	0	21	21.0	0	0	37	15	3	2	0	57	61.1	
Hourly Tota		0	82	19	8	2	1	112	119.6	0	0	209	57	20	8	0	294	314.4	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0.	0 0	1	99	18	4	2	0	124	128.0	0	1	234	63	17	10	0	325	345.9	
09:00 - 09:1	5 0	0	11	4	0	0	0	15	15.0	0	0	35	15	3	7	0	60	70.6	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0.	0 0	0	22	3	1	1	0	27	28.8	0	0	43	11	2	4	0	60	66.2	
09:15 - 09:3	0 0	0	11	6	3	2	0	22	26.1	0	0	35	16	3	5	0	59	67.0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0.	0 0	0	14	4	0	0	0	18	18.0	0	0	44	9	4	2	0	59	63.6	
09:30 - 09:4	5 0	1	13	3	4	1	0	22	24.7	0	0	30	9	5	4	0	48	55.7	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0.	0 0	0	17	4	0	1	0	22	23.3	0	0	44	7	4	2	0	57	61.6	
09:45 - 10:0	0	0	9	6	0	2	0	17	19.6	0	0	24	10	2	0	0	36	37.0	0	0	0			0	0		0.	0 0	0	14	3	2	0	0	19	20.0	0	0	24	8	4	0	0	36	38.0	
Hourly Tota	0	1	44	19	7	5	0	76	85.4	0	0	124	50	13	16	0	203	230.3	0	0	0			0	0	0	0.	0 0	0	67	14	3	2	0	86	90.1	0	0	155	35	14	8	0	212	229.4	
TOTAL	0	1	198	58	25	11	1	294	321.2	0	1	574	193	48	37	1	854	926.5	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0.	0 0	2	253	41	12	8	1	317	333.2	0	1	629	139	50	24	0	843	898.6	
16:00 - 16:1	0	0	18	7	1	1	0	27	28.8	0	0	102	42	2	2	0	148	151.6	0	0	0		0 0	0	0	0	0.	0 0	0	30	5	0	0	0	35	35.0	0	0	41	9	0	2	0	52	54.6	
16:15 - 16:3	0	1	15	0	2	0	0	18	18.4	0	0	116	26	3	2	0	147	151.1	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0.	o 0	0	25	4	0	0	0	29	29.0	0	0	53	10	3	4	0	70	76.7	
16:30 - 16:4	6 0	0	17	4	1	1	0	23	24.8	0	0	103	21	2	3	0	129	133.9	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0.	o 0	0	27	7	0	0	0	34	34.0	0	0	46	9	2	4	0	61	67.2	
16:45 - 17:0	0 0	0	30	4	1	1	0	36	37.8	0	1	113	13	5	2	0	134	138.5	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0.	0 0	1	25	3	0	0	0	29	28.4	0	0	47	5	1	3	0	56	60.4	
Hourly Tota		1	80	15	5	3	0	104	109.8	0	1	434	102	12	9	0	558	575.1	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0.	0 0	1	107	19	0	0	0	127	126.4	0	0	187	33	6	13	0	239	258.9	
17:00 - 17:1	5 0	0	26	3	1	0	0	30	30.5	0	0	98	22	3	2	0	125	129.1	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0.	0 0	1	33	5	1	0	0	40	39.9	0	0	59	8	1	2	0	70	73.1	
17:15 - 17:3	0 0	0	20	2	0	0	0	22	22.0	0	0	114	12	1	1	0	128	129.8	0	0	0		0 0	0	0	0	0.	0 0	0	31	4	0	0	0	35	35.0	0	0	73	8	1	1	0	83	84.8	
17:30 - 17:4	5 0	0	21	4	2	1	0	28	30.3	0	0	113	13	1	0	0	127	127.5	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0.	0 0	0	35	4	0	0	0	39	39.0	0	0	64	5	1	0	0	70	70.5	
17:45 - 18:0	0	0	32	2	2	0	0	36	37.0	0	0	96	15	2	3	0	116	120.5	0	0	0			0	0		0.	o 0	0	30	3	1	0	0	34	34.5	0	0	58	6	1	3	2	70	76.4	
Hourly Tota			99	11	5	1	0	116	119.8			421	62	7	6	0	496	507 2	0	0				0				0 0	1	129	16	2		0	148	148.4	0	0	254	27	4	6	2	293	304.8	
18:00 - 18:1	5 0	0	17	0	1	0	0	18	18.5	0	0	112	18	2	3	0	135	139.9	0	0	0			0	0	0	0.	0 0	0	38	2	1	1	0	42	43.8	0	0	49	4	1	1	0	55	56.8	
18-15 - 18-3	0	0	19	0	0	0	0	19	19.0	0	0	63	7	0	0	0	70	70.0	0	0	0			0					0	35	2		0	0	37	37.0	0	0	32	4	0	0	0	36	36.0	
19:20 . 19:4			21	6			0	26	26.0			50	ĺ,		2	0	67	71.6				Ť							0	20	5	,			41	41.0	0	0	20		1,			36	26.0	
18:45 - 19:0	0	0	12	2	0	0	0	14	14.0	0	0	54	10	0	0	0	64	64.0	0	0	0	T,		0	0				0	27	3	0	0	0	30	30.0	0	0	26	1	6	0	0	29	29.0	
Hourby Tot			69	7	1			77	77.5			297	20	6	6	0	226	245.5				Ţ,	, T							120	10	1	1		150	151.0			176	15				165	157.0	
-ourry 10th			1 00	. /		•	0		11.0			20/	30				335	340.0		, 0			0	U	0		0.	~ 0		1 108	1 10				100	101.8			1 136	1 10				1 100	101.6	

TOTAL 0 1 201 33 11 4 0 297 927.1 0 1 1102 322 28 20 1 1300 1427.0 0 0 10 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 270 45 3 1 0 425 454 0 0 677 75 13 20 2 477 72.5

Course into		The second of	10.111	
N 0-0 C	0.010	anryic	103 1.10	

East Midlands Airport Tuesday 20th September 2023

oproach:																																												
			1	To M1 J2	5 Slip Road	(N)						1	To A52 (I	5)							To Bos	ocks Lan	ne (E)						To M1	125 Slip	Road (S							Те	A52 (V	N)				L _
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1 OG	V2 BUS	тот	L PCUS	CYCLE	M/CYCL	E CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тота	PCU	CYCLE	M/CYCL	E CAR	LGV	OGV1	DGV2	BUS T	OTAL	PCUs CY	CLE M/C	CLE CA	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	тота	L PCUs	рі
7:00 - 07:15	0	0	26	9	1 0	0	36	36.5	0	0	31	3	2	1	0	37	39.3	0	0	6	3	2	0	0	11	12.0	0 0	37	24	7	2	0	70	76.1	0	0	16	7	2	2	0	27	30.6	c
7:15 - 07:30	0	0	34	12	1 0	0	47	47.5	0	0	24	5	1	2	0	32	35.1	0	0	12	3	1	1	0	17	18.8	0 0	41	19	4	3	0	67	72.9	0	0	26	5	2	1	0	34	36.3	M/
7:30 - 07:45	0	0	35	8	3 1	0	47	49.8	0	0	23	6	1	1	0	31	32.8	0	0	14	2	1	0	0	17	17.5	0 0	32	14	1	1	0	48	49.8	0	0	41	9	1	2	0	53	56.1	1 1
7:45 - 08:00	0	0	48	9	2 3	0	62	66.9	0	0	29	4	3	1	0	37	39.8	0	0	15	3	2	0	0	20	21.0	0 0	42	17	6	2	0	67	72.6	0	0	36	4	3	0	0	43	44.5	
urly Totz	0	0	143	38	7 4	. 0	192	200.7	0	0	107	18	7	5	0	137	147.	0 0	0	47	11	6	1	0	65	69.3	0 0	15	2 74	18	8	0	252	271.4	0	0	119	25	8	5	0	157	167.5	c
00 - 08:15	0	0	35	7	2 1	0	45	47.3	0	0	36	6	2	0	0	44	45.0	0	0	15	3	1	0	0	19	19.5	0 1	61	11	6	0	0	79	81.4	0	0	29	6	1	0	1	37	38.5	c
15 - 08:30	0	0	37	6	3 (0	46	47.5	0	0	30	5	1	1	2	39	42.8	0	0	17	4	1	0	0	22	22.5	0 0	32	12	6	7	0	57	69.1	0	0	43	7	2	0	0	52	53.0	1
30 - 08:45	0	0	34	5	5 1	0	45	48.8	0	0	31	5	2	1	0	39	41.3	0	0	16	4	2	1	0	23	25.3	0 0	38	9	8	2	0	57	63.6	0	0	27	8	2	1	1	39	42.3	
45 - 09:00	0	0	4	2	2 0	0	8	9.0	0	0	17	1	1	1	0	20	21.8	0	0	19	1	0	0	0	20	20.0	0 0	27	8	2	3	0	40	44.9	0	0	22	3	1	1	0	27	28.8	
rly Tota	0	0	110	20	12 2	0	144	152.6	0	0	114	17	6	3	2	142	150.	9 0	0	67	12	4	1	0	84	87.3	0 1	15	3 40	22	12	0	233	259.0	0	0	121	24	6	2	2	155	162.6	1
10 - 09:19	0	0	24	4	2 7	0	32	35.6	0	0	21	3	3	1	0	28	30.8	0	0	10	2	1	1	0	14	15.8	0 0	15	12	3	4	0	38	44.7	0	0	19	4	2	2	0	27	30.6	
15 - 09:30	0	0	21	7	1 0	0	29	29.5	0	0	11	3	1	0	0	15	15.0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	6	6.0	0 0	14	5	1	0	0	20	20.5	0	0	17	3	1	0	0	21	21.5	
0 - 09:45	0	0	10	4	3 1	0	18	20.8	0	0	18	4	1	0	0	23	23.6	0	0	8	3	0	0	0	11	11.0	0 0	15	5	4	1	0	25	28.3	0	0	22	5	2	1	0	30	32.3	
c 40-04																												16	11	1		0								4			17.8	
+o - 10:00	0	0		5	5 3	0	21	26.1	0	0	20	- 3	1	2	0	26	29.1	0	0	12	2	1	0	0	15	10.0					-	0	32	31.1	0	0	11	- 3	-		~	10		
urly Tota	0	0	64	20	11 6	0	100	26.1	0	0	70	13	6	3	0	92	98.9	0	0	35	2	1 2	1	0	46	48.3	0 0	64	33	9	9	0	32 115	131.2	0	0	11 69	15	6	4	0	94	102.2	1
urly Totz	0	0	64	20	11 8		100	112.0	0	0	70	13	6	3	0	92	98.9	0	•	35	8	2	1	0	46	48.3	0 0	64	33	9	9	0	115	131.2	0	0	11 69	3 15	6	4	0	94	102.2	
rly Totz	0	0	64 317	20	30 1	1 0	436	26.1 112.0 465.3	0	0	20 70 291	48	1 6 19	3	0	26 92 371	98.9 396.	0 0 8 0	0	12 35 149	2 8 31	1 2 12	0 1 3	0	15 46 195	48.3	D 0	64	33	9	9 29	0	32 115 600	37.7 131.2 661.6	0	0	11 69 309	3 15 64	6 20	4	0	94	102.2	
oriy Totz	0	0	64 317	20 78	30 1	1 0	436	26.1 112.0 465.3	0	0	20 70 291	3 13 48	1 6 19	3	0	26 92 371	98.9 396.	0 8 0	0	12 35 149	2 8 31	1 2 12	0 1 3	0	15 46 195	48.3		64	33 4 147	9	9	0	32 115 600	37.7 131.2 661.6	0	0	11 69 309	3 15 64	6 20	4	0	94 406	102.2	
0 - 16:15	0	0 0 0	9 64 317 33	5 20 78	30 1 1 (1 0	436	26.1 112.0 465.3 44.5	0 0 0	0 0 0	20 70 291 32	3 13 48 6	1 6 19 2	2 3 11	0	26 92 371 40	29.3 98.5 396.	0 0 8 0	0 0	12 35 149	2 8 31 4	1 2 12 0	0 1 3 0	0 0	15 46 195	48.3		37	33 1 147 8	49 3	9 29 0	0 0	32 115 600 30	37.7 131.2 661.6 31.5	0	0 0 0	11 69 309 20	3 15 64 4	6 20 0	4	0	406	432.3	
o - 10:00 rly Totz DTAL 0 - 16:19 5 - 16:30	0 0 0	0 0 0	3 64 317 33 28	20 78	30 1 1 0	1 0 0	21 100 436 44	26.1 112.0 465.3 44.5 33.0	0 0 0	0 0 0	20 70 291 32 32	3 13 48 6 3	1 6 19 2 2	2 3 11 0 0	0 2 0	26 92 371 40 37	29.3 98.9 396. 41.0 38.0		0 0 0	12 35 149 13 16	2 8 31 4 3	1 2 12 0 0	0 1 3 0	0 0 0	15 46 195 17 20	48.3 204.9 17.0 19.4		15 28	33 4 147 8 10	49 49 3 4	9 29 0 0	0 0 0	32 115 600 30 42	37.7 131.2 661.6 31.5 44.0	0 0 0	0 0 0	11 69 309 20 16	3 15 64 4	20 0 0	4 11 0 0	0 2 0 0	406 24 20	102.2 432.3 24.0 20.0	
0 - 10:00 rly Totz OTAL 0 - 16:19 5 - 16:30 0 - 16:49	0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	3 64 317 33 28 31	20 78 10 5	30 1 11 6 1 0 1 1	0 1 0 0	21 100 436 44 33 38	26.1 112.0 465.3 44.5 33.0 39.8	0 0 0	0 0 0	20 70 291 32 32 25	3 13 48 6 3 8	1 6 19 2 2 2 1	2 3 11 0 0	0 2 0 0	26 92 371 40 37 34	29.1 98.9 396. 41.0 38.0 34.0	B 0	0 0 0 1	12 35 149 13 16 12	2 8 31 4 3	1 2 12 0 0	0 1 3 0 0	0 0 0	15 46 195 17 20 15	48.3 204.9 17.0 19.4 15.0		15 22 21	33 4 147 8 10 4	9 49 3 4 2	9 29 0 1	0 0 0	32 115 600 30 42 29	37.7 131.2 661.6 31.5 44.0 31.3	0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	11 69 309 20 16 20	3 15 64 4 4	1 6 20 0 1	4 11 0 2	0 2 0 0 0	94 94 406 24 20 27	102.2 432.3 24.0 20.0 30.1	
OTAL 00 - 16:19 10 - 16:49 10 - 16:49	0 0 0 0	0	317 33 28 31 38	20 78 10 5 5 8	30 1 11 6 30 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	21 100 436 44 33 38 47	26.1 112.0 465.3 44.5 33.0 39.8 47.5	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	20 70 291 32 32 25 28	3 13 48 6 3 8 2	1 6 19 2 2 2 1 0	2 3 11 0 0 0	0 2 0 0 0	26 92 371 40 37 34 31	29.1 98.9 396. 41.0 38.0 34.0 32.1		0 0 1 0	12 35 149 13 16 12 10	2 8 31 4 3 3 2	1 2 12 0 0 0 0	0 1 3 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	15 46 195 17 20 15 12	48.3 204.9 17.0 19.4 15.0 12.0		15 25 21 21	33 14 147 8 10 4 4	9 49 3 4 2 1	9 29 0 1 3	0 0 0 0	32 115 600 30 42 29 29	37.7 131.2 661.6 31.5 44.0 31.3 33.4	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	11 69 309 20 16 20 16	3 15 64 4 4 4 2	1 6 20 0 1 1	4 11 0 0 2	0 2 0 0 0	406 24 20 27 20	102.2 432.3 24.0 20.0 30.1 21.8	
0 - 16:19 0 - 16:19 5 - 16:30 0 - 16:49 5 - 17:00 rly Totz	0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0	317 33 28 31 38 130	20 78 10 5 8 28	30 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 3 1		21 100 436 44 33 38 47 162	26.1 112.0 465.3 44.5 33.0 39.8 47.5 164.8	0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0	20 70 291 32 32 25 28 117	3 13 48 6 3 8 2 19	1 6 19 2 2 1 0 5	2 3 11 0 0 0 1 1	0 2 0 0 0 0	26 92 371 40 37 34 31 142	29.1 98.9 396. 396. 38.0 34.0 32.3 145.	B 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0	12 35 149 13 16 12 10 51	2 8 31 4 3 3 2 12	1 2 12 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 3 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	15 46 195 17 20 15 12 64	48.3 48.3 17.0 19.4 15.0 12.0 63.4		15 22 21 90	33 4 147 8 10 4 4 4 4 26	9 49 3 4 2 1 10	9 29 0 1 3 4	0 0 0 0 0	32 115 600 30 42 29 29 130	37.7 131.2 661.6 31.5 44.0 31.3 33.4 140.2	• • • • •	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11 69 309 20 16 20 16 72	3 15 64 4 4 4 4 2 14	1 6 20 0 1 1 2	4 11 0 2 1 3	0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0	94 94 406 24 20 27 20 91	102.2 432.3 24.0 20.0 30.1 21.8 95.9]
0 - 16:19 0 - 16:19 5 - 16:39 0 - 16:49 5 - 17:00 rly Totz 0 - 17:19	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	317 333 28 31 38 130 56	20 78 10 5 8 28 12	30 1 11 5 30 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 3 1 1 5		21 100 436 44 33 38 47 162 70	26.1 112.0 465.3 44.5 33.0 39.8 47.5 164.8 71.8	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20 70 291 32 32 25 28 117 24	48 6 3 8 2 19 5	1 6 19 2 2 1 0 5 0	2 3 11 0 0 1 1 1 0	0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0	26 92 371 40 37 34 31 142 29	29.1 98.9 396. 41.0 38.0 34.0 32.3 145. 29.0	0 0	0 0 1 0 1 0 0	12 35 149 13 16 12 10 51 11	2 8 31 4 3 2 12 2	1 2 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	15 46 195 17 20 15 12 64 14	48.3 204.9 17.0 19.4 15.0 12.0 63.4 15.0		10 64 37 15 28 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 22 21 21 22 22	33 4 147 8 10 4 4 4 4 5	9 49 3 4 2 1 10 0	9 29 0 1 3 4 2	0 0 0 0 0 0	32 115 600 30 42 29 29 29 130 31	37.7 131.2 661.6 31.5 44.0 31.3 33.4 140.2 33.6	• • • • • • •	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11 69 309 20 16 20 16 72 19	3 15 64 4 4 4 2 14 3	20 0 0 1 1 2 0	4 11 0 2 1 3 0	0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	18 94 406 24 20 27 20 91 22	102.2 102.2 432.3 24.0 20.0 30.1 21.8 95.9 22.0]
OTAL 0 - 16:19 0 - 16:19 15 - 16:30 10 - 16:49 15 - 17:00 rly Totz 10 - 17:19 15 - 17:30	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	317 33 28 31 38 130 56 42	20 78 10 5 8 28 12 8	30 1 30 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 3 1 1 1 0 5		21 100 436 44 33 38 47 162 70 51	26.1 112.0 465.3 44.5 33.0 39.8 47.5 164.8 71.8 52.3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20 70 291 32 32 25 28 117 24 25	3 13 48 6 3 8 2 19 5 7	1 6 19 2 2 1 0 5 0 0 0 0	2 3 11 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0	0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	26 92 371 40 37 34 31 142 29 32	29.1 98.9 396. 41.0 38.0 34.0 32.1 145. 29.0 32.0		0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0	12 35 149 13 16 12 10 51 11 10	2 8 31 4 3 2 12 2 2	1 2 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1	15 46 195 17 20 15 12 64 14 12	48.3 48.3 204.9 17.0 19.4 15.0 12.0 63.4 15.0 12.0		15 28 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	33 4 147 8 10 4 4 4 4 5 4	9 49 3 4 2 1 10 0 0	9 29 0 1 3 4 2 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	32 115 600 30 42 29 29 130 31 30	37.7 131.2 661.6 31.5 44.0 31.3 33.4 140.2 33.6 31.3	• • • • • • • • • • •	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11 69 20 16 20 16 72 19 13	3 15 64 4 4 4 4 2 14 3 2	1 6 20 0 1 1 1 2 0 0	4 11 0 2 1 3 0 1	0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	16 94 406 24 20 27 20 91 22 16	102.2 102.2 432.3 24.0 20.0 30.1 21.8 95.9 22.0 17.3	
o - 10:00 rly Totz OTAL 0 - 16:19 5 - 16:39 0 - 16:49 5 - 17:00 rly Totz 0 - 17:19 5 - 17:30 0 - 17:19 0 - 17:49	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		9 64 317 33 28 31 38 130 56 42 26	5 20 78 10 5 5 8 28 12 8 3	30 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 3 1 1 1 0 1 2 0		21 100 436 44 33 38 47 162 70 51 31	26.1 112.0 465.3 33.0 39.8 47.5 164.8 71.8 52.3 32.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20 70 291 32 32 25 28 117 24 25 28 28	3 13 48 6 3 8 2 19 5 7 3	1 6 19 2 2 1 0 5 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 3 11 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	26 92 371 40 37 34 31 142 29 32 31	29.3 98.9 98.9 396. 38.0 34.1 32.3 32.0 32.0 32.0 31.0		0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 35 149 13 16 12 10 51 11 10 14	2 8 31 4 3 2 12 2 2 2 2	1 2 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0	15 46 195 17 20 15 12 64 14 12 16	48.3 204.9 17.0 19.4 15.0 12.0 63.4 15.0 12.0 16.0		37- 15 28 21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	33 4 147 8 10 4 10 4 4 5 5 4 5	9 9 49 3 4 2 1 10 0 0 0 0	9 29 0 1 3 4 2 1 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	32 1115 6000 30 42 29 29 130 31 30 28	37.7 131.2 661.6 31.5 44.0 31.3 33.4 140.2 33.6 31.3 28.0	• • • • • • • • •	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11 69 20 16 20 16 72 19 13 15	3 15 64 4 4 4 2 14 3 2 2 2	20 0 0 1 1 2 0 0 0 0	4 11 0 2 1 3 0 1 0	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	16 94 406 24 20 27 20 91 22 16 17	102.2 102.2 432.3 24.0 20.0 30.1 21.8 95.9 22.0 17.3 17.0	
0 - 10:00 rly Totz 0 - 16:19 5 - 16:30 0 - 16:49 5 - 17:00 rly Totz 0 - 17:19 5 - 17:30 0 - 17:49 5 - 18:00			317 33 28 31 38 130 56 42 26 24	20 78 10 5 5 8 28 12 8 3 4	S J 11 8 30 1 1 0 1 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 0 1 2 0 0 1		436 438 338 47 162 70 51 31 29	26.1 112.0 465.3 33.0 39.8 47.5 164.8 71.8 52.3 32.0 30.3	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20 70 291 32 32 25 28 117 24 25 28 28 21	3 3 13 48 6 3 8 2 19 5 7 7 3 2	1 6 19 2 2 2 1 0 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 3 11 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	26 92 371 40 37 34 31 142 29 32 31 23	29.3 98.3 98.3 396. 3396. 3396. 3396. 3396. 339. 32.2 32.2 32.0 31.0 32.0 31.0 23.0	0 0	0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 35 149 13 16 12 10 51 11 10 14 8	2 8 31 4 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 2 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	15 46 195 17 20 15 12 64 14 12 16 10	15.0 17.0 19.4 15.0 12.0 63.4 15.0 12.0 16.0 10.0		37- 15 28 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	33 1 147 8 10 4 4 5 4 5 1	49 3 4 1 10 0 0 0 2	9 29 0 0 1 3 4 2 1 0 0 0		32 1115 6000 30 42 29 29 130 31 30 28 20	31.5 31.5 44.0 31.3 33.4 140.2 33.6 31.3 28.0 21.0		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11 69 20 16 20 16 72 19 13 15 14	3 15 64 4 4 4 4 2 14 3 2 2 2 2	20 0 1 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 11 0 2 1 3 0 1 0 0 0	0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	16 94 406 24 20 27 20 91 22 16 17 16	11.3 102.2 432.3 24.0 20.0 30.1 21.8 95.9 22.0 17.3 17.0 16.0]
OTAL 0 - 16:19 5 - 16:30 0 - 16:49 S - 17:30 0 - 17:19 S - 17:30 0 - 17:49 S - 17:30 0 - 17:49 S - 17:30 0 - 17:49 S - 18:30 18:50 19:5		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	317 33 28 31 38 130 56 42 26 24 148	20 78 10 5 5 8 28 12 8 3 4 27	30 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 3 1 1 0 2 0 0 1 3 2 1 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0		430 430 430 430 430 430 430 430 430 430	26.1 112.0 465.3 44.5 33.0 39.8 47.5 164.8 71.8 52.3 32.0 30.3 186.4	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20 70 291 32 25 28 21 24 25 28 21 24 25 28 21 98	48 48 6 3 8 8 2 19 5 5 7 7 3 2 2 17	19 19 2 2 1 0 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 3 11 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	26 92 371 40 37 34 31 142 29 32 31 23 115	29.3 98.9 98.9 396. 38.0 38.0 32.1 41.0 32.0 32.0 31.0 23.0 115.1	0 0 8 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 35 149 13 16 12 10 51 11 11 10 14 8 43	2 8 31 4 3 2 12 2 2 2 2 2 8	1 2 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	15 46 195 17 20 15 12 64 14 12 16 10 52	13.3 48.3 17.0 19.4 15.0 12.0 63.4 15.0 12.0 16.0 10.0 53.0		15 26 27 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	33 4 147 8 10 4 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 1 15	49 49 3 4 1 10 0 0 0 2 2 2	9 29 0 1 3 4 2 1 0 0 3		32 1115 6000 30 42 29 29 130 31 30 28 20 109	31.5 661.6 31.5 44.0 31.3 33.4 140.2 33.6 31.3 28.0 21.0 113.9		0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11 69 20 16 20 16 72 19 13 15 14 61	3 54 64 4 4 4 2 14 3 2 2 2 2 9	20 0 1 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 11 0 0 2 1 3 0 1 0 0 0	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	16 94 406 24 20 27 20 91 22 16 17 16 71	11.3 102.2 432.3 24.0 20.0 30.1 21.8 95.9 22.0 17.3 17.0 16.0 72.3	
0 - 10:00 rty Totz OTAL 0 - 16:11 5 - 16:30 0 - 16:42 5 - 17:00 rty Totz 0 - 17:12 5 - 17:30 0 - 17:42 5 - 18:00 rty Totz 0 - 17:42 5 - 18:00 rty Totz 0 - 18:10 - 18:00 - 18:00 - 19:00 - 19:			3 64 317 33 28 31 38 130 56 42 26 24 148 25	20 78 10 5 5 8 28 12 8 3 4 27 3	S Z 11 5 30 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 2 0 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 1 1		436 436 436 33 38 47 162 70 51 31 29 181 30	26.1 112.0 465.3 33.0 39.8 47.5 164.8 71.8 52.3 32.0 30.3 186.4 31.8	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20 70 291 32 32 25 28 1117 24 25 28 21 98 98 24	48 48 6 3 48 6 3 8 2 19 5 7 3 2 17 4	19 19 2 2 1 0 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 3 11 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	26 92 371 40 37 34 31 142 29 32 31 23 115 28	29.3 98.9 98.9 396. 38.0 38.0 38.0 32.1 145. 29.0 32.0 32.0 31.0 23.0 115. 28.0	0 0 I 0 I 0 I 0 I 0 I 0 I 0 I 0 I 0 I 0 I 0 I 0 I 0 I 0 I 0 I 0	0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 35 149 13 16 12 10 51 11 10 51 11 10 14 8 43 16	2 8 31 4 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 8 1	1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	15 46 195 17 20 15 12 64 14 12 16 10 52 17	10.3 48.3 204.9 17.0 19.4 15.0 12.0 63.4 15.0 12.0 16.0 10.0 53.0 17.0		155 264 377 155 285 221 211 90 244 255 233 245 245 245 255 255 255 255 255	8 10 4 10 4 26 5 1 15	3 49 3 4 2 1 10 0 0 0 0 2 2 2 0	9 29 0 1 3 4 2 1 0 0 3 0 0		32 115 600 30 42 29 29 29 130 31 30 28 20 109 24	37.7 131.2 661.6 31.5 44.0 31.3 33.4 140.2 33.6 31.3 28.0 21.0 113.9 24.0			11 69 20 16 20 16 20 16 72 19 13 15 14 61 19	4 4 4 4 2 14 3 2 2 2 2 9 9	20 20 0 1 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 2 1 3 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10 94 406 24 20 27 20 91 22 20 91 22 16 17 16 71 20	432.3 432.3 24.0 20.0 30.1 21.8 95.9 22.0 17.3 17.0 16.0 72.3 20.0	
OTAL 0 - 16:11 0 - 16:11 0 - 16:11 0 - 16:11 0 - 16:11 0 - 16:11 0 - 16:11 1 - 16:11 0 - 16:11 1 - 17:11 1 - 1			3 64 317 33 28 31 38 130 56 42 26 24 148 25 22	20 78 10 5 5 8 28 12 8 3 4 27 3 2 2 2 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	S J 11 E 30 1 1 C 0 C 1 C 3 1 1 C 3 1 1 C 2 C 0 J 2 C 0 J 1 J 1 J 1 J	0 1 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0	436 436 444 333 38 447 165 70 51 31 29 181 30 26	26.1 112.0 465.3 33.0 39.8 47.5 164.8 71.8 52.3 32.0 30.3 32.0 30.3 186.4 31.8 27.8	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20 70 32 32 32 25 28 117 24 25 28 21 98 98 24 28	3 3 13 13 6 3 8 2 19 5 7 3 2 17 4 2	19 19 2 2 1 0 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 3 11 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	26 92 371 40 37 34 31 142 29 32 31 23 115 28 30	29.3 98.5 98.5 396.	D D 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 35 149 13 16 12 10 51 11 10 14 8 43 16 13	2 8 31 4 3 2 12 2 2 2 2 2 8 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	195 195 17 20 15 12 64 14 12 16 10 52 17 16	12.0 17.0 17.0 19.4 15.0 12.0 63.4 15.0 12.0 16.0 10.0 53.0 17.0 15.4		155 155 255 255 255 255 255 255	8 107 8 100 4 4 4 5 1 105 1 105 2 2	3 49 3 4 2 1 10 0 0 0 2 2 0 0 0	9 29 0 1 3 4 2 1 0 0 3 0 0 0 0		32 115 600 30 42 29 29 130 31 30 28 20 109 24 18	37.7 131.2 661.6 31.5 44.0 31.3 33.4 140.2 33.6 31.3 28.0 21.0 113.9 24.0 18.0			11 69 20 16 20 16 20 16 72 19 13 15 14 61 19 14	4 4 4 4 2 14 3 2 2 2 2 9 9 1 2	20 0 1 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11 0 0 2 1 3 0 1 1 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10 10 94 94 20 20 27 20 91 22 16 17 16 71 20 16 16 17 16 17 16 11 71 16 16 11	432.3 432.3 24.0 20.0 30.1 21.8 95.9 22.0 17.3 17.0 16.0 72.3 20.0 16.0	
COTAL COTAL 20 - 16:19 25 - 16:30 30 - 16:49 25 - 17:30 30 - 17:49 25 - 17:30 30 - 17:49 25 - 17:30 30 - 17:49 25 - 17:30 30 - 17:49 25 - 17:30 30 - 16:49 25 - 17:30 30 - 17:49 30 - 18:49 31 - 18:40 30 - 18:40 31 - 18:40 30 - 19:40 31 - 18:40 30 - 18:40 31 - 18:40 30 -			317 33 28 31 38 130 56 42 26 24 148 25 22 13	20 78 10 5 5 8 28 12 8 3 4 27 3 2 1	S J 11 E 30 1 1 C 0 C 1 1 1 C 3 1 1 C 2 C 0 1 2 C 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 0 C	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	436 436 444 33 38 47 162 51 51 31 30 26 14	26.1 112.0 445.5 33.0 39.8 47.5 164.8 47.5 164.8 32.0 30.3 186.4 31.8 27.8 164.8 11.8 11.8 11.8 11.8 11.8 11.8 11.8 1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20 70 32 32 32 32 25 28 117 24 25 28 21 24 25 28 24 24 23 23 24	3 3 13 13 48 6 3 8 2 19 5 7 3 2 17 4 2 2 17 4 2 2	1 6 19 2 2 1 0 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 3 11 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	26 92 371 40 37 34 31 142 29 32 31 115 28 30 35	29.3 98.3 98.3 396. 41.0 38.0 34.1 32.3 145. 29.0 31.0 32.0 31.0 23.0 31.0 23.0 31.0 23.0 25.0	D D 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 35 149 13 16 12 10 51 11 11 10 14 8 43 16 13	2 8 31 4 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	1 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	15 46 195 17 20 15 12 64 14 12 16 17 16 13	17.0 17.0 19.4 15.0 12.0 16.0 12.0 16.0 10.0 53.0 17.0 15.4 13.0		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	8 10 4 147 8 10 4 4 4 4 5 1 5 1 15 5 2 2	9 49 3 4 2 1 10 0 0 0 2 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	29 0 0 1 3 4 2 1 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 0		32 115 600 30 42 29 29 130 31 30 28 20 109 24 18 11	37.7 131.2 661.6 31.5 44.0 31.3 33.4 140.2 33.6 31.3 28.0 21.0 113.9 24.0 18.0 110			11 69 20 16 20 16 72 19 13 15 14 61 19 14 12	4 4 4 4 4 2 14 3 2 2 2 2 9 1 1 2 2 3	20 0 1 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11 0 0 2 1 3 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10 94 406 20 27 20 27 20 91 406 16 17 16 16 71 20 16 15	432.3 432.3 24.0 20.0 30.1 21.8 95.9 22.0 17.3 17.0 16.0 72.3 20.0 16.0 15.0	
OTAL 01-16:11 0-16:11 0-16:11 0-16:41 0-16:41 0-16:41 0-16:41 0-16:41 0-16:41 0-17:41 15-16:30 0-17:41 15-17:30 0-17:41 15-18:30 0-18:14 15-18:30 0-18:14 15-18:30 0-18:14 15-18:30 0-18:14 15-18:30 0-18:14 15-18:30 0-18:14 15-18:30 15-18:3			317 33 28 31 38 130 56 42 26 24 148 25 22 13 10	20 78 10 5 5 8 28 12 8 3 4 27 3 2 1 0	S Z 11 2 1 0 1 0 1 2 1 2 0 2 0 2 0 3 1 2 0 3 1 2 0 3 1 2 0 3 1 2 0 3 1 3 0 0	0 0 1 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0 - 0	436 436 436 436 333 38 447 162 51 31 30 26 181 30 26 14	26.1 112.0 44.5 33.0 39.8 47.5 164.8 47.5 164.8 52.3 32.0 30.3 186.4 31.8 27.8 14.0 19.0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20 70 32 32 25 28 117 24 25 28 21 24 24 28 21 98 24 22 23	3 3 13 48 6 3 8 2 19 5 7 3 2 17 4 2 2 17 4 2 1 17	19 2 2 1 0 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 3 11 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	26 92 371 40 37 34 31 142 29 32 31 23 115 28 30 35 24	29.3 998.3 998.3 396. 41.0 38.0 34.4 32.2 145. 29.0 32.0 31.0 23.0 31.0 23.0 31.0 23.0 32.4 41.0 32.2 32.0 32.2 32.0 32.2 32.0 32.2	U U I 0 I 0 I 0 I 0 I 0 I 0 I 0 I 0 I 0 I 0 I 0 I 0 I 0 I 0 I 0 I 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	12 35 149 13 16 12 10 51 11 11 10 51 11 14 8 43 16 13 11 11	2 8 31 4 3 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 8 1 2 2 2 1	1 2 12 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 1 3 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	15 46 195 17 20 15 12 64 14 12 16 10 52 17 16 13 13	17.0 19.4 17.0 19.4 15.0 12.0 63.4 15.0 12.0 16.0 10.0 53.0 17.0 15.4 13.0		5 4 5 4 5 4 5 4 5 1 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	8 10 4 44 4 26 5 4 5 1 10 5 2 2	9 49 3 4 2 1 10 0 0 0 2 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	29 0 0 1 3 4 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		32 115 600 30 42 29 29 29 130 31 30 28 20 109 24 18 11 14	31.5 31.5 31.5 44.0 31.3 33.4 140.2 33.6 31.3 28.0 21.0 21.0 21.0 1113.9 24.0 18.0 11.0 14.0			11 69 20 16 20 16 72 19 13 15 14 61 19 14 12 14	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 2 14 3 2 2 2 2 9 1 1 2 3 3 2	20 20 0 1 1 1 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	4 11 0 0 2 1 3 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	10 94 94 406 24 20 27 20 20 27 20 91 91 22 16 17 16 71 16 15 16 15	1102.2 102.2 432.3 24.0 20.0 30.1 21.8 95.9 22.0 17.3 17.0 16.0 72.3 20.0 16.0 15.0 16.0	

TOTAL 0 0 348 61 8 6 0 423 4545 0 1 322 45 5 1 0 77 977 0 2 146 2 0 0 1 177 977 0 2 146 2 0 0 1 175 1774 0 0 228 25 1 12 7 0 266 2211 0 0 192 25 2 4 0 229 2352



APPENDIX 14 – Station Road/Broad Rushes Roundabout Junction Turning Count Results

Station Road North

East Midlands Airport

Wednesday 27th September 2023 Junction: 2

Approach:

			Al	nead to	o Statio	n Road	(S)						Right t	o Broad	d Rushe	S		
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	1	50	23	3	1	2	80	84.2	0	0	44	13	6	4	0	67	75.2
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	85	19	4	1	3	112	118.3	0	1	67	7	4	11	0	90	105.7
07:30 - 07:45	0	2	102	24	6	0	1	135	137.8	0	0	78	11	2	4	0	95	101.2
07:45 - 08:00	1	2	120	13	9	3	4	152	162.4	0	0	98	11	5	7	0	121	132.6
Hourly Total	1	5	357	79	22	5	10	479	502.7	0	1	287	42	17	26	0	373	414.7
08:00 - 08:15	2	2	106	25	4	4	2	145	151.4	0	1	80	17	6	5	0	109	117.9
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	135	15	3	1	3	157	162.8	0	0	111	11	5	7	0	134	145.6
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	103	20	4	1	0	128	131.3	0	1	91	15	7	8	0	122	135.3
08:45 - 09:00	0	1	85	23	2	1	3	115	119.7	0	1	96	12	5	11	0	125	141.2
Hourly Total	2	3	429	83	13	7	8	545	565.2	0	3	378	55	23	31	0	490	540.0
09:00 - 09:15	0	3	74	20	3	2	2	104	108.3	0	2	47	12	6	9	0	76	89.5
09:15 - 09:30	0	1	76	19	2	1	3	102	106.7	0	0	28	10	4	8	0	50	62.4
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	67	19	6	4	0	96	104.2	0	0	35	6	5	12	0	58	76.1
09:45 - 10:00	0	1	73	12	4	0	3	93	97.4	0	2	39	5	6	10	0	62	76.8
Hourly Total	0	5	290	70	15	7	8	395	416.6	0	4	149	33	21	39	0	246	304.8
TOTAL	3	13	1076	232	50	19	26	1419	1484.5	0	8	814	130	61	96	0	1109	1259.5

16:00 - 16:15	0	0	95	12	5	1	2	115	120.8	0	0	36	15	5	5	0	61	70.0
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	97	12	4	1	1	115	119.3	0	0	46	7	2	7	0	62	72.1
16:30 - 16:45	0	1	91	11	5	1	2	111	116.2	0	3	50	16	0	9	0	78	87.9
16:45 - 17:00	0	2	104	13	1	2	3	125	129.9	0	0	60	7	2	8	0	77	88.4
Hourly Total	0	3	387	48	15	5	8	466	486.2	0	3	192	45	9	29	0	278	318.4
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	112	14	1	0	1	128	129.5	0	0	70	11	2	5	0	88	95.5
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	189	7	0	0	3	199	202.0	0	3	80	4	2	3	0	92	95.1
17:30 - 17:45	0	2	99	6	1	1	1	110	111.6	0	0	119	5	1	4	0	129	134.7
17:45 - 18:00	0	2	86	10	1	0	3	102	104.3	0	1	95	8	1	4	0	109	114.1
Hourly Total	0	4	486	37	3	1	8	539	547.4	0	4	364	28	6	16	0	418	439.4
18:00 - 18:15	0	4	80	7	0	0	1	92	90.6	0	1	85	3	3	2	0	94	97.5
18:15 - 18:30	0	1	74	9	3	0	3	90	93.9	0	0	57	9	1	4	0	71	76.7
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	103	6	1	0	1	111	112.5	0	0	49	4	0	11	0	64	78.3
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	68	6	1	1	1	77	79.8	0	0	32	4	0	2	0	38	40.6
Hourly Total	0	5	325	28	5	1	6	370	376.8	0	1	223	20	4	19	0	267	293.1
TOTAL	0	12	1198	113	23	7	22	1375	1410.4	0	8	779	93	19	64	0	963	1050.9

PCU Fac	ctors:
CYCLE	0.2
M/CYCLE	0.4
CAR	1.0
LGV	1.0
OGV1	1.5
OGV2	2.3
BUS	2.0

East Midlands Airport

Wednesday 27th September 2023 Junction: 2

Approach:

ch: Station Road South

				Left to	Broad I	Rushes						Ał	nead to	Statio	n Road	(N)		
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	40	10	2	3	0	55	59.9
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	33	13	4	0	2	52	56.0
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	73	14	3	0	2	92	95.5
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	53	15	4	0	1	73	76.0
Hourly Total	0	0	10	4	0	0	0	14	14.0	0	0	199	52	13	3	5	272	287.4
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	1	51	10	4	0	4	70	75.4
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	5	1	0	1	0	7	8.3	0	0	64	12	8	2	0	86	92.6
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	7	7.0	0	0	51	10	4	3	2	70	77.9
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	64	16	6	1	3	90	97.3
Hourly Total	0	0	20	5	0	1	0	26	27.3	0	1	230	48	22	6	9	316	343.2
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	51	13	2	2	1	69	73.6
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1.0	5	0	56	19	3	4	2	89	93.7
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	1	56	17	2	0	4	80	84.4
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	59	11	6	0	0	76	79.0
Hourly Total	0	0	4	3	0	0	0	7	7.0	5	1	222	60	13	6	7	314	330.7
TOTAL	0	0	34	12	0	1	0	47	48.3	5	2	651	160	48	15	21	902	961.3

PCU Fac	ctors:
CYCLE	0.2
M/CYCLE	0.4
CAR	1.0
LGV	1.0
OGV1	1.5
OGV2	2.3
BUS	2.0

16:00 - 16:15	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	2	122	10	3	0	3	140	143.3
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	115	24	5	0	1	145	148.5
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1.5	2	1	125	17	2	0	2	149	149.8
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	1	3	95	9	4	0	2	114	115.4
Hourly Total	0	0	6	1	1	0	0	8	8.5	3	6	457	60	14	0	8	548	557.0
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	1	2	195	23	1	0	2	224	224.5
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	119	10	1	1	2	133	136.8
17:30 - 17:45	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	4	3.4	1	3	97	11	0	0	3	115	115.4
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	2	99	13	0	0	1	115	114.8
Hourly Total	0	1	8	3	0	0	0	12	11.4	2	7	510	57	2	1	8	587	591.5
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	4	89	10	0	0	3	106	106.6
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	5	86	5	0	0	0	96	93.0
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	6	64	3	1	0	3	77	76.9
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	66	2	1	0	1	70	71.5
Hourly Total	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	7	7.0	0	15	305	20	2	0	7	349	348.0
TOTAL	0	1	20	5	1	0	0	27	26.9	5	28	1272	137	18	1	23	1484	1496.5

East Midlands Airport

Wednesday 27th September 2023 Junction:

Broad Rushes Approach:

Hourly Total

18:00 - 18:15

18:15 - 18:30

18:30 - 18:45

18:45 - 19:00

Hourly Total

TOTAL

1211 90 21 50

489.3

190.9

79.0

123.5

56.9

450.3

1456.7 0

			L	eft to	Station	Road (I	N)					Ri	ght to :	Station	Road (S)					
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	P	CU Fac	tors:
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	36	4	6	4	0	50	58.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	C	CYCLE	0.2
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	59	17	10	6	0	92	104.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	M,	/CYCLE	0.4
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	72	12	4	10	0	98	113.0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	4	4.5		CAR	1.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	75	9	5	10	0	99	114.5	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0		LGV	1.0
Hourly Total	0	0	242	42	25	30	0	339	390.5	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	5	5.5	C	DGV1	1.5
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	79	8	1	10	0	98	111.5	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	C	DGV2	2.3
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	42	11	9	4	0	66	75.7	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0		BUS	2.0
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	54	7	1	9	0	71	83.2	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	5	5.0			
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	51	13	6	6	0	76	86.8	0	0	5	1	1	0	0	7	7.5			
Hourly Total	0	0	226	39	17	29	0	311	357.2	0	0	14	3	1	0	0	18	18.5			
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	39	8	5	7	0	59	70.6	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	3	3.5			
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	31	16	4	6	0	57	66.8	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0			
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	33	6	8	10	0	57	74.0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	5	5.0			
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	37	8	5	6	0	56	66.3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0			
Hourly Total	0	0	140	38	22	29	0	229	277.7	0	0	8	2	1	0	0	11	11.5			
TOTAL	0	0	608	119	64	88	0	879	1025.4	0	0	26	5	3	0	0	34	35.5			
					1	r				1	1	-		1							
16:00 - 16:15	0	4	130	17	1	10	0	162	173.1	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.0			
16:15 - 16:30	1	3	87	8	3	9	0	111	121.6	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0			
16:30 - 16:45	0	3	85	11	1	3	0	103	105.6	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0			
16:45 - 17:00	0	2	90	7	5	5	0	109	116.8	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0			
Hourly Total	1	12	392	43	10	27	0	485	517.1	0	0	12	1	0	0	0	13	13.0			
17:00 - 17:15	0	2	132	12	0	3	0	149	151.7	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0			
17:15 - 17:30	0	1	90	3	2	2	0	98	101.0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.0			
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	130	7	2	5	0	144	151.5	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	10	10.0			
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	73	6	1	2	0	82	85.1	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0			

21.0

2.0

3.0

2.0

1.0

8.0

42 42.0



APPENDIX 15 - A453/Kegworth Road Roundabout Junction Turning Count Results

East Midlands Airport

Wednesday 20th September 2023

Junction: 4 Approach: A453 Exit Slip Road

			Т	o Kegv	vorth F	Road (E	:)					Т	o Kegv	vorth R	oad (S	i)					То	A453	Entry S	lip Roa	d		
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAI	- PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	14	4	0	0	0	18	18.0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	11	2	0	0	0	13	13.0	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	4	5.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	11	11.0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	7	7.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	10	1	0	0	0	11	11.0	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	46	7	0	0	0	53	53.0	0	0	17	3	0	1	0	21	22.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	8	2	1	0	0	11	11.5	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	6	1	1	0	0	8	8.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	5	6.3	0	0	2	3	1	0	0	6	6.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	22	2	1	1	0	26	27.8	0	0	14	6	2	0	0	22	23.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	3	4.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	7	7.0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	3.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	12	5	0	0	0	17	17.0	0	0	5	1	0	1	1	8	10.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
TOTAL	0	0	80	14	1	1	0	96	97.8	0	0	36	10	2	2	1	51	55.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
	1	1		1	1			-			1			1		1						1	1				
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	7	7.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	9	2	0	0	0	11	11.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	9	2	0	0	0	11	11.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	4	1	1	0	0	6	6.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	15	2	0	0	0	17	17.0	0	0	28	6	1	0	0	35	35.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	8	2	0	0	0	10	10.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	7	7.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	3.0	0	0	8	2	0	0	0	10	10.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	6	2	0	0	1	9	10.0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	9	9.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	11	3	0	0	2	16	18.0	0	0	31	5	0	0	0	36	36.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	9	1	0	0	0	10	10.0	0	0	16	1	0	0	0	17	17.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
				-	-		-				-				-				-			-		-	-	-	
TOTAL	0	0	35	6	0	0	2	43	45.0	0	0	75	12	1	0	0	88	88.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0

PCU Fac	ctors:
CYCLE	0.2
M/CYCLE	0.4
CAR	1.0
LGV	1.0
OGV1	1.5
OGV2	2.3
BUS	2.0

East Midlands Airport

Wednesday 20th September 2023 Junction: 4

Approach: Kegworth Road East

			T	o Kegv	vorth R	Road (S	5)					То	A453	Entry S	iip Ro	ad					Т	o A453	Exit S	lip Road	ł		
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	7	7.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	3	2.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	13	0	0	0	0	13	13.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	10	5	0	0	0	15	15.0	0	1	24	2	0	0	0	27	26.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	7	7.0	0	0	5	0	0	1	0	6	7.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	3	3.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	4	4.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	6	2	1	0	0	9	9.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	10	5	0	0	0	15	15.0	0	0	16	2	3	1	0	22	24.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:00 - 09:15	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	1.2	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	7	7.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	3.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	8	8.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	1	0	4	3	0	0	0	8	7.2	0	0	18	1	0	1	0	20	21.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
TOTAL	1	0	24	13	0	0	0	38	37.2	0	1	58	5	3	2	0	69	72.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
r																										1	
16:00 - 16:15	0	3	3	2	0	0	0	8	6.2	0	0	32	2	0	0	0	34	34.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	14	2	0	0	0	16	16.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	1	13	5	0	0	0	19	18.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	33	2	0	0	0	35	35.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	3	13	3	0	0	0	19	17.2	0	1	92	11	0	0	0	104	103.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	30	1	0	0	0	31	31.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:15 - 17:30	1	0	8	0	0	0	0	9	8.2	0	0	7	2	0	0	0	9	9.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	11	2	1	2	0	16	19.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	5	1	0	0	2	8	10.0	0	0	13	2	0	0	0	15	15.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	1	0	18	1	0	0	2	22	23.2	0	0	61	7	1	2	0	71	74.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	2.0	0	0	20	1	0	0	0	21	21.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	22	1	1	0	1	25	26.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	5	2	1	0	0	8	8.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	3	4.0	0	0	27	1	0	0	0	28	28.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	11	1	0	0	2	14	16.0	0	0	74	5	2	0	1	82	84.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
TOTAL	4	2	40	-	•	•			50.4	•	4	007	22	_	•	4	0.57	004 5	0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	0.0
IUIAL	1	3	42	5	0	U	4	55	56.4	U	1	221	23	3	2	1	25/	201.5	U	0	U	U	U	U	0	U	0.0

PCU Factors: CYCLE 0.2 M/CYCLE 0.4 CAR 1.0 LGV 1.0 OGV1 1.5 2.3 OGV2 BUS 2.0

East Midlands Airport

Wednesday 20th September 2023 Junction: 4

Kegworth Road South Approach:

	To A453 Entry Slip Road									To A453 Exit Slip Road								To Kegworth Road (E)										
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCL	E CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	PCU Factors
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	9	3	0	0	0	12	12.0	CYCLE 0.2
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	7	1	0	0	0	8	8.0	M/CYCL 0.4
07:30 - 07:45	0	1	3	1	0	0	0	5	4.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	11	3	0	0	1	15	16.0	CAR 1.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	3	0	15	3	0	0	0	21	18.6	LGV 1.0
Hourly Total	0	1	5	1	0	1	0	8	8.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	3	0	42	10	0	0	1	56	54.6	OGV1 1.5
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	3	4.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	10	1	0	0	0	11	11.0	OGV2 2.3
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	1	0	6	2	0	0	0	9	8.2	BUS 2.0
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	4	4.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	·
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	5	2	0	0	1	8	9.0	
Hourly Total	0	0	7	1	1	1	0	10	11.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	1	0	24	5	0	0	1	31	31.2	
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0	
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	10	10.0	
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	3	3.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	7	7.0	
Hourly Total	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	5	5.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	19	2	0	0	0	21	21.0	
					•																							
TOTAL	0	1	15	3	2	2	0	23	26.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	4	0	85	17	0	0	2	108	106.8	
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	3	3	1	0	0	7	7.5	
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6.0	
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	13	3	0	0	0	16	16.0	
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	5	5.5	
Hourly Total	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	26	6	2	0	0	34	35.0	
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	10	1	0	0	0	11	11.0	
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	1	0	4	2	0	0	0	7	6.2	
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	9	3	0	0	0	12	12.0	
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	6	6.0	
Hourly Total	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	1	0	28	7	0	0	0	36	35.2	
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	10	1	0	0	1	12	13.0	
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	6	6.0	
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	1	0	10	1	0	0	0	12	11.2	
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	9	2	0	0	0	11	11.0	
Hourly Total	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	1	0	34	5	0	0	1	41	41.2	
TOTAL	0	0	10	4	0	0	0	14	14.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	2	0	88	18	2	0	1	111	111.4	

PCU Factors CYCLE 0.2



APPENDIX 16 – A453/Barton Lane/West Leake Lane Roundabouts Junction Turning Count Results
East Midlands Airport

Wednesday 20th September 2023 Junction: 6

Approach: Barton Lane North

			То	A453	Entry S	lip Roa	ad						То Ва	rton Lan	e (S)						Тс	o A453	Exit Sl	ip Road	d		
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1 C	DGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	1	2	0	0	1	4	5.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	9	4	1	2	0	16	19.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	6	5	1	1	0	13	14.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	21	12	2	3	1	39	44.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	8	1	1	0	1	11	12.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	10	3	0	3	0	16	19.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	10	3	1	0	0	14	14.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	5	1	0	2	0	8	10.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	33	8	2	5	1	49	57.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	4	5.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	5	2	0	0	1	8	9.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	13	6	1	1	1	22	24.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
TOTAL	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	67	26	5	9	3	110	127.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
1	-										1	-								1 1				1 1			
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	7	1	0	0	0	8	8.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	9	1	0	0	0	10	10.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	4	3.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0	1	0	23	2	0	0	0	26	25.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	1	0	5	0	0	0	0	6	5.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	5	6.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	1	0	13	3	0	0	1	18	18.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	14	2	0	0	0	16	16.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
	•		_	•						•	•	= 0	_		•				•		•	•			•		
IOTAL	0	U	1	0	U	0	0	1	7.0	2	U	50	1	U	0	1	60	59.4	0	U	0	0	0	U	0	U	0.0

PCU Fac	ctors:
CYCLE	0.2
M/CYCLE	0.4
CAR	1.0
LGV	1.0
OGV1	1.5
OGV2	2.3
BUS	2.0

East Midlands Airport

Wednesday 20th September 2023 Junction: 6

Approach: A453 Entry Slip Road

				То Ва	rton La	ine (S)						T	o A453	Exit Sl	ip Roa	d						To Bar	ton Lar	ne (N)			
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0

PCU Fac	ctors:
CYCLE	0.2
M/CYCLE	0.4
CAR	1.0
LGV	1.0
OGV1	1.5
OGV2	2.3
BUS	2.0

East Midlands Airport

Wednesday 20th September 2023 Junction: 6

Approach: **Barton Lane South**

			Т	o A453	B Exit S	lip Roa	d						То Ва	rton La	ne (N)						Тс	A453	Entry	Slip Roa	d				
TIME	CYCL	E M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCL	E M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	PCU Fa	actors:
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	5	1	1	0	0	7	7.5	0	0	2	0	2	1	0	5	7.3	CYCLE	0.2
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	6	1	1	1	0	9	10.8	M/CYCL	E 0.4
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	13	1	0	2	1	17	20.6	CAR	1.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	11	1	0	4	0	16	21.2	LGV	1.0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	9	2	1	0	0	12	12.5	0	0	32	3	3	8	1	47	59.9	OGV1	1.5
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	3	5.6	0	0	15	3	0	0	0	18	18.0	OGV2	2.3
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	13	0	0	0	1	14	15.0	BUS	2.0
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	4	5.3	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	10	10.0		
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	3	1	0	1	0	5	6.3	0	0	9	1	0	0	1	11	12.0		
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	9	2	0	4	0	15	20.2	0	0	47	4	0	0	2	53	55.0		
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	5	5.0		
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	4	5.3	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	5	6.5		
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	3	4.3	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	5.0		
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	3	4.5	0	0	4	0	0	2	0	6	8.6		
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	7	1	1	2	1	12	16.1	0	0	16	1	1	2	1	21	25.1		
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	25	5	2	6	1	39	48.8	0	0	95	8	4	10	4	121	140.0		
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	5	5.5	0	0	6	4	0	0	0	10	10.0		
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	1	8	2	0	0	1	12	12.4		
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	1	7	0	0	1	0	9	9.7		
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	7	1	0	0	0	8	8.0		
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	7	2	1	0	0	10	10.5	0	2	28	7	0	1	1	39	40.1		
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	13	1	0	1	1	16	18.3		
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	15	2	0	0	1	18	19.0		
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	13	1	0	0	0	14	14.0		
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6.0		
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	5	3	0	0	0	8	8.0	0	0	47	4	0	1	2	54	57.3		
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	5	1	0	1	1	8	10.3		
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	4	1	0	1	0	6	7.3		
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0		
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	6	6.0		
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	9	9.0	0	0	15	3	0	2	1	21	24.6		
																											_		
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	21	5	1	0	0	27	27.5	0	2	90	14	0	4	4	114	122.0		

East Midlands Airport

Wednesday 20th September 2023 Junction: 6

Approach: A453 Exit Slip Road

				To Ba	rton La	ne (N)						То	A453	Entry S	lip Roa	ad						То Ва	rton La	ne (S)			
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	E CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	4	1	1	3	0	9	13.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	24	14	2	5	0	45	52.5
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	1	25	13	3	5	0	47	54.4
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	1	4	0	1	0	6	7.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	30	11	1	3	0	45	49.4
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	4	2	0	1	0	7	8.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	28	17	2	5	0	52	59.5
Hourly Total	0	0	13	7	1	5	0	26	33.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	1	107	55	8	18	0	189	215.8
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	39	11	1	5	0	56	63.0
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	4	0	1	0	0	5	5.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	49	9	7	8	0	73	86.9
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	10	4	1	0	0	15	15.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	42	6	3	7	0	58	68.6
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	8	5	2	1	0	16	18.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	40	13	5	3	0	61	67.4
Hourly Total	0	0	25	11	4	1	0	41	44.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	170	39	16	23	0	248	285.9
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	28	8	1	1	0	38	39.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	34	12	2	7	0	55	65.1
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	5	5	1	1	0	12	13.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	26	7	2	5	0	40	47.5
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	7	2	2	0	0	11	12.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	8	2	1	1	0	12	13.8
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	5	5	0	3	0	13	16.9
Hourly Total	0	0	44	15	4	2	0	65	69.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	73	26	5	16	0	120	143.3
																											÷
TOTAL	0	0	82	33	9	8	0	132	146.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	1	350	120	29	57	0	557	645.0
																										1	
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	17	5	0	5	1	28	35.5
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	1	30	4	0	3	0	38	41.3
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	5	5.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	36	2	1	4	0	43	48.7
16:45 - 17:00	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	3	2.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	50	8	0	4	0	62	67.2
Hourly Total	0	1	9	4	0	0	0	14	13.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	1	133	19	1	16	1	171	192.7
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	34	8	0	1	0	43	44.3
17:15 - 17:30	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	3	2.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	47	5	1	1	0	54	55.8
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	4	5.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	45	2	0	2	0	49	51.6
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	38	3	0	0	0	41	41.0
Hourly Total	0	1	9	1	0	0	1	12	12.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	164	18	1	4	0	187	192.7
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	23	3	0	0	0	26	26.0
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	27	2	0	1	0	30	31.3
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	13	3	1	2	0	19	22.1
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	17	2	0	4	0	23	28.2
Hourly Total	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	9	9.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	80	10	1	7	0	98	107.6
														1													
TOTAL	0	2	27	5	0	0	1	35	34.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	1	377	47	3	27	1	456	493.0

CU Factors CYCLE 0.2 M/CYCL 0.4 CAR 1.0 LGV 1.0 OGV1 1.5 OGV2 2.3 BUS 2.0

East Midlands Airport

Wednesday 20th September 2023 Junction: 7

Approach: Barton Lane

			Т	o A453	B Exit Sl	ip Roa	d						To We	st Leak	ke Lane	!					То	A453	Entry S	lip Roa	ad				
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	PCU Fa	ctors:
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	28	12	2	5	0	47	54.5	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	4	4.0	CYCLE	0.2
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	1	20	13	1	5	1	41	48.4	0	0	6	2	2	0	0	10	11.0	M/CYCLE	0.4
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	35	10	2	4	0	51	57.2	0	0	4	5	0	1	0	10	11.3	CAR	1.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	29	21	1	6	0	57	65.3	0	0	5	1	2	0	0	8	9.0	LGV	1.0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	1	112	56	6	20	1	196	225.4	0	0	16	11	4	1	0	32	35.3	OGV1	1.5
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	41	11	2	5	1	60	68.5	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	7	7.0	OGV2	2.3
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	47	11	6	7	0	71	83.1	0	0	12	1	1	4	0	18	23.7	BUS	2.0
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	41	9	2	6	0	58	66.8	0	0	11	0	2	1	0	14	16.3		
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	40	13	5	4	0	62	69.7	0	0	5	1	0	1	0	7	8.3		
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	169	44	15	22	1	251	288.1	0	0	34	3	3	6	0	46	55.3		
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	25	10	1	8	0	44	54.9	0	0	10	3	2	0	0	15	16.0		
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	23	9	2	5	0	39	46.5	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	7	7.0		
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	5	1	0	1	1	8	10.3	0	0	8	3	1	0	0	12	12.5		
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	5	3	0	3	0	11	14.9	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	6	6.0		
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	58	23	3	17	1	102	126.6	0	0	28	9	3	0	0	40	41.5		
		-		-			-	-			-						-			-					-				
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	1	339	123	24	59	3	549	640.1	0	0	78	23	10	7	0	118	132.1		
	1	r		1		1	1			-	1	1	1		1	1				1	1	1				_			
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	20	5	0	5	1	31	38.5	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	5	5.0		
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	1	35	4	0	3	0	43	46.3	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	5	5.0		
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	1	0	38	1	1	4	0	45	49.9	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0		
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	51	8	0	4	0	63	68.2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0		
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	1	1	144	18	1	16	1	182	202.9	0	0	12	3	0	0	0	15	15.0		
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	32	8	0	1	0	41	42.3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0		
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	1	0	50	3	1	1	0	56	57.0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	4	4.0		
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	44	2	0	2	1	49	52.6	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	5	5.0		
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	39	5	0	0	0	44	44.0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0		
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	1	0	165	18	1	4	1	190	195.9	0	0	12	3	0	0	0	15	15.0		
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	24	3	0	0	0	27	27.0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0		
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	27	3	0	1	0	31	32.3	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0		
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	13	4	1	2	0	20	23.1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0		
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	20	1	0	4	0	25	30.2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1.0		
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	84	11	1	7	0	103	112.6	0	0	10	1	0	0	0	11	11.0		
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	2	1	393	47	3	27	2	475	511.4	0	0	34	7	0	0	0	41	41.0		

East Midlands Airport

Wednesday 20th September 2023 Junction: 7

Approach: A453 Exit Slip Road

				To We	st Leak	ke Lane	•					То	A453	Entry S	iip Ro	ad						To B	arton I	ane			
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.0
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	4	2	2	1	0	9	11.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	2.5
07:30 - 07:45	0	1	3	3	0	0	0	7	6.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	8	4	0	1	0	13	14.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0
Hourly Total	0	1	16	11	2	2	0	32	35.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	8	2	1	0	0	11	11.5
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	14	0	0	0	0	14	14.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	8	1	0	0	2	11	13.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	5	3	1	0	1	10	11.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	11	1	1	0	0	13	13.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0
Hourly Total	0	0	38	5	2	0	3	48	52.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	12	2	0	1	0	15	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	6	3	1	1	1	12	14.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	7	4	1	2	0	14	17.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	4	2	1	0	1	8	9.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	29	11	3	4	2	49	57.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0
															-												
TOTAL	0	1	83	27	7	6	5	129	144.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	12	2	1	0	0	15	15.5
	1	1		-			1				1	1		1		-				1					-		
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	1	2	0	1	1	5	7.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	10	2	0	2	0	14	16.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	5	4	1	1	1	12	14.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	18	1	0	0	0	19	19.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	34	9	1	4	2	50	57.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	13	1	0	0	0	14	14.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	13	0	0	0	1	14	15.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	10	1	0	0	0	11	11.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	11	1	0	1	0	13	14.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0
Hourly Total	0	0	47	3	0	1	1	52	54.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.0
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	5	0	0	1	0	6	7.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	11	0	0	1	1	13	15.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	3	1	0	1	0	5	6.3
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	10	1	0	0	0	11	11.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	5	6.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	30	1	0	3	1	35	39.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	5	1	0	1	0	7	8.3
TOTAL	•	0	444	40	4	•		407	454.0	•	•	•	•	•	•	•		0.0	•	0	44	`	•	4	•	44	45.0
TOTAL	U	U	111	13	1	ð	4	137	151.9	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	0.0	U	U	11	2	U	1	U	14	15.3

PCU Factors: CYCLE 0.2 M/CYCLE 0.4 CAR 1.0 LGV 1.0 OGV1 1.5 OGV2 2.3 BUS 2.0

East Midlands Airport

Wednesday 20th September 2023 Junction: 7

Approach: West Leake Lane

			Тс	o A453	Entry	Slip Ro	ad						To l	Barton	Lane						T	o A453	Exit Sl	ip Roa	d		
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	35	4	3	3	0	45	50.4	0	0	4	0	3	1	0	8	10.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	25	5	0	5	0	35	41.5	0	0	7	0	0	1	0	8	9.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	34	13	1	3	0	51	55.4	0	0	11	1	0	2	1	15	18.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	1	57	4	0	8	0	70	79.8	0	0	11	2	0	4	0	17	22.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	1	151	26	4	19	0	201	227.1	0	0	33	3	3	8	1	48	60.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	63	7	2	8	0	80	91.4	0	0	16	3	0	2	0	21	23.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	36	6	0	3	0	45	48.9	0	0	15	1	0	0	1	17	18.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:30 - 08:45	0	1	40	7	0	6	0	54	61.2	0	0	13	0	0	1	0	14	15.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:45 - 09:00	0	1	31	8	2	4	0	46	51.6	0	0	10	2	0	1	1	14	16.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	2	170	28	4	21	0	225	253.1	0	0	54	6	0	4	2	66	73.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	32	6	0	7	0	45	54.1	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	7	7.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	22	7	1	6	0	36	44.3	0	0	4	0	1	1	1	7	9.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	25	2	0	6	0	33	40.8	0	0	7	0	0	1	0	8	9.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	18	6	2	6	0	32	40.8	0	0	4	1	1	2	1	9	13.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	97	21	3	25	0	146	180.0	0	0	21	2	2	4	2	31	39.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
																										-	
TOTAL	0	3	418	75	11	65	0	572	660.2	0	0	108	11	5	16	5	145	173.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
		r			1	T					r	T	1								r	T	r				
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	37	16	3	0	0	56	57.5	0	0	8	5	1	0	0	14	14.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	39	13	2	3	0	57	61.9	0	1	6	2	0	0	1	10	10.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	37	11	2	2	0	52	55.6	0	1	8	1	0	1	0	11	11.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:45 - 17:00	0	1	36	5	0	5	0	47	52.9	0	0	10	1	0	0	0	11	11.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	1	149	45	7	10	0	212	227.9	0	2	32	9	1	1	1	46	47.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	37	16	1	1	0	55	56.8	0	0	12	2	0	1	1	16	18.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	37	4	1	2	0	44	47.1	0	0	17	3	0	0	1	21	22.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	38	2	0	2	0	42	44.6	0	0	14	1	0	0	0	15	15.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	33	8	1	4	0	46	51.7	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	145	30	3	9	0	187	200.2	0	0	49	6	0	1	2	58	61.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:00 - 18:15	0	1	24	0	0	0	0	25	24.4	0	0	8	1	0	1	1	11	13.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	13	1	3	5	0	22	30.0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:30 - 18:45	0	1	8	2	0	2	0	13	15.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	12	1	0	2	0	15	17.6	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	7	7.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	2	57	4	3	9	0	75	87.0	0	0	19	2	0	1	1	23	25.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
												1													1		
TOTAL	0	3	351	79	13	28	0	474	515.1	0	2	100	17	1	3	4	127	134.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0

 PCU Factors:

 CYCLE
 0.2

 M/CYCLE
 0.4

 CAR
 1.0

 LGV
 1.0

 OGV1
 1.5

 OGV2
 2.3

 BUS
 2.0

East Midlands Airport

Wednesday 20th September 2023 Junction: 7

Approach: A453 Entry Slip Road

				То В	arton l	Lane						T	o A453	Exit Sl	lip Roa	d					T	To We	st Leak	e Lane			
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Ō	0	0.0	Ō	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
TOTAL	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0

PCU Fac	ctors:
CYCLE	0.2
M/CYCLE	0.4
CAR	1.0
LGV	1.0
OGV1	1.5
OGV2	2.3
BUS	2.0



APPENDIX 17 – GEH Comparison

	Junction Arm	2022 Observed Flows (Lights AM)	2022 Saturn Actual Flows (Lights AM)	GEH Comparison
JI - A453 / Wolton Hill	A Northern Arm B A453 C Walton Hill	A B C A 0 430 241 B 165 0 133 C 284 340 0	A B C A 0 721 337 B 131 0 228 C 399 320 0	A B C A 0 12 6 B 3 0 7 C 6 1 0
J2-A453/East Midland Airport Access	A East Midlands Airport Access B A453 (E) C A453(W)	A B C A 0 104 35 B 239 0 312 C 127 458 0	A B C A 0 4 67 B 58 0 291 C 267 776 0	A B C A 0 14 4 B 15 0 1 C 10 13 0
J3 - A453 / Hunter Road	A Hunter Road B A453 (E) C A453 (W)	A B C A 0 89 26 B 367 0 525 C 50 512 0	A B C A 0 155 0 B 543 0 281 C 0 578 0	A B C A 0 6 7 B 8 0 12 C 10 3 0
J4 - A453 / M1 J22A Access / Donington Services	A A453 (N) B M1 J23A Access C Donnington Services Access D A453 (W)	A B C D A 0 486 57 395 B 828 0 102 448 C 41 89 0 50 D 368 213 21 0	A B C D A 0 145 0 211 B 1276 0 0 608 C 0 0 0 0 D 351 381 0 0	A B C D A 0 19 11 11 B 14 0 14 7 C 9 13 0 10 D 1 10 6 0
J5- A453 / Derby Road / M1 124 / A50	A M1 124 (N) B A453 (N) C Derby Road D M1 124 (S) E A453 (S) F A53 (G) G Hilton Hotel Lane	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
J6-A453 / Morthan Am	A Northern Arm B A453 (E) C A453 (W)	A B C A 0 42 28 B 205 0 110 C 208 485 0	A B C A 0 119 77 B 118 0 164 C 344 458 0	A B C A 0 9 7 B 7 0 5 C 8 1 0
J7 - A4S3 / The Green	A A453 (E) B The Green C A453 (W)	A B C A 0 10 230 B 16 0 85 C 458 69 0	A B C A 0 33 172 B 200 0 177 C 560 219 0	A B C A 0 5 4 B 18 0 8 C 5 13 0
J8 - A453 / Grimes Gate	A A453 (E) B Grimes Gate C A453 (W)	A B C A 0 20 234 B 62 0 6 C 467 7 0	A B C A 0 36 205 B 43 0 0 0 C 760 0 0 0	A B C A 0 3 2 B 3 0 3 C 12 4 0
J? - A453 / A6 Kegworth Byposs / Wilders Way	A A453 (N) B A6 Kegworth Bypass C A453 (S) D Wilders Way	A B C D A 0 26 275 250 B 270 0 102 305 C 681 92 2 156 D 69 2 37 0	A B C D A 0 4 145 3 B 179 0 186 269 C 1098 155 0 370 D 20 15 29 0	A B C D A 0 6 9 22 B 6 0 7 2 C 14 6 0 13 D 7 4 1 0
JIO-MI J23 Slip Road / AS12/Ashby Road East	A M1 J23 Slip Road (N) B A512 C M1 J23 Slip Road (S) D Ashby Road (E)	A B C D A 0 741 0 289 B 444 0 127 338 C 0 383 0 0 D 242 671 108 0	A B C D A 0 217 0 431 B 155 0 124 713 C 0 125 0 337 D 312 219 215 0	A B C D A 0 24 0 7 B 17 0 0 16 C 0 16 0 26 D 4 21 8 0

	Junction Arm	2022 Observed Flows (Lights PM)	2022 Saturn Actual Flows (Lights PM)	GEH Comparison
J1 - A453 / Walton Hill	A Northern Arm B A453 C Walton Hill	A B C A 0 208 277 B 325 0 270 C 213 181 0	A B C A 0 245 353 B 382 0 284 C 322 193 0	A B C A 0 2 4 B 3 0 1 C 7 1 0
J2 - A453 / East Midand Aliport Access	A East Midlands Airport Access B A453 (E) C A453(W)	A B C A 0 220 65 B 125 0 397 C 37 317 0	A B C A 0 57 252 B 10 0 415 C 95 337 0	A B C A 0 14 15 B 14 0 1 C 7 1 0
J3 - A453 / Hunter Road	A Hunter Rood B A453 (E) C A453 (W)	A B C A 0 335 34 B 110 0 488 C 28 509 0	A B C A 0 476 0 B 136 0 447 C 0 582 0	A B C A 0 7 8 B 2 0 2 C 7 3 0
J4 - A453 / M1 J23A Access / Donington Services	A A453 (N) B M1 / 22A Access C Domington Services Access D A453 (W)	A B C D A 0 332 62 154 B 700 0 111 402 C 76 109 0 52 D 568 239 47 0	A B C D A 0 385 0 209 B 1201 0 0 338 C 0 0 0 0 D 491 566 0 0	A B C D A 0 3 11 4 B 16 0 15 3 C 0 15 0 10 D 3 16 10 0
JS - A453 / Derby Road / M1 124 / A50	A M1.124 (N) B A453 (N) C Derby Road D M1.24 (S) E A453 (S) F A50 G Hiton Hotel Lane	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
J6 - A453 / Northan Arm	A Northern Arm B A453 (E) C A453 (W)	A B C A 0 144 207 B 67 0 342 C 110 246 0	A B C A 0 46 309 B 110 0 273 C 332 116 0	A B C A 0 10 6 B 5 0 4 C 15 10 0
J7 - A453 / The Green	A A453 (E) B The Green C A453 (W)	A B C A 0 12 357 B 14 0 58 C 286 104 0	A B C A 0 130 285 B 97 0 139 C 241 153 0	A B C A 0 14 4 B 11 0 8 C 3 4 0
JB - A453 / Grimes Gate	A A453 (E) B Grimes Gate C A453 (W)	A B C A 0 70 360 B 28 0 9 C 288 12 0	A B C A 0 27 415 B 17 0 0 C 338 0 0	A B C A 0 6 3 B 2 0 4 C 3 5 0
JP - A453 / A6 Kegworth Bycass / Wilders Way	A A453 (N) B A6 Kegworth Bypass C A453 (S) D Wilders Way	A B C D A 5 57 209 193 B 288 0 95 294 C 665 146 0 42 D 149 15 73 0	A B C D A 0 238 198 42 B 393 0 355 27 C 1516 61 0 87 D 373 126 173 0	A B C D A 0 15 1 14 B 6 0 17 21 C 26 8 0 6 D 14 13 9 0
JIO - MI J23 Slip Road / A512 / Ashby Road East	A M1 123 Sip Road [N] B A512 C M1 123 Sip Road [S] D Ashby Road [E]	A B C D A 0 394 0 155 B 469 0 341 496 C 0 185 0 122 D 204 373 114 0	A B C D A 0 305 0 346 B 258 0 119 401 C 0 182 0 243 D 311 467 340 0	A B C D A 0 5 0 12 B 11 0 15 4 C 0 0 9 9 D 7 5 15 0

	Junction Arm	2022 Observed Flows (Heavies AM)	2022 Saturn Actual Flows (Heavies AM)	GEH Comparison
J] - A453 / Waton Hill	A Northern Arm B A453 C Walton Hill	A B C A 0 45 23 B 25 0 28 C 15 33 0	A B C A 0 0 4 B 0 0 20 C 1 40 0	A B C A 0 9 5 B 7 0 2 C 5 1 0
J2 - A453 / East Maland Arport Access	A East Midlands Airport Access B A453 (E) C A453(W)	A B C A 0 5 2 B 1 0 39 C 1 1 0	A B C A 0 0 3 B 0 0 17 C 8 32 0	A B C A 0 3 1 B 1 0 4 C 3 8 0
J3 - A453 / Hunter Road	A Hunter Road B A453 (E) C A453 (W)	A B C A 0 18 0 B 17 0 40 C 1 60 0	A B C A 0 8 0 B 36 0 39 C 0 34 0	A B C A 0 3 #### B 4 0 0 C 1 4 0
J4 - A453 / M1 J23A Access / Donington	A A453 [N] B M1 J23A Access C Donnington Services Access D A453 (W)	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	A B C D A 0 65 0 20 B 362 0 0 54 C 0 0 0 0 D 39 3 0 0	A B C D A 0 1 4 1 B 15 0 8 4 C 10 7 0 3 D 0 7 2 0
J5 - A453 / Defcy Rood / MI J24 / A50	A M1 124 (N) B A453 (N) C Derby Road D M1 124 (S) E A453 (S) F A50 G Hilton Hotel Lane	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
J6 - A453 / Northan Arm	A Northern Arm B A453 (E) C A453 (W)	A B C A 0 11 16 B 7 0 23 C 16 30 0	A B C A 0 3 29 B 0 0 5 C 17 22 0	A B C A 0 3 3 B 4 0 5 C 0 2 0
ا7 - ۸453 / The Green	A A453 [E] B The Green C A453 (W)	A B C A 0 1 30 B 1 0 0 C 39 2 0	A B C A 0 0 17 B 0 0 0 C 32 0 0	A B C A 0 1 3 B 1 0 0 C 1 2 0
	A A453 (E) B Grimes Gate C A453 (W)	A B C A 0 0 31 B 1 0 0 C 40 0 0	A B C A 0 0 17 B 0 0 0 C 32 0 0	A B C A 0 0 3 B 1 0 0 C 1 0 0
J9 - A453 / A6 kegwarth Bypass / Wilders way	A (4453 (N)) B A6 Kegworth Bypass C A453 (S) D Wilders Way	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	A B C D A 0 25 18 326 B 54 0 3 22 C 45 33 0 323 D 308 66 68 0	A B C D A 0 1 0 23 B 8 0 0 1 C 3 6 0 22 D 22 11 7 0
J10 - M1 J23 Sip Road / A512 / Ashby Road East	A M1 J23 Sip Road (N) 8 A512 C M1 J23 Sip Road (S) D Ashby Road (E)	A B C D A 0 27 0 44 B 25 0 17 20 C 0 20 0 22 D 24 32 12 0	A B C D A 0 6 0 103 B 12 0 2 47 C 0 2 0 14 D 13 47 15 0	A B C D A 0 5 0 7 B 3 0 5 5 C 0 5 0 2 D 3 2 1 0

	Junction Arm	2022 Observed Flows (Heavies PM)	2022 Saturn Actual Flows (Heavies PM)	GEH Comparison
J1 - A453 / Waton Hill	A Northern Arm B A453 C Walton Hill	A B C A 0 10 6 B 9 0 9 C 7 3 0	A B C A 0 0 0 B 0 0 20 C 0 11 0	A B C A 0 4 3 B 4 0 3 C 4 3 0
J2- A453 / East Micland Acress	A East Midlands Airport Access B A453 (E) C A453(W)	A B C A 0 2 0 B 4 0 23 C 2 15 0	A B C A 0 0 3 B 0 0 17 C 1 10 0	A B C A 0 2 2 B 3 0 1 C 1 1 0
J3 - A453 / Hunter Road	A Hunter Road B A453 [E] C A453 (W)	A B C A 0 19 1 B 16 1 26 C 0 17 0	A B C A 0 7 0 B 4 0 20 C 0 24 0	A B C A 0 3 1 B 4 0 1 C 0 2 0
J4- A453 / M1 1245 Access / Donington	A A453 (N) B M1 J23A Access C Donnington Services Access D A453 (W)	A B C D A 0 35 26 24 B 71 0 27 18 C 12 15 0 1 D 19 14 4 0	A B C D A 0 91 0 13 B 207 0 0 8 C 0 0 0 0 D 29 2 0 0	A B C D A 0 7 7 3 B 12 0 7 3 C 5 5 0 1 D 2 4 3 0
J5 - A453 / Derby Road / M1 J24 / A50	A M1.124 (N) B A453 (N) C Derby Road D M1.124 (S) E A453 (S) F A50 G Hilton Hotel Lane	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
J6 - A453 / Northan Arm	A Northern Arm B A453 (E) C A453 (W)	A B C A 0 9 7 B 13 0 11 C 12 6 0	A B C A 0 0 10 B 0 0 14 C 17 4 0	A B C A 0 4 1 B 5 0 1 C 1 1 0
ا∕ - ۸۹53 / The Green	A A453 (E) B The Green C A453 (W)	A B C A 0 0 24 B 0 0 0 C 15 0 0	A B C A 0 0 17 B 0 0 0 C 10 0 0	A B C A 0 0 2 B 0 0 0 C 1 0 0
J8 - A453 / Grimes Gate	A A453 (E) B Grimes Gote C A453 (W)	A B C A 0 2 24 B 0 0 0 C 15 0 0	A B C A 0 0 17 B 0 0 0 C 10 0 0	A B C A 0 2 2 B 0 0 0 C 1 0 0
J9 - A453 / A6 Kegworth Bypass / Wildes Way	A A453 (N) B A6 Kegworth Bypass C A453 (S) D Wilders Way	A B C D A 0 5 30 14 B 5 0 6 8 C 54 4 0 28 D 19 2 26 0	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	A B C D A 0 6 3 17 B 8 0 3 7 C 4 3 0 16 D 27 15 11 0
JIO- MI J23 Sip Road / AS12 / Ashby Road East	A M1 J23 Slip Road (N) B A512 C M1 J23 Slip Road (S) D Ashby Road (E)	A B C D A 0 7 0 16 B 11 0 5 12 C 0 9 0 23 D 7 8 2 0	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	A B C D A 0 1 0 0 B 1 0 3 3 C 0 2 0 5 D 3 4 1 0



APPENDIX 3: Walking, Cycling and Horse-Riding Assessment and Review Assessment Report (document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0005_S2-P5)



TRANSPORT & INFRASTRUCTURE PLANNING

SEGRO EAST MIDLANDS GATEWAY 2 (EMG2) North West Leicestershire Walking, Cycling & Horse-riding Assessment Report



TRANSPORT & INFRASTRUCTURE PLANNING

SEGRO EAST MIDLANDS GATEWAY 2 (EMG2) North West Leicestershire Walking, Cycling & Horse-riding Assessment Report

> Birmingham Livery Place, 35 Livery Street, Colmore Business District Birmingham, B3 2PB T: 0121 233 3322

> > Leeds Whitehall Waterfront, 2 Riverside Way Leeds, LS1 4EH T: 0113 233 8000

> > > London 11 Borough High Street London, SE1 9SE T: 0207 407 3879

Manchester 11 Portland Street Manchester, M1 3HU T: 0161 233 4260

Nottingham 5th Floor, Waterfront House, Station Street Nottingham, NG2 3DQ T: 0115 924 1100

May 2025



DOCUMENT ISSUE RECORD

Document Number:	EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0005_WCHAR
BWB Reference:	220500

Revision	Date of Issue	Status	Author:	Checked:	Approved:
P1	28.04.23	S2	Sara Terrey	Matt Corner	Paul Wilson
P2	22.12.23	S2	Sara Terrey	Simon Hildiitch	Paul Wilson
P3	19.02.24	S2	Sara Terrey	Simon Hildiitch	Paul Wilson
P4	21.03.25	\$2	Sara Terrey	Simon Hildiitch	Paul Wilson
P5	16.05.25	\$2	Chris Price	Simon Hildiitch	Paul Wilson

Notice

This document has been prepared for the sole use of the Client in accordance with the terms of the appointment under which it was produced. BWB Consulting Limited accepts no responsibility for any use of or reliance on the contents of this document by any third party. No part of this document shall be copied or reproduced in any form without the prior written permission of BWB.



CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION
	Background and scope of assessment1
	Proposed Highway Scheme
	Scope and Study Area5
2.	WALKING, CYCLING AND HORSE RIDING ASSESSMENT
	Introduction
	Review of Walking, Cycling and Horse Riding Policies and Strategies
	Personal Injury Collision Data
	Multi-modal transport services and interchange information
	Key trip generators and local amenities
	Site Visit
	Liaison with key stakeholders17
	Existing walking, cycling and horse-riding facilities
3.	USER OPPORTUNITIES
4.	WALKING, CYCLING AND HORSE RIDING ASSESSMENT TEAM



FIGURES

Figure 1 Illustrative Masterplan EMG2 Figure 2 Components of the Proposed Development Figure 3 Illustrative Masterplan EMG1 Figure 4 5km Study Area Figure 5 All Personal Injury Collisions Figure 6. Existing Bus Routes Figure 7 Existing Bus Services Figure 8. Bus Stop and Interchange Facilities EMG1 Figure 9 Trip Generators and Amenities Figure 10 Site Visit Study Area Figure 11 Footway / Cycleway and PROWs Figure 12 – Active Travel Infrastructure Context Figure 13 Active Travel Infrastructure Wider Context Figure 14 National Cycle Routes Figure 15 Survey Area Figure 16 Link 1 - A453 Link (Between the Airport and Hunter Road Junctions) Figure 17 Link 2 - Finger Farm Roundabout (A453 crossing) Figure 18 Link 3 – East Midlands Gateway Phase 1 Signal Junction Figure 19 Link 4 - Hyams Lane Figure 20 Link 3 – East Midlands Gateway Phase 1 signal junction-cyclist movements within carriageway Figure 21 Link 2 – Finger Farm Roundabout - cyclist movements within carriageway

Figure 22 Link 5 Surveys along Footway at M1 Junction 24

TABLES

Table 1 Number of Personal Injury Collisions by year (2019 to 2024) Table 2 Number of Personal Injury Collisions by year for (2017 and 2018) Table 2 Existing Bus Services Routes and Frequencies Table 3 Pedestrian Link 1 – Site 1 A453 Link (Wednesday 23rd November 2022) Table 4 Pedestrian Link 1 - Site 1 A453 Link (Saturday 26th of November) Table 5 Pedestrian Link 2 - Finger Farm Roundabout (Wednesday 23rd November 2022) Table 6 Pedestrian Link 2 Finger Farm Roundabout (Saturday 26th November 2022) Table 7 Site 3A East Midlands Gateway Crossing A453 (Wednesday 23rd November 2022) Table 8 Pedestrian Link 3A - East Midlands Gateway Crossing A453 (Saturday 26th November 2022) Table 9 Site 3B East Midlands Gateway Crossing A6 Kegworth Bypass (Wednesday 23rd November 2022) Table 10 Pedestrian Link 3B - East Midlands Gateway Crossing A6 Kegworth Bypass (Saturday 26th November 2022) Table 11 Pedestrian Link 4 Hyams Lane (Wednesday 23rd November 2022) Table 12 Pedestrian Link 4 Hyams Lane (Saturday 26th November 2022) Table 13 Location 1 – Thursday 30th January 2025 Table 14 Location 1 – Saturday 1st February 2025 Table 15 Pedestrian Link 2 Kegworth Interchange M1 J24 (Thursday 30th January 2025) Table 16 Pedestrian Link 2 Kegworth Interchange M1 J24 (Saturday 1st February 2025) Table 17 Pedestrian Link 3 Kegworth Interchange M1 J24 (Thursday 30th January 2025)



Table 18 Pedestrian Link 3 Kegworth Interchange M1 J24 (Saturday 1st February 2025) Table 19 Identified user opportunities Table 20 Walking, Cycling and Horse Riding Lead Assessor Table 21 Walking, Cycling and Horse Riding Assessor Table 22 Design Team Leader

APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1: Illustrative Masterplans and Components of the Proposed Development APPENDIX 2: Personal Injury Collision Data APPENDIX 3: NMU Survey Data APPENDIX 4: Traffic Survey Data



1. INTRODUCTION

Background and scope of assessment

- 1.1 This report has been produced in accordance with the requirements of DMRB GG 142 Walking, Cycling and Horse-Riding Assessment Review (WCHAR) to inform the design of the proposed site and highway improvement works proposed as part of the proposed Phase 2 expansion of the East Midlands Gateway (EMG) site largely located to the south of the A453 and East Midlands Airport in Leicestershire. The purpose of GG 142 is to facilitate the inclusion of all walking, cycling & horse-riding modes in the scheme design process from the earliest stage, enabling the design team to identify opportunities for improved facilities and integration with the local and national network(s) throughout the design process.
- 1.2 The purpose of this report is to provide an assessment of the existing facilities and provision for pedestrians, cyclists and equestrians that will help inform decision making throughout the design process. It provides an update to the report produced in February 2023 and now covers all the area of the East Midlands Gateway 2 (EMG2) main site, the highway works and East Midlands Gateway 1 (EMG1) works.
- 1.3 In accordance GG 142, the scale of the highway works has been assessed (by the Lead Assessor) and is considered to qualify as a 'large' Scheme, for the purpose of this assessment.
- 1.4 Where appropriate, opportunities for improvements have been identified which will be considered through the design process, and re-visited during the GG 142 process, through the production of the Review Reports at the appropriate stages.

Proposed Highway Scheme

EMG2 Main Site, Highway Works and EMG1 Works

- 1.5 The EMG2 Scheme forms a second phase of East Midlands Gateway, EMG2 is located to the south of the A453 and East Midlands Airport in Leicestershire (with the first East Midlands Gateway Scheme located north of the Airport)
- 1.6 EMG2 comprises the following components:
 - EMG2 Main Site a comprehensive multi-unit logistics and advanced manufacturing development located south of East Midlands Airport and the A453, and west of the M1 motorway;
 - Highways Works works to the highway network including significant improvements at Junction 24 of the M1 (referred to as J24 Improvements) and the road network interacting with that junction; and
 - EMG1 Works additional warehousing on Plot 16 together with works to increase the permitted height of the cranes at the rail-freight terminal, improvements to the public transport interchange and site management building.



1.7 The following section provides more details on the proposals, focusing on the quantum of development, access to the EMG2 main site, sustainable and active travel improvements.

EMG2 Main Site

- 1.8 The illustrative masterplan for the EMG2 Main Site is shown in **Figure 1** and a copy is included at **Appendix 1**, In summary the EMG2 Main Site proposals include:
 - A maximum of 300,000 sq.m. of employment floorspace (GIA) overall, with an additional allowance of 100,000 sq.m. in the form of internal mezzanines across the site. The development will primarily comprise logistics facilities (Use Class B8) with up to 20% of the floorspace capable of being used for general industrial uses (Use Class B2).
 - Vehicular access would be from the A453 via a new arm off the Hunter Road roundabout (the EMG2 Access Works), with a possible alternative principal access (new roundabout) further to the west along the A453.
 - Hyam's Lane is to be retained and its surface upgraded to provide enhanced pedestrian/cycle connectivity through the site.
 - A bus interchange terminal at the site entrance which replicates and builds upon the successful sustainable travel strategy for the EMG1 site.



Figure 1 Illustrative Masterplan EMG2

Highway Works

1.9 Figure 2 shows a composite of the highway improvements associated with EMG1 and2, this along with other relevant drawings are included at Appendix 1. A package of



highways works is proposed including site access, substantial improvements around J24 of the M1 as well as more minor works on the local highways network and pedestrian/cycle route enhancements. In additional to the EMG2 access junction (EMG2 Works No 6), these works will include:

- Junction 24 Improvements comprising:
 - M1 northbound to A50 westbound link (EMG2 Works No. 9), and will include the A50 westbound merge (EMG2 Works No. 10) alterations;
 - M1 southbound and A50 eastbound link to J24 widening (EMG2 Works No. 11)
 - M1 J24 minor works (EMG2 Works No.12
 - M1 northbound alterations (EMG2 Works No. 8)
- EMG1 Access Improvements (EMG2 Works No. 13)
- Active Travel works comprising:
 - Active Travel Link (EMG2 Works No. 14)
 - Hyam's Lane Works (EMG2 Works No. 7)
- A453/The Green Improvements (EMG2 Works No. 16)
- A453/East Midlands Airport (EMA) junction uncontrolled crossing (EMG2 Works No. 15)





Figure 2 Components of the Proposed Development

EMG1 Works

- 1.10 The illustrative masterplan for the EMG1 is shown in **Figure 3** and a copy also included at **Appendix 1.** In summary the EMG1 Works includes:
 - the provision of a maximum of 26,500 sq.m (approximately 285,000 sq.ft) (GIA) of additional warehousing on Plot 16 which lies adjacent to the rail freight terminal, with an additional 3,500 sq.m allowance in the form of internal mezzanine space. In addition,
 - the EMG1 Management Suite will be expanded and,
 - enhancements to the Public Transport Interchange are proposed.





Figure 3 Illustrative Masterplan EMG1

- 1.11 It is intended that the information within this WCHAR will help inform the design team as to the needs of, and issues faced by pedestrians, cyclists and equestrians as the Scheme progresses.
- 1.12 The process set out in this report is not influenced or constrained by the Scheme proposals the information provided within it provides background information to assist the wider design team.

Scope and Study Area

1.13 Figure 4 shows the broad study area for this WCHAR assessment. The assessment area has been set by the Lead Assessor and in line with the guidance contained in GG 142 has an approximate radius of 5km from the centre of the EMG2 Scheme. The study area covers the EMG1 and EMG2 sites, A453 and the surrounding areas and links to Diseworth and other areas in close proximity to the site.



Figure 4 5km Study Area





2. WALKING, CYCLING AND HORSE RIDING ASSESSMENT

Introduction

2.1 This Chapter summarises the findings of the assessment set out in Section 4 of GG 142. The findings under each topic area are summarised in the subheadings below and any potential opportunities for improvements are summarised in Section 3 of this report.

Review of Walking, Cycling and Horse Riding Policies and Strategies

- 2.2 A variety of policies and strategies have been reviewed as part of this assessment, as detailed below:
 - National Planning Policy Framework (Revised December 2024)
 - Circular 01/2022 The Strategic Road Network and the Delivery of Sustainable Development, Department for Transport
 - Planning Practice Guidance: Travel Plans, Transport Assessments and Statements in Decision Making (2014)
 - Moving the Nation (Bicycle Association, Cycling UK, the Ramblers, British Cycling, Living Streets and Sustrans June 2018)
 - Cycling and Walking Investment Strategy (DfT 2017)
 - Cycling and Walking Investment Strategy Review (DfT 2018)
 - Gear Change A bold vision for cycling and walking (DfT 2020)
 - The Second Cycling and Walking Strategy Review (DfT 2022)
 - Decarbonising Transport Setting the Challenge (DfT 2020)
 - Decarbonising Transport A Better, Greener Britain
 - Inclusive Mobility A Guide to Best Practice on Access to Pedestrian and Transport Infrastructure (DfT 2021)
 - Designing for Walking
 - Planning and Cycling
 - North West Leicestershire Local Plan
 - North West Leicestershire Local Plan Substantive Review
 - Leicestershire County Council Local Transport Plan
 - North West Leicestershire Cycling Network Plan
 - National Highways Cycling Strategy and Key Performance Indicators (KPIs)
 - Cycle infrastructure design (LTN 1/20)

Personal Injury Collision Data

2.3 In line with paragraph 4.9 of GG 142, Personal Injury Collision (PIC) data for the latest available six-year period has been reviewed.



- 2.4 A broad analysis of the data was carried out, to identify any issues or trends that may affect pedestrians, cyclists, and equestrians.
- 2.5 **Figure 5** shows the study area of the highway network, which includes roads on both the Strategic Road Network and local road network. PIC data has been obtained for the latest six-year period between 1 January 2019 and 23 October 2024. A total of 175 PICs were recorded within the study area, of which 125 were classified as slight, 42 as serious and 8 as fatal.
- A copy of the data is included within Highway Safety and Road Casualty Position Statement (document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0015) included at Appendix 2.



Figure 5 All Personal Injury Collisions

2.7 **Table 1** summarises the number of PICs that have occurred for each year between 2019 and 2024.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Slight	21	9	26	31	19	19
Serious	2	8	8	7	9	8
Fatal	0	2	0	0	3	3
Total	23	19	34	38	31	30

Table 1 Number of Personal Iniury Collisions by year (2019 to 2024)

2.8 The details show that there has been a relatively consistent number of PICs during each of the years assessed, equating to 29 per annum. There was a slight reduction in PICs



during 2020 possibly due to the Covid-19 Pandemic and significant reductions in traffic flows and journeys during that time. Due to the Covid restrictions a sensitivity test review has been undertaken for collisions occurring within 2017 and 2018 within the same study area (using data from the online Crashmap database). This is summarised in **Table 2** below.

	2017	2018
Slight	18	29
Serious	8	6
Fatal	0	0
Total	26	35

Table 2 Number of Personal Injury Collisions by year for (2017 and 2018)

- 2.9 As shown above, the number of PICs that occurred during 2017 and 2018 is broadly similar to each year of the 5 year period shown in **Table 1**, with the exception of 2020 which is slightly lower (which is likely to be due to Covid). It is also noted the PICs in **Table 2** included 1 pedestrian (slight) on Park Lane in central Castle Donington and 1 pedal cycle (slight) on the A453 south of the DHL aviation unit, both of which occurred in 2017. No cycle or pedestrian collisions were recorded in 2018. On this the basis the conclusions of the PIC analysis (as below) remain unchanged.
- 2.10 Figure 5 shows that the following PICs have occurred at the study area junctions.
 - Junctions 1 & 2, EMG2 Site frontage and A453/Hunter Road Roundabout across the site frontage and at the A453/Hunter Road roundabout, the records confirm there have been no PICs within this location over the latest 6-year period.
 - Junction 3, Finger Farm Roundabout 11 PICs have been recorded over the latest 6-year period, 10 of which were classified as slight and one as serious, none of which involved vulnerable road users.
 - Junction 4, A453/EMG1 access junction there have been seven recorded PICs over the latest 6-year period. Of the seven recorded PICs, four were classified as slight, two were classified as serious and one was classified as fatal, none of which involved vulnerable road users.
 - Junction 5, M1 Junctions 24 and 24A there have been 22 recorded PICs over the latest 6-year period. Of the 22 recorded PICs, 16 were classified as slight and 6 were classified as serious, with no fatal collisions. One serious PIC involved a motorcycle, this occurred in October 2023, weather conditions were described as fine / dry. The proposed Scheme is proposing significant improvements at junction 24 which have the potential to improve safety for all road users.
 - Junction 6, A453/East Midlands Airport Signal Junction there have been three recorded PICs over the latest 6-year period. Two of the PICs were classified as slight and the remaining PIC was classified as fatal, fatal, none of which involved vulnerable road users.
 - Junction 7, A453/Grimes Gate Priority Junction there have been two recorded PICs over the latest 6-year period. Both the PICs were classified as slight, one of which involved a motorcycle. This occurred in May 2023, weather conditions were

described as fine / dry. It is concluded that there are no significant highway issues at the junction.

- Junction 8, A453/The Green Priority Junction there have been four recorded PICs over the latest 6-year period. All the four PICs were classified as slight, none of which involved vulnerable road users.
- Junction 9, A453/East Midlands Airport Roundabout there has been a single recorded PIC over the latest 6-year period, which was classified as slight and did not involve vulnerable road users.
- Junction 10, A453/Walton Hill Signal Junction (Leicestershire) there have been two recorded PICs over the latest 6-year period both of which were classified as slight, neither of which involved vulnerable road users.
- Junction 11, A42 Junction 14 on-slip/Top Brand/Gelscoe Lane Roundabout there have been three recorded PICs over the latest 6-year period with two PICs being slight and one as fatal in severity, none of which involved vulnerable road users.
- Junction 12, M1 Junction 23 there have been nine recorded PICs over the latest 6year period, seven of which were classified as slight and the remaining two as serious, none of which involved vulnerable road users.
- Junction 13, A50 Junction 1 there have been five recorded PICs over the latest 6year period, three of which were classified as slight, one as serious and one as fatal, none of which involved vulnerable road users.
- Junction 14, M1 Junction 25 there have been 18 recorded PICs over the latest 6year period, 12 of which were classified as slight, four were classified as serious and two fatal. One of the fatal PICs, which occurred in April 2023, when weather conditions were described as raining and wet, involved a pedestrian walking on the slip road during hours of darkness. Both fatal PICs appear to be isolated incidents and not related to any physical defects of the junction.
- Junction 15, Station Road/Broad Rushes Roundabout there have been three recorded PICs over the latest 6-year period, two of which were classified as slight and one as serious. The PIC recorded as serious occurred in June 2020 and involved a pedal cyclist, weather conditions were recorded as fine / dry. The two PICs recorded as slight occurring in August 2021 and September 2022 both involved motorcycles. Weather conditions were recorded as other / dry and wet / damp respectively. It is concluded however that, there are no trends and these PICs were due to overtaking, and movements on the circulatory.
- Junction 16, A453/Kegworth Road dumbbell Roundabouts there have been five recorded PICs over the latest 6-year period, four of which were classified as slight and one as serious, none of which involve vulnerable road users.
- Junction 17, A453/Barton Lane/West Leake dumbbell Roundabouts there have been no recorded PICs at this junction over the latest six-year period.
- 2.11 In addition to the above the following links were also analysed:
 - M1 Mainline between Junctions 23A and 24 there have been five recorded PICs over the latest 6-year period, all of which were classified as slight, none of which involved vulnerable road users.



- A453/Moor Lane there have been three recorded PICs over the latest 6-year period, two of which were classified as slight and one serious, none of which involved vulnerable road users.
- A453 Remembrance Way there has been one fatal PIC recorded approximately 1.5km to the east of M1 Junction 24 and did not involve any vulnerable road users.
- 2.12 Analysis of the data has revealed that the road conditions were a mixture of wet and dry during the PICs. Additionally, of the PICs occurring within the study area, the majority were at the Finger Farm roundabout and M1 J24. Most PICs are determined to be shunts, head-on and side-swipe collisions.
- 2.13 The data gives no evidence of equestrian involvement, with a single PIC involving a pedestrian, which was recorded as fatal and occurred in the early hours (04:42 hours) on the 28 April 2023 on the M1 northbound off-slip. A single PIC involving a cyclist was also recorded on the 23 June 2020 at the Station Road/Broad Rushes roundabout in Castle Donington, this was recorded as serious. The data does not suggest that there are any specific safety concerns for such users.

Multi-modal transport services and interchange information

Bus Services

- 2.14 There are four existing bus services which pass by the site, the skylink Express, skylink Nottingham, skylink Derby and Airway 9. These services provide bus connectivity between key cities such as Nottingham, Derby and Leicester, EMA and EMG1. It is the intention for these services to call at the EMG2 Main Site bus interchange from first occupation. Discussions with the local bus operator, trentbarton, have already begun to ensure this comes to fruition.
- 2.15 In addition, there are further stops within the western part of East Midlands Airport approximately 850 metres from the EMG2 main site which are served by an additional route (My15).
- 2.16 **Table 2** provides a summary of the existing bus services close to the Scheme.

Service	Route	Frequency
skylink Derby	Leicester – Loughborough - Kegworth – EMG – EMA1 – Castle Donington - Derby	4 buses per hour
skylink Express Nottingham - Clifton - non-stop to EMG1		2 buses per hour
	Nottingham - Long Eaton - Castle Donington – EMA – EMG1	3 buses per hour (2 buses per hour at EMG)
skylink Nottingham	EMA – Diseworth – Long Whatton - Coalville	1 bus per hour
	EMG1 - Loughborough	1 bus per hour (at Loughborough, 08:00 – 19:00)
Airway 9	Horninglow – Burton – Ashby – Melbourne – EMA – EMG1	1 bus per hour
My15	Ilkeston – Stapleford – Old Sawley – Castle Donington - EMA	2 buses per hour

Table 3 Existing Bus Services Routes and Frequencies

- 2.17 The above bus services travel to various settlements in the vicinity of the site, including Nottingham, Ilkeston, Stapleford, Long Eaton, Leicester, Loughborough, Coalville, Ashbyde-la-Zouch, Swadlincote, Burton-upon-Trent and Derby.
- 2.18 **Figure 6** illustrates the routes of the services described in **Table 2**, with **Figure 7** illustrating the routes of the services in relation to the Scheme.



Figure 6. Existing Bus Routes





- 2.19 The nearest current transport interchange to the EMG2 main site is located within the EMA Interchange, as indicated in **Figure 7.** Facilities include real-time bus information and seating.
- 2.20 In addition, to the above there is also a bus interchange within EMG1, this transport hub provides access to the free on-site shuttle service. The shuttle operates on a loop from the interchange and calling at each unit along the EMG1 estate road. A covered bus shelter is provided outside of each unit.
- 2.21 A purpose built bus interchange will be provided within the northeast part of the EMG2 main site accessed via the A453/Hunter Road roundabout, which is close to the proposed access from the existing roundabout on the A453 and Pegasus Business Park as shown in **Figure 6**. The location of the EMG2 Main Site bus interchange has been determined following discussions with key local bus operators (trentbarton) and Highway Development Management officers from the local highway authority. The location of this interchange allows for the interception of existing bus services travelling both along the A453 and via Pegasus Park.
- 2.22 The EMG2 Main Site bus interchange will act as the hub for a new EMG2 Gateway Shuttle service. The shuttle will connect employees arriving commercial bus services at the EMG2 Main Site bus interchange to their workplace. The hours of operation for the shuttle service will align with the occupier's shifts. Initially this is likely to be focused on the morning and afternoon shift changeover, however as the site is built out this will be extended to meet demand. During its hours of operation, the shuttle will operate on a continuous loop between the EMG2 Main Site bus interchange and the bus stops along the estate road, providing a 'turn up and go' service for employees on-site. Provision will be made for EV bus charging points at the interchange and at EMG1 through expanding the facilities there, to facilitate the use of an electric vehicle for the shuttle service.



2.23 The EMG2 Main Site bus interchange building will be equipped with real-time bus information, seating, lighting, heating, and toilets, to create a safe and comfortable waiting area for employees. This is similar to the provision of the EMG1 interchange. Figure 8 shows the Bus Interchange at EMG1 and an EMG1 Bus stop,

Figure 8. Bus Stop and Interchange Facilities EMG1



Rail Services

2.24 The East Midlands Parkway Railway Station is located approximately 5.5 kilometres from the site to the west of the Ratcliffe on Soar Power Station on the section of the A453 to the east of M1 Junction 24 towards Nottingham. It lies on the East Midlands Railway line, which links London St Pancras with the East Midlands (Nottingham, Leicester, Lincoln, Derby) and Sheffield in South Yorkshire. East Midlands Parkway is served by three train lines, the East Midlands Railway Intercity, which travels between London St Pancras and Sheffield (via Leicester) or London St Pancras and Nottingham (with limited services continuing to Lincoln). Generally, the above services operate at a combined frequency of one train every 10 minutes in any given direction.

Key trip generators and local amenities

2.25 In line with paragraph 4.12 of GG 142 the assessment includes an analysis of local trip generators and amenities in the WCHAR study area to identify likely desire lines for pedestrians, cyclists and equestrians.

Existing Key Trip Generators

- 2.26 There are a variety of trip generators in the study area that could generate pedestrian, cyclist and/or equestrian trips, including:
 - Pegasus Business Park
 - Moto Donington Park Motorway Service Area (MSA) which includes a BP petrol station and Costa Coffee
 - East Midlands Airport
 - Diseworth, including The Plough Inn
 - Hilton Hotel East Midlands Airport
 - Kegworth, including the Hotel and Conference Centre and other local amenities



- East Midlands Parkway Station
- 2.27 The locations of these trip generators in relation to the proposed Scheme are included in **Figure 9**.



Figure 9 Trip Generators and Amenities

Future Trip Generators

- 2.28 It is considered that the following key developments may generate pedestrian and cycle trips within the same part of the network as the EMG2 site. These are referred to as:
 - EM Point near Finger Farm (22/01116/FULM)- three proposed industrial units with a combined floor area of 3,846 sqm modal split information was not included within the Transport Assessment, but a development of this size would generate minimal pedestrian and cycle trips if ultimately built out.
 - Land south of A50 J1 (19/01496/OUTM) 92,500 sqm of development land for employment (B1 / B2 / B8) use) – the Transport Assessment shows that the development would potentially generate 223 daily pedestrian trips in the (including bus and train trips) 43 daily cycle trips. In the peak periods a maximum of 20 pedestrian trips
 - The Isley Woodhouse new sustainable settlement located to the south of East Midlands Airport and Donington Park for which a planning application is expected during 2025.



Site Visit

- 2.29 In line with Paragraph 4.12 of GG 142, a site visit was conducted on 6 September 2022 between 10:00 and 12:00 hours when the weather conditions were dry to consider any weekday leisure trips. The site visit consisted of walking along the site frontage on the A453 and along Hyams Lane between Diseworth village and the Moto Donington MSA. Figure 10 shows the study area. In addition, a drive by up to EMG1 was also undertaken.
- 2.30 The primary findings of the site visit were:
 - A footway exists along the northern side of the A453 between the signal controlled junction to East Midlands Airport and Finger Farm roundabout.
 - There is an existing uncontrolled crossing across the A453 at Finger Farm which connects to a footway along the eastern side of the carriageway up to EMG1 where various signal-controlled crossings exist leading into EMG1 itself and Kegworth village.
 - Hyams Lane comprises a public footpath and is an informal track that extends from Diseworth to the Moto Donington Services. Hyams Lane also provides a pedestrian connection onto the A453 in between the A453/Hunter Road and Finger Farm roundabouts. During the site visit no pedestrians were observed using the footpath and because of overgrown vegetation at its eastern end close to the service station, it appeared to be lightly used.



Figure 10 Site Visit Study Area

- 2.31 In addition, to the above the following site visits have also been carried out:
 - EMG2 Site visit Hyam's Lane, Moto services area and footpath L45: 7th May 2024 between 1300 and 1500 hours; and
 - EMG1 site visit, 9th July 2024 at a similar time, but purposefully aligned with some of the shift changeovers.


Liaison with key stakeholders

- 2.32 A Transport Working Group (TWG) has been established to oversee the transport and highway matters associated with the Scheme.
- 2.33 Regular, typically monthly Transport Working Group meetings have taken place with key highway authorities since April 2022. This includes National Highways (NH), Leicestershire County Council (LCountyC), Leicester City Council (LCityC), Nottinghamshire County Council (NCountyC), Nottingham City Council (NCityC), Derbyshire County Council (DCountyC), Derby City Council (DCityC) and NH representatives from Jacobs, and ITP (Travel Planners).
- 2.34 This has included agenda items on sustainable travel improvements including access designs, pedestrian/cycle infrastructure improvements and bus interchange/shuttle service. This includes the improvements to Hyams Lane (low level lighting, better surfacing etc and discussions on improvements to Long Holden (footpath to south of site) and providing a connection to Long Whatton.

Existing walking, cycling and horse-riding facilities

- 2.35 In line with paragraph 4.15 of GG 142, an assessment of existing walking, cycling and equestrian links has been undertaken. The assessment includes the current condition and effectiveness of those facilities deemed to be relevant to the highway Scheme. It also provides detail as to how they link into the County and Strategic Road Networks.
- 2.36 **Figure 11** shows the locations of all Public Rights of Way in the vicinity of the site including the alignment of Hyam's Lane which bisects the site. This link extends into Diseworth to the west of the site where it connects to an existing footway on Grimes Gate within Diseworth village.





Figure 11 Footway / Cycleway and PROWs

- 2.37 As part of the package of improvements associated with EMG2 Main Site, there will be multiple pedestrian and cyclist access points. Consideration has also been given to ensuring the EMG2 Main Site connects with EMG1, EMA and residential areas surrounding the development. These access points are described below:
 - A new shared use footway/cycleway along the length of the EMG2 Main Site estate road providing pedestrian and cyclist access to each employment unit and ensuring they are separated from the vehicle and HGV traffic.
 - The existing Public Right of Way (PRoW) (L45) which bisects the EMG2 Main Site with a north-east to south-west alignment, and currently follows the southern boundary of Hyam's Lane, will become integrated into Hyam's Lane. This will be surfaced as part of the works to improve cyclist access. This route provides connectivity towards Kegworth and EMG1 to the north-east and Diseworth to the south-west.
 - A new Toucan crossing point will be installed for pedestrians and cyclists to safely cross the A453 to/from the EMG2 Main Site, unlocking connections to EMG1, Kegworth and beyond.
 - A new shared use cycle track from the EMG2 Main Site bus interchange to the proposed A453 toucan crossing.
 - A new dedicated shared use cycle track north of the new toucan crossing alongside the A453 will connect the EMG2 Main Site to EMG1 for pedestrians and cyclists and provide an improved route for cyclists in the wider area such as between Kegworth and EMA.
- 2.38 Wider improvements to Public Rights of Way in the area surrounding the Scheme, include:



- A new footpath from the western end of Hyam's Lane and PRoW L45/L46 northwards through the proposed community park connecting to the A453 Ashby Road by the Airport entrance junction via the western edge of the EMG2 Main Site. Currently there is no off-road pedestrian access for this route;
- A new footpath from the western end of Hyam's Lane and PRoW L45/46 southwards through the proposed community park connecting to Long Holden and PRoW L48 via the western edge of the EMG2 Main Site, connecting these two PRoWs and creating a valuable new publicly accessible route all the way from PRoW L48 to the airport via an uncontrolled crossing of the A453 at the Airport access junction;
- A new footpath from the eastern end of Hyam's Lane, and PRoW L45 southwards connecting to Long Holden via the eastern edge of the EMG2 Main Site, creating a further valuable new publicly accessible route and a circular walk around the southern part of the EMG2 Main Site; and
- In addition to the active travel improvements to/from the EMG2 Main Site, proposals within the DCO boundary also include surfacing the L57 PRoW which connects Diseworth Lane, to the west of EMG1 and Castle Donington, for improved connectivity for cyclists to link Castle Donington to both EMG1 and EMG2.
- 2.39 Figures 12 and 13 provide details of the above improvements.



Figure 12 – Active Travel Infrastructure Context





Figure 13 Active Travel Infrastructure Wider Context

Cyclist Facilities

- 2.40 **Figure 14** shows the local cycle routes and the National Cycle Network, which includes a cycle link along the A453 between the site frontage and EMG1/Kegworth. It also shows how this link connects to roads recommended for cycling (quieter routes) which are predominantly on-road routes that extend to villages further afield. It also shows the start of Route 15 of the National Cycle Network which commences on Grimes Gate to the south of the A453 and extends south through and out past Diseworth village. Furthermore, a shared cycle/footway routes from the Willow Farm Business Park, Trent Lane Industrial Estate and East Midlands Distribution Centre to the A453 (circa 2km west of the EMG2 site) via the western side of Castle Donington.
- 2.41 Further afield, Route 15 of the National Cycle Network continues south through Diseworth over the A42 towards Belton village before connecting to Route 6 of the National Cycle Network that extends east towards Shepshed and Loughborough or west to smaller villages such as Osgathorpe. Alternatively, Route 15 connects to Route 52 of the National Cycle Network that extends to the south towards Thringstone.





Figure 14 Cycle Routes

Equestrian Facilities

2.42 The are a number of bridleways within 2 to 3 kilometres, including L31/1 and L30/4 to the south of the A42 and L106/1 and L103/3 to the north both of which run through EMG1. There are no bridleways that provide a direct uninterrupted link to the development site. It is understood that the nearest stable to the site is Hemington House Farm, Hemington, Nr Castle Donington, Derbyshire, DE74 2NA, which is listed as a livery yard in the British Equestrian Directory. Further facilities, such as Breaston Equestrian Centre and Elvaston Castle Riding Centre are located circa 8 kilometres from the site.

Existing Walking, Cycling and Equestrian Provision Summary

- 2.43 There is a reasonable level of existing high quality pedestrian and cycle provision to the north of the site along the eastern side of the A453, though the crossing facility across the northern arm of the Finger Farm Roundabout is uncontrolled and potentially less suitable for less able bodied persons given two lanes of traffic are required to be crossed on either side of the carriageway. Also, there is no equivalent high quality provision on the western side of the A453, which provides the most direct desire line between the site and EMG1.
- 2.44 There is also no current crossing provision from the site over the A453. Pedestrian/cycle provision through the site is currently limited to Hyman's Lane which has not dedicated/surfaced pedestrian/cycle provision.
- 2.45 Connections to the south, towards Long Whatton and onwards to the Loughborough area are limited, i.e. users must route on carriageway as there are no dedicated facilities without first routing north to the Kegworth bypass before routing south again (i.e. does not follow the more direct route).



2.46 There are no equestrian facilities in the immediate vicinity of the site.

Walking, cycling and horse-riding survey

- 2.47 It was determined by the Lead Assessor that there was no existing walking, cycling or equestrian survey data available within the vicinity of the site. As such, in line with paragraph 4.19 of GG 142 survey data has been collected, which includes current usage figures for pedestrians, cyclists and equestrians and are likely to comprise movements from people travelling from nearby villages (Diseworth, Kegworth, Long Whatton and Castle Donington, as well as trips to/from East Midland Airport and EMG1.
- 2.48 The original surveys were carried out on Wednesday 23 November 2022 and Saturday 26 November 2022. They were carried out over a 12 hour (0700 to 1900 hours) period. Surveys were carried out along the A453 between the site and EMGP1 (Link 1) plus along Hyams Lane (Link 4). Whilst just over 2 years have passed since said surveys were undertaken nothing has changed on the ground which would prejudice the results. The results of the survey are included at **Appendix 3**.
- 2.49 In addition, to the above, a copy of the classified turning counts carried out at the East Midlands Gateway Phase 1 signal junction and the Finger Farm Roundabout carried out on 3 November 2022 which picks up cyclists within the carriageway is included at **Appendix 4**.
- 2.50 To inform the updated report, surveys were also carried out on Thursday 30th January 2025 and Saturday 1st February 2025. They were carried out over a 12 hour (0700 to 1900 hours) period. Surveys were carried out along the northern footway/cycleway at the M1 Junction 24 roundabout. The results of this survey are also included at **Appendix 3**.
- 2.51 Figure 15 shows the areas surveyed, with Figures 16 to 22 showing the details of the surveyed routes at each location. Tables 3 to 18 provide a summary of the survey results for the traditional network peak periods and daily totals. It should be noted that the tables summarise the total movements recorded, not necessarily the total number of individual pedestrians, cyclists and equestrians.



Figure 15 Survey Area



Figure 16 Link 1 - A453 Link (Between the Airport and Hunter Road Junctions)



Table 4 Pedestrian	Link 1 – Site	Δ453 Link	(Wednesday	/ 23rd November (20221
			(Weanesda)		

		Ea	stbound		West	bound				
Time Period	Pedestrian	Cyclist	E - Scooter	Equestrian	Total	Pedestrian	Cyclist	E - Scooter	Equestrian	Total
08:00 - 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
12:00 - 14:00	22	0	0	0	22	3	0	0	0	3
17:00 - 18:00	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
Daily	27	0	0	0	27	21	1	0	0	22



		Ea	stbound		Westbound					
Time Period	Pedestrian	Cyclist	E - Scooter	Equestrian	Total	Pedestrian	Cyclist	E - Scooter	Equestrian	Total
08:00 - 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:00 - 14:00	3	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	1
17:00 - 18:00	2	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2
Daily	12	1	0	0	13	10	0	0	0	10

- 2.52 It can be seen from **Tables 3** and **4** that during the traditional peak periods there were limited pedestrian and cyclist activity, with no horse riders being observed.
- 2.53 During the average weekday a total of 27 pedestrian movements and no cyclist movements were observed eastbound and 22 pedestrian movements and 1 cyclist and movement observed westbound.
- 2.54 During the average weekend a total of 12 pedestrian movements and 1 cyclist movement were observed eastbound and 10 pedestrian movements and 0 cyclist movements observed westbound.



Figure 17 Link 2 - Finger Farm Roundabout (A453 crossing)



Table 6 Pedestrian Link 2 - Finger Farm Roundabout (Wednesday 23rd November 2022)

		Ea	stbound							
Time Period	Pedestrian	Cyclist	E – Scooter	Equestrian	Total	Pedestrian	Cyclist	E - Scooter	Equestrian	Total
08:00 - 09:00	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
12:00 - 14:00	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
17:00 - 18:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Daily	2	3	0	0	5	2	2	0	0	4

		Ea								
Time Period	Pedestrian	Cyclist	E –	Equestrian	Total	Pedestrian	Cyclist	Ε-	Equestrian	Total
			Scooter					Scooter		
08:00 - 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	4
12:00 - 14:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:00 - 18:00	0	0	0	0	0	12	0	0	0	12
Daily	1	0	0	0	1	1	4	0	0	5

Table 7 Pedestrian Link 2 Finger Farm Roundabout (Saturday 26th November 2022)

- 2.55 It can be seen from **Tables 5** and **6** that during the traditional peak periods there were limited pedestrian and cyclist activity, with no horse riders being observed.
- 2.56 During the average weekday a total of 2 pedestrian movements and 3 cyclist movements were observed eastbound and 2 pedestrian movements and 2 cyclist movements observed westbound.
- 2.57 During the average weekend a single pedestrian movement was observed eastbound and 1 pedestrian movement and 4 cyclist movements observed westbound.



Figure 18 Link 3 – East Midlands Gateway Phase 1 Signal Junction



 Table 8 Site 3A East Midlands Gateway Crossing A453 (Wednesday 23rd November 2022)

		Ea	stbound							
Time Period	Pedestrian	Cyclist	E - Scooter	Equestrian	Total	Pedestrian	Cyclist	E - Scooter	Equestrian	Total
08:00 - 09:00	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	2
12:00 - 14:00	3	3	0	0	6	3	0	0	0	3
17:00 - 18:00	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	2
Daily	7	5	0	0	12	6	1	0	0	7

Table 9 Pedestrian Link 3A - East Midlands Gateway Crossing A453 (Saturday 26th November 2022)

		Ea	stbound		Westbound					
Time Period	Pedestrian	Cyclist	E -	Equestrian	Total	Pedestrian	Cyclist	E -	Equestrian	Total
			Scooler					Scooler		
08:00 - 09:00	1]	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2
12:00 - 14:00	1	3	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
17:00 - 18:00	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Daily	11	6	1	0	18	16	11	1	0	28

2.58 It can be seen from **Tables 7** and **8** that during the traditional peak periods there were limited pedestrian and cyclist activity, with no horse riders observed.

2.59 During the average weekday a total of 7 pedestrian movements and 5 cyclist movements were observed eastbound and 6 pedestrian movements and one cyclist movement observed westbound.



2.60 During the average weekend a total of 11 pedestrian movements, 6 cyclist and 1 Escooter movements were observed eastbound and 16 pedestrian movements, 11 cyclist and 1 E-scooter movement were observed westbound.

Table 10 Site 3B East Midlands Gateway Crossing A6 Kegworth Bypass (Wednesday23rd November 2022)

Northbound							Southbound						
Time Period	Pedestrian	Cyclist	E -	Equestrian	Total	Pedestrian	Cyclist	E -	Equestrian	Total			
08:00 - 09:00	1	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2			
12:00 - 14:00	1	3	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	4			
17:00 - 18:00	1	1	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	1			
Daily	5	10	0	0	15	9	4	0	0	13			

Table 11 Pedestrian Link 3B - East Midlands Gateway Crossing A6 Kegworth Bypass (Saturday 26th November 2022)

		Νοι		Southbound						
Time Period	Pedestrian	Cyclist	E -	Equestrian	Total	Pedestrian	Cyclist	E -	Equestrian	Total
			Scooter					Scooter		
08:00 - 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:00 - 14:00	3	0	0	0	3	7	0	0	0	7
17:00 - 18:00	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Daily	9	5	1	0	15	8	14	1	0	23

- 2.61 It can be seen from **Tables 9** and **10** that during the traditional peak periods there were limited pedestrian and cyclist activity, with no horse riders observed.
- 2.62 During the average weekday a total of 5 pedestrian movements and 10 cyclist movements were observed northbound and 9 pedestrian movements and 4 cyclist movement observed southbound.
- 2.63 During the average weekend a total of 9 pedestrian movements, 5 cyclist and 1 Escooter movements were observed northbound and 8 pedestrian movements, 14 cyclist and two E-scooter movements were observed southbound.

Figure 19 Link 4 – Hyam's Lane





Eastbound							Westbound						
Time Period	Pedestrian	Cyclist	E - Scooter	Equestrian	Total	Pedestrian	Cyclist	E - Scooter	Equestrian	Total			
08:00 - 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
12:00 - 14:00	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1			
17:00 - 18:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Daily	2	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2			

Table 12 Pedestrian Link 4 Hyams Lane (Wednesday 23rd November 2022)

Table 13 Pedestrian Link 4 Hyams Lane (Saturday 26th November 2022)

	Westbound									
Time Period	Pedestrian	Cyclist	E - Scooter	Equestrian	Total	Pedestrian	Cyclist	E - Scooter	Equestrian	Total
08:00 - 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:00 - 14:00	1	0	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	3
17:00 - 18:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Daily	8	0	0	0	8	6	0	0	0	6

- 2.64 It can be seen from **Tables 11** and **12** that during the traditional peak periods there were no pedestrian, cyclist or equestrian movements observed.
- 2.65 During the average weekday there were 2 pedestrian movements recorded in each direction. During the average weekend a total of 8 pedestrians were observed eastbound and 6 pedestrian movements were observed westbound.

Figure 20 Link 3 – East Midlands Gateway Phase 1 signal junction– cyclist movements within carriageway



2.66 The survey results included at **Appendix 4**, indicate that a single cyclist movement was recorded within the carriageway at this junction in the morning peak; the cyclist was travelling straight ahead from the A6 Kegworth Bypass to Wilders Way (Movement 6).







2.67 The survey results included at **Appendix 4**, indicate that no cyclist movements were recorded within the carriageway at this junction.



Figure 22 Link 5 Surveys along Footway at M1 Junction 24



			,			
Time Devied	E	astbound	k		Westbound	
nme Perioa	Pedestrian	Cyclist	Total	Pedestrian	Cyclist	Total
08:00 - 09:00	0	0	0	0	1	1
12:00 - 14:00	1	2	3	1	0	1
17:00 - 18:00	0	2	2	0	0	0
Daily	2	11	13	2	4	6

Table 14 Location 1 – Thursday 30th January 2025

Table 15 Location 1 – Saturday 1st February 2025

Time Devied		Eastboun	d		Westbound	
Time Period	Pedestrian	Cyclist	Total	Pedestrian	Cyclist	Total
08:00 - 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:00 - 14:00	0	2	2	0	2	2
17:00 - 18:00	2	0	2	4	0	4
Daily	3	10	13	6	4	10

2.68 It can be seen from **Table 13** and **Table 14** that during the traditional peak periods there were limited pedestrian and cyclist activity, During the average weekday a total of 2 pedestrian movements and 11 cyclist movements were observed eastbound and 2 pedestrian movements and 4 cyclist movements observed westbound. During the average weekend a total of 3 pedestrian movements and 10 cyclist movement were observed eastbound and 6 pedestrian movements and 4 cyclist movements and 4 cyclist movements observed westbound.

Table 16 Pedestrian Link 2 Kegworth Interchange M1 J24 (Thursday 30th January 2025)

Time Devied		Eastboun	d		Westbound	
Time Period	Pedestrian	Cyclist	Total	Pedestrian	Cyclist	Total
08:00 - 09:00	0	0	0	0	1	1
12:00 - 14:00	1	2	3	1	0	1
17:00 - 18:00	0	2	2	0	0	0
Daily	1	31	32	1	23	24

Table 17 Pedestrie	an Link 2 Kegworth	Interchange M1 J	J24 (Saturday 1st	February 2025)
		<u> </u>		

Time Devied		Eastboun	d		Westbound	
nme Period	Pedestrian	Cyclist	Total	Pedestrian	Cyclist	Total
08:00 - 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:00 - 14:00	0	1	1	0	2	2
17:00 - 18:00	2	0	2	4	0	4
Daily	3	31	34	6	25	31

2.69 It can be seen from **Table 15** and **16** that during the traditional peak periods there were limited pedestrian and cyclist activity, During the average weekday a total of 1 pedestrian movement and 31 cyclist movements were observed eastbound and 1 pedestrian movement and 23 cyclist movements observed westbound. During the average weekend a total of 3 pedestrian movements and 31 cyclist movement were observed eastbound and 6 pedestrian movements and 25 cyclist movements observed westbound.

Table 18 Pedestrian Link 3 Kegworth Interchange M1 J24 (Thursday 30th January 2025)

Time Deried		Eastboun	d		Westbound	
nine Penou	Pedestrian	Cyclist	Total	Pedestrian	Cyclist	Total
08:00 - 09:00	0	0	0	0	1	1
12:00 - 14:00	1	2	3	1	0	1
17:00 - 18:00	0	2	2	0	0	0
Daily	1	31	32	1	23	24

Table 19 Pedestrian Link 3 Kegworth Interchange M1 J24 (Saturday 1st February 2025)

Time Devied		Eastboun	d		Westbound	
nime Period	Pedestrian	Cyclist	Total	Pedestrian	Cyclist	Total
08:00 - 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:00 - 14:00	0	1	1	0	2	2
17:00 - 18:00	2	0	2	4	0	4
Daily	3	31	34	6	25	31

2.70 It can be seen from **Table 17** and **18** that during the traditional peak periods there were limited pedestrian and cyclist activity, During the average weekday no pedestrian movements and 12 cyclist movements were observed eastbound and 1 pedestrian movement and 5 cyclist movements observed westbound. During the average weekend a total of 3 pedestrian movements and 10 cyclist movement were observed eastbound and 5 pedestrian movements and 4 cyclist movements observed westbound.

Liaison with local user groups and wider public

- 2.71 In line with the requirements of GG 142 paragraph 4.20, discussions with local user groups have either been held, or will continue to be held by the Project team, including LCountyC, NCountyC, DCountyC Transforming Cities Team, Sustrans, Long Whatton and Diseworth Parish Council, Kegworth Parish Council, Castle Donington Parish Council and Trent Barton with regards to bus improvements.
- 2.72 A public consultation event has been held between 3 February and 17 March 2025, with two public exhibitions and an online webinar held during this period, details of which are set out below:
 - Exhibition One 10 February 15:00 to 19:00 Diseworth Village Hall
 - Exhibition Two 25 February 15:00 to 19:00 Hilton East Midlands Airport
 - Webinar 4 March 18:00 to 19:30
- 2.73 In terms of pedestrian and cycle links during the public consultation event a query was raised with regards to the quality of the pedestrian / cycle routes south from Diseworth It was highlighted by a number of residents that the pedestrian / cycle connection between EMG2, Diseworth and Long Whatton south to Loughborough despite being named as part of the National Cycle Network, is considered to be of poor quality. There is no path or off-road cycle facilities and for a 60mph road it was felt that there would be few people who could use active travel along the route safely.
- 2.74 Feedback from the Diseworth exhibition was that there are a number of local individuals in Diseworth who ride horses along both Hyam's Lane and Long Holden.

3. USER OPPORTUNITIES

3.1 The opportunities highlighted in **Table 19** are deemed relevant to the Scheme and should be considered by the Design Team Leader throughout the progression of the Scheme design, together with any further opportunities that may arise through the ongoing development of the design phases. The Lead Assessor confirms that all relevant opportunities for the highway Scheme have been fully considered.

Table 20 Identified user opportunities

General Opportunities

Opportunity 1

Consider the provision of a shared footway /cycleway within the site.

Opportunity 2

Consider providing a footway/cycleway along the western side of the A453 to provide a connection between EMG2 and EMG1 which would provide wider connectivity between the surrounding areas such as EMA and Kegworth.

Opportunity 3

Consider providing appropriate pedestrian and cyclist crossing facilities along the access roads within EMG2 to provide safe crossing opportunities.

Opportunity 4

Consider providing appropriate pedestrian and cyclist crossing points on the A453 at the EMA junction and to east of the proposed site access, to enhance connectivity to EMG1 and EMA to provide a safe crossing facility for pedestrians and cyclists.

Opportunity 5

Consider upgrading Footpath Link 57 to connect Castle Donington to EMG1 and then onto EMG2 via EMG1 and the new A453 link.

Strategic Opportunities

Opportunity 6

Consideration should be given to ensuring that the proposals take into consideration the existing PROWs including Hyam's Lane and National and local cycle links and how the development proposals can tie into them to enhance connectivity to Long Holden.

Opportunity 7

Consideration should be given to making Hyam's Lane part of NCN15 and then extending the link through the site, up the A453 to EMG1 and to Kegworth (see opportunity 2)



Opportunity 8

Consideration should be given to whether any improvements could be made to the pedestrian / cycle routes south from Diseworth that would provide a shorter connection to Loughborough for employees (as well as benefits for residents).

Pedestrian Specific Opportunities

Opportunity 9

Consider how wider connectivity of Hyam's Lane (which is being retained within the site) can be enhanced, this could include:

- additional south-easterly connection from Hyam's Lane to the Country Park (adjacent to the Moto Donington Services).
- an additional northerly connection from Hyam's Lane to the proposed EMG2 Bus Interchange.
- an additional southerly connection from Hyam's Lane to Long Holden, this connection provides access directly into the EMG2 estate.

Cyclist Specific Opportunities

Opportunity 10

Consider whether existing footways in the vicinity of the site can be upgraded to shared cycleway / footways to enhance connectivity.

Equestrian Specific Opportunities

In terms of equestrian specific opportunities, no such opportunities have been identified.

4. WALKING, CYCLING AND HORSE RIDING ASSESSMENT TEAM

- 4.1 As Lead Assessor, I confirm that this Walking, Cycling and Horse-Riding Assessment Report has been compiled in accordance with DMRB HD GG 142 and thus contains the appropriate information for the wider design team.
- 4.2 The Walking, Cycling and Horse-Riding Assessment was undertaken by the following assessment and review Team:

Name	Paul Wilson
Position	Director
Organisation	BWB Consulting Limited
Signed	Quelt
Date	16 May 2025

Table 21 Walking, Cycling and Horse Riding Lead Assessor

Table 22 Walking, Cycling and Horse Riding Assessor

Name	Sara Terrey
Position	Associate Director
Organisation	BWB Consulting Limited
Signed	Schennes
Date	21 March 2025

Design Team Leader

4.3 As Design Team Leader, I confirm that the assessment has been undertaken at the appropriate stage of the Scheme development.



4.4 I confirm that in my professional opinion the appointed Lead Assessor has the appropriate experience for the role making reference to the expected competencies contained in GG 142.

Table 23 Design Team Leader

Name	Simon Hilditch
Position	Director (Infrastructure Design
Organisation	BWB Consulting Limited
Signed & dated	16 May 2025



APPENDICES



APPENDIX 1: Illustrative Masterplans and Components of the Proposed Development



L:\10600\10666\LANDS\Drawings\10666-FPCR-XX-XX-DR-L-0001-P04IllustrativeMasterplan.indd t: 01509 672772 e: mail@fpcr.co.uk w: www.fpcr.co.uk

wholly or in part without written consent of FPCR Environment and Design Ltd.



number

Phase 2 250m

status rev

P04

200

project

Ordnance Survey material - Crown Copyright. All rights reserved. Licence Number: 100019980 (Centremapslive.com)

KEY:

 \bigcirc

(......)

- Draft Order Limits EMG2 DCO
- Existing Trees / Woodland
- Existing Hedgerows / Other Planting
 - Proposed Woodland / Structural and Scrub Planting
 - Proposed Tree / Scattered Scrub
 - Proposed Hedgerows
 - Proposed Grassland
 - Proposed Sustainable Drainage (Dry) Features (Indicative)
- Existing Public Rights of Way (PROW)
- o o o Proposed Footways / Redirected PROW and/or Cycleways (Off-Road Routes)
- Proposed Mitigation Mounding (Indicative)

SEGRO FPCR | environment & design



DRAFT EMG2 DCO order limits DRAFT EMG1 MCO order limits	
DRAFT EMG1 MCO order limits	
ine <u>scneme</u> is comprised of components (1) to (7):	
The <u>Highway Works</u> are comprised of components (3) to	(7)
1) " <u>EMG2 Main Site</u> "	
The Commercial and Employment	
A453 EMG2 access junction works	
2) " <u>EMG1 works</u> "	
Changes to EMG1	
(3) " <u>J24 improvements</u> "	
M1 NB works	
M1 SB & A50 EB to 124 link widening	
Minor works (signing and lining)	
(4) "Active travel works"	
Active Travel link	
Hyam's Lane works	
L57 footpath upgrade	
(5) ——— " <u>A453 / The Green improvements</u> "	
6) "EMG1 access improvements"	
(7) • Other works as described	
5 28.01.25 Minor Amendment SRH 4 10.01.25 Works 18 & 19 added SRH	SRH SRH
3 05.12.24 Various updates SRH	SRH
2 U2.12.24 Works nos. added SRH 1 15.11.24 Issued for comment SRH	SRH SRH
v Date Details of issue / revision Drw	Rev
SEGRO	
THE EAST MIDLANDS GATEWAY PHASE 2 AND HIGHWAY ORDER 202[[] L
AND THE EAST MIDLANDS GATEWAY RAI FREIGHT INTERCHANGE AND HIGHWAY (AMENDMENT) ORDER 202 Drawing Title COMPONENTS OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	
AND THE EAST MIDLANDS GATEWAY RAI FREIGHT INTERCHANGE AND HIGHWAY (AMENDMENT) ORDER 202 Drawing Title COMPONENTS OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	·
AND THE EAST MIDLANDS GATEWAY RAI FREIGHT INTERCHANGE AND HIGHWAY (AMENDMENT) ORDER 202 Drawing Title COMPONENTS OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT Scale 1:10,000 Drawn S. Hilditch Size A1 Reviewed S. Hilditch egulation Document 5(2) (0) Dcument	7
AND THE EAST MIDLANDS GATEWAY RAI FREIGHT INTERCHANGE AND HIGHWAY (AMENDMENT) ORDER 202 Drawing Title COMPONENTS OF THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT Scale 1:10,000 Drawn S. Hilditch Size A1 Reviewed S. Hilditch egulation Document 5(2) (0) Document 2.7 / MCC02.7	7



P2	28.01.25	Title block changes	LM	MS
P1	27.01.25	Preliminary Issue	LM	MS
Rev	Date	Details of issue / revision	Drw	Rev

(1,580 m²)

(186 m²)



Drawing Ti	ISTRATI	VE	
MAS	TERPLA	N	
Coole	4.0500	Desure	1.04
Scale	1:2500	Drawn	
Degulatica	AI	Reviewed	IVIS
4(2)(e) a	and 16(2)(j)	MCO	2.6
Drawing Sta	tus		
CON	SULTAT	ION DR	AFT
Drawing No.			Revision
FMG1.	UMC-SI-01-D	DR-A-0085	D2



APPENDIX 2: Personal Injury Collision Data

HIGHWAY SAFETY & ROAD CASUALTY POSITION STATEMENT



EAST MIDLANDS GATEWAY PHASE 2

PROJECT NAME	East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 – Highway Safety & Road Casualty Position Statement					
DOCUMENT NUMBER	EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0015	BWB REF	220500			
AUTHOR	Fred Summerfield	STATUS	S2			
CHECKED	Matt Corner	REVISION	P1			
APPROVED	Paul Wilson	DATE	14/03/25			

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 BWB Consulting Ltd (BWB) is commissioned by Segro to provide highways and transportation advice on a Phase 2 expansion of the East Midlands Gateway employment development (EMG2). The site is being proposed for a large B2/B8 industrial development and forms part of the Government's East Midlands Freeport initiative.
- 1.2 As part of the Transport Assessment process, detailed Personal Injury Collision (PIC) data has been obtained from the relevant highway authorities of key junctions and links on the surrounding highway network which form the initial proposed study area. The PIC data has been analysed to identify whether there are any existing safety issues that could be unacceptably impacted by additional traffic from the proposed development and therefore whether any further assessment is required as part of the Transport Assessment.
- 1.3 The assessment seeks to provide an understanding of where safety issues are already present on the network, for the EMG2 development to consider from the outset when proposing highway mitigation to minimise and improve the risk of collisions and road casualties. It follows advice contained within the National Networks National Policy Statement (March 2024), and in particular Paragraphs 4.57 to 4.61 which relate to 'road safety' and are included at **Appendix 1**.
- 1.4 **Figure 1** shows the study area of the highway network, which includes roads on both the Strategic Road Network and local road network. PIC data has been obtained for the latest six-year period between 1 January 2019 and 23 October 2024 A total of 175 PICs were recorded within the study area, of which 125 were classified as slight, 42 as serious and 8 as fatal. The raw PIC data is included in the following appendices:
 - Appendix 2 Leicestershire County Council network
 - Appendix 3 M1 Junction 25 (Derbyshire)
 - Appendix 4 A453 Remembrance Way (Nottinghamshire)



Figure 1. Personal Injury Collision Study Area



1.5 **Table 1** summarises the number of PICs that have occurred each year since 2019.

	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
Slight	21	9	26	31	19	19
Serious	2	8	8	7	9	8
Fatal	0	2	0	0	3	3
Total	23	19	34	38	31	30

Table	1 Nun	nher of	Personal	Iniury	(Collisions	by v	ear
IUDIC	1. 1101		I CISUIU			, Dy y	eur

- 1.6 The details show that there has been a relatively consistent number of PICs during each of the years assessed, equating to 29 per annum. There was a slight reduction in PICs during 2020 possibly due to the Covid-19 Pandemic and significant reductions in traffic flows and journeys during that time.
- 1.7 Section 2 of this Technical Note analyses the PIC data individually at the following locations/junctions, seeking to understand whether there are any existing safety problems that need assessing in further detail within the Transport Assessment:
 - Junctions 1 & 2) Site frontage and A453/Hunter Road Roundabout
 - Junction 3) Finger Farm Roundabout
 - Junction 4) A453/EMG1 access junction

HIGHWAY SAFETY & ROAD CASUALTY POSITION STATEMENT



EAST MIDLANDS GATEWAY PHASE 2

- Junction 5) M1 Junction 24
- Junction 6) A453/East Midlands Airport Signal Junction
- Junction 7) A453/Grimes Gate Priority Junction
- Junction 8) A453/The Green Priority Junction
- Junction 9) A453/East Midlands Airport Roundabout
- Junction 10) A453/Walton Hill Signal Junction (Leicestershire)
- Junction 11) A42 Junction 14 on-slip/Top Brand/Gelscoe Lane Roundabout
- Junction 12) M1 Junction 23
- Junction 13) A50 Junction 1
- Junction 14) M1 Junction 25
- Junction 15) Station Road/Broad Rushes Roundabout
- Junction 16) A453/Kegworth Road dumbbell Roundabouts
- Junction 17) A453/Barton Lane/West Leake dumbbell Roundabouts

2. PERSONAL INJURY COLLISION DATA ANALYSIS

Junctions 1 & 2: Site Frontage and A453/Hunter Road Roundabout

2.1 **Figure 2** shows an extract of the PIC records across the site frontage and at the A453/Hunter Road roundabout. The records confirm there have been no PICs within this location over the latest 6-year period. Therefore, it can be concluded that there are no existing safety problems at this location and no further assessment is required.



Figure 2. Personal Injury Collisions at the site frontage and A453/Hunter Road Roundabout



J3 – Finger Farm Roundabout

2.2 **Figure 3** shows an extract of the PIC records at and in the vicinity of Finger Farm roundabout confirming that 11 PICs have been recorded over the latest 6-year period, 10 of which were classified as slight and one as serious. **Table 2** provides a summary of each recorded PIC.

Figure 3. Personal Injury Collisions at Finger Farm Roundabout





EAST MIDLANDS GATEWAY PHASE 2

Table 2. Personal Injury Collision Data Summary (Finger Farm Roundabout)

Accident Number	Day/ Date	Weather / Road Surface	Severity	Description
201900889	17/09/2019	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1, V3, V4, V5 and V6 were traveling westbound on the A453. V2 was traveling eastbound on the A453 causing rear end shunt collisions
201900684	29/06/2019	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was travelling ahead on the M1 northbound and V2 was changing lanes to the right
202000564	19/03/2020	Wet / Damp	Slight	V1 (car) was parked on the M1/A42 slip road and V2 (7.5T goods vehicle) was overtaking on the off/side
202100670	03/09/2021	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was entering the roundabout from the M1/A42 slip road heading towards the A453 westbound when it collided into the kerb. The collision occurred during hours of darkness, but no other vehicles were involved
202100694	10/09/2021	Wet / Damp	Slight	V1 was travelling on the roundabout circulatory from the A453 (west) to the A42 on-slip. V2 was travelling from the same direction towards Donington Park services and collided with V1 which was held up
202200096	30/01/2022	Fine / Dry	Serious	V2 was travelling northbound on the A42 off slip road to the A453. V1 was traveling in the same direction and collided with V2 when changing lanes to the left
202300500	09/06/2023	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was changing lane heading northbound on the A453 and collided with V2 which joined the roundabout from the A453 northbound entry
202300555	07/07/2023	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was travelling southbound to the A42 and collided with V2 which was changing lane and travelling in the same direction
202300716	16/08/2023	Fine / Dry	Slight	V2 and V3 were travelling southbound on the M1 J23A on slip. V1 was travelling in the same direction and collided when overtaking a vehicle on its offside
202400192	23/02/2024	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was travelling northbound on the M1 approaching J23A and V2 was travelling in the same direction and collided when overtaking a vehicle on its offside
202400395	06/05/2024	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was travelling northbound on the M1 and lost control

2.3 The details show that the 11 recorded PICs occurred at different locations of the roundabout and on approach to J23A from the M1 and M42. The PICs were caused due to a number of reasons (rear end shunts, overtaking, lane changing and driver error). There have been no clusters of PICs occur at any specific location of the roundabout or the network in the vicinity of M1J23A and therefore given this is a junction on part of the Strategic Road Network that accommodates a high volume of traffic, it is considered that there are no significant safety problems at this location and no further assessment will be undertaken in the Transport Assessment.



J4 – A453/EMG1 Access Junction

2.4 **Figure 4** shows a detailed extract of the PIC records at the A453/EMG1 signal gyratory confirming there have been seven recorded PICs over the latest 6-year period. Of the seven recorded PICs, three were classified as slight, two were classified as serious and one was classified as fatal. **Table 3** provides a summary of each recorded PIC.

Figure 4. Personal Injury Collisions at A453/EMG1 Access Junction





Table 3. Personal Injury Collision Data Summary (A453/EMG1 Access Junction)

Accident Number	Day/ Date	Weather / Road Surface	Severity	Description
201900471	13/052019	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 and V2 were entering the roundabout from the A6 to the A453 north and V3 was mid roundabout travelling ahead from the A453 north to A453 south
202100207	08/04/2021	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was leaving the roundabout travelling from the A453 north to the A453 south, whilst V2 was leaving the roundabout turning right from EMG1 to the A453 south
202100432	16/06/2021	Fine / Dry	Serious	V1 was travelling ahead at the roundabout from the A453 north to the A453 south and V2 and V3 were entering the roundabout from the A6 to EMG1
202400038	13/01/2024	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was on the roundabout circulatory travelling south on the A453. V2 was also mid-junction on the roundabout travelling from the A6 to EMG1
202400534	12/06/2024	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was mid junction slowing down and travelling from the A453 south to A453 north. V2 was also mid junction travelling in the same direction from the A453 south to A453 north causing a rear end shunt collision,
202400622	05/07/2024	Fine / Dry	Fatal	V1 was travelling northbound on the A453 and collided with V2 which was travelling from the A6 to EMG1 but held up on the roundabout.
202400668	21/07/2024	Fine / Dry	Serious	V1 was entering the roundabout travelling from EMG1 to the A6. V2 was travelling from the A453 south to A453 north

- 2.5 The majority of the seven PICs were a result of a collision due to conflicting turning movements at the junction, one of which resulted in fatal injuries (accident number: 202400622). The majority of the PICs were due to turning movements between drivers travelling ahead on the A453 and others travelling from EMG1 or the A6, with a higher number of PICs occurring on the gyratory circulatory close to the A6 entry. With this in mind and given one of the PICs resulted in fatal injuries, further analysis of this junction, and in particular the movement from the A6 to EMG1, will be undertaken in the Transport Assessment. This will provide a greater understanding as to whether there is an issue with visibility to the signals or the intergreen time, as the movements causing collisions should be operating under different phases.
- 2.6 The proposed highway works include for some changes to the layout of the junction by providing two right turning lanes from the A453 southbound into EMG1. These works present an opportunity to make changes to the traffic signals to improve safety of the junction and the further analysis within the Transport Assessment discussed above will inform this work.



J5 – M1 J24

2.7 **Figure 5** shows a detailed extract of the PIC records at M1 Junction 24 confirming there have been 16 recorded PICs over the latest 6-year period. Of the 22 recorded PICs, 16 were classified as slight and 6 were classified as serious, with no fatal collisions. **Table 4** summarises each of the recorded PICs in further detail.

Figure 5. Personal Injury Collisions at M1 Junction 24



Table 4. Personal Injury Collision Data Summary (M1 J24)

Accident Number	Day/ Date	Weather / Road Surface	Severity	Description
201900204	06/02/2019	Wet / Damp	Slight	V1, V2 and V4 were approaching the junction from the M1 northbound exit slip. V3 was leaving the motorway from the same direction causing a rear end shunt collision
201901163	22/10/2019	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was leaving the roundabout travelling to the A50. V2 was also leaving the roundabout to the A50 but changed lanes causing a collision
201901523	23/02/2019	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 and V2 were travelling southbound on the M1 mainline away from the junction and collided
201901591	22/10/2019	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 and V2 were travelling from the A453 south to the A453 north and collided (exact location unknown when collision occurred)

HIGHWAY SAFETY & ROAD CASUALTY POSITION STATEMENT



EAST MIDLANDS GATEWAY PHASE 2

202000596	05/08/2020	Fine / Dry	Serious	V1 was approaching the junction on the M1 northbound exit slip. V2, V3 and V4 were approaching the junction from the same direction but held up causing a rear end shunt collision
202100191	12/04/2021	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was leaving the roundabout turning left from M1 southbound off-slip to the A453 Remembrance Way when a collision occurred. This was the only vehicle involved
202100673	03/09/2021	Fine / Dry	Serious	V1 and V2 were approaching the junction on the M1 mainline heading southbound. V3 was also approaching the junction from the same direction and changing lane when a collision occurred
202100682	06/09/2021	Fine / Dry	Serious	V1 and V2 were going ahead on the M1 southbound approaching junction 24 when a collision occurred
202100699	11/09/2021	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 and V2 were leaving the M1 on the northbound off-slip. The exact reason for the collision is unknown but it occurred away from the roundabout
202200028	15/01/2022	Frost / Ice	Slight	V1 and V2 were going ahead south to northwest on A50 northbound slip road when a collision occurred
202200766	28/06/2022	Fine / Dry	Slight	V2 was going ahead and V2 was overtaking going westbound on the A50 when a collision occurred
202300142	18/02/2023	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was leaving the roundabout travelling to the A453 Remembrance Way. There was no other vehicle involved
202300386	25/05/2023	Fine / Dry	Serious	V1 and V2 were both travelling northbound on the M1 mainline away from the junction
202300565	10/07/2023	Wet / Damp	Slight	V1 (goods vehicle) was travelling northbound on the A50 and was changing lanes to the left and collided with V2 (car) travelling in the same direction
202300910	25/09/2023	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was leaving the roundabout travelling from the M1 southbound off-slip to the A453 Remembrance Way.V2 was travelling in the same direction and changed lane causing a collision
202300941	04/10/2023	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 and V2 were both travelling northwestbound on Derby Road approaching the junction from a distance.
202300964	06/10/2023	Wet / Damp	Slight	V1 and V2 were travelling on the northbound off-slip towards the roundabout
202301020	22/10/2023	Fine / Dry	Serious	V1 was on the roundabout travelling to the A50. V2 (Motorcycle) was entering the roundabout, travelling ahead from the A453 south to the M1 northbound
202301272	22/12/2023	Wet / Damp	Slight	V1 was traveling on the A50 slip road to the M1 southbound when a collision occurred

HIGHWAY SAFETY & ROAD CASUALTY POSITION STATEMENT



EAST MIDLANDS GATEWAY PHASE 2

202400129	29/01/2024	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 and V2 were approaching the junction from the M1 northbound off-slip. V1 was held up causing a rear end shunt collision with V1
202400696	31/07/2024	Fine / Dry	Serious	V1 was leaving the roundabout travelling to the M1 southbound. V2 was turning right from the M1 southbound to the A453 Remembrance Way but collided with V1 that was changing lanes.
202400994	18/10/2024	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was going ahead and V2 was changing lanes to the right on the A50 M1 slip road when a collision occurred

- 2.8 The details show that a cluster of PICs has formed along the M1 northbound off-slip. There appear to be no other locations where clusters of PICs have occurred. A total of six PICs have occurred on the M1 northbound off-slip, which were predominantly due rear end shunt type collisions. Whilst the majority of EMG2 development traffic travelling northbound on the M1 is likely to exit at Junction 23a at Finger Farm given this is the quickest route, further assessment of highway safety on this arm will be undertaken in the Transport Assessment for completeness.
- 2.9 The scheme is proposing a significant improvement to M1 junction 24 by providing a free-flow link from the M1 northbound to A50 westbound. This is forecast to improve capacity and remove queuing from the M1 mainline and will transfer a significant number of vehicles away from the current slip road onto the new link, thus reducing queuing on the slip road. This work clearly has the potential to positively improve safety of the strategic road network.
- 2.10 Furthermore, during the Public Consultation events, comments were raised regarding potential safety issues on the A50 northbound weaving from Junction 24. The PIC records confirm that there has been a single isolated PIC occur on this section of the network during the study period, which was classified as slight. Whilst this was a result of a goods vehicle changing lanes, it shows that the number of PICs recorded on this part of the network are low and there are no on-going issues or clusters of PICs that suggest there are any significant safety problems at this location.

J6 – A453/East Midlands Airport Signal-Controlled Junction

2.11 Figure 6 shows a detailed extract of the PICs that have been recorded at the A453/East Midlands Airport signal-controlled junction confirming there has been three recorded PIC over the latest 6-year period. Two of the PICs were classified as slight and the remaining PIC was classified as fatal. Table 5 provides a summary of the recorded PICs.





Figure 6. Personal Injury Collisions at A453/East Midlands Airport Junction

 Table 5. Personal Injury Collision Data Summary (A453/East Midlands Airport Signal-Controlled Junction)

Accident Number	Day/ Date	Weather / Road Surface	Severity	Description
202000165	21/01/2020	Wet / Damp	Fatal	V1 was turning right from the A453 into the airport and V2 was travelling eastbound on A453
202000446	25/07/2020	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was travelling westbound on the A453 and V2 was changing lanes travelling in the same direction
202200912	26/10/2022	Wet / Damp	Slight	V1 was turning right from the A453 into the airport and V2 was travelling eastbound on the A453.

- 2.12 The details show that of the three PICs, two were due to a vehicle turning right from the A453 into the airport colliding with an eastbound travelling vehicle. The right turn into the airport operates from a separately signalled green phase, with eastbound drivers held on a red signal in the same stage. As the junction is signal controlled and these movements occur in different stages, right turning vehicles are not required to give way to eastbound traffic. It therefore appears that one of the drivers has contravened a red signal causing the collision.
- 2.13 Whilst one of these PICs was fatal, it occurred during wet conditions and invovled a heavy goods vehicle. When considering there have only been two PICs occur due to


this manoeuvre over a 6-year period, both during wet weather conditions, it is considered that there are no significant safety problems at this junction that warrant further consideration in the Transport Assessment.

2.14 In addition, whilst there are no existing safety problems, the proposals involve installing a new pedestrian crossing at the junction and therefore further assessment of the location and type of crossing from an operational and safety perspective will be undertaken in the Transport Assessment.

J7 – A453/Grimes Gate Priority-Controlled Junction

2.15 **Figure 7** shows a detailed extract of the PIC records at the A453/Grimes Gate junction confirming there have been two recorded PICs over the latest 6-year period. Both the PICs were classified as slight. **Table 6** provides a summary of the recorded PICs.



Figure 7. Personal Injury Collisions at A453/Grimes Gate Junction



EAST MIDLANDS GATEWAY PHASE 2

 Table 6. Personal Injury Collision Data Summary (A453/Grimes Gate Priority-Controlled Junction)

Accident Number	Day/ Date	Weather / Road Surface	Severity	Description
201901038	17/11/2019	Wet / Damp	Slight	V1 and V2 were travelling northeastbound on the A453. V1 attempted to overtake V2 causing a collision
202300354	15/05/2023	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 (Motorcycle) was travelling northeastbound on the A453. V2 was travelling in the same direction resulting in a rear end shunt

2.16 The details show that there have been two recorded PICs, although only one was at the junction itself. With this and given both PICs were classified as slight and appear to be isolated incidents occurring 3.5 years apart, it is considered that there are no significant safety problems at this junction and no further assessment of highway safety will be undertaken within the Transport Assessment.

J8 – A453/The Green Priority-Controlled Junction

2.17 **Figure 8** shows a detailed extract of the PIC records at the A453/The Green junction confirming there have been four recorded PICs over the latest 6-year period. All the four PICs were classified as slight. **Table 7** provides a summary of the recorded PICs.



EASI MIDLANDS GAIEWAY PHASE 2

Figure 8. Personal Injury Collisions at A453/The Green Junction



Table 7. Personal Injury Collision Data Summary (A453/The Green Junction)

Accident Number	Day/ Date	Weather / Road Surface	Severity	Description
201901277	27/06/2019	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was turning right from the A453 into The Green. V2 was travelling westbound on the A453 and V3 was waiting to turn right from The Green to the A453 east
202200634	02/08/2022	Wet / Damp	Slight	V1 was attempting to stop when travelling eastbound on the A453. V2 and V3 were travelling in the same direction and collided with V1.
202200862	10/10/2022	Wet / Damp	Slight	V1 (Goods 7.5 Tonnes MGW) was travelling eastbound on the A453. V2 was waiting to turn right from the A453 into The Green
202400733	13/08/2024	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was turning right from the A453 into The Green. V2 was travelling westbound on the A453 and collided into the rear

2.18 The details show all four PICs were due to right turning movements from the A453 into The Green either through side on collisions with opposing vehicles or rear end shunts. All four collisions were classified as slight and occurred in daylight conditions, meaning there appear to be no issues caused during hours of darkness. Two of the four PICs



occurred during wet conditions. The junction is located within a dip on the A453 with approaching vehicles travelling downhill from both sides. Looking at historic Google Street View records, the tourist sign to the 'Queen's Head' highlighting a left turn into The Green from the east was obstructed by overgrown vegetation until 2023 and since then there have been no PICs occurring through westbound travelling vehicles. There appear to have been improvements to the warning signs for eastbound vehicles between 2017 and 2020. Whilst improvements to signage and visibility have occurred over the last 5 years, given that four PICs have occurred due to right turning movements, further assessment of highway safety will be undertaken in the Transport Assessment at this location.

J9 – A453/East Midlands Airport Roundabout

2.19 **Figure 9** shows a detailed extract of the PIC records at the A453/East Midlands Airport roundabout confirming there has been a single recorded PIC over the latest 6-year period, which was classified as slight. **Table 8** provides a summary of the recorded PIC.



Figure 9. Personal Injury Collisions at A453/East Midlands Airport Junction



EAST MIDLANDS GATEWAY PHASE 2

Table 8. Personal Injury Collision Data Summary (A453/East Midlands Airport Roundabout)

Accident Number	Day/ Date	Weather / Road Surface	Severity	Description
202200609	25/07/2022	Wet / Damp	Slight	V1 was leaving the roundabout travelling eastbound on A453 and lost control

2.20 The details show that there has only been one recorded PIC at the A453/East Midlands Airport roundabout and invovled a single vehicle that lost control. The PIC was classified as slight. With the low number of PICs at the junction, it is considered that there are no significant highway safety impacts and no further assessment will be undertaken within the Transport Assessment.

J10 – A453/Walton Hill Signal-Controlled Junction

2.21 **Figure 10** shows a detailed extract of the PIC records across the A453/Walton Hill signalcontrolled junction confirming there have been two recorded PICs over the latest 6year period both of which were classified as slight. **Table 9** provides a summary of the recorded PICs.



Figure 10. Personal Injury Collisions at A453/Walton Hill Signal-Controlled Junction



EAST MIDLANDS GATEWAY PHASE 2

Table 9. Personal	Injury Collision	Data Summary	(A453/Walton	Hill Signal-Controlled	ł
Junction)					

Accident Number	Day/ Date	Weather / Road Surface	Severity	Description
202100382	02/06/2021	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 and V3 were travelling southbound around a left hand bend and collided with V2 which was travelling northbound
202100781	03/10/2021	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was turning right from Walton Hill into the SuperBike Factory and collided with V2 which was turning right from the SuperBike Factory onto Walton Hill

2.22 The details show that there have only been two recorded PICs at the A453/Walton Hill junction both of which were classified as slight. The causation of the PICs was due to turning movements from different arms. With this and given the low number of PICs at the junction over a 6-year period, it is considered that there are no significant highway safety impacts, and no further assessment will be undertaken within the Transport Assessment.

J11 – A42 Junction 14 on-slip/Top Brand/Gelscoe Lane Roundabout

2.23 **Figure 11** shows a detailed extract of the PIC records at the A42 Junction 14 on-slip/Top Brand/Gelscoe Lane roundabout and on approach from the A453. It confirms there have been three recorded PICs over the latest 6-year period with two PICs being slight and one as fatal in severity. **Table 10** provides a summary of the recorded PICs



Figure 11. Personal Injury Collisions at A42 Junction 14 on-slip/Top Brand/Gelscoe Lane Roundabout



Table 10. Personal Injury Collision Data Summary (A453/Walton Hill Signal-Controlled Junction)

Accident Number	Day/ Date	Weather / Road Surface	Severity	Description
201900030	16/01/2019	Wet / Damp	Slight	V1 was travelling northbound on the A453 around the left-hand bend and collided with V2 which was travelling southbound on the A453
202300911	29/09/2023	Fine / Dry	Slight	V2 was joining Gelscoe Lane after travelling through the roundabout in the eastbound direction and collided with V1 which was turning left at the roundabout from Top Brand to the A42
202400866	17/09/2024	Fine / Dry	Fatal	V1 was travelling northbound on the A453 and lost control. No other vehicles were involved

2.24 The details show that there have been three recorded PICs on the network in the vicinity of the A42/Top Brand/Gelscoe Lane junction. All three was isolated incidents with two classified as slight. There has been a single fatality occur on 17/09/24 which involved a single vehicle travelling northbound on the A453 and appears to be due to loss of control. Whilst regrettable, this is the only PIC that has occurred at this location during the 6-year period and so it is considered in isolated incident. Consequently, there are



considered to be no significant highway safety issues at this location and no further assessment of highway safety will be undertaken within the Transport Assessment.

J12 – M1 Junction 23

2.25 **Figure 12** shows a detailed extract of the PIC records at M1 Junction 23 confirming there have been nine recorded PICs over the latest 6-year period, seven of which were classified as slight and the remaining two as serious. **Table 11** summarises each of the recorded PICs in further detail.

All Contractions of the line o

Figure 12. Personal Injury Collisions at M1 Junction 23



Table 11. Personal Iniury Collision Data Summary (M1 Junction 23)

Accident Number	Day/ Date	Weather / Road Surface	Severity	Description
202000492	09/02/2020	Wet / Damp	Slight	V1 and V2 were approaching the junction from Ashby Road East and collided whilst stopping at the junction
202000881	10/11/2020	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 and V2 collided when attempting to decelerate when approaching the roundabout from the A512
202100046	25/01/2021	Frost / Ice	Slight	V1 and V2 collided when decelerating on approach to the junction from the M1 northbound off-slip
202100568	30/07/2021	Wet / Damp	Slight	V1 was travelling eastbound on the A512 away from the roundabout and lost control. No other vehicle was involved.
202200748	06/09/2022	Wet / Damp	Slight	V1 was changing lane on the M1 northbound off-slip and collided with V2 travelling in the same direction.
202201031	20/11/2022	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was changing lane on the roundabout travelling to the A512 and collided with V2 which was travelling in the same direction
202400235	15/03/2024	Fine / Dry	Serious	V1 was changing lanes on the M1 northbound off-slip and collided with V2 travelling in the same direction
202400297	04/04/2024	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was exiting the M1 onto the northbound off- slip and collided with V2 travelling in the same direction
202400698	01/08/2024	Fine / Dry	Serious	V1 (goods vehicle over 3.5T) was held up approaching the roundabout travelling from the A512 to Ashby Road East and collided with V2 entering the roundabout from north to south

2.26 The details show that of the nine recorded PICs, three were recorded at the A512 (albeit one was travelling away from the junction), four PICs were recorded on the M1 northbound off slip, whilst the remaining three PICs occurred on the circulatory and Ashby Road East arm. Two of the PICs were due to vehicles changing lanes on the M1 northbound off-slip, however this arm would not be impacted by the proposed development. Overall, there is no specific location where a cluster of PICs have occurred and the details show a mix of causes with no specific trends. On this basis and given this is a junction on the Strategic Road Network that carries a significant volume of traffic, it is considered that there are no on-going highway safety issues at this junction and no further assessment will be undertaken in the Transport Assessment.

J13 – A50 Junction 1

2.27 **Figure 13** shows a detailed extract of the PIC records at A50 Junction 1 confirming there have been five recorded PICs over the latest 6-year period, three of which were classified as slight, one as serious and one as fatal. **Table 12** summarises each of the recorded PICs in further detail.



Figure 13. Personal Injury Collisions at A50 Junction 1



	Table 12.	Personal Injury	Collision	Data	Summary	(A50	Junction	1)
--	-----------	-----------------	-----------	------	---------	------	----------	----

Accident Number	Day/ Date	Weather / Road Surface	Severity	Description
201900573	19/03/2019	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was moving into the left/nearside lane travelling eastbound on the A50 mainline. No other vehicles were invovled
201901521	18/02/2019	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 and V2 collided when travelling north on the roundabout circulatory
202300023	09/01/2023	Fine / Dry	Fatal	V1 was travelling to the A50 westbound on-slip and collided with V2 which was joining the roundabout from Trent Lane
202400699	30/07/2024	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was travelling eastbound on the A50 main line away from the junction. No other vehicles were involved
202400967	15/10/2024	Fine / Dry	Serious	V1 was changing lane when approaching the roundabout from London Road and collided with V2 travelling in the same direction

2.28 The details show that all five PICs occurred at different parts of the junction, or on the A50 mainline. A number of the PICs occurred through driver error when changing lanes. Whilst there has been a single fatal collision close to the Trent Lane entry to the roundabout, this appears to be an isolated incident. Furthermore, there is an approved scheme to signalise this arm of the junction, which would negate the need for drivers to give-way at this location and therefore remove conflicting movements. Overall, it is



considered that there are no significant safety issues and therefore no further assessment will be undertaken at this junction within the Transport Assessment.

J14 – M1 Junction 25

2.29 **Figure 14** shows a detailed extract of the PIC records at M1 Junction 25 confirming there have been 18 recorded PICs over the latest 6-year period, 12 of which were classified as slight, four were classified as serious and two fatal. **Table 13** summarises each of the recorded PICs in further detail.

Figure 14. Personal Injury Collisions at M1 Junction 25



Table 13. Personal Injury Collision Data Summary (M1 J25)

Accident Number	Day/ Date	Weather / Road Surface	Severity	Description
1901537	02/10/2019	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was changing lanes travelling on the A52 northbound on-slip and collided with V2 travelling in the same direction
2000689	20/05/2020	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 attempts to move from lane 2 into lane 1 to leave the motorway and between two HGVs, misses the exit and collides with the barrier
2000691	18/06/2020	Wet / Damp	Serious	V1 was travelling on the M1 southbound mainline and lost control in lane 4 and collided with the central reservation causing it veer across the motorway and into V2
2000942	22/08/2020	Fine / Dry	Fatal	V1 was travelling westbound on the A52 at 16:55 and veered to nearside for unknown reasons, lost control and collided with a tree

HIGHWAY SAFETY & ROAD CASUALTY POSITION STATEMENT



EAST MIDLANDS GATEWAY PHASE 2

2100240	24/10/2020	Raining / Flood	Serious	V2 was merging onto the A52 eastbound. V1 was travelling eastbound on the A52 mainline. V2 and collides with a nearside barrier and rebounds into the carriageway. V1 collides with rear of V2
2100547	29/03/2021	Fine / Dry	Slight	V2 was stationary at the traffic lights in lane 2 on M1 northbound off-slip. V1 moved into lane 2 colliding with rear of V2
2200373	01/03/2022	Fine / Dry	Slight	V2 was travelling on the M1 northbound off- slip to join the A52 and was held up in queuing traffic. V1 approached from the rear and collided with V2
2200565	03/04/2022	Fine / Dry	Slight	V2 was on the roundabout circulatory and missed the exit and proceeded to travel around roundabout for second time. V1 was in the wrong lane and cut across the path of V2
2200680	23/04/2022	Fine / Dry	Slight	V2 was travelling to Bostocks Lane north in the inside lane, V1 entered the roundabout heading to the A52 eastbound and collided withV2
2200837	19/05/2022	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was approaching the A52 westbound off- slip and fails to see V2 and V3 already stationary due to build up of traffic on exit slip. V1 collides with the rear of V2, which is pushed forward into rear of V3.
2201068	24/06/2022	Fine / Dry	Slight	V2 was on the roundabout circulatory and started to move on a green signal. V1 overtook V2 and changed lanes; proceeded then to change lanes again and then collided with V2.
2300341	26/02/2023	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was travelling southbound from Bostocks Lane north towards the roundabout when V2 collided with the rear of V1.
2301064	28/04/2023	Raining / Wet	Fatal	Unknown vehicle has collided with a male pedestrian in the early hours (04:42am) on the M1 northbound off-slip.
231120	22/07/2023	Raining / Wet	Serious	V1 was travelling from Bostock Lane north to Bostock Lane south at excessive speed and failed to stop at the junction and collides with furniture and trees
2301337	27/08/2023	Fine / Dry	Serious	V1 was going ahead southwest to northeast when it was cut up by V2 causing V1 to take evasive action, leaving the carriageway nearside and rolled.
2400013	05/11/2023	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was travelling southbound on the M1 mainline and collided with V2 which was changing lanes
2400014	22/11/2023	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was held turning left from the M1 north to the A52 eastbound. V2 was travelling in the same direction and collided with the rear of V1. The collision occurred during hours of darkness

HIGHWAY SAFETY & ROAD CASUALTY POSITION STATEMENT



EAST MIDLANDS GATEW	ΑΥ	PHASE 2
---------------------	----	---------

2400296	22/02/2024	Wet / Damp	Slight	V1 was travelling eastbound on the A52 mainline and collided with the rear of V2 in slow moving traffic.
---------	------------	---------------	--------	--

2.30 The details show that whilst there has been a total of 18 recorded PICs, there are no specific locations where clusters of PICS have occurred. Whilst two fatal PICs have occurred, one involved a single driver losing control for unknown reasons, whilst the second involved a pedestrian walking on the slip road during hours of darkness. The fatal PICs therefore appear to be isolated incidents and not related to any physical defects of the junction. The remaining PICs are spread across all areas of the junction, with three PICs at the Bostocks Lane (N) arm, all of which were classified as slight and were a result of rear end shunt, changing lanes and turning movements on the circulatory and therefore show no patterns. With this and given the junction forms part of the Strategic Road Network, with the M1 and accommodates a significant amount of traffic, it is considered that there are no significant safety problems and no further assessment into highway safety will be undertaken as part of the Transport Assessment.

J15 – Station Road/Broad Rushes Roundabout

2.31 Figure 15 shows a detailed extract of the PIC records at Station Road/Broad Rushes roundabout in Castle Donington confirming there have been three recorded PICs over the latest 6-year period, two of which were classified as slight and one as serious. Table 14 summarises each of the recorded PICs in further detail.



Figure 15. Personal Injury Collisions at Station Road/Broad Rushes Roundabout



EAST MIDLANDS GATEWAY PHASE 2

Table	14. Personal	Injury	Collision	Data	Summary	(Station	Road/Broad	Rushes
Round	labout)							

Acc Nu	cident mber	Day/ Date	Weather / Road Surface	Severity	Description
2020	000342	23/06/2020	Fine / Dry	Serious	V1 (goods vehicle) collided with V2 (pedal cyclist) when attempting to overtake on Broad Rushes travelling east towards the roundabout
202	100640	21/08/2021	Other / Dry	Slight	V1 was on the circulatory exiting at Broad Rushes and decided to change lane to the right and collided with V2 (motorcycle) that was travelling in the same direction
2022	200803	26/09/2022	Wet / Damp	Slight	V1 (motorcycle) was travelling towards the roundabout from Station Road N and collided with V2 (car) travelling north on Station Road N

2.32 The details show that there have been three recorded PICs at the Station Road/Broad Rushes roundabout, all of which occurred at different locations. Whilst they all involve pedal cyclists or motorcyclists, there are no trends and were due to overtaking, and movements on the circulatory. There appear to be no trends behind the PICs or any specific locations where clusters of PICs have formed. On this basis it is considered that there are no on-going highway safety problems at this location and no further assessment will be undertaken within the Transport Assessment.

J16 – A453/Kegworth Road Dumbbell Roundabouts

2.33 **Figure 16** shows a detailed extract of the PIC records near the A453/Kegworth Road dumbbell roundabouts confirming there have been five recorded PICs over the latest 6-year period, four of which were classified as slight and one as serious. **Table 15** summarises each of the recorded PICs in further detail.





Figure 16. Personal Injury Collisions at A453/Kegworth Road Dumbbell Roundabouts

Table 15. Personal Injury Collision Data Summary (A453/Kegworth Road Dumbbell Roundabouts

Accident Number	Day/ Date	Weather / Road Surface	Severity	Description
2D184622	07/10/2022	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was travelling northbound on Kegworth Road and lost control when negotiating the right-hand bend at its junction With Main Street
2D012221	24/01/2021	Snow	Slight	V1 was turning right at the roundabout from the north to Kegworth Road to the west and lost control
2D019922	06/02/2022	Fine / Dry	Slight	V2 was travelling southbound on the access road from Ratcliffe on Soar and collided with V2 travelling northbound on the same road
2D252119	19/12/2019	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was travelling northeastbound on the A453 and V2 was travelling in the same direction and collided with the rear of V1.
2D077923	28/05/2023	Fine, Dry	Serious	V1 was traveling northeastbound on A453 lost control, left the road and skidded.

2.34 The details show that of five recorded PICs, only one occurred at the roundabouts themselves, two were on the A453 mainline, one on Kegworth Road, and another on the Ratcliffe Power Station access road. Four PICs were classified as slight and another



as serious. There are no patterns or locations where a cluster of PICs have occurred and on this basis, it is considered that there are no significant safety problems at the junction and no further assessment will be undertaken as part of the Transport Assessment.

J17 – A453/Barton Lane/West Leake Dumbbell Roundabouts

2.35 **Figure 17** shows a detailed extract of the PIC records across the A453/Barton Lane/West Leake Dumbbell roundabouts confirming there have been no recorded PICs over the latest 6-year period. It can therefore be concluded that there are no safety problems at this location and no further assessment will be undertaken within the Transport Assessment.

Figure 17. Personal Injury Collisions at A453/Barton Lane/West Leake Lane Roundabouts



Other Locations of Personal Injury Collision Clusters

M1 Mainline between Junctions 23A and 24

2.36 **Figure 18** shows a detailed extract of the PIC records on the M1 mainline between Junction 23A and Junction 24 confirming there have been five recorded PICs over the latest 6-year period, all of which were classified as slight. **Table 16** summarises each of the recorded PICs in further detail.



Figure 18. Personal Injury Collisions on M1 Mainline



Table 16. Personal Injury Collision Data Summary (M1 Mainline)

Accident Number	Day/ Date	Weather / Road Surface	Severity	Description
201901123	24/12/2019	Wet / Damp	Slight	V1 was travelling northbound on the M1 and lost control. No other vehicles were involved
202100554	27/07/2021	Wet / Slight		V1 and V2 were travelling northbound on the M1 and collided when V1 was changing lanes to the left
202100620	16/08/2021	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was travelling southbound on the M1 and collided with the rear of V2 which was being held up travelling in the same direction
202200661	11/08/2022	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1, V2, V3 and V4 were travelling northbound and collided with rear end shunts
202200662	11/08/2022	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was travelling southbound on the M1 and collided with V2 travelling in the same direction when changing lanes to the right

2.37 The details show that all five recorded PICs were classified as slight and caused due to a mixture of lane changing, rear end shunts and loss of control. The PICs were also balanced across the northbound and southbound carriageways. As such, there appear to be no common causal factors behind the PICs with the latest occurring in August 2022 and since then there has not been a single recorded PIC on this part of the network. On this basis, it is considered that there are no significant safety problems on this part of



the M1 mainline and no further assessment will be undertaken as part of the Transport Assessment.

A453/Moor Lane

2.38 **Figure 19** shows a detailed extract of the PIC records at the A453/Moor Lane confirming there have been three recorded PICs over the latest 6-year period, two of which were classified as slight and one serious. **Table 17** summarises each of the recorded PICs in further detail.

Figure 19. Personal Injury Collisions at A453/Moor Lane



Table	17. Person	nal Injury	Collision	Data Sumi	mary (A4	53/Moor Lane)
-------	------------	------------	-----------	-----------	----------	---------------

Accident Number	Day/ Date	Weather / Road Surface	Severity	Description
202200658	10/08/2022	Fine / Dry	Slight	V1 was travelling southbound on the A453 around a right-hand bend losing control. The driver was a motorcyclist
202300922	01/10/2023	Wet / Damp	Slight	V1 and V2 were traveling eastbound on the A453 approaching the junction and collided due to a rear end shunt
202400664	19/07/2024	Fine / Dry	Serious	V1 was travelling southbound on the A453 and collided with V2 travelling northbound on the A453. The PIC was located away from the junction with Moor Lane



2.39 The details show that all three PICs were located on different parts of the network. One of the PICs was due to a motorcyclist losing control, whilst another was due to a rear end shunt between two cars and a third due to a head on collision. There are no patterns behind the PICs and consequently they appear to be isolated incidents. On this basis, it is considered that there are no significant safety problems on this part of the network and no further assessment will be undertaken as part of the Transport Assessment.

A453 Remembrance Way

2.40 **Figure 20** shows a detailed extract of the PIC records on the A453 Remembrance Way confirming there has been one fatal PIC recorded approximately 1.5km to the east of M1 Junction 24. **Table 18** summarises this PICs in further detail.

Figure 20. Personal Injury Collisions on Remembrance Way



Table 18. Personal Injury Collision Data Summary (Remembrance Way)

Accident Number	Day/ Date	Weather / Road Surface	Severity	Description
202300925	01/10/2023	Wet / Damp	Fatal	V1 was travelling southwestbound on the A453 but held up and collided with V2 which was travelling in the same direction to the rear



2.41 The details show that this PIC occurred due to a rear end shunt collision on the A453 as a vehicle was held up approaching Junction 24. Whilst this resulted in fatal injuries, it appears to be an isolated incident with no other PICs occurring on this part of the network during the 6-year period. Therefore, whilst regrettable it is considered that there are no significant safety problems on this part of the network so whilst no further assessment of the highway safety will be undertaken at this location within the Transport Assessment consideration will be given to capacity improvements at Junction 24.

3. SUMMARY AND NEXT STEPS

- 3.1 This Highway Safety and Road Casualty Position Statement has reviewed Personal Injury Collision (PIC) data across the Strategic Road Network and local highway network in the vicinity of the East Midlands Gateway 2 development to understand whether there are any existing safety problems that could be exacerbated by the proposed development and hence require further consideration within the Transport Assessment. The PIC data was obtained from the relevant highway authorities for the latest 6-year period between 2019 and 2024.
- 3.2 It follows advice contained within the National Networks National Policy Statement (March 2024), and in particular Paragraphs 4.57 to 4.61 which relate to 'road safety'.
- 3.3 The PIC analysis has identified the following key locations where there could potentially be existing safety issues that require further consideration in the Transport Assessment:
 - **EMG1 access junction** a cluster of PICs have been recorded due to turning movements from the A6 to EMG1 colliding with drivers travelling southbound on the A453. One of the PICs was fatal.
 - M1 Junction 24 a cluster of PICs have been recorded on the M1 northbound offslip on approach to the roundabout. There are no known existing safety issues with the A50 northbound weaving section from Junction 24 as alluded to during the Public Consultation events.
 - A453/The Green a cluster of PICs have been recorded due to right turning movements from the A453 west into The Green. This appears to be due to the location of the junction within a dip in the carriageway and potential lack of signage or warnings.
- 3.4 The Transport Assessment will review these three locations in further detail to understand whether the proposed development is likely to generate traffic increases that could exacerbate any issues. Where traffic increases are expected, mitigation will be proposed to address any highway safety issues and ensure the proposed development would have no unacceptable impacts in accordance with the requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework and National Networks National Policy Statement.
- 3.5 The following proposals are being considered and proposed by the proposed development which should have a benefit from a highway safety perspective on the three key locations:



- Provision of a new free flow link between the M1 northbound and A50, which should reduce traffic on the M1 northbound off-slip and the level of congestion approaching the junction.
- Works to the EMG1 access junction by providing two lanes into EMG1 for vehicles travelling southbound on the A453. This presents an opportunity to make changes to the traffic signals to improve safety of the junction.
- Whilst not formally included in the proposed mitigation package at this stage of the process, further consideration of the A453/The Green junction will be undertaken such as the provision of additional signage and/or carriageway surfacing markings to improve the safety associated with right turning vehicles.
- 3.6 The remaining junctions and links across the study area appear to have no significant safety problems that should not be materially impacted by the proposed development, however highway safety will be considered as part of any new infrastructure improvements being proposed.
- 3.7 From a highway safety perspective, the details in this report will be taken and considered further in the following stages of work:
 - Further analysis in the Transport Assessment
 - Stage 1 Road Safety Audit
 - Safety risk assessments to GG 104 for departures from standard on the Strategic Road Network
 - Stages 2, 3 and 4 Road Safety Audits
 - Walking, Cycling and Horse-Riding Assessments and Reviews
- 3.8 It therefore forms the first stage in an on-going process to consider and improve highway safety and road casualties on the surrounding network that could be impacted by the proposed development.

HIGHWAY SAFETY & ROAD CASUALTY POSITION STATEMENT



EAST MIDLANDS GATEWAY PHASE 2

Appendix 1. National Networks National Policy Statement Road Safety Extracts



National Networks National Policy Statement



added would make that development unacceptable, particularly in relation to statutory environmental quality limits

4.52 The Secretary of State should not refuse consent because of pollution impacts unless there is good reason to believe that any relevant necessary operational pollution control permits or licences, or other consents would not be granted.

Common law nuisance and statutory nuisance

- 4.53 Section 158 of the Planning Act 2008 provides a defence of statutory authority in civil or criminal proceedings for nuisance. Such a defence is also available in respect of anything else authorised by an order granting development consent. This would include a defence for proceedings for nuisance under Part III of the Environmental Protection Act 1990 ("the 1990 Act") (statutory nuisance) but only to the extent that the nuisance is the inevitable consequence of what has been authorised.
- 4.54 The defence does not extinguish the local authority's duties under Part III of the 1990 Act to inspect its area and take reasonable steps to investigate complaints of statutory nuisance, and to serve an abatement notice where satisfied of its existence, likely occurrence or recurrence.
- 4.55 It is very important that, during the examination of a nationally significant infrastructure project, possible sources of nuisance under section 79(1) of the 1990 Act, and how they may be mitigated or limited, are considered by the Examining Authority so they can recommend appropriate requirements that the Secretary of State might include in any subsequent order granting development consent. More information on the consideration of possible sources of nuisance is at paragraphs 5.117 to 5.125.
- 4.56 When considering whether to include exceptions to the defence in an order granting development consent (section 158(3) of the Planning Act 2008), the Secretary of State should have regard to whether any nuisance is an inevitable consequence of the development.

Safety

Road Safety

4.57 Highways developments provide an opportunity to make significant safety improvements and significant incident reduction benefits when they are well designed. Some developments may have safety as a key objective, but even where safety is not the main aim of a development, the opportunity should be taken to improve safety, including introducing the most modern and effective safety measures where proportionate. Consideration should also be given to wider transport objectives, including expanding active travel, and creating safe and pleasant walking, wheeling and cycling environments. In developing roads schemes the applicant should have due regard to the needs of drivers and riders and the imperative to ensure road user safety. Schemes should be developed with a mindset that accounts for the need for motorists to rest, particularly Heavy Goods Vehicle drivers who need safe and secure roadside

facilities that also cater for their welfare needs including the appropriate provision of high-quality washrooms, a catering offer and access to alternative fuel and digital infrastructure.

- 4.58 The applicant should undertake an objective assessment of the impact of the proposed development on safety including the impact of any mitigation measures. This should use the methodology outlined in the guidance from Department for Transport's Transport Analysis Guidance and from National Highways. They should also put in place arrangements for undertaking the road safety audit process and ensuring their implementation. Road safety audits are a mandatory requirement for highway improvement schemes in the UK (including motorways). Road safety audits are intended to ensure that operational road safety experience is applied during the design and construction process so that the number and severity of collisions is as low as is reasonably practicable.
- 4.59 The applicant should be able to demonstrate that their scheme is consistent with government Road Safety policy and with the National Highways Safety Framework for the Strategic Road Network. Applicants must show that they have taken all steps that are reasonably required to minimise the risk of death and injury arising from their development, including:
 - contributing to an overall reduction in road casualties
 - contributing to an overall reduction in the number of unplanned incidents
 - contributing to improvements in road safety for pedestrians and cyclists⁹⁵

4.60 The applicant must also demonstrate that:

- they have considered the safety implications of their project from the outset
- they are putting in place rigorous processes for monitoring and evaluating safety
- 4.61 The Secretary of State should not grant development consent unless satisfied that all reasonable steps have been taken and will be taken to:
 - minimise the risk of road casualties arising from the scheme
 - contribute to improvements in the safety of the strategic road network

Rail Safety

- 4.62 It is the government's policy, supported by legislation, to ensure that the risks of passenger and workforce accidents are reduced so far as reasonably practicable. Rail schemes should take account of this and seek to further improve safety at every opportunity and where there is value for money in doing so.
- 4.63 The rail industry is required by law to consider the impact on safety of any proposed changes to the rail network through rigorous risk assessment. The principle of "so far as is reasonably practicable" is applied through the Railways and Other Guided Transport Systems (Safety) Regulations 2006 (as amended) which are enforced by the Office of Rail and Road⁹⁶. The rail industry is also required by legislation to comply with applicable Common Safety Methods. This

HIGHWAY SAFETY & ROAD CASUALTY POSITION STATEMENT



EAST MIDLANDS GATEWAY PHASE 2

Appendix 2. Personal Injury Collision Data (Leicestershire County Council network)

AccsMap

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Selection:

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
201900030	16/01/2019	442450	322838	Other	Wet/Damp	Darkness: no street	Slight
÷ .•						lighting	

Location: A453 BREEDON ON THE HILL APPROX 250 NORTH WEST JW A42

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead left bend	S	NW
Van / Goods 3.5 tonnes mgw and under	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	NW	SE

Casualties:

Class	Severity
Driver / Rider	Slight
Driver / Rider	Slight

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
201900204	06/02/2019	447466	328064	Fine without high	Wet/Damp	Daylight	Slight
				winds			
Location	M1 LOCKINGTON HEMINGTON IN	M1 NODTLIDOUNI	ON SUD HINCTI	ON 24			

Location: M1 LOCKINGTON-HEMINGTON JW M1 NORTHBOUND ON-SLIP JUNCTION 24

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Jct Approach	Going ahead other	S	Ν
Van / Goods 3.5 tonnes mgw and under	Jct Approach	Going ahead other	S	Ν
Goods 7.5 tonnes mgw and over	Entering from slip road	Going ahead left bend	S	Ν
Car	Jct Approach	Going ahead other	S	Ν

Casualties:

AccsMap

Selection:

OUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

(70) months Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
201900471	13/05/2019	447300	326389	Fine without high	Dry	Daylight	Slight
				winds			
Location	A 453 A SHRV DOAD KEO	WODTH POUNDABOUT IN	V KEGWODTH BVDA	22			

Location: A453 ASHBY ROAD KEGWORTH ROUNDABOUT JW KEGWORTH BYPASS

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Entering roundabout	Starting	E	NE
Car	Entering roundabout	Starting	Ε	NE
Goods 7.5 tonnes mgw and over	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead other	NE	SW

Casualties:

Class Severity Slight Driver / Rider

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
201900573	19/03/2019	445040	329440	Fine without high winds	Dry	Daylight	Slight

Location: A50 EASTBOUND CASTLE DONINGTON AT JUNCTION 1 SLIPROAD.

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Goods over	Mid Junction -	Changing lane	W	Е
3.5 tonnes and	on roundabout	to left		
under 7.5	or main road			
tonnes mgw				

Casualties:

Class	Severity
Driver / Rider	Slight

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 201900684 Location:	Date 29/06/201 M1 NOF	9 RTHBOUND LON	IG WHATTO	Easting 446885 N & DISEWORTH	Northing 323821 MARKER POST 18	Weather Fine without high winds 31/4A	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Darkness: no street lighting	Severity Slight
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Changing lane to right	S	Ν					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	S	Ν					
Casualties:									
Class	Severity								
Driver / Rider	Slight								
	_								
Police_ref	Date	0		Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
201900092	25/07/201	9		444777	528150	winds	DIy	Daylight	Slight
Location:	C8214 S	TATION ROAD (CASTLE DO	NINGTON JW TRE	NT LANE				
Vahialası									
Type	Junct Locn	Manyros	Moyof	Moyot					
Car	Cleared	Going ahead	NW	N					
Cai	junction or waiting/parked at junction exit	other	1	1					
Casualties:									

ClassSeverityPedestrianSlight

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 201900725	Date 23/07/2019	9		Easting 444590	Northing 328170	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Serious
Location:	TRENT	LANE CASTLE I	DONINGTON	I JW WILLOW ROA	.D.				
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Leaving main road	Turning right	Е	Ν					
Motorcycle over 500cc	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead other	Е	W					
Casualties:									
Class	Severity								
Driver / Rider	Serious								
Police_ref	Date			Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
201900830	28/08/201	9		448900	319585	Other	Wet/Damp	Darkness: street lights present and lit	Serious
Location:	M1 NOF	RTHBOUND SHE	PSHED AT N	MARKER 176/7.					
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					

Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	S	Ν

Casualties:

Class Severity Driver / Rider Serious

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
201900889	17/09/2019	446785	325441	Fine without high winds	Dry	Daylight	Slight
Location:	A453 ASHBY ROAD LONG WHATTC	N AND DISEWORT	H 500M EAST OF E	BEVERLEY ROAD JUN	NCTION		

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Van / Goods 3.5 tonnes mgw and under	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	Е	W
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	W	Е
Taxi/Private hire car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead but held up	Ε	W
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead but held up	Ε	W
Other vehicle - specify	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead but held up	Ε	W
Other vehicle - specify	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead but held up	E	W

Casualties:

ClassSeverityDriver / RiderSlightDriver / RiderSlight

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 201901038 Location:	Date 17/11/201 A453 A.	9 SHBY ROAD CAS	TLE DONIN	Easting 445284 GTON 30 METRES	Northing 325302 5 NORTH EAST OI	Weather Raining without high winds F C8204 GRIMES GATE	Road_cond Wet/Damp	Visibility Darkness: no street lighting	Severity Slight
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Overtaking moving vehicle O/S	SW	NE					
Goods 7.5 tonnes mgw and over	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	SW	NE					
Casualties: Class Driver / Rider	Severity Slight								
Police_ref	Date	0		Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
201901123	24/12/201	9		447291	326175	Raining without high winds	Wet/Damp	Darkness: no street lighting	Slight
Location:	M1 NOI	RTHBOUND KEG	WORTH MA	RKER POST 183/8.	A	C			
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	S	Ν					
Casualties:									

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 201901126 Location:	Date 27/12/201 M1 SOU	9 JTHBOUND MAF	RKER POST	Easting 447019 182/8B	Northing 325205	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Slight
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Stopping	Ν	S					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	Ν	S					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	Parked	Parked					
Casualties:									
Class	Severity								
Driver / Rider	Slight								

Police_ref 201901163	Date 22/10/20	19	H	Easting 447445	Northing 327510	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Slight
Location:	A453 H	KEGWORTH INTH	ERCHANGE KE	GWORTH.					
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Leaving	Going ahead	F	W					

Cai	Leaving	Oollig allead	L	**
	roundabout	other		
Goods 7.5	Leaving	Changing lane	E	W
tonnes mgw	roundabout	to left		
and over				

Casualties:

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 201901190 Location:	Date 13/11/2019 ARUND) EL AVENUE CAS	TLE DONIN	Easting 443367 IGTON EXACT LOO	Northing 328149 CATION UNKNOW	Weather Fine without high winds N	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Darkness: street lighting unknown	Severity Slight
Vehicles: Type	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	Е	W					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Stopping	E	W					

Casualties:

Class	Severity
Driver / Rider	Slight

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
201901200	13/11/2019	446680	323740	Fine without high	Wet/Damp	Daylight	Slight
				winds			

Location: C8214 WEST END LONG WHATTON 50M W LONG MEADOW LANE.

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead left bend	NW	Е
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead right bend	Ε	NW

Casualties:

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
201901277	27/06/2019	444495	325270	Fine without high	Dry	Daylight	Slight
				winds			
T a andiana	A 452 A GUDY DOAD CACTLE DONU	TOTON INV DOAD T	O DIGENIODELL				

Location: A453 ASHBY ROAD CASTLE DONINGTON JW ROAD TO DISEWORTH.

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Leaving main road	Turning right	W	S
Car	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead other	E	W
Car	Jct Approach	Waiting to turn right	S	Е

Casualties:

ClassSeverityDriver / RiderSlight

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
201901521	18/02/2019	445400	329430	Fine without high winds	Dry	Daylight	Slight

Location: A50 ROUNDABOUT LOCKINGTON EXACT LOCATION UNKNOWN.

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead other	S	Ν
Car	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead other	S	Ν

Casualties:
AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date		E	Casting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
201901523	23/02/201	9		447530	327555	Fine without high winds	Dry	Darkness: street lighting unknown	Slight
Location:	M1 KE0	GWORTH NR JU	NCTION 24. EX.	ACT LOCATIO	ON UNKNOWN.				
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Ict	Going ahead other	S	Ν					

Car	Not at, or	Going ahead	S	
	within 20M of	other		
	Jct			

Casualties:

casualities.							
Class	Severity						
Driver / Rider	Slight						
Vehicle Passenger	Slight						
Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
201901547	28/04/2019	444640	325250	Fine without high winds	Dry	Daylight	Slight

Ν

Location: A453 CASTLE DONINGTON EXACT LOCATION UNKNOWN.

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Van / Goods 3.5 tonnes mgw and under	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	Е	W
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	Е	W

Casualties:

7
1

Driver / Rider Slight

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
201901566	13/07/2019	448645	328665	Fine without high winds	Dry	Daylight	Slight
Location:	LONG LANE KEGWORTH EXACT LOCATION UNKNOWN.						

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Ict	Going ahead other	Ν	S
Pedal Cycle (Including pedal assisted electric bicycles)	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	Ν	S

Casualties:

Class Severity

Driver / Rider Slight

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
201901591	22/10/2019	447725	327725	Fine without high	Dry	Daylight	Slight
Location:	A453 KEGWORTH NR M1. EXACT LO	OCATION UNKNOW	VN.	winds			
Vehicles:							

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Goods vehicle - unknown	Not at, or within 20M of	Going ahead other	SW	NE
weight	Jct			
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	SW	NE

Casualties:

Class		Severity
D '	(D ! 1	G12 1 .

Driver / Rider Slight

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202000018	17/01/2020	448125	328034	Fine without high winds	Dry	Darkness: no street lighting	Serious
Location:	A453 GREEN LANE 90 METRES SOU	TH WEST OF DOWN	ELL'S BARN				

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Goods over 3.5 tonnes and under 7.5 tonnes mgw	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Parked	Parked	Parked
Van / Goods 3.5 tonnes mgw and under	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	NE	SW
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	NE	SW

Casualties:

ClassSeverityDriver / RiderSerious

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202000165	21/01/2020	445595	325390	Fine without high winds	Wet/Damp	Darkness: street lights present and lit	Fatal
Location:	A453 ASHBY ROAD LONG WHATTC	N AT ENTRANCE T	TO AIRPORT.				

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Leaving main road	Turning right	E	Ν
Agricultural vehicle	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead other	W	Ε
Casualties:				

Severity
Fatal
Serious

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202000342	23/06/2020	444875	328915	Fine without high winds	Dry	Daylight	Serious

Location: BROAD RUSHES CASTLE DONINTON EXACT LOCATION UNKNOWN.

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Goods vehicle - unknown weight	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Overtaking moving vehicle O/S	W	Ε
Pedal Cycle (Including pedal assisted electric bicycles)	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	W	Е

Casualties:

ClassSeverityDriver / RiderSerious

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202000434	22/07/2020	444770	328105	Fine without high	Dry	Daylight	Serious
.				winds			

Location: C8214 STATION ROAD CASTLE DONINGTON JW TRENT LANE.

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Leaving main road	Turning right	Ν	Parked
Pedal Cycle (Including pedal assisted electric bicycles)	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead other	S	Ν

Casualties:

ClassSeverityDriver / RiderSerious

AccsMap

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates (70) months 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 Selection:

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202000446	Date 25/07/202	0		Easting 445580	Northing 325380	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Slight
Location:	A453 L	ONG WHATTON .	AT ENTRAN	CE TO AIRPORT.					
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Cleared junction or waiting/parked at junction exit	Going ahead other	Ε	W					
Car	Cleared junction or waiting/parked at junction exit	Changing lane to left	Ε	W					
Casualties:									
Class	Severity								
Driver / Rider	Slight								
	D (T (1	N. (1.1				a .
Police_ref 202000492	Date 09/02/202	0		Easting 448975	Northing 318305	Weather Raining with high winds	Road_cond Wet/Damp	Visibility Darkness: street lights present and	Severity Slight
Location:	A512 A	SHBY ROAD EAS	T SHEPSHE	D AT JUNCTION 2	3 ROUNDABOUT.			lit	
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Jct Approach	Stopping	W	E					
Car	Jct Approach	Stopping	W	Е					
Casualties:									
Class	Severity								
Driver / Rider	Slight								

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202000564	Date 19/03/2020			Easting 446940	Northing 325230	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Wet/Damp	Visibility Darkness: street lighting unknown	Severity Slight
Location:	A42 NO	RTHBOUNDEXT	I SLIPROAI	D FROM JUNCTION	23A.				
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Parked	Parked	Parked					
Goods 7.5 tonnes mgw and over	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Overtaking stat vehicle O/S	S	Ν					
Casualties:									
Class Driver / Rider	Severity Slight								
Police ref	Date			Easting	Northing	Weather	Road cond	Visibility	Severity
202000589	09/08/2020	0		448225	327082	Fine without high winds	Dry	Daylight	Slight
Location:	A6 DER	BY ROAD KEGW	ORTH EXA	CT LOCATION NO	T GIVEN.				
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	NW	SE					

Casualties:

ClassSeverityPedestrianSlight

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202000596	05/08/2020	447495	327455	Fine without high	Dry	Daylight	Serious
Logation	M1 NOPTHROUND VECWORTH AT	124 OFERI ID		winds			

Location: M1 NORTHBOUND KEGWORTH AT J24 OFFSLIP.

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Goods 7.5 tonnes mgw and over	Jct Approach	Stopping	S	Ν
Car	Jct Approach	Going ahead but held up	S	Ν
Van / Goods 3.5 tonnes mgw and under	Jct Approach	Going ahead but held up	S	Ν
Goods 7.5 tonnes mgw and over	Jct Approach	Going ahead but held up	S	Ν

Class	Severity	
Driver / Rider	Slight	
Vehicle	Serious	
Passenger		
Vehicle	Slight	
Passenger		
Vehicle	Slight	
Passenger		

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202000627 Location:	Date 20/08/202 C8204 C	0 GRIMES GATE D	ISEWORTH A	Easting 445290 AT ENTRANCE TO	Northing 325090 D BYLANDS COT	Weather Fine without high winds TAGE.	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Slight
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Entering main road	Reversing	W	E					
Motorcycle over 500cc	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead other	S	Ν					
Casualties:									
Class	Severity								
Driver / Rider	Slight								
Police_ref	Date			Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202000881	10/11/202	0		449195	318315	Fine without high winds	Dry	Darkness: street lights present but unlit	Slight
Location:	A512 A	SHBY ROAD LO	UGHBOROU	GH JW M1 JUNCT	ION 23.				

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Entering roundabout	Stopping	Ε	W
Car	Entering roundabout	Stopping	E	W

Casualties:

AccsMap

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates (70) months 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 Selection:

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202001164	Date 14/10/202	20		Easting 444300	Northing 328215	Weather Fine without high	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Serious
Location:	TRENT	LANE CASTLE	DONINGTON	EXACT LOCATI	ON & DIRECTION	VIIIds IS UNKNOWN.			
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	E	W					
Casualties:									
Class	Severity								
Pedestrian	Serious								
Doling not	Data			Facting	Northing	Waathan	Dood cond	Vicibility	Covenity
ronce_rei	Date			Lasung	Norunig	weather	Koau_conu	visibility	Severity
202001233	04/12/202	20		447100	328845	Fine without high winds	Dry	Daylight	Serious
202001233	04/12/202 MAIN S	0 STREET LOCKIN	GTON JW WA	447100 ARREN LANE EX	328845 ACT LOCATION	Fine without high winds & DIRECTION UNKNOW	Dry VN.	Daylight	Serious
202001233	04/12/202 MAIN S	0 STREET LOCKIN	GTON JW WA	447100 ARREN LANE EX	328845 ACT LOCATION	Fine without high winds & DIRECTION UNKNOW	Dry VN.	Daylight	Serious
202001233 Location: Vehicles: Type	04/12/202 MAIN S	0 STREET LOCKIN Manyres	GTON JW WA	447100 ARREN LANE EX Movet	328845 ACT LOCATION	Fine without high winds & DIRECTION UNKNOW	Dry VN.	Daylight	Serious
202001233 Location: Vehicles: Type Car	04/12/202 MAIN S Junct_Locn Mid Junction -	20 STREET LOCKIN Manvres Going ahead	IGTON JW WA Movef SE	447100 ARREN LANE EX Movet NW	328845 ACT LOCATION	Fine without high winds & DIRECTION UNKNOW	Dry VN.	Daylight	Serious
202001233 Location: Vehicles: Type Car	04/12/202 MAIN S Junct_Locn Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	20 STREET LOCKIN Manvres Going ahead other	IGTON JW WA Movef SE	447100 ARREN LANE EX Movet NW	328845 ACT LOCATION	Fine without high winds & DIRECTION UNKNOW	Dry VN.	Daylight	Serious
202001233 Location: Vehicles: Type Car Casualties:	04/12/202 MAIN S Junct_Locn Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	20 STREET LOCKIN Manvres Going ahead other	IGTON JW WA Movef SE	447100 ARREN LANE EX Movet NW	328845	Fine without high winds & DIRECTION UNKNOW	Dry VN.	Daylight	Serious
202001233 Location: Vehicles: Type Car Casualties: Class	04/12/202 MAIN S Junct_Locn Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road Severity	20 STREET LOCKIN Manvres Going ahead other	IGTON JW WA Movef SE	447100 ARREN LANE EX Movet NW	328845 ACT LOCATION	Fine without high winds & DIRECTION UNKNOW	Dry VN.	Daylight	Serious
202001233 Location: Vehicles: Type Car Casualties: Class Vehicle Passenger	04/12/202 MAIN S Junct_Locn Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road Severity Serious	20 STREET LOCKIN Manvres Going ahead other	IGTON JW WA Movef SE	447100 ARREN LANE EX Movet NW	328845 ACT LOCATION	Fine without high winds & DIRECTION UNKNOW	Dry VN.	Daylight	Serious

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date			Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202001238	10/12/202	20		444745	327865	Fine without high winds	Dry	Darkness: street	Slight
Location:	C8214 S	STATION ROAD	CASTLE DON	INGTON EXACT	LOCATION & D	IRECTIONS UNKNOWN.		nghting unknown	
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Motor Cycle over 50 cc and up to 125cc	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	S	Ν					
Casualties:									
Class	Severity								
Driver / Rider	Slight								
Police_ref	Date			Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202001249	17/12/202	20		444855	328425	Fine without high	Dry	Daylight	Slight
Location:	C8214 S	STATION ROAD	CASTLE DON	INGTON EXACT	LOCATION & D	WINDS			
	002111	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			20011101102				
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	S	Ν					
Pedal Cycle (Including pedal assisted electric	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	S	Ν					
bicycles)									
bicycles) Casualties:									

Driver / Rider Slight

AccsMap

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months Selection: Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202100046	25/01/2021	449035	318250	Other	Frost/Ice	Daylight	Slight
Location:	M1 NORTHBOUND EXIT SLIPROAD	SHEPSHED AT JUN	CTION 23 ROUND	ABOUT.			

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Jct Approach	Stopping	S	Ν
Car	Jct Approach	Stopping	S	Ν

Casualties:

Vehicles:

Class Severity

Driver / Rider Slight

Police_ref 202100116	Date 08/03/202	1	Easti 4468	ing 820	Northing 330620	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Darkness: no street lighting	Severity Slight
Location:	B6540 T	FAMWORTH ROA	AD LOCKINGTON-	HEMINGTO	N EXACT LOCAT	ION & DIRECTIONS UN	NKNOWN.		
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	SW	NE					
Casualties:									
Class	Severity								
Driver / Rider	Slight								

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202100148	Date 23/03/202	1		Easting 448250	Northing 326730	Weather Fine without high	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Slight
Location:	C8211 A	ASHBY ROAD KI	EGWORTH N	EXT TO NUMBER	R 22.	winds			
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Van / Goods 3.5 tonnes mgw and under	Leaving main road	Reversing	S	Ν					
Casualties:									
Class	Severity								
Pedestrian	Slight								
Police_ref	Date			Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202100163	31/03/202	1		447430	326555	Fine without high	Dry	Daylight	Slight
Location:	M1 SOI	THBOUND KEC	WORTH AT	MARI FR 184/2		winds			
Location	WI SOC		JWORTH AT	WARLER 104/2.					
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Van / Goods 3.5 tonnes mgw and under	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	Ν	S					
Goods vehicle - unknown weight	Not at, or within 20M of Ict	Going ahead other	Ν	S					
weight	501								
Casualties:									

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Turning right

W

S

Police_ref 202100191	Date 12/04/20	21		Easting 447650	Northing 327705	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Darkness: street lights present and lit	Severity Slight
Location:	A453 F	REMEMBRANCE	WAY KEGW	ORTH AT EXIT F	ROM M1 JUNCTI	ON 24 ROUNDABOUT			
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Leaving roundabout	Turning left	Ν	NE					
Casualties:									
Class	Severity								
Driver / Rider	Slight								
Police_ref	Date			Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202100207	08/04/20	21		447300	326430	Fine without high winds	Dry	Darkness: street lights present and	Slight
Location:	A453 H	KEGWORTH ON I	ROUNDABO	UT WITH KEGWO	RTH BY-PASS			lit	
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Leaving roundabout	Going ahead other	Ν	S					

Casualties:

Car

ClassSeverityDriver / RiderSlight

Leaving roundabout

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202100249	25/04/2021	448965	319387	Fine without high winds	Dry	Daylight	Slight
Location:	M1 SOUTHBOUND SHEPSHED AT M	ARKER 176/5.					

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Taxi/Private hire car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Changing lane to right	Ν	S
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	Ν	S
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	Parked	Parked
Goods vehicle - unknown weight	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	N	S

Casualties:

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202100259	29/04/2021	444775	328105	Fine without high winds	Dry	Daylight	Slight
Location:	C8214 STATION ROAD CASTLE DON	INGTON JW TREN	Γ LANE.				

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Entering main road	Turning right	W	S
Pedal Cycle (Including pedal assisted electric bicycles)	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead other	Ν	S

Casualties:

ClassSeverityDriver / RiderSlight

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202100273	05/05/2021	444285	328805	Fine without high winds	Wet/Damp	Daylight	Slight

Location: BROAD RUSHES CASTLE DONINGTON JW BACK LANE.

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Cleared junction or waiting/parked at junction exit	Going ahead other	SW	NE

Class	Severity
Pedestrian	Slight

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
446365	330255	Fine without hi	igh Wet/Damp	Daylight	Serious
		winds			
2	Easting 021 446365	Easting Northing 021 446365 330255	Easting Northing Weather 021 446365 330255 Fine without h winds	Easting Northing Weather Road_cond 021 446365 330255 Fine without high winds Wet/Damp winds	Easting Northing Weather Road_cond Visibility 021 446365 330255 Fine without high wet/Damp Daylight winds

Location: B6540 TAMWORTH ROAD LOCKINGTON EXACT LOCATION UNKNOWN.

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Overtaking moving vehicle O/S	NE	SW
Pedal Cycle (Including pedal assisted electric bicycles)	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	NE	SW

Casualties:

Class Severity

Driver / Rider Serious

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202100303	12/05/2021	447410	327110	Fine without high	Dry	Daylight	Slight
				winds	5		0

Location: A453 KEGWORTH APPROACHING JW A50.EXACT LOCATION NOT PROVIDED.

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Motor Cycle	Not at, or	Going ahead left	S	NW
over 50 cc and	within 20M of	bend		
up to 125cc	Jct			

```
ClassSeverityDriver / RiderSlight
```

AccsMap

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months Selection: Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202100367 Location:	Date 28/05/202 M1 NO	1 RTHBOUND KEGV	WORTH AT	Easting 447515 Γ MP185/0.	Northing 327190	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Slight
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Jct Approach	Changing lane to right	S	Ν					
Casualties:									
Class	Severity								
Driver / Rider	Slight								
Police_ref	Date			Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202100382	02/06/202	1		443010	325745	Fine without high winds	Dry	Daylight	Slight
Location:	C8214 H	HILL TOP CASTLE	DONING	TON OUTSIDE ENT	RANCE TO RAC	E TRACK.			
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead left bend	NE	S					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	S	Ν					
Motorcycle over 500cc	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead left bend	NE	S					
Casualties:									
Class	Severity								
Driver / Rider	Slight								

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
447760	322145	Fine without hi	gh Dry	Daylight	Serious
		winds			
1	Easting 1 447760	Easting Northing 1 447760 322145	Easting Northing Weather 1 447760 322145 Fine without hi winds	Easting Northing Weather Road_cond 1 447760 322145 Fine without high Dry winds	Easting Northing Weather Road_cond Visibility 1 447760 322145 Fine without high Dry Daylight winds

Location: M1 SOUTHBOUND LONG WHATTON AT MARKER 179/5

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Motorcycle over 500cc	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	NW	SE
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	NW	SE
Goods vehicle - unknown weight	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Changing lane to right	NW	SE

Casualties:

ClassSeverityDriver / RiderSerious

Leicestershire County Council

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202100432 Location:	Date 16/06/2021 A453 KE	I GWORTH IW K	EGWORTH	Easting 447295 BY-PASS	Northing 326405	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Serious
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Leaving roundabout	Going ahead other	Ν	S					
Car	Entering roundabout	Starting	Е	W					
Car	Entering roundabout	Starting	E	W					
Casualties:									
Class	Severity								
Driver / Rider	Serious								
Police_ref	Date			Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202100476	27/06/2021	l		447475	326690	Fine without high winds	Dry	Daylight	Slight

Location: M1 SOUTHBOUND KEGWORTH EXACT LOCATION UNKNOWN.

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Not at, or within 20M of	Overtaking nearside	Ν	S
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Parked	Parked	Parked

Class	Severity
Vehicle	Slight
Passenger	

AccsMap

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months Selection:

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202100554 Location:	Date 27/07/202 M1 NOF	1 RTHBOUND KEG	WORTH AP	Easting 447230 PROX 1 MILE S JUE	Northing 325990 NCTION 24.	Weather Raining without high winds	Road_cond Wet/Damp	Visibility Daylight	Severity Slight
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Changing lane to left	S	Ν					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	S	Ν					
Casualties:									
Class Driver / Rider	Severity Slight								
Police_ref	Date			Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202100568	30/07/202	1		449350	318345	Fine without high winds	Wet/Damp	Daylight	Slight
Location:	A512 A5	SHBY ROAD LOU	JGHBOROU	GH APPROX 150M	E M1.				
Vehicles:									

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	W	E

Casualties:

Class Severity Vehicle Slight Passenger

AccsMap

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months Selection:

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202100620	Date 16/08/202	1		Easting 447315	Northing 326170	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Slight
Location:	M1 SOU	JTHBOUND KEGV	VORTH AT	MARKER 183/8.		Wilds			
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	Ν	S					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead but held up	Ν	S					
Casualties:									
Class Vehicle Passenger	Severity Slight								
Police_ref 202100635	Date 21/08/202	1		Easting 448650	Northing 326885	Weather Fine without high	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Darkness: street	Severity Slight
						winds	-	lights present and	-
Location:	BOROU	GH STREET KEG	WORTH EX	XACT LOCATION U	JNKNOWN.			III	
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead left bend	NE	S					

Casualties:

Severity Class

Driver / Rider Slight

AccsMap

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months Selection: Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202100640 Location:	Date 21/08/202 C8214 S	1 STATION ROAD C	ASTLE DO	Easting 444925 NINGTON JW BRO	Northing 328865 AD RUSHES.	Weather Other	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Slight
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Leaving roundabout	Changing lane to right	Ν	W					
Motorcycle over 500cc	Leaving roundabout	Turning right	Ν	W					
Casualties:									
Class	Severity								
Driver / Rider	Slight								
Police_ref	Date			Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202100670	03/09/202	1		446927	325332	Fine without high winds	Dry	Darkness: street lights present and	Slight
Location:	A453 FI	INGER FARM ROU	INDABOUT	I LONG WHATTOM	N AT EXIT FROM	I A42.		IIt	
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Entering roundabout	Going ahead left bend	S	NW					

Casualties:

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202100673	03/09/2021	447490	328075	Fine without high winds	Dry	Daylight	Serious
Location:	M1 SOUTHBOUND LOCKINGTON N	R J24 SLIPROAD.					

Vehicles:				
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Motor Cycle over 125 cc and up to 500cc	Jct Approach	Going ahead other	N	S
Motor Cycle over 125 cc and up to 500cc	Jct Approach	Going ahead other	Ν	S
Car	Jct Approach	Changing lane to right	Ν	S

Casualties:

ClassSeverityDriver / RiderSerious

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202100682 Location:	Date 06/09/202 M1 SOU	1 JTHBOUND KEG	WORTH AP	Easting 447385 PROACHING J24.	Northing 328500	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Serious
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	Ν	S					
Motorcycle over 500cc	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	N	S					
Casualties:									
Class Driver / Rider	Severity Serious								
Police_ref 202100694	Date 10/09/202	1		Easting 446965	Northing 325350	Weather Fine without high	Road_cond Wet/Damp	Visibility Daylight	Severity Slight

winds

Vehicles:

Location:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Van / Goods 3.5 tonnes mgw and under	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead but held up	NW	S
Car	Leaving roundabout	Starting	NW	SW
Casualties:				
Class	Severity			

A453 JUNCTION 23A ROUNDABOUT LONG WHATTON.

Pedestrian Slight

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202100699	11/09/2021	447505	327350	Fine without high	Dry	Daylight	Slight
Location:	M1 JUNCTION 24 NORTHBOUND OF	F SLIPROAD KEGV	VORTH.	winds			

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Goods vehicle - unknown	Not at, or within 20M of	Going ahead other	S	Ν
weight	Jct			
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead but held up	S	Ν

Casualties:

Class Severity Slight

Driver / Rider

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202100773	28/09/2021	444505	327285	Fine without high winds	Dry	Daylight	Serious
Location:	MARKET STREET CASTLE DONINGTON JW BONDGATE.						

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Leaving main road	Turning left	Ν	Е

Class	Severity
Pedestrian	Serious

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202100781 Location:	Date 03/10/202 C8214 H	1 HILL TOP CASTL	E DONINGT	Easting 443015 ON AT ENTRANCI	Northing 325625 E TO DONINGTON	Weather Fine without high winds PARK.	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Slight
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Leaving main road	Turning right	Ν	W					
Motorcycle over 500cc	Leaving main road	Turning right	W	S					
Casualties:									
Class Driver / Rider	Severity Slight								
Police_ref 202100812	Date 13/10/202	1		Easting 447120	Northing 331070	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Darkness: street lights present and	Severity Slight
Location:	B6540 T	CAMWORTH ROA	AD LOCKIN(GTON-HEMINGTO	N AT RIVER BRID	GE.		in	
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	S	Ν					

Class	Severity	
Driver / Rider	Slight	
Vehicle	Slight	
Passenger		

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202100872	29/10/2021	445490	327580	Fine without high winds	Wet/Damp	Darkness: no street lighting	Serious
Location:	C9204 HEMINGTON HILL HEMINGTO	ON ON BEND E OF	NUMBER 11.				

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead right bend	NE	W
Pedal Cycle (Including pedal assisted electric bicycles)	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead left bend	W	NE
Casualties:				

Class Severity

Driver / Rider Serious

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202101522	26/03/2021	449000	319225	Fine without high winds	Dry	Darkness: street lighting unknown	Serious
Location:	M1 SHEPSHED BETWEEN J22 & J23.						

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	N	S
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	N	S
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	N	S
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	N	S
Goods vehicle - unknown weight	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	Ν	S

Class	Severity	
Driver / Rider	Serious	
Driver / Rider	Slight	
Vehicle	Slight	
Passenger		

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202200028	15/01/2022	447190	328740	Fog or mist	Frost/Ice	Darkness: street lights present and lit	Slight
Location:	A50 NORTHBOUND SLIPROAD LOCKINGTON-HEMINGTON EXACT LOCATION NOT GIVEN.						

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead left bend	S	NW
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead left bend	S	NW

Casualties:

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202200046	18/01/2022	447995	321770	Fine without high winds	Wet/Damp	Darkness: street lights present and lit	Slight
T		WINGTON UT MICTON	NADVED 170/0				

Location: M1 SOUTHBOUND LOCKINGTON-HEMINGTON AT MARKER 179/0

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Van / Goods 3.5 tonnes mgw and under	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	NW	SE
Goods vehicle - unknown weight	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	NW	SE

Casualties:

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202200063	21/01/2022	448720	320160	Fine without high winds	Dry	Daylight	Slight
Location:	M1 NORTHBOUND SHEPSHED AT M	IARKER 177/3					

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	S	N
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	S	N
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	S	N
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	S	N
Goods vehicle - unknown weight	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	S	N
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	S	N
Goods vehicle - unknown weight	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	S	N

Casualties:

AccsMap

Selection:

OUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202200072	Date 24/01/2022	2	:	Easting 448805	Northing 326635	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Darkness: street lights present and lit	Severity Slight
Location:	A50 LOI	NDON ROAD KEC	JWORTH JW	NOTTINGHAM I	ROAD.				
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Entering main road	Turning left	Ν	S					
Van / Goods 3.5 tonnes mgw and under	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead left bend	S	NW					
Casualties:									
Class Driver / Rider	Severity Slight								
Police_ref 202200093	Date 29/01/2022	,		Easting 447115	Northing 325880	Weather Raining without	Road_cond Wet/Damp	Visibility Darkness: no street	Severity Serious
202200075	29/01/2022	-			525000	high winds		lighting	Serious

A453 SOUTHBOUND KEGWORTH APPROX 500M N JUNCTION 23A ROUNDABOUT.

Location:

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Van / Goods 3.5 tonnes mgw and under	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	Ν	S

Casualties:

Class Severity Driver / Rider Serious

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202200096	30/01/2022	446635	324235	Fine without high winds	Dry	Darkness: street lights present and lit	Serious

Location: A42 NORTHBOUND LONG WHATTON ON SLIPROAD FOR A453.

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Changing lane to left	SW	NE
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Ict	Going ahead other	SW	NE

Class	Severity
Driver / Rider	Serious
Driver / Rider	Slight
Vehicle	Slight
Passenger	

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202200193	Date 05/03/202	2		Easting 446940	Northing 330740	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Wet/Damp	Visibility Darkness: street lights present and lit	Severity Slight
Location:	B6540 T	TAMWORTH RO	AD LOCKIN	GTON JW WARRE	EN LANE.				
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Van / Goods 3.5 tonnes mgw and under	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	U-turn	SW	SW					
Car	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead other	SW	NE					
Casualties:									
Class Driver / Rider	Severity Slight								
Police_ref 202200202	Date 08/03/202	2		Easting 443940	Northing 326310	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Frost/Ice	Visibility Darkness: no street lighting	Severity Slight

Location: C8214 HILL TOP CASTLE DONINGTON OUTSIDE HILL TOP FARM.

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Turning left	W	NE

Casualties:
AccsMap

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates (70) months 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 Selection:

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202200264 Location:	Date 29/03/202 A6 DEF	2 RBY ROAD KEGW	ORTH OUTS	Easting 448540 IDE NUMBER 52.	Northing 326865	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Serious
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Van / Goods 3.5 tonnes mgw and under	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	SE	NW					
Casualties:									
Class Pedestrian	Severity Serious								
Dallas auf	D (G
202200286	Date 06/04/202	22		Easting 446370	Northing 330260	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Slight
202200286	Date 06/04/202 B6540	2 FAMWORTH ROA	D LOCKING	Easting 446370 TON APPROX 200	Northing 330260 M SW NETHERFI	Weather Fine without high winds ELD LANE.	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Slight
Vehicles:	Date 06/04/202 B6540 ⁻	2 FAMWORTH ROA	D LOCKING	Easting 446370 TON APPROX 200	Northing 330260 DM SW NETHERFI	Weather Fine without high winds ELD LANE.	Koad_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Slight
Yonce_ref 202200286 Location: Vehicles: Type	Date 06/04/202 B6540 ⁻ Junct Locn	2 FAMWORTH ROA Manyres	D LOCKING Movef	Easting 446370 TON APPROX 200 Movet	Northing 330260 DM SW NETHERFI	Weather Fine without high winds ELD LANE.	Koad_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Slight
Yonce_ref 202200286 Location: Vehicles: Type Car	Jate 06/04/202 B6540 ⁷ Junct_Locn Not at, or within 20M of Jct	2 FAMWORTH ROA Manvres U-turn	D LOCKING Movef SW	Easting 446370 TON APPROX 200 Movet SW	Northing 330260 DM SW NETHERFI	Weather Fine without high winds ELD LANE.	Koad_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Slight
 Fonce_ref 202200286 Location: Vehicles: Type Car Motor Cycle over 125 cc and up to 500cc 	Jate 06/04/202 B6540 ⁷ Junct_Locn Not at, or within 20M of Jct Not at, or within 20M of Jct	2 TAMWORTH ROA Manvres U-turn Overtaking moving vehicle O/S	D LOCKING Movef SW SW	Easting 446370 TON APPROX 200 Movet SW NE	Northing 330260 DM SW NETHERFI	Weather Fine without high winds ELD LANE.	Koad_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Slight
 Fonce_ref 202200286 Location: Vehicles: Type Car Motor Cycle over 125 cc and up to 500cc Casualties: 	Junct_Locn Not at, or within 20M of Jct Not at, or within 20M of Jct	2 TAMWORTH ROA Manvres U-turn Overtaking moving vehicle O/S	D LOCKING Movef SW SW	Easting 446370 TON APPROX 200 Movet SW NE	Northing 330260 DM SW NETHERFI	Weather Fine without high winds ELD LANE.	Koad_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Slight

Driver / Rider Slight

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202200346	Date 30/04/202	2		Easting 443945	Northing 326280	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Serious
Location:	C8214 I	HILL TOP CASTL	E DONINGT	ON AT ROUNDAB	BOUT NR ENTRA	NCE TO AEROPARK.			
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Motorcycle over 500cc	Leaving roundabout	Turning right	Ν	W					
Casualties:									
Class	Severity								
Driver / Rider	Serious								
Police_ref	Date			Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
Police_ref 202200400	Date 16/05/202	22		Easting 447100	Northing 325540	Weather Fine without high	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Slight
Police_ref 202200400 Location:	Date 16/05/202 M1 NO	2 RTHBOUND KEG	WORTH AF	Easting 447100 PROX 1500M S JUI	Northing 325540 NCTION 24.	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Slight
Police_ref 202200400 Location: Vehicles:	Date 16/05/202 M1 NO	2 RTHBOUND KEG	WORTH AF	Easting 447100 PPROX 1500M S JUI	Northing 325540 NCTION 24.	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Slight
Police_ref 202200400 Location: Vehicles: Type	Date 16/05/202 M1 NO Junct_Locn	2 RTHBOUND KEG Manvres	WORTH AF Movef	Easting 447100 PROX 1500M S JUI Movet	Northing 325540 NCTION 24.	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Slight
Police_ref 202200400 Location: Vehicles: Type Goods 7.5 tonnes mgw and over	Date 16/05/202 M1 NO Junct_Locn Not at, or within 20M of Jct	22 RTHBOUND KEG Manvres Changing lane to left	WORTH AF Movef S	Easting 447100 PPROX 1500M S JUI Movet N	Northing 325540 NCTION 24.	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Slight
Police_ref 202200400 Location: Vehicles: Type Goods 7.5 tonnes mgw and over Car	Date 16/05/202 M1 NO Junct_Locn Not at, or within 20M of Jct Not at, or within 20M of Jct	22 RTHBOUND KEG Manvres Changing lane to left Going ahead other	WORTH AF Movef S S	Easting 447100 PPROX 1500M S JUI Movet N N	Northing 325540 NCTION 24.	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Slight

Class	Severity
Driver / Rider	Slight

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202200447	02/06/2022	444725	327435	Fine without high winds	Dry	Darkness: street lights present and lit	Slight

Location: BOROUGH STREET CASTLE DONINGTON OPPOSITE NUMBER 46

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead other	SW	NE
Car	Entering main road	Turning left	NW	NE
Car	Cleared junction or waiting/parked at junction exit	Parked	Parked	Parked

Casualties:

Class	Severity	
Driver / Rider	Slight	
Vehicle	Slight	
Passenger		
Driver / Rider	Slight	

AccsMap

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months Selection:

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202200477	Date 14/06/202	22		Easting 448160	Northing 327127	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Slight
Location:	A6 DEI	RBY ROAD KEGV	WORTH JW S	SIDE LEY.					
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead other	NW	SE					
Car	Entering main road	Turning right	NE	NW					
Casualties:									
Class	Severity								
Driver / Rider	r Slight								
	_								
Police_ref	Date			Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202200609	25/07/202	22		443395	325480	Fine without high winds	Wet/Damp	Darkness: street lights present and lit	Slight
Location:	A453 C	ASTLE DONING	TON AT DHI	L ROUNDABOUT.					
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Leaving roundabout	Going ahead other	W	E					
Casualties:									
Class	Severity								
Driver / Rider	r Slight								

Vehicle

Slight

AccsMap

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates (70) months 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 Selection:

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202200623	Date 30/07/2022	2		Easting 448930	Northing 328790	Weather Fine without high	Road_cond Wet/Damp	Visibility Daylight	Severity Serious
Location:	A453 SC	OUTHBOUND KEC	WORTH AI	PPROX 250M SW F	RIVER BRIDGE.	winds			
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Overtaking moving vehicle O/S	NE	SW					
Casualties:									
Class Driver / Rider Vehicle Passenger	Severity Serious Serious								
Police_ref 202200634	Date 02/08/2022			Easting 444485	Northing 325280	Weather Other	Road_cond Wet/Damp	Visibility Daylight	Severity Slight
Location.	A455 A3	SHBT KOAD CAS	LE DOMIN	GION JW THE OK	EEN.				
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Goods 7.5 tonnes mgw and over	Jct Approach	Stopping	W	Ε					
Van / Goods 3.5 tonnes mgw and under	Jct Approach	Going ahead but held up	W	Ε					
Car	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Waiting to turn right	W	S					
Casualties:									
Class Driver / Rider	Severity Slight								

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202200658	10/08/2022	442060	324115	Fine without high winds	Dry	Daylight	Slight
Location:	A453 BREEDON ON THE HILL APPL	ROX 250M NE MOOI	RIANE				

Lo A453 BREEDON ON THE HILL APPROX 250M NE MOOR LANE.

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Motorcycle over 500cc	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead right bend	Ν	SW

Casualties:

Class Severity Slight Driver / Rider

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202200661	11/08/2022	447265	326075	Fine without high	Dry	Daylight	Slight
Location.	M1 NORTHBOUND KEGWORTH A7	MARKER 183/7		Winds			

M1 NORTHBOUND KEGWORTH AT MARKER 183/7.

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Ict	Going ahead other	S	Ν
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	S	Ν
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	S	Ν
Goods 7.5 tonnes mgw and over	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	S	Ν
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	S	Ν

Casualties:

Class Severity Slight Driver / Rider

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202200662	11/08/2022	447300	326100	Fine without high winds	Dry	Daylight	Slight
Location:	M1 SOUTHBOUND KEGW	ORTH EXACT LOCATION	NOT GIVEN.				
Vehicles:							

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Changing lane to right	Ν	S
Goods 7.5 tonnes mgw and over	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	Ν	S
Casualties:				
Class	Severity			
Driver / Rider	Slight			

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202200748	06/09/2022	449080	317910	Raining without high winds	Wet/Damp	Darkness: street lights present and lit	Slight

Location: M1 NORTHBOUND SHEPSHED AT EXIT SLIPROAD FOR JUNCTION 23.

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Changing lane to right	S	Ν
Car	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead other	S	Ν

Casualties:

ClassSeverityDriver / RiderSlight

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202200766	28/06/2022	447125	328790	Fine without high	Dry	Daylight	Slight
Location:	A50 WESTBOUND LOCKINGTON EXACT LOCATION UNKNOWN.						

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Motor Cycle over 50 cc and up to 125cc	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Overtaking moving vehicle O/S	SE	NW
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	SE	NW

Casualties:

Class	Severity
Driver / Rider	Slight

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202200803	26/09/2022	444960	328925	Raining without high winds	Wet/Damp	Daylight	Slight

Location: STATION ROAD CASTLE DONINGTON JW BROAD RUSHES.

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Motor Cycle over 125 cc and up to 500cc	Jct Approach	Going ahead other	Ν	S
Car	Leaving roundabout	Going ahead other	S	Ν

Casualties:

Class Severity

Driver / Rider Slight

AccsMap

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates (70) months 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 Selection:

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202200830	Date 04/10/202	22		Easting 448330	Northing 327135	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Darkness: street lights present and	Severity Slight
Location:	C8207 \$	SIDE LEY KEGW	ORTH OUTSI	DE NUMBER 87.				IIt	
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Entering main road	Reversing	S	W					
Casualties:									
Class Driver / Rider	Severity Slight								
Police_ref 202200835	Date 23/09/202	22		Easting 448965	Northing 319290	Weather Fine without high	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Serious
Location:	M1 NO	RTHBOUND SHE	EPSHED AT M	IP 176/4.		winus			
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	S	Ν					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	S	Ν					
Casualties:									
Class	Severity								
Driver / Rider	Serious								
Vehicle Passenger	Slight								

AccsMap

Selection:

OUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates (70) months 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202200862	Date 10/10/202	2		Easting 444490	Northing 325278	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Wet/Damp	Visibility Daylight	Severity Slight
Location:	A453 A	SHBY ROAD CAS	TLE DONIN	NGTON JW THE GI	REEN.				
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Goods 7.5 tonnes mgw and over	Jct Approach	Going ahead other	W	Ε					
Car	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Waiting to turn right	W	S					
Casualties:									
Class Vehicle Passenger	Severity Slight								
Police_ref	Date			Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202200912	26/10/202	2		445590	325390	Fine without high	Wet/Damp	Daylight	Slight
Location:	A453 A	SHBY ROAD LON	G WHATTO	ON AT ENTRANCE	E TO EAST MIDL	ANDS AIRPORT.			
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Leaving main road	Turning right	Е	Ν					
Goods vehicle	Mid Junction -	Going ahead	W	Е					

- unknown	on roundabou
weight	or main road
Casualties:	

Class Severity

Slight Driver / Rider

on roundabout

other

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202200926	31/10/2022	443920	328195	Raining without high winds	Wet/Damp	Darkness: street lights present and lit	Slight
Location:	ARUNDEL AVENUE CASTLE DONIN	IGTON EXACT LOC	ATION NOT GIVEN	۹.			

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead right bend	NE	W
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	W	E

Casualties:

ClassSeverityDriver / RiderSlight

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202200985	11/11/2022	444920	327200	Fine without high winds	Dry	Darkness: street lights present and lit	Slight

Location: EASTWAY CASTLE DONINGTON NR NUMBER 30.

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Motor Cycle over 50 cc and up to 125cc	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	W	E
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Parked	Parked	Parked

Casualties:

Class	Severity
Dulara / Di Jan	C11 - 1- 4

Driver / Rider Slight

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202201031 Location:	Date 20/11/202 A512 A	2 SHBY ROAD SHE	EPSHED ON	Easting 449150 M1 ROUNDABOU	Northing 318368 T.	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Slight
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Changing lane to right	W	Ε					
Car	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead other	W	Е					
Casualties:									
Class	Severity								
Driver / Rider	Slight								
Police_ref	Date			Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202201105	20/12/202	2		444830	328315	Fine without high winds	Wet/Damp	Darkness: street lights present and lit	Serious
Location:	C8214 S	STATION ROAD (CASTLE DO	NINGTON AT ENT	RANCE TO PET	ROL STATION.			

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Waiting to turn right	S	Ε
Car	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead other	S	Ν

Casualties:

ClassSeverityDriver / RiderSerious

AccsMap

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months Selection:

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202300023	Date 09/01/202	3 JUNDABOUT LO	CKINGTON	Easting 445430	Northing 329365	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Darkness: street lights present and lit	Severity Fatal
Locution	ASURO	UNDADOUT LO	CKINGTON-		INEIT LANE.				
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Motorcycle over 500cc	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead other	Е	W					
Car	Entering roundabout	Going ahead other	S	Ν					
Casualties:									
Class	Severity								
Driver / Rider	Fatal								
Police_ref	Date			Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202300142	18/02/202	3		447625	327715	Fine without high winds	Dry	Darkness: street lights present and lit	Slight
Location:	M1 JUN	ICTION 24 ROUN	NDABOUT KI	EGWORTH NR EX	IT FOR A453 TO	NOTTINGHAM.			
Vehicles:									

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Leaving	Going ahead	W	Е
	roundabout	other		

Casualties:

Class	Severity	
Driver / Rider	Slight	
Vehicle	Slight	
Passenger		
Vehicle	Slight	
Passenger		

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202300354	Date 15/05/202	3 SUBY BOAD LON		Easting 445255	Northing 325280	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Slight
Location:	A455 A3	SHBY KOAD LOP	NG WHATTC	JN JW GRIMES GA	IE.				
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Motorcycle over 500cc	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead other	SW	NE					
Car	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead other	SW	NE					
Casualties:									
Class Driver / Rider Pedestrian	Severity Slight Slight								
Police_ref 202300386	Date 25/05/202	3		Easting 447530	Northing 327570	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Serious
Location:	M1 NOF	RTHBOUND KEG	WORTH NR	JUNCTION 24					
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	S	Ν					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of	Going ahead other	S	Ν					

Casualties:

Class	Severity
Driver / Rider	Serious
Vehicle	Slight
Passenger	

Jct

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202300409	25/05/2023	448670	320300	Fine without high	Dry	Daylight	Slight
				winds			

Location: M1 NORTHBOUND BETWEEN J23 & 23A. EXACT LOCATION UNKNOWN.

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Stopping	S	Ν
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	S	Ν

Casualties:

Class	Severity
Driver / Rider	Slight
Vehicle	Slight
Passenger	

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202300500	09/06/2023	446935	325445	Fine without high	Dry	Daylight	Slight
Location:	A453 NORTHBOUND KEGWORTH A	AT EXIT FROM DON	JINGTON SERVICE	S ROUNDABOUT.			

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Cleared junction or waiting/parked at junction exit	Changing lane to left	S	Ν					
Goods vehicle - unknown weight	Cleared junction or waiting/parked at junction exit	Starting	S	Ν					
Casualties:									
Class Vehicle Passenger	Severity Slight								
Police_ref 202300546	Date 08/06/202	3	Ea 44	sting 47095	Northing 330925	Weather Fine without high	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Serious
Location:	B6540 T	AMWORTH ROA	D LOCKINGTO	N S OF MARIN	A BRIDGE.	whites			
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	Ν	S					
Casualties:									
Class	Severity								

Pedestrian Serious

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202300555 Location:	Date 07/07/2023 A453 FI	3 NGER FARM ROI	JNDABOUT	Easting 446975 KEGWORTH.	Northing 325370	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Slight
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead other	Ν	S					
Motor Cycle over 50 cc and up to 125cc	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Changing lane to right	Ν	W					
Casualties:									
Class Driver / Rider	Severity Slight								
Police_ref 202300565	Date 10/07/2023	3		Easting 447305	Northing 328065	Weather Raining without	Road_cond Wet/Damp	Visibility Daylight	Severity Slight

high winds

Location: A50 NORTHBOUND LOCKINGTON APPROX 150M N CHURCH STREET.

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Goods 7.5 tonnes mgw	Not at, or within 20M of	Changing lane to left	S	Ν
and over	Jct		C	NT
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	other	5	N

Casualties:

Class	Severity
Driver / Rider	Slight

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202300587	18/03/2023	444810	327445	Fine without high winds	Wet/Damp	Darkness: street lights present and lit	Slight
Location:	C9204 CLAPGUN STREET CASTLE	DONINGTON JW TH	IE HOLLOW.				

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Van / Goods 3.5 tonnes mgw and under	Entering main road	Turning right	NW	SW
Casualties:				
Class	Severity			
Pedestrian	Slight			
Pedestrian	Slight			

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202300716	16/08/2023	446630	324190	Fine without high winds	Dry	Darkness: street lights present and lit	Slight

Location: M1 SOUTHBOUND LONG WHATTON NR J23 ON SLIP.

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Overtaking moving vehicle O/S	NE	SW
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	NE	SW
Goods vehicle - unknown weight	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	NE	SW

Casualties:

ClassSeverityDriver / RiderSlight

AccsMap

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months Selection:

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202300893	Date 25/09/202	3		Easting 448055	Northing 321620	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Darkness: street	Severity Slight
Location:	M1 NOI	RTHBOUND LON	G WHATTO	ON AT MP 178/9.		winds		nghting unknown	
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Changing lane to left	SE	NW					
Goods 7.5 tonnes mgw and over	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	SE	NW					
Casualties:									
Class Vehicle Passenger	Severity Slight								
Police_ref	Date			Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202300910	25/09/202	3		447660	327700	Fine without high winds	Dry	Daylight	Slight
Location:	A453 K	EGWORTH INTE	RCHANGE	AT EXIT FOR REMI	EMBRANCE WAY	ζ.			
Vehicles:									

Vehicles:	
-----------	--

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Leaving roundabout	Going ahead other	Ν	Е
Van / Goods 3.5 tonnes mgw and under	Leaving roundabout	Changing lane to left	Ν	Е

Casualties:

Class Severity Slight Vehicle Passenger

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202300911	29/09/2023	442730	322370	Fine without high winds	Dry	Daylight	Slight
Location:	GELSCOE LANE.BREEDON ON THE	E HILL EXACT LOCA	ATION UNKNOWN				
Vehicles:							

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Entering main road	Turning left	S	W
Motorcycle over 500cc	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead other	Е	W
Casualties:				
Class	Severity			
Driver / Rider	Slight			

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202300922	01/10/2023	441840	323960	Fine without high winds	Wet/Damp	Daylight	Slight
Location:	A453 BREEDON ON THE HILL JW MO	OOR LANE					

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Jct Approach	Going ahead other	SW	NE
Car	Jct Approach	Going ahead other	SW	NE

Casualties:

ClassSeverityDriver / RiderSlight

AccsMap

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months Selection:

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202300925 Location:	Date 01/10/202 A453 RJ	3 EMEBRANCE WA	Y KEGWOI	Easting 448790 RTH APPROX 150M	Northing 328690 I NE LONG LANE.	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Wet/Damp	Visibility Daylight	Severity Fatal
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead but held up	NE	SW					
Goods vehicle - unknown weight	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	NE	SW					
Casualties:									
Class Driver / Rider	Severity Fatal								
D.P.	D. (E. C.		XX7	D. I. I	¥7. 11 11.4	G

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202300941	04/10/2023	447810	327465	Fine without high winds	Dry	Daylight	Slight
Location:	A6 DERBY ROAD KEGWORTH AT E	NTRANCE TO PAIN	TBALL CENTRE.				

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead other	SE	NW
Car	Entering main road	Turning right	NE	NW
Casualties:				
Class	Severity			

Vehicle Slight Passenger

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202300964 Location:	Date 06/10/2023 M1 NOR	THBOUND EXIT	SLIPROAD	Easting 447500 FOR JUNCTION 24.	Northing 327440	Weather Raining without high winds	Road_cond Wet/Damp	Visibility Daylight	Severity Slight
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead but held up	S	Ν					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	S	Ν					
Casualties:									
Class	Severity								
Driver / Rider	Slight								
Police_ref 202300979	Date 27/09/2023	3		Easting 449470	Northing 324550	Weather Fine without high	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Serious
Location:	A6 LON	DON ROAD LONG	G WHATTO	N EXACT LOCATIO	ON UNKNOWN.	winds			

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Stopping	S	Ν
Van / Goods 3.5 tonnes mgw and under	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	S	Ν

Casualties:

ClassSeverityDriver / RiderSerious

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202301020	22/10/2023	447480	327490	Fine without high	Dry	Daylight	Serious
				winds			
Location:	A453 JUNCTION 24 ROUNDABOUT F	KEGWORTH AT EX	IT FROM M1 NORT	THBOUND.			

Vehicles:

Type Car	Junct_Locn Mid Junction - on roundabout	Manvres Going ahead other	Movef E	Movet W					
Motorcycle over 500cc	Entering roundabout	Going ahead other	S	Ν					
Casualties:									
Class	Severity								
Driver / Rider	Serious								
Police_ref	Date		Eastin	g	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202301106	04/11/2023	l	44749	0	322555	Raining without high winds	Wet/Damp	Darkness: street lights present and lit	Slight

Location: M1 SOUTHBOUND LONG WHATTON AT MP 180/0.

Vehicles:				
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	NW	SE

Casualties:

ClassSeverityDriver / RiderSlight

AccsMap

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months Selection:

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202301253	Date 15/12/202	23		Easting 448865	Northing 326120	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Darkness: street lights present but	Severity Serious
Location:	A6 KEC	GWORTH AT RO	UNDABOUT	WITH KEGWORT	H BY-PASS.			uiiiit	
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Entering roundabout	Going ahead other	SW	NE					
Casualties:									
Class	Severity								
Driver / Rider	Serious								
Police_ref	Date			Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202301262	18/12/202	.3		444580	322660	Fine without high winds	Wet/Damp	Daylight	Serious
Location:	A42 NC	ORTHBOUND LO	NG WHATT	ON NR MP 84/5.		() Indis			
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Goods 7.5 tonnes mgw	Not at, or within 20M of	Starting	SW	NE					
and over	Jct								
Goods 7.5	Not at, or	Going ahead	SW	NE					
and over	Jct	other							
Cospolities									
Class	Severity								

Driver / Rider Serious

AccsMap

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months Selection:

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202301272	Date 22/12/202	23		Easting 446965	Northing 328940	Weather Raining without high winds	Road_cond Wet/Damp	Visibility Darkness: street lights present and	Severity Slight
Location:	A50 LC	OCKINGTON ON	SLIPROAD '	TO M1 SOUTH.				11t	
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead other	W	Ε					
Casualties:									
Class	Severity								
Driver / Rider	r Slight								
Police_ref	Date			Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202400009	04/01/202	24		444815	327445	Fine without high winds	Dry	Darkness: street lights present and	Slight
Location:	C9402	CLAPGUN STREE	ET CASTLE	DONINGTON JW T	HE HOLLOW.			III	
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Leaving main road	Turning right	W	S					

Casualties:

Class Severity Slight Pedestrian

AccsMap

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months Selection:

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202400038	Date 13/01/202	.4		Easting 447295	Northing 326400	Weather Fine without high	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Slight
Location:	A453 K	EGWORTH INTE	RCHANGE	KEGWORTH.		winds			
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Starting	Ν	S					
Car	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Starting	E	W					
Casualties:									
Class Vehicle Passenger	Severity Slight								
Police_ref	Date			Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202400129	29/01/202	24		447500	327380	Fine without high winds	Dry	Darkness: street lights present and	Slight
Location:	M1 NO	RTHBOUND KEG	WORTH AI	PPROACHING J24 E	EXIT.			111	
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at or	Going ahead but	S	Ν					

Car	Not at, or within 20M of	Going ahead but	S	N
	Jet	neid up		
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead	S	N

Casualties:

Severity Class Driver / Rider Slight

AccsMap

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months Selection:

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202400144	Date 09/02/202	24		Easting 448715	Northing 327155	Weather Raining without	Road_cond Wet/Damp	Visibility Daylight	Severity Slight
Location:	C8207 S	STATION ROAD	KEGWORTH	JW LONG LANE.		high winds			
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Van / Goods 3.5 tonnes mgw and under	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead	E	W					
Car	Entering main road	Going ahead	S	Ν					
Casualties:									
Class Vehicle Passenger	Severity Slight								
Police_ref	Date			Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202400163	16/02/202	24		445260	322965	Raining without	Wet/Damp	Darkness: no street	Slight
Location:	A42 NC	ORTHBOUND LO	NG WHATTO	ON & DIESWORTH	I NE OF LONGMI	high winds ERE LANE.		lighting	
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead	SW	NE					
Goods 7.5 tonnes mgw	Not at, or within 20M of	Going ahead	SW	NE					

and over

Casualties:

Class Severity Driver / Rider Slight

Jct

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202400192	Date 23/02/2024	ļ		Easting 446860	Northing 323920	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Slight
Location:	M1 NOF	THBOUND LONG	G WHATTO	N APPROACHING	J23.	WINCIS			
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead	S	Ν					
Goods vehicle - unknown weight	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Overtaking moving vehicle O/S	S	Ν					
Casualties:									
Class	Severity								
Driver / Rider	Slight								
Police_ref	Date			Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202400235	15/03/2024	1		449080	317880	Fine without high winds	Dry	Daylight	Less serious
Location:	M1 NOF	THBOUND LOUG	GHBOROU	GH APPROACHING	G J23.				

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Not at, or within 20M of	Changing lane to left	S	Ν
Car	Jct Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead	S	Ν

Casualties:

Class Se	verity
----------	--------

Driver / Rider Less serious

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202400243 Location:	Date 17/03/202 A453 Ne	4 ORTHBOUND KI	EGWORTH A	Easting 448380 APPROX 400M SW I	Northing 328325 LONG LANE BRI	Weather Raining without high winds DGE.	Road_cond Wet/Damp	Visibility Daylight	Severity Slight
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead	SW	NE					
Casualties:									
Class Driver / Rider	Severity Slight								
Police_ref	Date			Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202400297	04/04/202	4		449070	318005	Fine without high	Dry	Daylight	Slight
Location:	M1 NOI	RTHBOUND SHE	EPSHED ON S	SLIPROAD TO J23.		winds			
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Leaving main road	Going ahead	S	Ν					
Car	Leaving main road	Going ahead	S	Ν					
Casualties:									

Class Severity Slight Driver / Rider

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202400337 Location:	Date 18/04/2024 EASTW	4 AY CASTLE DON	INGTON O	Easting 444785 JTSIDE SCHOOL.	Northing 327193	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	Visibility Daylight	Severity Slight
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Entering main road	Turning right	S	Е					
Pedal Cycle (Including pedal assisted electric bicycles)	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead	W	Е					

Casualties:

ClassSeverityDriver / RiderSlight

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202400395	06/05/2024	446845	324455	Fine without high winds	Dry	Daylight	Slight

Location: M1 NORTHBOUND LONG WHATTON.

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Not at, or within 20M of	Going ahead	S	Ν
	Jct			

Casualties:

Class Severity

Driver / Rider Slight

AccsMap

Selection:

QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37

Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202400439	14/05/2024	449040	319030	Fine without high	Dry	Daylight	Less serious
Location		T I OCATION UNIV	NOWN	winds			

Location: M1 SOUTHBOUND SHEPSHED EXACT LOCATION UNKNOWN.

Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead	Ν	S
Goods vehicle - unknown weight	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead	Ν	S
Casualties:				
Class	Severity			

	~~~~
Vehicle	Less serious
Passenger	
Driver / Rider	Less serious

AccsMap

Selection:

## **QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37**

# Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202400534 Location:	<b>Date</b> 12/06/202 A453 KI	4 EGWORTH INTE	RCHANGE	Easting 447250 JW WILDERS WAY	Northing 326415	Weather Fine without high winds	<b>Road_cond</b> Dry	<b>Visibility</b> Daylight	<b>Severity</b> Slight
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Stopping	S	Ν					
Car	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead	S	Ν					
Car	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Starting	Е	W					
Casualties:									
<b>Class</b> Driver / Rider	Severity Slight								
Driver / Rider	Slight								
<b>Police_ref</b> 202400611	<b>Date</b> 27/06/202	4		<b>Easting</b> 444770	<b>Northing</b> 328110	Weather Fine without high winds	Road_cond Dry	<b>Visibility</b> Daylight	Severity Slight
Location:	C8214 S	TATION ROAD	CASTLE DO	NINGTON JW TRE	NT LANE.				
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					

Car	Mid Junction - on roundabout	Starting	S	N
Car	or main road Entering main road	Starting	W	Е

### Casualties:

Class Severity

AccsMap

Selection:

## **QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37**

## Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202400622	05/07/2024	447255	326415	Fine without high	Dry	Daylight	Fatal
T				winds			

Location: A453 KEGWORTH INTERCHANGE JW WILDERS WAY.

### Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead	S	Ν
Goods vehicle - unknown weight	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead but held up	Е	W
Casualties:				
Class	Severity			
Driver / Dider	Voru corious			

Difver / Kider	very serious
Vehicle	Moderately
Passenger	serious
Vehicle	Fatal
Passenger	
Vehicle	Slight
Passenger	
Vehicle	Slight
Passenger	

AccsMap

Selection:

## **QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37**

## Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202400664 Location:	Date 19/07/2024 A453 BREEDON ON THE F		Easting 441970 HILL BETWEEN TONGE & ISL		Northing 324070 LEY WALTON.	Weather Fine without high winds	<b>Road_cond</b> Dry	Visibility Darkness: no street lighting	Severity Moderately serious
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead	Ν	S					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead	S	Ν					
Casualties:									
Class	Severity								
Vehicle Passenger	Less serious								
Vehicle Passenger	Moderately serious								
Police_ref	Date			Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202400668	21/07/2024	Ļ		447263	326487	Fine without high winds	Dry	Daylight	Less serious

Location: A453 KEGWORTH INTERCHANGE JW WILDERS WAY.

### Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Entering roundabout	Going ahead	W	Е
Car	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead	S	N

### Casualties:

ClassSeverityDriver / RiderLess seriousDriver / RiderLess serious
AccsMap

Selection:

# **QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37**

# Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202400696	31/07/2024	447650	327580	Fine without high	Dry	Daylight	Less serious
				winds			
Location.	M1 IUNCTION 24 DOUND A DOUT V	ECOWODTH EVA	CT LOCATION UNI	ZNOWN			

Location: M1 JUNCTION 24 ROUNDABOUT KEGOWORTH. EXACT LOCATION UNKNOWN.

# Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Leaving roundabout	Changing lane to left	Ν	S
Motorcycle over 500cc	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Turning right	Ν	W
Casualties:				
Class	Severity			

Class Severity Driver / Rider Less serious

AccsMap

Selection:

# **QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37**

#### Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202400698	01/08/2024	449220	318330	Fine without high	Dry	Daylight	Less serious
Location:	A 512 A SHRV DOAD EAST SHEDSHE		OUT	winds			

Loca A512 ASHBY ROAD EAST SHEPSHED AT J23 ROUNDABOUT.

# Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Van / Goods 3.5 tonnes mgw and under	Jct Approach	Going ahead but held up	Ε	W
Car	Entering roundabout	Going ahead	Ν	S

# Casualties:

Class	Severity	
Driver / Rider	Slight	
Vehicle	Slight	
Passenger		
Driver / Rider	Less serious	
Vehicle	Slight	
Passenger		
Vehicle	Slight	
Passenger	-	

AccsMap

# **QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37**

#### Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months Selection:

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

<b>Police_ref</b> 202400699	<b>Date</b> 30/07/2024	1		<b>Easting</b> 444535	<b>Northing</b> 329425	Weather Fine without high winds	<b>Road_cond</b> Dry	<b>Visibility</b> Daylight	Severity Slight
Location:	A50 CA	STLE DONINGTO	ON APPROX	500M E COUNTY E	BOUNDARY.				
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead	W	E					
Casualties:									
Class	Severity								

Slight						
Slight						
Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
01/08/2024	449765	323875	Fine without high	Dry	Daylight	Fatal
			winds			
A6 SOUTHBOUND LONG WHATTO	N APPROX 250M S	SOUTH LODGE.				
	Slight Slight Date 01/08/2024 A6 SOUTHBOUND LONG WHATTON	Slight Slight Date Easting 01/08/2024 449765 A6 SOUTHBOUND LONG WHATTON APPROX 250M S 3	Slight Slight Date Easting Northing 01/08/2024 449765 323875 A6 SOUTHBOUND LONG WHATTON APPROX 250M S SOUTH LODGE.	Slight Slight Date Easting Northing Weather 01/08/2024 449765 323875 Fine without high winds A6 SOUTHBOUND LONG WHATTON APPROX 250M S SOUTH LODGE.	Slight Slight Date Easting Northing Weather Road_cond 01/08/2024 449765 323875 Fine without high A6 SOUTHBOUND LONG WHATTON APPROX 250M S SOUTH LODGE.	Slight Slight Date Easting Northing Weather Road_cond Visibility 01/08/2024 449765 323875 Fine without high winds Dry Daylight winds

# Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead	Ν	S
Pedal Cycle (Including pedal assisted electric bicycles)	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Starting	Ε	W

## Casualties:

Class Severity

Driver / Rider Fatal

AccsMap

Selection:

# **QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37**

# Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202400733	13/08/2024	444480	325280	Fine without high	Dry	Daylight	Slight
T		CTON IN THE ODD		winds			

Location: A453 ASHBY ROAD CASTLE DONINGTON JW THE GREEN.

# Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Jct Approach	Going ahead	W	Е
Car	Leaving main road	Turning right	W	S

# Casualties:

Class	Severity
Driver / Rider	Slight
Vehicle	Slight
Passenger	
Driver / Rider	Slight
Vehicle	Slight
Passenger	

AccsMap

Selection:

# **QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37**

# Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202400838	12/09/2024	448485	318280	Raining without high winds	Wet/Damp	Daylight	Less serious
Location:	A512 ASHBY ROAD EAST SHEPSHE	D AT EXIT FROM T	RUCK STOP.	C			

#### Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Entering main road	Turning left	Ν	Ε
Pedal Cycle (Including pedal assisted electric bicycles)	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead	Е	W

# Casualties:

Class Severity

Driver / Rider Less serious

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202400866	17/09/2024	442190	323080	Fine without high	Dry	Daylight	Fatal
				winds			

Location: A453 BREEDON ON THE HILL JW MOOR LANE.

## Vehicles:

Гуре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Cleared junction or waiting/parked at junction exit	Going ahead	SE	Ν

## **Casualties:**

Class	Severity
Driver / Rider	Fatal

AccsMap

# **QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37**

#### Accidents between dates (70) months 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 Selection:

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

<b>Police_ref</b> 202400916	<b>Date</b> 27/09/202	24		<b>Easting</b> 444730	Northing 327215	Weather Fine without high winds	<b>Road_cond</b> Dry	<b>Visibility</b> Daylight	<b>Severity</b> Slight
Location:	EASTW	AY CASTLE DO	NINGTON O	UTSIDE SCHOOL.					
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Not at, or within 20M of Jct	Going ahead	NW	SE					
Casualties:									
Class	Severity								
Pedestrian	Slight								
Police_ref	Date			Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202400917	03/10/202	24		444345	328210	Fine without high winds	Dry	Daylight	Slight
Location:	TRENT	LANE CASTLE	DONINGTON	JW MAPLE ROA	D.				
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead	W	E					
Car	Leaving main	Turning right	E	Ν					
	Todd								
Casualties:	Touc								

Severity Class Slight Driver / Rider

AccsMap

Selection:

# **QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37**

# Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref 202400930 Location:	<b>Date</b> 05/10/202 MOOR	24 LANE TONGE (B	REEDON OF	Easting 441870 N THE HILL) JW D	Northing 323250 OVECOTE.	Weather Fine without high winds	<b>Road_cond</b> Dry	Visibility Darkness: no street lighting	Severity Slight
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Car	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead	SE	Ν					
Casualties:									
Class	Severity								
Driver / Rider	Slight								
									~
Police_ref 202400967	Date 15/10/202	24		Easting 445410	Northing 329572	Fine without high	Road_cond	Visibility Darkness: street	Severity Less serious
202100907	15/16/202			115110	327372	winds	Diy	lights present and	Less serious
Location:	LONDO	ON ROAD LOCKI	NGTON-HEN	MINGTON AT A50	ROUNDABOUT.			lit	
Vehicles:									
Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet					
Van / Goods 3.5 tonnes mgw and under	Jct Approach	Changing lane to left	NW	SE					
under		<u> </u>							

# Casualties:

ClassSeverityDriver / RiderLess serious

AccsMap

Selection:

# **QUERY RESULTS FROM SELECTION MADE AT: 10:37**

# Accidents between dates 01/01/2019 and 23/10/2024 (70) months

Notes:

; Refined using Accidents within selected Polygons -Data Requests 2024 ("BWB East Midlands Airport 17.12.2024")

Police_ref	Date	Easting	Northing	Weather	Road_cond	Visibility	Severity
202400994	18/10/2024	447535	328260	Fine without high	Dry	Daylight	Slight
Location:	A50 SOUTHBOUND LOCKINGTON A	T M1 SLIPROAD.		winds			

#### Vehicles:

Туре	Junct_Locn	Manvres	Movef	Movet
Car	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Going ahead	Ν	S
Car	Mid Junction - on roundabout or main road	Changing lane to right	Ν	S

# Casualties:

Class	Severity	
Driver / Rider	Slight	
Vehicle	Slight	
Passenger		

Number of records in selection: 151

# HIGHWAY SAFETY & ROAD CASUALTY POSITION STATEMENT



EAST MIDLANDS GATEWAY PHASE 2

Appendix 3. Personal Injury Collision Data (M1 Junction 25 Derbyshire)

Details of P	ersonal Injury Accidents for Period -	01/08/2019	to 31/07/	<b>2024</b> (60) months	6		
Selection: Selected us	ing Manual Selection		Notes:				
			Vehicles				Casualties
Police Ref.	Day Location Description	Veh No	/ Type / Manv	/ Dir / Class			Sev
D 11	Date						
Koad No. 2nd Road No.	Time						
Grid Ref.	D/L						
	R.S.C						
	Weather						
	Speed						
	Account of Accident						
001525					G to M		
901537	weanesday SANDIACKE, MI, A52 SLIP I 02/10/2010 (IPOA)	KUAD - Veh l	Goods > 7.5t	Change lane to right	S to N	D:	Clicht
R1: M 1	0715hrs	ven 2	Cai	Collig alleau	5 10 N	Dri	Slight
	Daylight:street lights present						
E 447,178	Dry						
N 335,964	Fine without high winds						
	V1 MOVES LANE AND COLLIDES WITH V	2 - (IPQA).					
2000689	Wednesday SANDIACRE - M1 split with e	exit slip Veh 1	Car	Change lane to left	N to S	Dri	Slight
R1: M 1	20/05/2020 Total, 5/D file 25. (2022)						
R2: M 1	Daylight:street lights present						
E 447,195	Dry						
N 335,933	Fine without high winds						
	70 mph						
	V1 ATTEMPTS TO GO FROM LN 2/3 INTO I LN 1/3 MISSES THE EXIT AND COLLIDES	LN 1/3 TO LEAVE INTO ARMCO BA	THE M/WAY. V RRIER BETWE	/1 CHANGING LANE EN M/WAY AND EXI	S FROM 2/ T SLIP ON	3, BETW THE N/S	YEEN TWO HGV'S IN SIDE (2022)
2000691	Thursday SANDIACRE-M1 M/WAY S/I	B J25 Veh 1	Car	Going ahead	N to S	Dri	Slight
	18/06/2020 (5894)	Veh 2	Car	Going ahead	N to S	FSP	Serious
R1: M 1	1247hrs						
F 445 100	Daylight:street lights present						
1447,192	wet/Damp						
\$ 335,738	Raining without high winds						

70 mph

# V1 LOSES CONTROL IN LANE 4 IN WET ROAD CONDITIONS AND COLLIDES WITH CENTRAL RESERVATION CAUSING IT VEER ACROSS THE M/WAY INTO LANE 1 AND DURING THIS COLLIDES WITH V2 (5894)

#### Details of Personal Injury Accidents for Period to 31/07/2024 (60) months 01/08/2019 Selection: Notes: Selected using Manual Selection Vehicles Casualties Day Police Ref. Location Description Veh No / Type / Manv / Dir / Class Sev Date Road No. 2nd Road No. Time Grid Ref. D/L RSC Weather Speed Account of Accident 2000942 Saturday Long Eaton - A52 (IPQA) Veh 1 Car Going ahead E to W Dri Fatal 22/08/2020 Veh 1 Car Going ahead Е to W FSP Fatal R1: A 52 1655hrs Daylight:street lights present Dry E 446.584

N 335,428 Fine without high winds

70 mph

# V1 TRAV WESTBOUND VEERS TO NEARSIDE FOR UNKNOWN REASONS AND GOES OFF ROAD INTO TREES BEFORE DEFLECTED BACK INTO ROAD. BOTH OCCS FATAL AT SCENE (16779)

2100240	Saturday         SANDIACRE - A52e J/W M1 R/B J25           24/10/2020         Slip (2022)	Veh 1 Veh 2	Car Car	Going ahead Change lane to right	SW ^{to} NE Dri SW ^{to} NE Dri	Slight Serious
R1: A 52 R2: A 52	1414hrs Daylight:street lights present	Veh 2	Car	Change lane to right	SW to NE FSP	Slight
E 447,645	Flood					
N 335,845	Raining without high winds 70 mph					

# V2 S'ROAD MERGING A52 INTO L2. V1 AT SPEED A52 L2. V2 PANICKS,STEERS TO L1 & AQUAPLANES. V1 HITS N/S/BARRIER,REBOUNDS TO L2. V1 FNT COLLIDES REAR V2,V2 COLLIDES CNTRL BARRIER,VEERING TO N/S/BARRIER (2022)

2100547 R1: M 1	Monday SANDIACRE-M1 N/B EXIT SLIP RD 29/03/2021 J25 (5894) 1230hrs Daylight:street lights present	Veh 1 Car Veh 2 Car	Change lane to right Wait go ahead held	SE ^{to} NW SE ^{to} NW Dri	Slight
Е 447,147	Dry				
N 335,483	Fine without high winds 60 mph				

# V2 WAS STATIONARY AT T/LIGHTS IN LANE 2 ON N/B EXIT SLIP RD WHEN V1 MOVED INTO LANE 2 COLLIDING WITH R/N/S/ OF V2 (5894)

#### Details of Personal Injury Accidents for Period to 31/07/2024 (60) months 01/08/2019 Selection: Notes: Selected using Manual Selection Vehicles Casualties Day Police Ref. Location Description Veh No / Type / Manv / Dir / Class Sev Date Road No. 2nd Road No. Time Grid Ref. D/L RSC Weather Speed Account of Accident 2200373 Tuesday LONG EATON- SLIP ROAD NR TO Veh 1 Car Going ahead SE to NW 01/03/2022 MPOST,M1,A,193.1,J25 ASIDE (17706) Veh 2 Car Wait go ahead held SE to NW Dri Slight R1: M 1 1630hrs Daylight:street lights present E 447.152 Dry N 335,314 Fine without high winds 60 mph V2 LEFT M1 TO JOIN A52, QUEUING TRAFFIC. V1 HAS APPROACHED FROM REAR AND HIT V2 ON THE REAR CAUSING DAMAGE AND MINOR INJURY TO DRIVER OF V2(17706) SANDIACRE-R/ABOUT ICT 25 M1 I/W Veb 1 Minibus Change lane to left SE to SW 2200565 Sunday

2200303	Sunday	SANDIACKE-N/ADOUT JCT 25 MT J/W	ven 1	winnous	Change lane to left	SE to SW		
	03/04/2022	A52(17706)	Veh 2	Car	Going ahead	SE to NE	Dri	Slight
R1: A 52	1800hrs				-			-
R2: A 52	Daylight:str	eet lights present						
E 447,105	Dry							
N 335,516	Fine without	t high winds						
	60 mph							

# V2 MISSED TURN AND PROCEEDED TO GO AROUND R/ABOUT FOR SECOND TIME; V1 WAS IN WRONG LANE, CUT ACROSS THE PATH OF V2 AND COLLIDED WITH SAME (17706)

2200680 R1: A 52 R2: C E 447,064 N 335,607	Saturday SANDIACRE-R/ABOUT A52 J/W 23/04/2022 BOSTOCKS LANE (17706) 1304hrs Daylight:street lights present Dry Fine without high winds	Veh 1 Veh 2	Car Car	Going ahead Going ahead	SE to NW SW to NE Dri	Slight
	60 mph					

# V2 IN THE INSIDE LANE , V1 CAME OUT OF NO WHERE ON R/H SIDE STRAIGHT INTO V2, CUTTING ACROSS THE PATH OF V2; V2 LEFT THE SCENE WITHOUT STOPPING (17706)

# Details of Personal Injury Accidents for Period - 01/08/2019 to 31/07/2024 (60) months

# Selection:

. ..

# Notes:

Selected using Manual Selection

				Vehicles			Casualties
Police Ref.	Day	Location Description	Veh No	o / Type / Manv	/ Dir / Class		Sev
Road No. 2nd Road No.	Date Time						
Grid Ref.	D/L R.S.C Weather Speed Account of Accident						
2200837 R1: A 52 R2: A 52 E 447,521	Thursday 19/05/202 1622hrs Daylight:s Dry	DERBY- A52 EXIT SLIP RD 2 WESTBOUND TO M1 JCT 25 (17706) street lights present	Veh 1 Veh 2 Veh 2 Veh 3	Car Car Car Car	Going ahead Stopping Stopping Stopping	NE to SW NE to SW Dri NE to SW FSP NE to SW	Slight Slight
N 335,753	Fine with 70 mph	out high winds					

# V1 APPROACHING EXIT SLIP TO J25. FAILS TO SEE V2 AND V3 ALREADY STATIONARY DUE TO BUILD UP OF TRAFFIC ON EXIT SLIP V1 COLLIDES WITH REAR OF V 2, WHICH IS PUSHED FORWARD INTO REAR OF V3(17706)

2201068Friday 24/06/2022SANDIACRE-A52 R BOSTOCK'S LANER1: A 521600 hrsR2: CDaylight:street lights presentE 447,077DryN 335,622Fine without high winds 60 mph	ABOUT J/W Veh 1 17706) Veh 2 Veh 2	Car Taxi Taxi	Change lane to left Going ahead Going ahead	SW ^{to} NE SW ^{to} NE Dri SW ^{to} NE FSP	Slight Slight
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------	---------------------	---------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------

# V2 ON R/ABOUT AND MOVED OFF FROM GREEN T/LIGHTS WHEN V1 OVERTOOK V2 AND CHANGED LANES; PROCEEDED THEN TO CHANGE LANES AGAIN AND THEN COLLIDED WITH V2; V1 FAILED TO STOP AND EXCHANGE DETAILS(17706)

2300341 R1: A 52 R2: U E 447,100 N 335,650	SundaySANDIACRE-A52 R/ABOUT J/W26/02/2023BOSTOCK'S LANE (17706)1220hrsDaylight:street lights presentDryFine without high winds60 mph	Veh 1 Veh 1 Veh 2	Car Car Car	Going ahead Going ahead Going ahead	NW ^{to} NE Dri NW ^{to} NE FSP NW ^{to} NE	Slight Slight
--------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------	-------------------	-------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------

# V1 WAS TRAVELLING DOWN BOSTOCKS LANE TO J/W R/ABOUT WHEN V2 COLLIDED WITH THE REAR OF V1 CAUSING SLIGHT INJURY/DAMAGE(17706)

#### Details of Personal Injury Accidents for Period to 31/07/2024 (60) months 01/08/2019 Selection: Notes: Selected using Manual Selection Vehicles Casualties Police Ref. Dav Location Description Veh No / Type / Manv / Dir / Class Sev Date Road No. Time 2nd Road No. Grid Ref. D/L RSC Weather Speed Account of Accident 2301064 Friday SANDIACRE - M1 EXIT SLIP ROAD Veh 1 Car Going ahead S to N Ped Fatal 28/04/2023 (DQ) R1: M 1 0442hrs Darkness: street lights present a Wet/Damp E 447 159 N 335,356 Raining without high winds 70 mph UNKNOWN VEHICLE HAS COLLIDED WITH MALE PEDESTRIAN IN UNKNOWN CIRCUMSTANCES EARLY AM, ON THE NORTHBOUND EXIT SLIP ROAD IN LANE 2. (INVESTIGATION RETAINED BY NOTTS POLICE (DQ) 2301120 SANDIACRE- M1 EXIT SLIP RD J/W Veh 1 Car Going ahead NWto SE FSP Serious Saturday 22/07/2023 A52 (18144) Veh 1 Car Going ahead NWto SE Dri Serious R1: A 52 0400hrs R2: M 1 Darkness: street lights present a E 447,268 Wet/Damp N 335,707 Raining without high winds 70 mph V1 TRAVELLING AT EXCESSIVE SPEED FAILS TO STOP AT JUNCTION AND COLLIDES WITH FURNITURE AND TREES CAUSING SERIOUS INJURIES (18144). 2301337 Sunday SANDIACRE - A52 (E) ENTRY S/RD -Veh 1 Car Going ahead SW to NE Dri Serious 27/08/2023 APPROX 1M N/E L/POST EL1465 -Veh 2 Car Going ahead SW to NE W3W ///JAWS.SPARKLES.MODEST 1150hrs R1: A 52 R2: A 52 Daylight:street lights present E 447,381 Dry N 335,738 Fine without high winds 70 mph

# DRIVER OF V1 REPORTS BEING CUT UP BY V2 CAUSING HIM TO TAKE EVASIVE ACTION - LEFT C/WAY N/SIDE AND ROLLED (5869)

Κ

Notes:         Selection:       Notes:         Selection:       Notes:         Selection:       Colspan="2">Constant Description       Vehicle:       Constant Description         Selection:       Dir       Selection:	Details of P	ersonal Inju	ry Accidents for Period - 01/08	8/2019	to 31/07/	2024 (60) months	5			
Vehicles         Vehicles         Cantalises           Police Ref.         Day         Location Description         Veh No / Type / Manv / Dir / Class         Sev           Read No., Lord Ref.         DJL         R.S.C         Weather         Speed         Account of Accident Accident         Sev         Sev           900013         Standay         SANDJACRE - MI J/W MI STH ENTRY Veh 1         Carr         Going ahead         N         N         S         Dri         Slight           95/11/2023         SRD (3869)         Veh 2         Goods Unknown@hange lane to left         N         % S         Dri         Slight           11 10/bre         110/bre         Standay         SANDJACRE - MI J/W MI STH ENTRY         Veh 2         Goods Unknown@hange lane to left         N         % S         Dri         Slight           41100         Dat/gittstreet lights present         47.206         Dry         S         S         Stight           VEHICLE 1         MOVED INTO LARE 1 ON THE MOTORWAY AND COLLIDED WITH VEHICLE 2         Vehicles         Vehicles         Vehicles         SE         Dri         Slight           2111.022         REFU XCTN 25 (5869)         Veh 1         Car         Going ahead         N         % SE         Dri         Slight	Selection: Selected us	sing Manual	Selection		Notes:					
Vehicles       Canadities         Vehins       // Type / Manv / Dir / Class       Sev         Date:       Date:       Sev         Date:       Sev       Sev         Vehind Not, Notation Description       Vehino / Type / Manv / Dir / Class       Sev         Vehind Notation:       Date:       Sev       Sev         Vehind Notation:       Seve       Seve       Seve         Vehind:       Seve       Seve       Seve       Sight         Vehind										
Date       Date       Set       Set         Date       The       Date       Set       Set         Date       Date       Set       Set       Set         Date       Date       Set       Set       Set         Date       Date       Set       Set       Set         Date       Set       Set       Set       Set         Date       Set       Set       Set       Set         Crift Ref.       D1.       R.S.C       Weather       Speed         Account of       Account of       Account of       Account of       N to S       Dri       Slight         05/11/2023       SIND (5869)       Veh 2       Goods Unknown@kunge lane to left       N to S       Stight         82 M 1       Daylightstrate lights present       E       47,206       Dry       N in SE       Dri       Slight         22400014       Weenesday SANDIACRE - MI SRD J/W MI/A52       Veh 1       Car       Going ahead       N to SE       Dri       Slight         2211/2023       SRBT ICTN 25 (3869)       Veh 2       Car       Going ahead       N to SE       Dri       Slight         81 A 52       201/10203       RBT ICTN 25 (3869)	<b></b>	Derr	Leasting December	V-h N-	Vehicles					Casualties
kind No. Date Date Date Bread No. Di- R.S.C Weather Speed Accudent 2400013 Sunday SANDIACRE - M1 J/W M1 STH ENTRY Veh 1 Car Going ahead OS/11/2023 SRD (5869) Veh 2 Goods UnknownGkange lane to left N to S Dri Slight OS/12/023 SRD (5869) Veh 2 Goods UnknownGkange lane to left N to S Dri Slight 05/11/2023 SRD (5869) Veh 2 Goods UnknownGkange lane to left N to S H in M 1 1410hrs 22.11 Daylightstreet lights present E 2417.206 Dry VEHICLE 1 MOVED INTO LANE 1 ON THE MOTORWAY AND COLLIDED WITH VEHICLE 2 2400014 Wednesday SANDIACRE - M1 SRD J/W M1/A52 Veh 1 Car Veh 2 Car Coing ahead N to SE Dri Slight 22.11 Daylightstreet lights gressent E 447.206 Dry V3 335.719 Unknown 60 mph V2 COLLIDED WITH REAR OF V1 2400296 Thursday RISLEY- BRIAN CLOUCH WAY Veh 1 Car Veh 2 Car Stopping W to E Dri Slight Veh 2 Car Stopping W to E Dri Slight Veh 2 Car Stopping W to E Dri Slight V to E V2 COLLIDED WITH REAR OF V1	Police Ref.	Day	Location Description	ven No	o / Type / Manv	/ Dir / Class				Sev
<ul> <li>Link Red, N. 1 Me Grid Ref. D.1. R.S.C. Weather Speed</li> <li>Account of Accident</li> <li>2400013 Sunday SANDIACRE - M1 J/W M1 STH ENTRY Veh 1 Car Going ahead N to S Dri Slight 05(11/2023 S/RD (5869) Veh 2 Goods Unknown@kange lane to left N to S</li> <li>21. M 1 H410hrs</li> <li>22. M 1 Daylight.street lights present</li> <li>472.06 Dry</li> <li>VEHICLE 1 MOVED INTO LANE 1 ON THE MOTORWAY AND COLLIDED WITH VEHICLE 2</li> <li>2400014 Wednewday SANDIACRE - M1 S/RD J/W M1/A52 Veh 1 Car Wait go ahead held N to SE Dri Slight 22.11/2023 R/BT JCTN 25 (5869) Veh 2 Car Going ahead N to SE Dri Slight 22.11/2023 R/BT JCTN 25 (5869) Veh 2 Car Going ahead N to SE Dri Slight 4.47,262 Dry</li> <li>2400014 Wednewday SANDIACRE - M1 S/RD J/W M1/A52 Veh 1 Car Wait go ahead held N to SE Dri Slight 2.11/2023 R/BT JCTN 25 (5869) Veh 2 Car Going ahead N to SE Dri Slight 4.47,262 Dry</li> <li>V2 COLLIDED WITH REAR OF V1</li> <li>2400296 Thursday RISLEY-BRIAN CLOUGH WAY 220220224 EASTBOUND NR TO EXIT JCT 25 M1 Veh 2 Car Stopping W to E Dri Slight 220220204 EASTBOUND NR TO EXIT JCT 25 M1 Veh 2 Car Stopping W to E Dri Slight 335,719 Wet/Damp</li> <li>2 446,870 Wet/Damp</li> <li>3 35,405 Fine without ligh winds 70 mph</li> </ul>	Road No.	Time								
With Ref.       Dr.         R S C       Weather         Speed       Account of         Account of       Account of         Account of       Account of         Account of       05/11/2023 SARD (S869)       Veh 1         C1: M 1       1410hrs         12: M 1       Daylightstretel lights present	2nd Road No.	D/I								
Account of Account of Account Account of Account of Accou	sria kei.	D/L RSC								
400013       Speed         400013       Sunday       SANDIACRE - MI J/W MI STH ENTRY Veh 1       Car       Going ahead       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N		Weather								
Account of Accident         400013       Sunday       SANDIACRE - MI J/W MI STH ENTRY       Veh 1       Car       Going ahead       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N       N		Speed								
Account of Accident         400013       Sunday       SANDIACRE - MI J/W MI STH ENTRY       Veh 1       Car       Going ahead       N       to S       Dri       Slight         05/11/2023       SRD (5869)       Veh 2       Goods UnknownGhange lane to left       N       to S       Dri       Slight         11: M 1       1410hs       Daylightstreet lights present       Veh 2       Goods UnknownGhange lane to left       N       to S       Dri       Slight         2472.06       Dry       Fine without high winds       70 mph       VEHICLE I MOVED INTO LANE I ON THE MOTORWAY AND COLLIDED WITH VEHICLE 2         400014       Wednesday SANDIACRE - MI S/RD J/W MI/AS2       Veh 1       Car       Wait go ahead held       N       to SE       Dri       Slight         211: A 52       2030hs       2211/2023       R/BT JCTN 25 (5869)       Veh 2       Car       Going ahead       N       to SE       Dri       Slight         212: M 1       Darkness: street lighting unkno       Veh 2       Car       Going ahead       N       to SE       Dri       Slight         2202024       EASTHOUND NR TO EXIT JCT 25 MI       Veh 2       Car       Stopping       W       to E       Dri       Slight         22022024       EASTHOUND N		Speed								
<ul> <li>400013 Sunday SANDIACRE - MI J/W MI STH ENTRY Veh 1 Car Going ahead N to S Dri Slight (5/11/2023 S/RD (5869) Veh 2 Goods UnknownGhange lane to left N to S Dri Slight 22: M 1 Daylight:street lights present 447.266 Dry</li> <li>veh 1 Gyright:street lights present 2: 447.266 Dry</li> <li>veHICLE 1 MOVED INTO LANE 1 ON THE MOTORWAY AND COLLIDED WITH VEHICLE 2</li> <li>400014 Wednesday SANDIACRE - MI S/RD J/W MI/A52 Veh 1 Car Wait go ahead N to SE Dri Slight 22/11/2023 R/BT JCTN 25 (5869) Veh 2 Car Going ahead N to SE Dri Slight 22/11/2023 R/BT JCTN 25 (5869) Veh 2 Car Going ahead N to SE Dri Slight 417.262 Dry</li> <li>vz COLLIDED WITH REAR OF V1</li> <li>400296 Thursday RISLEY-BRIAN CLOUGH WAY Veh 1 Car Going ahead W to E Dri Slight 22/02/2024 EASTBOUND NR TO EXIT JCT 25 M Veh 2 Car Stopping W to E Dri Slight 22/02/2024 EASTBOUND NR TO EXIT JCT 25 M Veh 2 Car Stopping W to E Dri Slight 447.622 Dry</li> <li>va asstrate lights present a 2/0000 R Thursday RISLEY-BRIAN CLOUGH WAY Veh 1 Car Stopping W to E Dri Slight 22/002/2024 EASTBOUND NR TO EXIT JCT 25 M Veh 2 Car Stopping W to E Dri Slight 21/045/85 (18144) Darkness: street lights present a 446.870 Wet/Damp R 335.495 Fine without high winds 70 mph</li> </ul>		Account of Accident								
05/11/2023       S/RD (5869)       Veh 2       Goods UnknownGhange lane to left       N       to S         R1: M 1       Daylightstreet lights present	400013	Sunday	SANDIACRE - M1 J/W M1 STH ENTRY	Veh 1	Car	Going ahead	N	to S	Dri	Slight
H1 1       1410hrs         12: M 1       Daylight:street lights present         247.206       Dry         i 335,261       Fine without high winds         70 mph         VEHICLE 1 MOVED INTO LANE 1 ON THE MOTORWAY AND COLLIDED WITH VEHICLE 2         400014       Wednesday SANDIACRE - M1 S/RD J/W M1/A52       Veh 1       Car       Wait go ahead held       N       to SE       Dri       Slight         21/1/2023       RBT JCTN 25 (5869)       Veh 2       Car       Going ahead       N       to SE       Slight         21/1/2023       RBT JCTN 25 (5869)       Veh 2       Car       Going ahead       N       to SE       Slight         21/1       Darkness: street lighting unkno       E       447.262       Dry       Slight       V2 COLLIDED WITH REAR OF V1         400296       Thursday       RISLEY- BRIAN CLOUGH WAY       Veh 1       Car       Going ahead       W       to E       Dri       Slight         V2 COLLIDED WITH REAR OF V1         400296       Thursday       RISLEY- BRIAN CLOUGH WAY       Veh 1       Car       Going ahead       W       to E       Dri       Slight         V2 COLLIDED WITH REAR OF V1         Darkness		05/11/202	3 S/RD (5869)	Veh 2	Goods Unknow	vnGhange lane to left	Ν	to S		
12: M 1       Daylight:street lights present         2: 447,206       Dry         * 335,261       Fine without high winds         70 mph       70 mph         VEHICLE 1 MOVED INTO LANE 1 ON THE MOTORWAY AND COLLIDED WITH VEHICLE 2         400014       Wednesday SANDIACRE - M1 S/RD J/W M1/A52       Veh 1 Car       Wait go ahead held       N to SE       Dri       Slight         22/11/2023       R/BT JCTN 25 (5869)       Veh 2 Car       Going ahead       N to SE       SE         21: A 52       2030hrs       2030hrs       Veh 2 Car       Going ahead       N to SE       SE         V2 COLLIDED WITH REAR OF V1         400296       Thursday RISLEY- BRIAN CLOUGH WAY       Veh 1 Car       Going ahead       W to E       Dri       Slight         400296         Thursday RISLEY- BRIAN CLOUGH WAY       Veh 1 Car       Going ahead       W to E       Dri       Slight         20/02/2024 EASTBOUND NR TO EXTI JCT 25 M1         Veh 2 Car       Stopping       W to E       Slight         1/645/hr         Jath Stopping       W to E       Fine without high winds         10 ar Stight winds         10 ar Stight winds </td <td>1: M 1</td> <td>1410hrs</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	1: M 1	1410hrs								
<ul> <li>i 47,206 Dry         <ul> <li>i 335,261 Fine without high winds             70 mph</li> <li>VEHICLE 1 MOVED INTO LANE 1 ON THE MOTORWAY AND COLLIDED WITH VEHICLE 2</li> </ul> </li> <li>400014 Wednesday SANDIACRE - M1 S/RD J/W M1/A52 Veh 1 Car Wait go ahead held N to SE Dri Slight             22/11/2023 R/BT JCTN 25 (5869) Veh 2 Car Going ahead N to SE             22/30/hrs             22/30/hrs             22/30/hrs             22/30/hrs             23/30/hrs             203/00/hrs             200/00/hrs             200/00/hrs             V2 COLLIDED WITH REAR OF V1         </li> <li>400296 Thursday RISLEY- BRIAN CLOUGH WAY Veh 1 Car Going ahead W to E Dri Slight             22/02/2024 EASTBOUND NR TO EXIT JCT 25 M1 Veh 2 Car Stopping W to E             Veh E             1645/hrs             (18144)             Darkness: street lights present a             446,870 Wet/Damp             i 335,495 Fine without high winds             70 mph         </li> </ul>	2: M 1	Daylight:	street lights present							
Fine without high winds         70 mph         VEHICLE 1 MOVED INTO LANE 1 ON THE MOTORWAY AND COLLIDED WITH VEHICLE 2         400014       Wednesday SANDIACRE - M1 S/RD J/W M1/A52       Veh 1       Car       Wait go ahead held       N       to       SE       Dri       Slight         22/11/2023       R/BT JCTN 25 (5869)       Veh 2       Car       Going ahead       N       to       SE       Dri       Slight         12: M 1       Darkness: street lighting unkno       447.262       Dry       Dry       In the stress is street lighting unkno       447.262       Dry       Unknown       60 mph         V2 COLLIDED WITH REAR OF V1         400296       Thursday RISLEY- BRIAN CLOUGH WAY       Veh 1       Car       Going ahead       W       W       E       Dri       Slight         20/02/2024 EASTBOUND NR TO EXIT JCT 25 M1       Veh 2       Car       Stopping       W       to       E         Hirsday RISLEY- BRIAN CLOUGH WAY       Veh 1       Car       Going ahead       W       to       E       Dri       Slight         Lit A 52       1645trs       (18144)       Darkness: street lights present a       i       446,870       W       to       E <td>447,206</td> <td>Dry</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	447,206	Dry								
70 mph         VEHICLE 1 MOVED INTO LANE 1 ON THE MOTORWAY AND COLLIDED WITH VEHICLE 2         400014       Wednesday SANDIACRE - MI S/RD J/W M1/A52       Veh 1 Car       Wait go ahead held       N to SE Dri       Slight         22/11/2023 R/BT JCTN 25 (5869)       Veh 2 Car       Going ahead       N to SE       Dri       Slight         22/030hrs         Unknown       Going ahead       N to SE         V2 COLLIDED WITH REAR OF V1         400296       Thursday RISLEY- BRIAN CLOUGH WAY       Veh 1 Car       Going ahead       W to E       Dri       Slight         400296       Thursday RISLEY- BRIAN CLOUGH WAY       Veh 1 Car       Going ahead       W to E       Dri       Slight         22/02/2024 EASTBOUND NR TO EXIT JCT 25 M1       Veh 2 Car       Going ahead       W to E       Dri       Slight         Uhknown       Going ahead       W to E       Dri       Slight         Dri	335,261	Fine with	out high winds							
VEHICLE 1 MOVED INTO LANE 1 ON THE MOTORWAY AND COLLIDED WITH VEHICLE 2         400014       Wednesday SANDIACRE - M1 \$/RD J/W M1/A52       Veh 1       Car       Wait go ahead held       N ¹⁰ SE       Dri       Slight         22/11/2023       R/BT JCTN 25 (5869)       Veh 2       Car       Going ahead       N ¹⁰ SE       Dri       Slight         12/11/2023       R/BT JCTN 25 (5869)       Veh 2       Car       Going ahead       N ¹⁰ SE       Dri       Slight         12/11/2023       R/BT JCTN 25 (5869)       Veh 2       Car       Going ahead       N ¹⁰ SE       Dri       Slight         12/11/2023       R/BT JCTN 25 (5869)       Veh 2       Car       Going ahead       N ¹⁰ SE       Dri       Slight         12/11/2023       Darkness: street lighting unkno       Veh 1       Car       Going ahead       N ¹⁰ SE       Dri       Slight         2: 447,262       Dry       Ven       Veh 1       Car       Going ahead       W ¹⁰ E       Dri       Slight         V2 COLLIDED WITH REAR OF V1         Veh 1       Car       Going ahead       W       W       E       Dri       Slight <td< td=""><td></td><td>70 mph</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>		70 mph								
2400014       Wednesday SANDIACRE - MI S/RD J/W M1/A52       Veh 1       Car       Wait go ahead held       N       to SE       Dri       Slight         22/11/2023       R/BT JCTN 25 (5869)       Veh 2       Car       Going ahead       N       to SE       Dri       Slight         R1: A 52       2030hrs       Darkness: street lighting unkno       Veh 2       Car       Going ahead       N       to SE       Pri       Slight         & 447,262       Dry       Unknown       60 mph       V2       COLLIDED WITH REAR OF V1       Veh 1       Car       Going ahead       W       to E       Dri       Slight         V2       COLLIDED WITH REAR OF V1       Veh 1       Car       Going ahead       W       to E       Dri       Slight         V2       COLLIDED WITH REAR OF V1       Veh 1       Car       Going ahead       W       to E       Dri       Slight         V400296       Thursday       RISLEY- BRIAN CLOUGH WAY       Veh 1       Car       Going ahead       W       to E       Dri       Slight         81: A 52       1645hrs       (18144)       Darkness: street lights present a       Stopping       W       to E       Fine without high winds 70 mph       To mph       Note E <td< th=""><th></th><th>VEHICLE 1 N</th><th>MOVED INTO LANE 1 ON THE MOTORY</th><th>VAY AN</th><th>D COLLIDED V</th><th>WITH VEHICLE 2</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<>		VEHICLE 1 N	MOVED INTO LANE 1 ON THE MOTORY	VAY AN	D COLLIDED V	WITH VEHICLE 2				
22/11/2023       R/BT JCTN 25 (5869)       Veh 2       Car       Going ahead       N       to SE         k1: A 52       2030 hrs       Darkness: street lighting unkno       Street lighting unkno       Street lighting unkno         2: 447,262       Dry       Unknown       Omph       Street lighting unkno       Street lighting unkno         V2 COLLIDED WITH REAR OF V1       V2 COLLIDED WITH REAR OF V1       Veh 1       Car       Going ahead       W       to E       Dri       Slight         400296       Thursday       RISLEY- BRIAN CLOUGH WAY       Veh 1       Car       Going ahead       W       to E       Dri       Slight         40296       Thursday       RISLEY- BRIAN CLOUGH WAY       Veh 1       Car       Going ahead       W       to E       Dri       Slight         22/02/2024       EASTBOUND NR TO EXIT JCT 25 M1       Veh 2       Car       Stopping       W       to E         k1: A 52       1645 hrs       (18144)       Darkness: street lights present a       E       446,870       Wet/Damp       Fine without high winds       70 mph       To mph	400014	Wednesda	y SANDIACRE - M1 S/RD J/W M1/A52	Veh 1	Car	Wait go ahead held	Ν	to SE	Dri	Slight
<b>K1: A 52</b> 2030hrs <b>K2: M 1</b> Darkness: street lighting unkno <b>2: 447,262</b> Dry <b>W</b> 335,719       Unknown         60 mph <b>V2 COLLIDED WITH REAR OF V1 400296</b> Thursday RISLEY- BRIAN CLOUGH WAY       Veh 1 Car <b>400296</b> Thursday RISLEY- BRIAN CLOUGH WAY       Veh 1 Car <b>400296</b> Thursday RISLEY- BRIAN CLOUGH WAY       Veh 1 Car <b>1645</b> (18144)         Darkness: street lights present a       Veh 2 Car <b>246,870</b> Wet/Damp <b>4335,495</b> Fine without high winds 70 mph		22/11/202	3 R/BT JCTN 25 (5869)	Veh 2	Car	Going ahead	Ν	to SE		
<ul> <li>42: M 1 Darkness: street lighting unkno</li> <li>447,262 Dry</li> <li>N 335,719 Unknown</li> <li>60 mph</li> <li>400296 Thursday RISLEY- BRIAN CLOUGH WAY Veh 1 Car Going ahead W to E Dri Slight</li> <li>22/02/2024 EASTBOUND NR TO EXIT JCT 25 M1 Veh 2 Car Stopping W to E</li> <li>1645hrs (18144)</li> <li>Darkness: street lights present a</li> <li>446,870 Wet/Damp</li> <li>N 335,495 Fine without high winds</li> <li>70 mph</li> </ul>	R1: A 52	2030hrs								
<ul> <li>447,262 Dry</li> <li>M 335,719 Unknown</li> <li>60 mph</li> <li>V2 COLLIDED WITH REAR OF V1</li> <li>400296 Thursday RISLEY- BRIAN CLOUGH WAY Veh 1 Car Going ahead W to E Dri Slight</li> <li>22/02/2024 EASTBOUND NR TO EXIT JCT 25 M1 Veh 2 Car Stopping W to E</li> <li>1645hrs (18144)</li> <li>Darkness: street lights present a</li> <li>446,870 Wet/Damp</li> <li>H 335,495 Fine without high winds</li> <li>70 mph</li> </ul>	2: M 1	Darkness:	street lighting unkno							
V2 COLLIDED WITH REAR OF V1 V2 COLLIDED WITH REAR OF V1 400296 Thursday RISLEY- BRIAN CLOUGH WAY Veh 1 Car Going ahead W to E Dri Slight 22/02/2024 EASTBOUND NR TO EXIT JCT 25 M1 Veh 2 Car Stopping W to E 1645hrs (18144) Darkness: street lights present a 2 446,870 Wet/Damp N 335,495 Fine without high winds 70 mph	2 447,262	Dry								
60 mph         V2 COLLIDED WITH REAR OF V1         V400296         Thursday RISLEY- BRIAN CLOUGH WAY Veh 1 Car Going ahead W to E Dri Slight         22/02/2024 EASTBOUND NR TO EXIT JCT 25 M1 Veh 2 Car Stopping W to E         R1: A 52         1645hrs (18144)         Darkness: street lights present a         E 446,870         Wet/Damp         N 335,495         Fine without high winds         70 mph	N 335,719	Unknown								
400296       Thursday       RISLEY- BRIAN CLOUGH WAY       Veh 1       Car       Going ahead       W to E       Dri       Slight         22/02/2024       EASTBOUND NR TO EXIT JCT 25 M1       Veh 2       Car       Stopping       W to E       Vio E       Slight         K1: A 52       1645hrs       (18144)       Darkness: street lights present a       Veh 2       Car       Stopping       W to E       Vio E		60 mph								
2400296       Thursday RISLEY-BRIAN CLOUGH WAY       Veh 1 Car       Going ahead       W to E       Dri       Slight         22/02/2024       EASTBOUND NR TO EXIT JCT 25 M1       Veh 2 Car       Stopping       W to E       Slight         R1: A 52       1645hrs       (18144)       Darkness: street lights present a       W to E       Fine without high winds         X 335,495       Fine without high winds       70 mph       Fine without high winds       Fine without high winds		V2 COLLIDE	ED WITH REAR OF V1							
A1: A 52       22/02/2024 EASTBOUND NR TO EXIT JCT 25 M1 Veh 2 Car Stopping W to E         A1: A 52       1645hrs (18144)         Darkness: street lights present a         E 446,870       Wet/Damp         N 335,495       Fine without high winds         70 mph	2400296	Thursday	RISLEY- BRIAN CLOUGH WAY	Veh 1	Car	Going ahead	W	to E	Dri	Slight
R1: A 52       1645hrs       (18144)         Darkness: street lights present a         C 446,870       Wet/Damp         N 335,495       Fine without high winds         70 mph		22/02/202	4 EASTBOUND NR TO EXIT JCT 25 M1	Veh 2	Car	Stopping	W	to E		
Darkness: street lights present a         Darkness: street lights present a         Wet/Damp         T 335,495         Fine without high winds         70 mph	R1: A 52	1645hrs	(18144)							
446,870     Wet/Damp       335,495     Fine without high winds       70 mph		Darkness:	street lights present a							
335,495     Fine without high winds       70 mph	446,870	Wet/Dam	p							
70 mph	N 335,495	Fine with	out high winds							
		70 mph								

V1 COLLIDED WITH THE REAR OF V2 IN SLOW MOVING TRAFFIC CAUSING SLIGHT INJURIES (18144).

# HIGHWAY SAFETY & ROAD CASUALTY POSITION STATEMENT



EAST MIDLANDS GATEWAY PHASE 2

Appendix 4. Personal Injury Collision Data (A453 Remembrance Way Nottinghamshire)



# **Accident Details Report**

# Total number of reports = 7

# Total number of pages (including this page) = **8**

# ROAD TRAFFIC INJURY ACCIDENT RECORDS - DISCLAIMER

These details are a record of the personal injury accidents reported to the Police. Every endeavour is made to ensure the accuracy and completeness of these records, which have been transcribed from the original Police Reports. The data is then entered and held on computer.

Occasions may arise when information from the Police, relevant to a particular accident, may not be available for several months and will therefore not be included.

No. 1 District Rushcliffe		VRUs Grid Reference 449645 / 328	936		
SEVERITY Ref.No 2D184622 SLIGHT	Accident Detail	S Police Officer Attend: Yes			
Date07/10/2022Day FridayROADTime20:51LOCATWeatherFineLOCATRoad SurfaceDryLOCATStreet LightingDark/no lightsSITECarriagewaySingle c'wayDETAILLane markingsCentre/hazard lineJunction DetailT or Staggered junctionT	U TION U/C KEGWORTH ROAD, at its Junction w E LS SPECIAL SITE CONDITIONS None	ith U/C MAIN STREET, RATCLIFFE-ON-SOAR			
Junction ControlGive way sign or uncontrolled2nd Road NumberUPedestrian Facilities andNo Human control within 50mNo crossing facility within 50m	trolled CARRIAGEWAY HAZARDS n 50m in 50m				
VEHICLES INVOLVED 1		CASUALTIES INVOLVED 1			
Veh.No.       1       Vehicle type       Car         Manoeuvre       Going ahead right hand bend         Direction from South west to North east       Towing         Skidded       Yes         Veh location at impact (restricted lane)       On main car         Junct. location of veh. at 1st impact       Mid junction         Veh left carriageway?       Left c'way Offside         Hit object in c'way?       None         Hit object off c'way?       Tree         First point of impact       Front         Drivers age       59 yrs         Sex       Male         Other veh.hit (reforeign vehicle         Journey purpose	g? No riageway ef.) 0 Hit and run No Breath test Positive	Cas No1Cas ClassDriver or RiderVeh ref No1SeveritySLIGHTAge59 yrsSexMaleCar Passenger?NoPSV Passenger?NoPed MovementNot a pedestrianPed locationNot a pedestrianPed Direction toNot a pedestrianSchool PupilOtherRoadworker injuredNo			

No. 2 District Rushcliffe			VRUs	Grid Reference	450026 / 329311			
SEVERITY Ref.No 2D019922 SLIGHT		Accident Details		Police Officer Attend:	Yes			
Date06/02/2022Day SundayTime20:03WeatherFineRoad SurfaceDryStreet LightingDark/lights lit	ROAD U LOCATION U/( RO,	C GREEN LANE, 0 metres from A453T REMEMBRANCE WAY (OVERBRIDGE), 260 Meters west of KEGWORTH DAD RBT, RATCLIFFE ON SOAR						
Speed Limit30 MPHCarriagewaySingle c'wayLane markingsCentre/hazard lineJunction DetailNot at or within 20m of junction	SITE DETAILS ction	SPECIAL SITE CONDITIONS None						
Junction Control         2nd Road Number         Pedestrian Facilities         and         No crossing facility within	Junction Control     CARRIAGEWAY HAZARDS       2nd Road Number     No Human control within 50m       Pedestrian Facilities     No Human control within 50m       and     No crossing facility within 50m							
VEHICLES INVOLVED 2			CASUALTIES INVOLVE	<b>1</b>				
Veh.No.1Vehicle typeCarManoeuvreGoing ahead otherDirection from North west to South eastSkiddedNoVeh location at impact (restricted lane)On rJunct. location of veh. at 1st impactNot aVeh left carriageway?Did not leave c'wayHit object in c'way?NoneHit object off c'way?NoneFirst point of impactFront	Towing? No nain carriageway at junction	Cas No Severity Car Pass Ped Move Ped locat Ped Direc School Pi Roadwort	1     Cas Class     Driver       SLIGHT     Age     26       enger?     No       ement     Not a pede       ion     Not a pede       stion to     Not a pede       upil     Other       ker injured     No	or Rider Vehra 5 yrs Sex Male PSV Passenger? strian strian strian	ef No 2 No			
Drivers age         23 yrs         Sex         Male         Other           Foreign vehicle         Not foreign           Journey purpose         Other/Not known	reh.hit (ref.) 2	Hit and run No Breath test Not requested						
Veh.No.2Vehicle typeCarManoeuvreGoing ahead otherDirection from South east to North westSkiddedNoVeh location at impact (restricted lane)On rJunct. location of veh. at 1st impactNot atVeh left carriageway?Did not leave c'wayHit object in c'way?NoneHit object off c'way?NoneFirst point of impactOffsideDrivers age26 yrsSexMaleOther Not foreignJourney purposeOther/Not known	Towing? No nain carriageway It junction reh.hit (ref.) 1	Hit and run No Breath test Not requested						

No. 3	District Rushcliffe				VRUs	Grid Reference	450057 / 329270		
SEVERITY F	Ref.No 2D012221		Accident Details			Police Officer Attend:	Yes		
Date Time Weather Road Surface Street Lighting Speed Limit Carriageway Lane markings Junction Detail	24/01/2021 Day Sunday ROA 13:58 Snow LOC Snow Daylight S Roundabout DET Centre/hazard line Roundabout	ATION U, NC TE AILS	U UVC KEGWORTH ROAD RBT, at its Junction with U/C KEGWORTH ROAD, RATCLIFFE-ON-SOAR, NOTTINGHAMSHIRE SPECIAL SITE CONDITIONS None						
Junction Control Give way sign or uncontrolled CARRIAGEWAY HAZARDS 2nd Road Number U Pedestrian Facilities No Human control within 50m and No crossing facility within 50m									
VEHICLES INV	/OLVED 1			CASUA	ALTIES INVOLVED	1			
Veh.No. 1 Manoeuvre Direction from N Skidded Veh location at i Junct. location of Veh left carriage Hit object in c'wa Hit object off c'w First point of imp	Vehicle type       Car         Turning right       Townown in the second s	ving? No arriageway oundabout	Cas Sev Car Peo Peo Sch Roa	s No 1 Ca verity <b>SLIGH</b> r Passenger? d Movement d location d Direction to nool Pupil adworker injure	s Class Driver of Age 22 No Not a pedes Not a pedes Not a pedes Other	or Rider Veh re yrs Sex Male PSV Passenger? trian trian trian	ef No 1 No		
Drivers age Foreign vehicle Journey purpose	22 yrs Sex Male Other veh.hit Not foreign e Commuting to/from work	(ref.) 0	Hit and run No Breath test Not requested						

No. 4 District Rushcliffe			VRUs	Grid Reference 4	50271 / 329460			
SUGHT Ref.No 2D252119	Accident De	etails		Police Officer Attend: Ye	es			
Date     19/12/2019     Day Thursday     RC       Time     03:23     LO       Weather     Fine     LO       Road Surface     Dry       Street Lighting     Dark/lights lit	A453 CATION A453 REMEMBRANCE WAY, 123	A453 A453 REMEMBRANCE WAY, 1230 metres northeast of RATCLIFFE LANE, RATCLIFFE ON SOAR						
Speed Limit70 MPH90CarriagewayDual c'wayDELane markingsCentre/hazard lineJunction DetailNot at or within 20m of junction	SITE SPECIAL SITE CONDITIONS None							
Junction Control     CARRIAGEWAY HAZARDS       2nd Road Number     No Human control within 50m       Pedestrian Facilities     No Human control within 50m       and     No crossing facility within 50m								
VEHICLES INVOLVED 2		CASU	ALTIES INVOLVED	1				
Veh.No.1Vehicle typeGoods > 7.5tManoeuvreO/T moving vehicle on its O/SDirection from South west to North eastTrSkiddedNoVeh location at impact (restricted lane)On mainJunct. location of veh. at 1st impactNot at junchVeh left carriageway?Did not leave c'wayHit object in c'way?NoneHit object off c'way?NoneFirst point of impactNearside	Cas No 1 Ca Severity <b>SLIGH</b> Car Passenger? Ped Movement Ped location Ped Direction to School Pupil Roadworker injur	as Class Driver o IT Age 58 y No Not a pedest Not a pedest Not a pedest Other ed No	r Rider Veh ref No rrs Sex Female PSV Passenger? No rian rian rian	0 2				
Drivers age30 yrsSexMaleOther veh.hForeign vehicleNot foreignJourney purposeJourney as part of work	it (ref.) 2 Hit and run No Breath test Negative							
Veh.No.2Vehicle typeCarManoeuvreGoing ahead otherDirection from South west to North eastTreSkiddedNoVeh location at impact (restricted lane)On mainJunct. location of veh. at 1st impactNot at junchVeh left carriageway?Left c'way near-sideHit object in c'way?NoneHit object off c'way?Central crash barrierFirst point of impactOffsideDrivers age58 yrsSexFemaleOther veh.rForeign vehicleNot foreignJourney purposeCommuting to/from work	owing? No carriageway nction iit (ref.) 1 Hit and run No Breath test Negative							

No. 5	District Rushcliffe				VRUs Motorcycle	Grid Reference	450324 / 329474		
SEVERITY SERIOUS	Ref.No 2D077923		Accident Details		motoreyole	Police Officer Attend:	Yes		
Date Time Weather Road Surface Street Lighting Speed Limit Carriageway Lane marking	28/05/2023 Day Sunday 19:30 Fine Dry g Daylight 70 MPH Dual c'way S Centre/hazard line	DAD A4 DCATION A4 SITE ETAILS	A453 A453 REMEBRANCE WAY, 1000 metres southwest of WEST LEAKE LANE (UNDERPASS), RATCLIFFE ON SOAR SPECIAL SITE CONDITIONS None						
Junction Deta Junction Cont 2nd Road Nur Pedestrian Fa	il Not at or within 20m of junction mber acilities No Human control within 50n No crossing facility within 50n	n ı	CARRIAGEWAY HAZARDS None						
VEHICLES IN	NVOLVED 1			CASU	IALTIES INVOLVED	1			
Veh.No. 1 Manoeuvre Direction from Skidded Veh location a Junct. location Veh left carria Hit object in c Hit object off c First point of i Drivers age Foreign vehic	Vehicle type       M/cycle > 500cc         Going ahead other       Image: Construction of the set to South west         Yes       Image: Construction of the set to South west         at impact (restricted lane)       On main of veh. at 1st impact         In of veh. at 1st impact       Not at jugeway?         Left c'way near-side         'way?       None         c'way?       None         c'way?       None         construct       Front         20 yrs       Sex         Male       Other veh         Ie       Not foreign	owing? No a carriageway nction hit (ref.) 0	Ca Se Ca Pe Pe Sc Ro Hit and run No Breath test Not requested	is No 1 Ca verity <b>SERIO</b> ir Passenger? d Movement d location d Direction to hool Pupil adworker injure	as Class Driver o DUS Age 20 No Not a pedes Not a pedes Not a pedes Other ed No	or Rider Vehro yrs Sex Male PSV Passenger? ttrian ttrian ttrian	ef No 1 No		

No. 6 District Rushcliffe		VRUs Motorcycle	Grid Reference 451179 / 330154
SEVERITY Ref.No 2D016022 FATAL	Accident Deta	IIS	Police Officer Attend: Yes
Date04/05/2022Day WednesdayROTime04:48LOGWeatherFineLOGRoad SurfaceDryStreet LightingDark/no lights	AD A453 CATION A453 REMEMBRANCE WAY, 90 metres	s northeast of WEST LEAK LANE (UN	IDERBRIDGE), THRUMPTON
Speed Limit50 MPHSCarriagewayDual c'wayDELane markingsCentre/hazard lineJunction DetailNot at or within 20m of junction	SITE TAILS SPECIAL SITE CONDITIONS None		
Junction Control2nd Road NumberPedestrian Facilities andNo Human control within 50m No crossing facility within 50m	CARRIAGEWAY HAZARDS None	1	
VEHICLES INVOLVED 2		CASUALTIES INVOLVED	1
Veh.No.1Vehicle typeCarManoeuvreGoing ahead otherDirection from South west to North eastToSkiddedNoVeh location at impact (restricted lane)On mainJunct. location of veh. at 1st impactNot at junVeh left carriageway?Did not leave c'wayHit object in c'way?NoneHit object off c'way?NoneFirst point of impactFront	wing? No carriageway nction	Cas No1Cas ClassDriverSeverityFATALAge62Car Passenger?NoPed MovementNot a pedesPed locationNot a pedesPed Direction toNot a pedesSchool PupilOtherRoadworker injuredNo	or Rider Veh ref No 2 2 yrs Sex Male PSV Passenger? No strian strian strian
Drivers age20 yrsSexMaleOther veh.hForeign vehicleNot foreignJourney purposeCommuting to/from work	it (ref.) 2 Hit and run No Breath test Negative		
Veh.No.2Vehicle typeM/cycle 50 - 125ccManoeuvreGoing ahead otherDirection from South west to North eastToSkiddedNoVeh location at impact (restricted lane)On mainJunct. location of veh. at 1st impactNot at junVeh left carriageway?Did not leave c'wayHit object in c'way?NoneHit object off c'way?NoneFirst point of impactBackDrivers age62 yrsSexMaleOther veh.hForeign vehicleNot foreignJourney purposeCommuting to/from work	wing? No carriageway nction it (ref.) 1 Hit and run No Breath test Not provided		

Full Details

16-December-2024

No. 7 District Rushcliffe		VRUs Grid Reference 451586 / 330854
SEVERITY Ref.No 2D069821 SLIGHT	Accident Details	Police Officer Attend: Yes
Date13/06/2021Day SundayROADUTime10:57LOCATIONLWeatherFineLOCATIONLRoad SurfaceDryStreet LightingDaylight	J/C BARTON LANE, 115 metres southwest of CHURCH L	ANE, THRUMPTON
Speed Limit30 MPHSITECarriagewaySingle c'wayDETAILSLane markingsNoneJunction DetailNot at or within 20m of junction	SPECIAL SITE CONDITIONS None	
Junction Control 2nd Road Number Pedestrian Facilities and No crossing facility within 50m	CARRIAGEWAY HAZARDS None	
VEHICLES INVOLVED 2	CAS	UALTIES INVOLVED 1
Veh.No.       1       Vehicle type       Car         Manoeuvre       Going ahead other         Direction from South west to North east       Towing?       No         Skidded       No         Veh location at impact (restricted lane)       On main carriagewa         Junct. location of veh. at 1st impact       Not at junction         Veh left carriageway?       Did not leave c'way         Hit object in c'way?       None         Hit object off c'way?       None         First point of impact       Back         Drivers age       86 yrs	Cas No 1 C Severity SLIG Car Passenger? Ped Movement Ped location Ped Direction to School Pupil Roadworker inju	Cas Class       Driver or Rider       Veh ref No       1         HT       Age       86 yrs       Sex       Male         No       PSV Passenger?       No         Not a pedestrian       Not a pedestrian         Not a pedestrian       Other         Other       No
Foreign vehicle Not foreign Journey purpose Other/Not known	Breath test Not requested	
Veh.No.2Vehicle typeAgric VehManoeuvreWaiting to go ahead but held upDirection from North east to South westTowing?NoSkiddedNoNoVeh location at impact (restricted lane)On main carriagewaJunct. location of veh. at 1st impactNot at junctionVeh left carriageway?Did not leave c'wayHit object in c'way?NoneHit object off c'way?NoneFirst point of impactOffsideDrivers age50 yrsSexMaleOther veh.hit (ref.)1Foreign vehicleNot foreignJourney purposeJourney as part of work	o ay Hit and run No Breath test Negative	



APPENDIX 3: NMU Survey Data

# East Midlands Gateway NMU Site 1 (A453 Link)

	Weekday										Sa	aturday									
r		1	Eastbound	1				Westbound						Eastbound					Westbound		
TIME	PEDESTRIAN	CYCLE	E-SCOOTER	EQUESTRIAN	TOTAL	PEDESTRIAN	CYCLE	E-SCOOTER	EQUESTRIAN	TOTAL	PE	DESTRIAN	CYCLE	E-SCOOTER	EQUESTRIAN	TOTAL	PEDESTRIAN	CYCLE	E-SCOOTER	EQUESTRIAN	TOTAL
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	↓ ⊢	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	} ⊢	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:45 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	} ⊢	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	2
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		1	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	0	4
10:00 - 10:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10:15 - 10:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10:30 - 10:45	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10:45 - 11:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	↓ ⊨	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
11:00 - 11:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	↓	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:15 - 11:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	↓ ⊢	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
11:30 - 11:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
11:45 - 12:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0
12:00 12:15	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	7		0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
12:15 - 12:13	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	2	} ⊢	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:30 - 12:45	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	4		1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
12:45 - 13:00	10	0	0	0	10		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	10	0	0	0	10	14	0	0	0	14		1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
13:00 - 13:15	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:15 - 13:30	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0		1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
13:30 - 13:45	2	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	3		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:45 - 14:00	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0		1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	12	0	0	0	12	3	0	0	0	3		2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
14:00 - 14:15	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0		1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
14:15 - 14:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
14:30 - 14:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
14:45 - 15:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0		3	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
15:00 - 15:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	} ⊢	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:15 - 15:30	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	$\vdash$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:45 - 16:00	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	2	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	3		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	t	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	I L	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		2	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	↓	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$\vdash$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	{	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Hourly I otal	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U		U	U	U	U	U	2	U	U	U	2
TOTAL	27	0	0	0	27	21	1	0	0	22		12	1	0	0	13	10	0	0	0	10

## East Midlands Gateway NMU Site 2 (Finger Farm Roundabout)

	Weekday										Saturday									
			Eastbound					Westbound					Eastbound					Westbound		
TIME	PEDESTRIAN	CYCLE	E-SCOOTER	EQUESTRIAN	TOTAL	PEDESTRIAN	CYCLE	E-SCOOTER	EQUESTRIAN	TOTAL	PEDESTRIAN	CYCLE	E-SCOOTER	EQUESTRIAN	TOTAL	PEDESTRIAN	CYCLE	E-SCOOTER	EQUESTRIAN	TOTAL
07:00 - 07:15	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:45 - 08:00	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:00 - 08:15	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		0	0	1	0	2	0	0	2
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	3
10:00 - 10:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10:15 - 10:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
10:30 - 10:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:00 - 11:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:15 - 11:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
11:30 - 11:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:45 - 12:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
12:00 - 12:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:15 - 12:50	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:45 - 13:00	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	1	0	ů 0	ů 0	1	Ő	0	ů 0	ů 0	0	ů 0	0	0	ů 0	0	0	0	Ő	ů 0	0
13:00 - 13:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:15 - 13:30	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:30 - 13:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:45 - 14:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:00 - 14:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:15 - 14:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:30 - 14:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:00 - 15:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:15 - 15:30	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:30 - 15:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:45 - 16:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:00 - 16:15	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:20 16:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Hourly Total	0	1	ů 0	ů 0	1	Ő	0	ů 0	ů 0	0	ů 0	0	0	ů 0	0	0	1	Ő	ů 0	1
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18:30 - 18:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
18:45 - 19:00	0	n	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	-					-												-		-
TOTAL	2	3	0	0	5	2	2	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	1	1	4	0	0	5

#### East Midlands Gateway NMU Site 3 (East Midlands Gateway)

Weekday (Crossing A453)

#### Weekday (Crossing A6 Kegworth Bypass)

# Saturday (Crossing A453)

#### Saturday (Crossing A6 Kegworth Bypass)

	weekuay	Crossing	(4455)								weekuay	Crossing	NO KEEWO	rui bypassj		-					Jacurua	y (CIUS	55111g /4455/					Saturuay (	CIUSSIIIg A	o Regworul by	passj					
			Eastbound					Westbound					Northbound					Southbound					Eastbound	_		Westbound			N	lorthbound				Southbound	d	
TIME	PEDESTRIAN	CYCLE	E-SCOOTER	EQUESTRIAN	TOTAL	PEDESTRIAN	CYCLE	E-SCOOTER	EQUESTRIAN	TOTAL	PEDESTRIAN	CYCLE	E-SCOOTER	EQUESTRIAN	TOTAL	PEDESTRIAN	CYCLE	E-SCOOTER	EQUESTRIA	N TOTAL	PEDESTRIA	N CY	ICLE E-SCOOTER EQUESTRI	IN TOTAL	PEDESTRIAN	CYCLE E-SCOOTER ED	JESTRIAN TOTAL	PEDESTRIAN	CYCLE	E-SCOOTER EQUES	TRIAN TOTA	L PEDESTRIA	N CYCLE	E-SCOOTER	EQUESTRIAN	TOTAL
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		0 0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0 0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:20 - 07:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0 0 0	0	0	0 1	0 1	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	1	0	1
07.45 09.00	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		1 0 0	1	0	0 0	0 0	0	1	0 0		0	0		0	
07.43-08.00	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0		0	0	_		-	0		0 0	0	1	0 0		0	0	0	0	-
Houny Total	U	U	U	U	0	U	U	U	U	0	U	1	U	U	-	U		U		0	U		1 0 0	1	U	0 1	0 1	U	1	0 0	1	U	U	1	U	4 1
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		0 0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0 0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:30 - 08:45	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0		0 0 0	0	1	0 0	0 1	0	0	0 0	0	1	0	0	0	1
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0		0 0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	2	0	0	2
Hourly Total	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	2	0		0 0 0	0	1	0 0	0 1	0	0	0 0	0	1	2	0	0	3
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	0	0	ò	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	ů l	0	0	0	0	0	õ	0			0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0		0	0	0	
00.45 00.20	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0		0	0	0			0	-	0 0 0	, in the second s	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	-
09:15 - 09:30	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	0	U	U	U		0 0 0	U	0	0 0	U U	0	U	υ ι	U	U	U	U	U	U
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0 0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0 0 0	1	1	0 0	0 1	1	0	0 0	1	1	0	0	0	1
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		0 0 0	1	1	0 0	0 1	1	0	0 0	1	1	0	0	0	1
10:00 - 10:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0 0 0	0	0	2 0	0 2	0	0	0 0	0	0	2	0	0	2
10:15 - 10:20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1		0 0 0	1	1	0 0	0 1	1	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	1 1
10-20 - 10-40	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	ő	1	0	0	0	ő	ő	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	0 0 0		6	0 0	0 0		ŏ	0 0		0	0	0	0	
10.50 - 10:45	0		0				1	0	U O			0	0	U			0		0	, ,	0	-				0			0		0	J				+ .
10:45 - 11:00	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	U		0	0	J	U	U	1	0	d	0	1	0		0 0 0	0	1	0 0	U 1	0	U	υ	0	1	0	0	0	1
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	2	1		0 0 0	1	2	2 0	0 4	1	0	0 0	1	2	2	0	0	4
11:00 - 11:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		0 0 0	1	1	0 0	0 1	1	0	0 0	1	0	0	0	0	0
11:15 - 11:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		0 0 0	1	0	1 0	0 1	1	0	0 0	1	0	1	0	0	1
11:30 - 11-45	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1 -	0	0	0	0	0	0		2 0 0	2	0	0 0	0 0	0	1	0 0	1	0	0	0	0	0
11:45 - 12:00	0	0	0	0	ò	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		0 0 0	1	0	0 0	0 0	1	0	0 0	1	0	0	0	0	0
House Total		0	0	0	Ā	0	0		0	0	4	0	0	0		0	0		0	-	-		2 0 0	-		1	0 2	2		0		0	-	0	0	-
Hourry Total	-	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0		3		2 0 0	3		0 0	0 2	3		0 0	4	0			0	-
12:00 - 12:15	U	1	U	U	1	U	U	U	U	U	U	1	U	U	1	1	U	0	U	1	U		0 0 0	U	0	0 0	UU	0	U	υ ι	U	U	U	U	U	U
12:15 - 12:30	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0 0 0	0	0	2 0	0 2	0	0	0 0	0	0	2	0	0	2
12:30 - 12:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0 0 0	0	4	1 0	0 5	0	0	0 0	0	0	1	0	0	1
12:45 - 13:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2		0 0 0	2	2	0 0	0 2	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	2		0 0 0	2	6	3 0	0 9	0	0	0 0	0	0	3	0	0	3
13:00 - 13:15	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		0 0 0	0	1	0 0	0 1	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:15 - 12:20	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	1		0 0 0	1	0	0 0	0 0	1	0	0 0	1	0	0	0	0	0
12:20 12:45	0	1	0	0		1	0	0	0		0	1	0	0		1	0	0	0		-		0 0 0		0	0 0	0 0		0	0 0		0	0	0	0	
15.50 - 15.43	0	1	0	0		1	0	0	0	-	0	1	0	0		1	0	0	0		0	-	0 0 0	0		0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	
13:45 - 14:00	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0 0 0	0	1	1 0	0 2	0	0	0 0	0	1	1	0	0	2
Hourly Total	2	2	0	0	4	3	0	0	0	3	1	2	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	3	1		0 0 0	1	2	1 0	0 3	1	0	0 0	1	1	1	0	0	2
14:00 - 14:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		1 0 0	2	0	0 0	0 0	1	1	0 0	2	0	0	0	0	0
14:15 - 14:30	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	0		1 0 0	1	0	0 0	0 0	0	1	0 0	1	0	0	0	0	0
14:30 - 14:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	Ö	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		0 0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
14:45 - 15:00	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		0 0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourty Total	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	1	1		2 0 0	3	0	0 0	0 0	1	2	0 0	3	0	0	0	0	0
AC 00 AC 45					4				•	0		-	0	0	3		0		0			-							4		3					
15:00 - 15:15	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U	U		0 0 0	U	0	0 0	UU	U	U	0 0	U	U	U	U	U	U
15:15 - 15:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	U	0	0	0	0	U	0	U U	0	0	0	0	0	-	0 0 0	0	0	z 0	0 2	0	U	0 0	0	0	2	0	0	2
15:30 - 15:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0 0	0	1	0 0	U 1	0	0	0 0	0	1	0	0	0	1
15:45 - 16:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0 0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0 0 0	0	1	2 0	0 3	0	0	0 0	0	1	2	0	0	3
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0		0 0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:15 - 16:20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		0 0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:20 16:45	ŏ	0	ŏ	0		ŏ	0	ő	ő	0	ŭ		0	ő		0	0	Ĭ	0	ů,	0	-			1	0 0	0 1	0	0	0 0	0	1	0	0	ŏ	1
10.30 - 10:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0	U O	0	J	0		0	0	0	-	0 0 0		-	0 0	0 1		0	0	0	1	0	0		+
16:45 - 17:00	U	0	U	U	0	0	0	U	0	0	0	U	U	U	0	U	0	U	0	0	U		0 0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	U	U	0 0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Hourly Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0		0 0 0	0	1	0 0	0 1	0	0	0 0	0	1	1	0	0	2
17:00 - 17:15	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	1		0 0 0	1	0	1 0	0 1	1	0	0 0	1	0	1	0	0	1
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0 0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1 -	0	1	0	0	1 -	0	0	0	0	0	0		0 0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17.43-18.00								-	ŏ	ő	-			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			0		0	0		1							č			-		-		+
nourly Total	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	U	4	1	1	J	U	4	1	0	0	0	1	1	-	0 0 0	1	0	1 0	0 1		U	0 0	1	0	1	0	0	1
18:00 - 18:15	U	U	U	U	0	U	2	U	U	2	0	U	U	U	0	U	2	U	U	2	U		0 0 0	0	1	1 0	U Z	U	U	0 0	0	1	1	U	U	2
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1		0 0 0	1	0	0 0	0 0	1	0	0 0	1	0	0	0	0	0
18:30 - 18:45	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0		1 1 0	2	0	0 0	0 0	0	1	1 0	2	0	0	0	0	0
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0 0 0	0	0	0 0	0 0	0	0	0 0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hourly Total	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	2	1		1 1 0	3	1	1 0	0 2	1	1	1 0	3	1	1	0	0	2
																			-																	
TOTA	7	5	0	0	12	7	5	0	0	12	5	10	0		15	9	4		0	13	14		6 1 0	18	16	11 1	0 28	•	5	1 0	48	8	14	1	0	23
TOTAL	1 /				12	1 1				12		10	J		10	9	4			3	11			10	10		V 1 20				10		14			23

#### East Midlands Gateway NMU

#### Site 4 (Hyam's Lane)

#### Weekday Saturday Eastbound Westbound Eastbound Westbound E-SCOOTER EQUESTRIAN TOTAL E-SCOOTER EQUESTRIAN TOTAL E-SCOOTER EQUESTRIAN TOTAL PEDESTRIAN E-SCOOTER EQUESTRIAN TOTAL TIME PEDESTRIAN CYCLE PEDESTRIAN CYCLE PEDESTRIAN CYCLE CYCLE 07:00 - 07:15 07:15 - 07:30 07:30 - 07:45 07:45 - 08:00 Hourly Total 08:00 - 08:15 08:15 - 08:30 08:30 - 08:45 08:45 - 09:00 Hourly Total 09:00 - 09:15 09.15 - 09.30 09:30 - 09:45 09:45 - 10:00 Hourly Total 10:00 - 10:15 10:15 - 10:30 10:30 - 10:45 10:45 - 11:00 Hourly Total 11:00 - 11:15 11:15 - 11:30 11:30 - 11:45 11:45 - 12:00 Hourly Total 12:00 - 12:15 12:15 - 12:30 12:30 - 12:45 12:45 - 13:00 Hourly Total 13:00 - 13:15 13:15 - 13:30 13:30 - 13:45 13:45 - 14:00 Hourly Total 14:00 - 14:15 14:15 - 14:30 14.30 - 14.45 14:45 - 15:00 Hourly Total 15:00 - 15:15 15:15 - 15:30 15:30 - 15:45 15:45 - 16:00 Hourly Total 16:00 - 16:15 16:15 - 16:30 16:30 - 16:45 16:45 - 17:00 Hourly Total 17:00 - 17:15 17:15 - 17:30 17:30 - 17:45 17:45 - 18:00 Hourly Total 18:00 - 18:15 18:15 - 18:30 18:30 - 18:45 18:45 - 19:00 Hourly Total TOTAL

# East Midlands GatewayThursday 3rd November 2022Junction:4Approach:A453 North

		To M1 J23A Access											To Donir	ngton Service	es Access								Го A453 (W)				
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	47	13	4	10	0	74	89.0	0	0	4	5	4	11	0	24	40.3	0	1	78	4	2	1	1	87	89.7
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	41	10	1	0	1	53	54.5	0	0	7	0	3	4	0	14	20.7	0	0	77	10	3	3	2	95	102.4
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	63	23	7	12	0	105	124.1	0	1	5	3	0	0	0	9	8.4	0	0	93	6	1	3	3	106	113.4
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	119	42	6	18	0	185	211.4	0	0	9	2	0	3	0	14	17.9	0	0	73	4	2	4	3	86	95.2
Hourly Total	0	0	270	88	18	40	1	417	479.0	0	1	25	10	7	18	0	61	87.3	0	1	321	24	8	11	9	374	400.7
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	122	30	4	10	0	166	181.0	0	0	12	7	1	4	0	24	29.7	0	1	82	9	1	2	1	96	99.5
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	67	20	2	15	0	104	124.5	0	0	16	2	0	2	0	20	22.6	0	0	117	10	2	2	1	132	136.6
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	48	15	7	12	1	83	103.1	0	0	13	2	0	4	0	19	24.2	0	0	122	11	0	2	3	138	143.6
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	49	9	3	12	1	74	92.1	0	0	18	3	0	4	0	25	30.2	0	0	76	12	0	1	0	89	90.3
Hourly Total	0	0	286	74	16	49	2	427	500.7	0	0	59	14	1	14	0	88	106.7	0	1	397	42	3	7	5	455	470.0
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	18	4	8	11	0	41	59.3	0	0	14	4	1	2	0	21	24.1	0	1	81	6	3	3	4	98	106.8
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	28	5	4	9	0	46	59.7	0	0	18	4	2	5	1	30	38.5	0	0	77	8	1	1	1	88	90.8
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	11	4	6	7	0	28	40.1	0	0	19	2	3	6	0	30	39.3	0	1	44	7	0	4	2	58	64.6
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	12	7	3	11	1	34	50.8	0	1	14	5	2	3	0	25	29.3	0	2	37	6	5	4	2	56	64.5
Hourly Total	0	0	69	20	21	38	1	149	209.9	0	1	65	15	8	16	1	106	131.2	0	4	239	27	9	12	9	300	326.7
TOTAL	0	0	625	182	55	127	4	993	1189.6	0	2	149	39	16	48	1	255	325.2	0	6	957	93	20	30	23	1129	1197.4
											Г			1					1			Γ					
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	72	14	2	10	0	98	112.0	0	0	12	4	4	5	0	25	33.5	0	0	21	8	0	1	2	32	35.3
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	78	18	4	11	0	111	127.3	0	0	9	5	0	3	0	17	20.9	0	0	39	6	0	1	2	48	51.3
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	81	11	0	6	1	99	107.8	0	0	12	2	0	4	0	18	23.2	0	0	9	9	2	2	1	23	27.6
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	67	6	2	7	0	82	92.1	0	0	14	3	0	3	0	20	23.9	0	0	28	14	0	1	3	46	50.3
Hourly Total	0	0	298	49	8	34	1	390	439.2	0	0	47	14	4	15	0	80	101.5	0	0	97	37	2	5	8	149	164.5
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	95	4	1	3	0	103	107.4	0	0	9	2	3	6	0	20	29.3	0	1	24	6	5	2	1	39	44.5
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	64	5	3	7	0	79	89.6	0	0	12	2	0	5	0	19	25.5	0	0	50	12	3	1	3	69	74.8
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	72	7	1	7	0	87	96.6	0	0	13	0	0	4	0	17	22.2	0	0	21	7	2	2	2	34	39.6
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	77	8	3	10	0	98	112.5	0	0	19	5	0	8	0	32	42.4	0	0	29	4	1	2	0	36	39.1
Hourly Total	0	0	308	24	8	27	0	367	406.1	0	0	53	9	3	23	0	88	119.4	0	1	124	29	11	7	6	178	198.0
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	105	6	2	7	1	121	132.1	0	0	11	0	2	4	0	17	23.2	0	0	40	11	0	4	2	57	64.2
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	58	2	3	5	1	69	78.0	0	1	10	1	1	3	0	16	19.8	0	1	79	9	1	3	3	96	102.8
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	78	3	2	5	0	88	95.5	0	0	11	2	0	2	0	15	17.6	0	1	58	3	2	0	0	64	64.4
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	34	2	1	5	0	42	49.0	0	0	16	6	0	4	0	26	31.2	0	0	47	5	3	1	3	59	64.8
Hourly Total	0	0	275	13	8	22	2	320	354.6	0	1	48	9	3	13	0	74	91.8	0	2	224	28	6	8	8	276	296.2
											· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·																
TOTAL	0	0	881	86	24	83	3	1077	1199.9	0	1	148	32	10	51	0	242	312.7	0	3	445	94	19	20	22	603	658.7

PCU F	actors:
CYCLE	0.2
M/CYCLE	0.4
CAR	1.0
LGV	1.0
OGV1	1.5
OGV2	2.3
BUS	2.0

# East Midlands Gateway Thursday 3rd November 2022 Junction: 4 M1 J23A Access Approach:

				To Donin	gton Servic	es Access								To A453 (W									To A453 (N)			_	
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	16	6	3	5	0	30	38.0	0	0	60	14	2	6	0	82	90.8	0	0	97	28	7	27	2	161	201.6
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	13	6	4	5	0	28	36.5	0	0	53	17	3	1	0	74	76.8	0	0	172	29	8	19	0	228	256.7
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	11	7	2	7	1	28	39.1	0	0	89	10	2	7	0	108	118.1	0	0	184	38	10	23	2	257	293.9
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	21	11	2	3	0	37	41.9	0	0	121	12	2	2	0	137	140.6	0	1	199	28	9	22	0	259	291.5
Hourly Total	0	0	61	30	11	20	1	123	155.5	0	0	323	53	9	16	0	401	426.3	0	1	652	123	34	91	4	905	1043.7
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	19	3	2	3	0	27	31.9	0	0	89	12	3	3	0	107	112.4	0	0	152	29	8	13	0	202	222.9
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	17	13	4	8	0	42	54.4	0	0	107	8	1	7	0	123	132.6	0	0	163	34	15	22	0	234	270.1
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	20	7	2	7	0	36	46.1	0	0	85	13	6	4	1	109	118.2	0	0	102	15	8	25	1	151	188.5
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	22	5	0	6	0	33	40.8	0	0	90	6	3	4	0	103	109.7	0	0	106	21	10	15	0	152	176.5
Hourly Total	0	0	78	28	8	24	0	138	173.2	0	0	371	39	13	18	1	442	472.9	0	0	523	99	41	75	1	739	858.0
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	24	8	3	9	0	44	57.2	0	0	69	8	5	4	0	86	93.7	0	0	108	18	8	19	0	153	181.7
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	19	7	1	9	0	36	48.2	0	0	40	8	4	5	1	58	67.5	0	0	67	20	6	19	0	112	139.7
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	22	6	2	10	0	40	54.0	0	0	31	3	6	5	0	45	54.5	0	0	74	17	7	9	1	108	124.2
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	16	6	2	6	0	30	38.8	0	0	30	11	1	6	0	48	56.3	0	0	56	15	9	16	0	96	121.3
Hourly Total	0	0	81	27	8	34	0	150	198.2	0	0	170	30	16	20	1	237	272.0	0	0	305	70	30	63	1	469	566.9
																				,							
TOTAL	0	0	220	85	27	78	1	411	526.9	0	0	864	122	38	54	2	1080	1171.2	0	1	1480	292	105	229	6	2113	2468.6
					Γ		1						1	T	1	1				,			1	Γ	1	-	
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	27	5	2	9	0	43	55.7	0	0	79	5	4	6	1	95	105.8	0	0	81	35	9	13	0	138	159.4
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	20	5	2	3	0	30	34.9	0	0	59	9	4	8	0	80	92.4	0	0	97	36	2	16	2	153	176.8
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	25	6	1	5	0	37	44.0	0	0	85	17	1	3	0	106	110.4	0	1	110	27	5	16	0	159	181.7
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	26	13	2	4	0	45	51.2	0	0	101	16	2	4	0	123	129.2	0	1	101	23	4	0	0	129	130.4
Hourly Total	0	0	98	29	7	21	0	155	185.8	0	0	324	47	11	21	1	404	437.8	0	2	389	121	20	45	2	579	648.3
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	19	6	4	7	0	36	47.1	0	1	105	7	2	1	0	116	117.7	0	0	141	19	6	18	0	184	210.4
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	26	5	0	4	0	35	40.2	0	0	84	5	2	7	0	98	108.1	0	0	194	20	7	17	0	238	263.6
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	17	12	0	6	0	35	42.8	0	0	95	6	2	0	0	103	104.0	0	0	174	15	2	11	0	202	217.3
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	24	2	3	3	0	32	37.4	0	0	88	11	3	1	0	103	105.8	0	0	130	7	0	9	1	147	159.7
Hourly Total	0	0	86	25	7	20	0	138	167.5	0	1	372	29	9	9	0	420	435.6	0	0	639	61	15	55	1	771	851.0
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	23	2	1	6	0	32	40.3	0	0	60	3	1	0	0	64	64.5	0	0	105	3	6	14	0	128	149.2
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	12	4	0	2	0	18	20.6	0	0	38	1	1	4	0	44	49.7	0	0	76	6	1	7	0	90	99.6
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	5	5.5	0	0	25	4	1	2	0	32	35.1	0	0	26	1	1	4	0	32	37.7
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	32	7	2	4	0	45	51.2	0	0	39	3	1	6	0	49	57.3	0	0	93	8	3	9	0	113	126.2
Hourly Total	0	0	70	14	4	12	0	100	117.6	0	0	162	11	4	12	0	189	206.6	0	0	300	18	11	34	0	363	412.7
TOTAL	0	0	254	68	18	53	0	393	470.9	0	1	858	87	24	42	1	1013	1080.0	0	2	1328	200	46	134	3	1713	1912.0

				To Donin	gton Servic	es Access								To A453 (W)									To A453 (N)				
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	16	6	3	5	0	30	38.0	0	0	60	14	2	6	0	82	90.8	0	0	97	28	7	27	2	161	201.6
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	13	6	4	5	0	28	36.5	0	0	53	17	3	1	0	74	76.8	0	0	172	29	8	19	0	228	256.7
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	11	7	2	7	1	28	39.1	0	0	89	10	2	7	0	108	118.1	0	0	184	38	10	23	2	257	293.9
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	21	11	2	3	0	37	41.9	0	0	121	12	2	2	0	137	140.6	0	1	199	28	9	22	0	259	291.5
Hourly Total	0	0	61	30	11	20	1	123	155.5	0	0	323	53	9	16	0	401	426.3	0	1	652	123	34	91	4	905	1043.7
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	19	3	2	3	0	27	31.9	0	0	89	12	3	3	0	107	112.4	0	0	152	29	8	13	0	202	222.9
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	17	13	4	8	0	42	54.4	0	0	107	8	1	7	0	123	132.6	0	0	163	34	15	22	0	234	270.1
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	20	7	2	7	0	36	46.1	0	0	85	13	6	4	1	109	118.2	0	0	102	15	8	25	1	151	188.5
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	22	5	0	6	0	33	40.8	0	0	90	6	3	4	0	103	109.7	0	0	106	21	10	15	0	152	176.5
Hourly Total	0	0	78	28	8	24	0	138	173.2	0	0	371	39	13	18	1	442	472.9	0	0	523	99	41	75	1	739	858.0
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	24	8	3	9	0	44	57.2	0	0	69	8	5	4	0	86	93.7	0	0	108	18	8	19	0	153	181.7
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	19	7	1	9	0	36	48.2	0	0	40	8	4	5	1	58	67.5	0	0	67	20	6	19	0	112	139.7
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	22	6	2	10	0	40	54.0	0	0	31	3	6	5	0	45	54.5	0	0	74	17	7	9	1	108	124.2
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	16	6	2	6	0	30	38.8	0	0	30	11	1	6	0	48	56.3	0	0	56	15	9	16	0	96	121.3
Hourly Total	0	0	81	27	8	34	0	150	198.2	0	0	170	30	16	20	1	237	272.0	0	0	305	70	30	63	1	469	566.9
TOTAL	0	0	220	85	27	78	1	411	526.9	0	0	864	122	38	54	2	1080	1171.2	0	1	1480	292	105	229	6	2113	2468.6
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	27	5	2	9	0	43	55.7	0	0	79	5	4	6	1	95	105.8	0	0	81	35	9	13	0	138	159.4
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	20	5	2	3	0	30	34.9	0	0	59	9	4	8	0	80	92.4	0	0	97	36	2	16	2	153	176.8
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	25	6	1	5	0	37	44.0	0	0	85	17	1	3	0	106	110.4	0	1	110	27	5	16	0	159	181.7
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	26	13	2	4	0	45	51.2	0	0	101	16	2	4	0	123	129.2	0	1	101	23	4	0	0	129	130.4
Hourly Total	0	0	98	29	7	21	0	155	185.8	0	0	324	47	11	21	1	404	437.8	0	2	389	121	20	45	2	579	648.3
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	19	6	4	7	0	36	47.1	0	1	105	7	2	1	0	116	117.7	0	0	141	19	6	18	0	184	210.4
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	26	5	0	4	0	35	40.2	0	0	84	5	2	7	0	98	108.1	0	0	194	20	7	17	0	238	263.6
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	17	12	0	6	0	35	42.8	0	0	95	6	2	0	0	103	104.0	0	0	174	15	2	11	0	202	217.3
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	24	2	3	3	0	32	37.4	0	0	88	11	3	1	0	103	105.8	0	0	130	7	0	9	1	147	159.7
Hourly Total	0	0	86	25	7	20	0	138	167.5	0	1	372	29	9	9	0	420	435.6	0	0	639	61	15	55	1	771	851.0
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	23	2	1	6	0	32	40.3	0	0	60	3	1	0	0	64	64.5	0	0	105	3	6	14	0	128	149.2
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	12	4	0	2	0	18	20.6	0	0	38	1	1	4	0	44	49.7	0	0	76	6	1	7	0	90	99.6
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	3	1	1	0	0	5	5.5	0	0	25	4	1	2	0	32	35.1	0	0	26	1	1	4	0	32	37.7
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	32	7	2	4	0	45	51.2	0	0	39	3	1	6	0	49	57.3	0	0	93	8	3	9	0	113	126.2
Hourly Total	0	0	70	14	4	12	0	100	117.6	0	0	162	11	4	12	0	189	206.6	0	0	300	18	11	34	0	363	412.7
								· · · · · ·												,,							
TOTAL	0	0	254	68	18	53	0	393	470.9	0	1	858	87	24	42	1	1013	1080.0	0	2	1328	200	46	134	3	1713	1912.0

PCU Fa	actors:
CYCLE	0.2
M/CYCLE	0.4
CAR	1.0
LGV	1.0
OGV1	1.5
OGV2	2.3
BUS	2.0

# East Midlands GatewayThursday 3rd November 2022Junction:4Approach:Donington

**Donington Services Access** 

					To A453 (W	()								To A453 (N)								То	M1 J23A Aco	cess			
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	9	1	2	0	0	12	13.0	0	0	8	10	3	8	0	29	40.9	0	0	8	3	1	7	0	19	28.6
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	7	1	2	0	0	10	11.0	0	0	10	8	3	2	0	23	27.1	0	0	9	4	4	6	0	23	32.8
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	7	3	0	0	0	10	10.0	0	0	7	8	2	10	0	27	41.0	0	0	6	2	2	3	0	13	17.9
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	9	1	2	1	0	13	15.3	0	0	8	5	5	14	0	32	52.7	0	0	14	5	0	5	0	24	30.5
Hourly Total	0	0	32	6	6	1	0	45	49.3	0	0	33	31	13	34	0	111	161.7	0	0	37	14	7	21	0	79	109.8
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	12	2	1	0	0	15	15.5	0	0	1	4	3	5	0	13	21.0	0	0	24	5	2	4	0	35	41.2
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	11	5	1	0	0	17	17.5	0	0	4	4	2	7	0	17	27.1	0	0	23	10	1	6	0	40	48.3
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	13	1	2	0	0	16	17.0	0	0	5	7	3	3	0	18	23.4	0	0	21	6	2	6	0	35	43.8
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	14	2	2	0	0	18	19.0	0	0	0	2	1	3	1	7	12.4	0	0	19	3	3	3	0	28	33.4
Hourly Total	0	0	50	10	6	0	0	66	69.0	0	0	10	17	9	18	1	55	83.9	0	0	87	24	8	19	0	138	166.7
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	6	3	0	0	0	9	9.0	0	0	7	6	1	7	0	21	30.6	0	0	27	3	2	5	0	37	44.5
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	10	2	1	1	0	14	15.8	0	0	20	5	3	6	0	34	43.3	0	0	16	7	2	4	0	29	35.2
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	12	2	1	0	0	15	15.5	0	0	10	2	0	6	0	18	25.8	0	0	20	5	4	3	0	32	37.9
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	8	2	1	0	1	12	13.5	0	0	13	8	0	11	0	32	46.3	0	0	12	4	1	6	0	23	31.3
Hourly Total	0	0	36	9	3	1	1	50	53.8	0	0	50	21	4	30	0	105	146.0	0	0	75	19	9	18	0	121	148.9
TOTAL	0	0	118	25	15	2	1	161	172.1	0	0	93	69	26	82	1	271	391.6	0	0	199	57	24	58	0	338	425.4
																							1				
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	13	4	1	1	0	19	20.8	0	0	23	2	0	5	0	30	36.5	0	0	18	5	2	5	0	30	37.5
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	7	1	1	1	0	10	11.8	0	0	15	4	2	4	0	25	31.2	0	0	21	3	1	6	0	31	39.3
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	15	2	0	0	0	17	17.0	0	1	25	1	5	7	0	39	50.0	0	0	20	5	3	5	0	33	41.0
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	13	1	0	0	0	14	14.0	0	0	10	2	2	4	0	18	24.2	0	0	22	5	0	3	0	30	33.9
Hourly Total	0	0	48	8	2	2	0	60	63.6	0	1	73	9	9	20	0	112	141.9	0	0	81	18	6	19	0	124	151.7
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	9	3	0	0	0	12	12.0	0	0	9	7	0	5	0	21	27.5	0	0	31	4	0	2	0	37	39.6
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	8	1	1	0	0	10	10.5	0	0	21	1	2	1	0	25	27.3	0	0	19	8	2	4	0	33	39.2
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	13	3	0	0	0	16	16.0	0	0	13	0	0	1	0	14	15.3	0	0	22	2	0	4	0	28	33.2
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	13	2	0	0	0	15	15.0	0	0	17	8	0	3	0	28	31.9	0	0	21	2	0	3	0	26	29.9
Hourly Total	0	0	43	9	1	0	0	53	53.5	0	0	60	16	2	10	0	88	102.0	0	0	93	16	2	13	0	124	141.9
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	13	2	1	1	0	17	18.8	0	0	26	4	0	3	0	33	36.9	0	0	12	2	1	5	0	20	27.0
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	12	2	1	1	0	16	17.8	0	0	15	2	0	2	0	19	21.6	0	0	11	3	3	4	0	21	27.7
18:30 - 18:45	0	1	9	0	0	1	0	11	11.7	0	0	17	2	0	4	0	23	28.2	0	0	9	0	0	1	0	10	11.3
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	10	10.0	0	0	14	2	0	0	0	16	16.0	0	0	13	1	1	3	0	18	22.4
Hourly Total	0	1	44	4	2	3	0	54	58.3	0	0	72	10	0	9	0	91	102.7	0	0	45	6	5	13	0	69	88.4
TOTAL	0	1	135	21	5	5	0	167	175.4	0	1	205	35	11	39	0	291	346.6	0	0	219	40	13	45	0	317	382.0

PCU Fa	actors:
CYCLE	0.2
M/CYCLE	0.4
CAR	1.0
LGV	1.0
OGV1	1.5
OGV2	2.3
BUS	2.0

# East Midlands GatewayThursday 3rd November 2022Junction:4Approach:A453 West

TOTAL 0 1 1400 96 13 26

						То Г	M1 J23A Acc	cess							To Donin	gton Service	es Access			
BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
3	65	75.8	0	0	29	1	2	4	0	36	42.2	0	0	12	1	0	0	0	13	13.0
0	109	117.8	0	1	25	3	5	2	0	36	40.5	0	1	5	2	1	0	0	9	8.9
3	109	124.3	0	0	32	10	4	6	1	53	63.8	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0
0	91	93.6	0	0	35	5	4	3	2	49	56.9	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	7	7.0
6	374	411.5	0	1	121	19	15	15	3	174	203.4	0	1	28	3	1	0	0	33	32.9
2	100	111.8	0	0	48	16	1	5	1	71	79.0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0
2	108	118.0	0	0	48	19	1	8	0	76	86.9	0	0	7	0	2	0	0	9	10.0
2	88	101.1	0	0	30	8	3	5	1	47	56.0	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	7	7.0
3	69	83.9	0	0	24	3	3	7	0	37	47.6	0	0	3	2	0	1	0	6	7.3
9	365	414.8	0	0	150	46	8	25	2	231	269.5	0	0	18	4	2	1	0	25	27.3
2	44	58.9	0	0	20	4	4	1	0	29	32.3	0	0	7	1	1	0	0	9	9.5
4	47	56.0	0	0	21	4	0	4	0	29	34.2	0	0	4	4	0	0	0	8	8.0
2	45	51.8	0	0	12	3	1	3	0	19	23.4	0	0	7	3	0	1	0	11	12.3
4	49	59.2	0	0	9	5	0	2	0	16	18.6	0	0	5	0	1	1	0	7	8.8
12	185	225.9	0	0	62	16	5	10	0	93	108.5	0	0	23	8	2	2	0	35	38.6
				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·																
27	924	1052.2	0	1	333	81	28	50	5	498	581.4	0	1	69	15	5	3	0	93	98.8
3	181	188.9	0	0	66	11	2	8	1	88	100.4	0	0	4	2	2	0	0	8	9.0
1	154	159.1	0	0	25	7	3	2	0	37	41.1	0	0	8	2	0	0	0	10	10.0
1	138	141.6	0	0	68	15	0	2	0	85	87.6	0	0	9	2	2	0	0	13	14.0
3	153	157.3	0	0	48	1	1	2	1	53	57.1	0	0	10	1	0	0	0	11	11.0
8	626	646.9	0	0	207	34	6	14	2	263	286.2	0	0	31	7	4	0	0	42	44.0
3	149	158.2	0	0	97	4	0	0	0	101	101.0	0	0	11	2	1	0	0	14	14.5
1	119	122.3	0	0	36	9	1	3	0	49	53.4	0	0	12	0	0	1	0	13	14.3
2	166	170.6	0	0	30	6	2	3	0	41	45.9	0	0	12	2	2	0	0	16	17.0
0	153	154.8	0	0	51	6	1	4	0	62	67.7	0	0	7	1	0	0	0	8	8.0
6	587	605.9	0	0	214	25	4	10	0	253	268.0	0	0	42	5	3	1	0	51	53.8
3	98	101.0	0	0	41	3	1	2	0	47	50.1	0	0	8	1	0	0	0	9	9.0
2	94	97.8	0	0	28	4	1	1	0	34	35.8	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	8	8.0
1	87	96.5	0	0	16	2	1	2	0	21	24.1	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	4	4.5
3	67	73.6	0	0	16	2	0	3	0	21	24.9	0	0	5	1	0	0	0	6	6.0
9	346	368.9	0	0	101	11	3	8	0	123	134.9	0	0	24	2	1	0	0	27	27.5
										· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										
23	1559	1621.7	0	0	522	70	13	32	2	639	689.1	0	0	97	14	8	1	0	120	125.3

PCU Fa	actors:
CYCLE	0.2
M/CYCLE	0.4
CAR	1.0
LGV	1.0
OGV1	1.5
OGV2	2.3
BUS	2.0



APPENDIX 4: Traffic Survey Data

# East Midlands Gateway Wednesday 23rd November 2022 Junction: 1 Approach: A453 North

			Le	ft to A6	Kegwo	orth Bypa	SS					I	Ahea	d to A45	3 (S)							Right	to Wilde	ers Way						1		U-Turn				
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	2	4	0	1	0	7	8.3	0	0	70	10	4	7	1	92	104.1	0	0	90	5	3	7	0	105	115.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	15	2	2	1	0	20	22.3	0	0	66	12	2	7	0	87	97.1	0	0	107	5	1	7	1	121	131.6	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	5	2	2	2	0	11	14.6	0	0	65	8	0	6	0	79	86.8	0	1	106	5	2	5	0	119	125.9	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	8	0	2	6	0	16	24.8	0	0	88	12	1	4	0	105	110.7	0	0	83	2	1	7	1	94	104.6	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0
Hourly Total	0	0	30	8	6	10	0	54	70.0	0	0	289	42	7	24	1	363	398.7	0	1	386	17	7	26	2	439	477.7	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.0
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	9	2	5	3	0	19	25.4	0	0	95	7	1	5	0	108	115.0	0	0	42	11	5	5	0	63	72.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	6	1	1	0	0	8	8.5	0	0	91	4	3	3	0	101	106.4	0	0	35	4	3	5	0	47	55.0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	4	4.5
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	7	1	1	1	0	10	11.8	0	0	107	7	4	0	0	118	120.0	0	1	28	9	1	10	1	50	63.9	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2.3
08:45 - 09:00	0	1	5	3	4	5	0	18	25.9	0	0	87	10	4	5	0	106	114.5	0	0	40	5	1	3	1	50	55.4	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0
Hourly Total	0	1	27	7	11	9	0	55	71.6	0	0	380	28	12	13	0	433	455.9	0	1	145	29	10	23	2	210	246.3	0	0	5	0	1	1	0	7	8.8
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	3	1	1	5	0	10	17.0	0	0	87	4	6	5	0	102	111.5	0	0	48	9	0	6	0	63	70.8	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	7	7.0	0	0	74	6	3	14	0	97	116.7	0	0	52	11	3	5	0	71	79.0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	4	3	3	4	0	14	20.7	0	0	56	3	6	8	0	73	86.4	0	0	55	15	0	9	2	81	94.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	2	2	2	2	0	8	11.6	0	0	54	6	2	5	0	67	74.5	0	0	66	20	1	9	0	96	108.2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0
Hourly Total	0	0	15	7	6	11	0	39	56.3	0	0	271	19	17	32	0	339	389.1	0	0	221	55	4	29	2	311	352.7	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	5	5.0
																			1																	
TOTAL	0	1	72	22	23	30	0	148	197.9	0	0	940	89	36	69	1	1135	1243.7	0	2	752	101	21	78	6	960	1076.7	0	0	12	2	1	1	0	16	17.8
		1			1					I														1								1				
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	9	2	1	1	0	13	14.8	0	0	54	11	2	6	1	74	83.8	0	0	10	5	1	3	0	19	23.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	19	3	2	1	0	25	27.3	0	0	45	14	3	3	0	65	70.4	0	0	10	9	0	4	1	24	30.2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	15	4	1	0	0	20	20.5	0	0	41	8	3	11	0	63	78.8	0	0	16	3	0	4	0	23	28.2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0
16:45 - 17:00	0	1	15	0	1	0	0	17	16.9	0	0	40	6	4	3	0	53	58.9	0	0	15	7	0	6	1	29	37.8	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.0
Hourly Total	0	1	58	9	5	2	0	75	79.5	0	0	180	39	12	23	1	255	291.9	0	0	51	24	1	17	2	95	119.6	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	7	7.0
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	13	2	0	0	0	15	15.0	0	0	45	8	4	8	1	66	79.4	0	0	19	13	0	4	0	36	41.2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	22	1	1	1	0	25	26.8	0	1	58	1	3	10	0	73	86.9	0	1	31	13	0	6	1	52	60.2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0
17:30 - 17:45	0	1	17	0	2	1	0	21	22.7	0	0	73	4	3	4	0	84	90.7	0	3	54	11	0	4	0	72	75.4	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	11	5	0	0	0	16	16.0	0	0	70	2	3	7	0	82	92.6	0	0	66	14	0	3	0	83	86.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	1	63	8	3	2	0	77	80.5	0	1	246	15	13	29	1	305	349.6	0	4	170	51	0	17	1	243	263.7	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6.0
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	7	0	0	2	0	9	11.6	0	0	42	3	2	7	0	54	64.1	0	1	103	10	0	3	0	117	120.3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	12	3	0	0	0	15	15.0	0	1	59	3	0	4	0	67	71.6	0	1	103	14	0	2	1	121	124.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	10	0	1	1	0	12	13.8	0	0	84	0	4	4	0	92	99.2	0	0	54	12	0	4	0	70	75.2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2.3
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	10	0	0	2	0	12	14.6	0	0	80	1	1	4	0	86	91.7	0	0	43	9	0	4	1	57	63.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	39	3	1	5	0	48	55.0	0	1	265	7	7	19	0	299	326.6	0	2	303	45	0	13	2	365	382.7	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	4	5.3
τοται	0	2	160	20	Q	Q	0	200	215 0	Ο	2	691	61	32	71	2	859	968 1	0	6	524	120	1	<b>47</b>	5	703	766.0	0	0	15	1	0	1	0	17	18 3
			100	20			V	200	210.0								000			V		120		71		100	10010		U			V				

			Le	eft to A6	6 Kegwo	orth Bypa	ass						Ahea	ad to A4	53 (S)	1						Right	to Wild	ers Way								U-Turn				_
TIME	CYCLE		E CAR	LGV	OGV1	l OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	2	4	0	1	0	7	8.3	0	0	70	10	4	7	1	92	104.1	0	0	90	5	3	7	0	105	115.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	15	2	2	1	0	20	22.3	0	0	66	12	2	7	0	87	97.1	0	0	107	5	1	7	1	121	131.6	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	5	2	2	2	0	11	14.6	0	0	65	8	0	6	0	79	86.8	0	1	106	5	2	5	0	119	125.9	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	8	0	2	6	0	16	24.8	0	0	88	12	1	4	0	105	110.7	0	0	83	2	1	7	1	94	104.6	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0
Hourly Total	0	0	30	8	6	10	0	54	70.0	0	0	289	42	7	24	1	363	398.7	0	1	386	17	7	26	2	439	477.7	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.0
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	9	2	5	3	0	19	25.4	0	0	95	7	1	5	0	108	115.0	0	0	42	11	5	5	0	63	72.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	6	1	1	0	0	8	8.5	0	0	91	4	3	3	0	101	106.4	0	0	35	4	3	5	0	47	55.0	0	0	3	0	1	0	0	4	4.5
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	7	1	1	1	0	10	11.8	0	0	107	7	4	0	0	118	120.0	0	1	28	9	1	10	1	50	63.9	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2.3
08:45 - 09:00	0	1	5	3	4	5	0	18	25.9	0	0	87	10	4	5	0	106	114.5	0	0	40	5	1	3	1	50	55.4	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0
Hourly Total	0	1	27	7	11	9	0	55	71.6	0	0	380	28	12	13	0	433	455.9	0	1	145	29	10	23	2	210	246.3	0	0	5	0	1	1	0	7	8.8
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	3	1	1	5	0	10	17.0	0	0	87	4	6	5	0	102	111.5	0	0	48	9	0	6	0	63	70.8	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	7	7.0	0	0	74	6	3	14	0	97	116.7	0	0	52	11	3	5	0	71	79.0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	4	3	3	4	0	14	20.7	0	0	56	3	6	8	0	73	86.4	0	0	55	15	0	9	2	81	94.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	2	2	2	2	0	8	11.6	0	0	54	6	2	5	0	67	74.5	0	0	66	20	1	9	0	96	108.2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0
Hourly Total	0	0	15	7	6	11	0	39	56.3	0	0	271	19	17	32	0	339	389.1	0	0	221	55	4	29	2	311	352.7	0	0	4	1	0	0	0	5	5.0
					_											1													,,							
TOTAL	0	1	72	22	23	30	0	148	197.9	0	0	940	89	36	69	1	1135	1243.7	0	2	752	101	21	78	6	960	1076.7	0	0	12	2	1	1	0	16	17.8
				1						1 1			1		1	T													ŢŢ					T		
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	9	2	1	1	0	13	14.8	0	0	54	11	2	6	1	74	83.8	0	0	10	5	1	3	0	19	23.4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	19	3	2	1	0	25	27.3	0	0	45	14	3	3	0	65	70.4	0	0	10	9	0	4	1	24	30.2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	15	4	1	0	0	20	20.5	0	0	41	8	3	11	0	63	78.8	0	0	16	3	0	4	0	23	28.2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0
16:45 - 17:00	0	1	15	0	1	0	0	17	16.9	0	0	40	6	4	3	0	53	58.9	0	0	15	7	0	6	1	29	37.8	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	4.0
Hourly Total	0	1	58	9	5	2	0	75	79.5	0	0	180	39	12	23	1	255	291.9	0	0	51	24	1	17	2	95	119.6	0	0	6	1	0	0	0	7	7.0
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	13	2	0	0	0	15	15.0	0	0	45	8	4	8	1	66	79.4	0	0	19	13	0	4	0	36	41.2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	22	1	1	1	0	25	26.8	0	1	58	1	3	10	0	73	86.9	0	1	31	13	0	6	1	52	60.2	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0
17:30 - 17:45	0	1	17	0	2	1	0	21	22.7	0	0	73	4	3	4	0	84	90.7	0	3	54	11	0	4	0	72	75.4	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	11	5	0	0	0	16	16.0	0	0	70	2	3	7	0	82	92.6	0	0	66	14	0	3	0	83	86.9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	1	63	8	3	2	0	77	80.5	0	1	246	15	13	29	1	305	349.6	0	4	170	51	0	17	1	243	263.7	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	6.0
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	7	0	0	2	0	9	11.6	0	0	42	3	2	7	0	54	64.1	0	1	103	10	0	3	0	117	120.3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	12	3	0	0	0	15	15.0	0	1	59	3	0	4	0	67	71.6	0	1	103	14	0	2	1	121	124.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	10	0	1	1	0	12	13.8	0	0	84	0	4	4	0	92	99.2	0	0	54	12	0	4	0	70	75.2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2.3
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	10	0	0	2	0	12	14.6	0	0	80	1	1	4	0	86	91.7	0	0	43	9	0	4	1	57	63.2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	39	3	1	5	0	48	55.0	0	1	265	7	7	19	0	299	326.6	0	2	303	45	0	13	2	365	382.7	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	4	5.3
													_									_														
TOTAL	0	2	160	20	9	9	0	200	215.0	0	2	691	61	32	71	2	859	968.1	0	6	524	120	1	47	5	703	766.0	0	0	15	1	0	1	0	17	18.3

# East Midlands Gateway Wednesday 23rd November 2022 Junction: 1 Approach: A6 Kegworth Bypass

			Le	eft to A	453 (S)							1	Ahead	to Wild	lers Way	1						Righ	t to A45	3 (N)			
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	2	15	3	0	0	0	20	18.8	0	1	87	11	10	2	0	111	118.0	0	0	74	10	8	3	2	97	106.9
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	26	2	1	0	0	29	29.5	0	1	101	16	4	1	1	124	127.7	0	1	65	18	5	0	0	89	90.9
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	29	3	1	0	1	34	35.5	0	0	76	10	2	0	2	90	93.0	0	0	61	10	1	0	0	72	72.5
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	29	5	0	1	0	35	36.3	0	0	109	21	3	3	1	137	143.4	0	0	92	21	4	2	0	119	123.6
Hourly Total	0	2	99	13	2	1	1	118	120.1	0	2	373	58	19	6	4	462	482.1	0	1	292	59	18	5	2	377	393.9
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	35	1	0	0	0	36	36.0	1	0	74	15	3	1	1	95	98.0	0	0	73	13	3	1	0	90	92.8
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	30	2	2	2	1	37	41.6	0	3	69	19	2	1	0	94	94.5	0	3	66	16	1	2	0	88	89.3
08:30 - 08:45	0	1	41	7	1	3	0	53	56.8	0	1	46	14	3	1	1	66	69.2	0	1	43	12	2	2	0	60	63.0
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	31	0	4	0	0	35	37.0	0	0	54	11	6	3	0	74	80.9	0	0	51	10	6	3	0	70	76.9
Hourly Total	0	1	137	10	7	5	1	161	171.4	1	4	243	59	14	6	2	329	342.6	0	4	233	51	12	8	0	308	322.0
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	24	5	0	1	0	30	31.3	0	0	61	13	6	3	3	86	95.9	0	0	54	12	7	3	1	77	85.4
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	17	4	1	0	0	22	22.5	0	0	34	12	3	4	1	54	61.7	0	0	32	12	4	4	0	52	59.2
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	17	5	0	1	0	23	24.3	0	0	30	12	1	2	0	45	48.1	0	0	28	9	1	1	0	39	40.8
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	9	4	0	1	1	15	17.3	0	0	37	19	3	3	0	62	67.4	0	0	26	16	4	3	0	49	54.9
Hourly Total	0	0	67	18	1	3	1	90	95.4	0	0	162	56	13	12	4	247	273.1	0	0	140	49	16	11	1	217	240.3
TOTAL	0	3	303	41	10	9	3	369	386.9	1	6	778	173	46	24	10	1038	1097.8	0	5	665	159	46	24	3	902	956.2
										1		1										1		1	1		
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	20	6	0	0	1	27	28.0	0	0	50	9	7	4	0	70	78.7	0	0	47	13	7	4	0	71	79.7
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	16	5	2	0	0	23	24.0	0	0	77	17	2	5	1	102	110.5	0	1	67	16	2	5	0	91	97.9
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	28	9	0	1	1	39	41.3	0	0	74	20	1	1	2	98	101.8	0	0	76	21	1	1	0	99	100.8
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	17	4	0	0	0	21	21.0	0	0	85	15	2	0	1	103	105.0	0	0	88	16	1	1	0	106	107.8
Hourly Total	0	0	81	24	2	1	2	110	114.3	0	0	286	61	12	10	4	373	396.0	0	1	278	66	11	11	0	367	386.2
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	25	4	2	0	0	31	32.0	0	0	80	13	2	4	1	100	107.2	0	0	76	16	2	2	0	96	99.6
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	33	3	1	0	0	37	37.5	0	0	94	8	2	0	0	104	105.0	0	0	88	9	2	0	0	99	100.0
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	28	3	1	1	0	33	34.8	0	0	90	15	2	0	1	108	110.0	0	1	87	16	2	0	0	106	106.4
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	26	2	3	0	0	31	32.5	0	0	81	6	2	0	1	90	92.0	0	0	81	6	1	0	0	88	88.5
Hourly Total	0	0	112	12	7	1	0	132	136.8	0	0	345	42	8	4	3	402	414.2	0	1	332	47	7	2	0	389	394.5
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	24	4	1	0	0	29	29.5	0	0	71	2	1	1	0	75	76.8	0	0	55	4	1	1	0	61	62.8
18:15 - 18:30	0	0	14	3	0	0	0	17	17.0	0	1	55	6	1	0	2	65	66.9	0	0	45	8	1	0	0	54	54.5
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	24	2	0	0	1	27	28.0	0	0	47	7	0	0	1	55	56.0	0	0	37	6	0	0	0	43	43.0
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	14	3	0	1	0	18	19.3	0	1	30	2	0	0	0	33	32.4	0	1	24	4	0	0	0	29	28.4
Hourly Total	0	0	76	12	1	1	1	91	93.8	0	2	203	17	2	1	3	228	232.1	0	1	161	22	2	1	0	187	188.7
TOTAL	0	0	269	48	10	3	3	333	344.9	0	2	834	120	22	15	10	1003	1042.3	0	3	771	135	20	14	0	943	969.4

PCU Fa	actors:
CYCLE	0.2
M/CYCL	0.4
CAR	1.0
LGV	1.0
OGV1	1.5
OGV2	2.3
BUS	2.0
## East Midlands Gateway Wednesday 23rd November 2022 Junction: 1 Approach: A453 South

				Left to	o Wilde	ers Way	,						Ahea	ad to A4	53 (N)						Rig	ght to A	6 Kegw	orth Byj	pass							U-Turn				
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	36	1	3	5	2	47	57.0	0	0	102	22	5	8	0	137	149.9	0	0	13	2	1	1	0	17	18.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	102	3	3	11	1	120	136.8	0	0	143	24	4	15	0	186	207.5	0	0	17	11	1	1	1	31	33.8	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	66	2	1	7	3	79	91.6	0	2	162	35	5	19	1	224	251.0	0	0	21	6	1	1	0	29	30.8	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	57	2	1	9	1	70	83.2	0	0	184	43	8	20	0	255	285.0	0	0	26	10	1	0	1	38	39.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	261	8	8	32	7	316	368.6	0	2	591	124	22	62	1	802	893.4	0	0	77	29	4	3	2	115	122.9	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	25	4	1	4	1	35	41.7	0	0	215	40	9	2	1	267	275.1	0	0	24	5	3	0	0	32	33.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	15	2	3	12	3	35	55.1	0	0	191	49	9	18	1	268	296.9	0	0	17	11	0	0	0	28	28.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	16	2	1	11	1	31	46.8	0	1	143	30	8	31	0	213	256.7	0	0	17	10	4	2	1	34	39.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	27	6	1	9	2	45	59.2	0	0	92	24	6	19	0	141	168.7	0	0	23	2	0	0	0	25	25.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0
Hourly Total	0	0	83	14	6	36	7	146	202.8	0	1	641	143	32	70	2	889	997.4	0	0	81	28	7	2	1	119	126.1	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	3.0
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	18	3	3	5	2	31	41.0	0	0	86	28	5	25	0	144	179.0	0	0	18	7	1	1	0	27	28.8	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	20	6	1	7	1	35	45.6	0	0	88	22	11	19	0	140	170.2	0	0	26	6	0	2	0	34	36.6	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	28	12	1	2	3	46	52.1	0	0	81	19	4	21	0	125	154.3	0	0	22	2	3	1	0	28	30.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	21	12	2	7	2	44	56.1	0	0	76	17	14	11	0	118	139.3	0	0	7	6	0	1	0	14	15.3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0
Hourly Total	0	0	87	33	7	21	8	156	194.8	0	0	331	86	34	76	0	527	642.8	0	0	73	21	4	5	0	103	111.5	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	4.0
							1					_												1												
TOTAL	0	0	431	55	21	89	22	618	766.2	0	3	1563	353	88	208	3	2218	2533.6	0	0	231	78	15	10	3	337	360.5	0	0	11	0	0	0	0	11	11.0
							1			l																										
16:00 - 16:15	0	0	3	2	1	6	4	16	28.3	0	0	205	50	10	20	0	285	316.0	0	0	26	8	0	0	0	34	34.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:15 - 16:30	0	0	4	3	0	3	1	11	15.9	0	0	153	37	4	15	0	209	230.5	0	0	31	2	2	1	0	36	38.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:30 - 16:45	0	1	8	0	2	5	1	17	24.9	0	1	198	39	10	15	0	263	286.9	0	0	28	6	2	0	1	37	39.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	6	0	1	5	2	14	23.0	0	1	203	38	9	21	0	272	303.2	0	0	35	5	1	1	0	42	43.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	1	21	5	4	19	8	58	92.1	0	2	759	164	33	71	0	1029	1136.6	0	0	120	21	5	2	1	149	155.1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	8	0	1	9	2	20	34.2	0	1	243	30	10	23	0	307	341.3	0	0	29	7	1	0	0	37	37.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	13	0	3	3	3	22	30.4	0	0	206	19	5	17	1	248	273.6	0	0	46	7	1	0	0	54	54.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	10	0	4	6	1	21	31.8	0	0	211	27	8	11	0	257	275.3	0	0	53	2	0	0	0	55	55.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	18	1	1	5	2	27	36.0	0	0	179	23	2	10	0	214	228.0	0	1	36	1	1	2	0	41	43.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	0	49	1	9	23	8	90	132.4	0	1	839	99	25	61	1	1026	1118.2	0	1	164	17	3	2	0	187	190.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	34	1	1	2	3	41	47.1	0	1	156	23	3	14	1	198	218.1	0	0	30	5	0	1	1	37	39.3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:15 - 18:30	0	1	51	0	0	2	0	54	56.0	0	0	154	9	6	8	0	177	190.4	0	0	24	3	1	0	0	28	28.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:30 - 18:45	0	0	28	0	0	3	1	32	36.9	0	0	91	6	6	13	0	116	135.9	0	0	23	7	1	0	0	31	31.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	24	0	0	8	1	33	44.4	0	0	98	10	4	7	0	119	130.1	0	1	27	2	0	1	0	31	31.7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
Hourly Total	0	1	137	1	1	15	5	160	184.4	0	1	499	48	19	42	1	610	674.5	0	1	104	17	2	2	1	127	131.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0
TOTAL	0	2	207	7	14	57	21	308	408.9	0	4	2097	311	77	174	2	2665	2929.3	0	2	388	55	10	6	2	463	476.6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0

PCU F	actors:
CYCLE	0.2
M/CYCLE	0.4
CAR	1.0
LGV	1.0
OGV1	1.5
OGV2	2.3
BUS	2.0

# East Midlands Gateway Wednesday 23rd November 2022 Junction: 1 Approach: Wilders Way

				Lef	t to A45	53 (N)						Ahe	ead to <i>i</i>	A6 Kegv	vorth By	pass						Righ	nt to A4!	53 (S)			
TIME	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs	CYCLE	M/CYCLE	CAR	LGV	OGV1	OGV2	BUS	TOTAL	PCUs
07:00 - 07:15	0	0	30	0	2	5	0	37	44.5	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	1	12	1	0	7	0	21	29.5
07:15 - 07:30	0	0	8	1	1	5	0	15	22.0	0	0	2	1	1	1	0	5	6.8	0	0	4	1	0	9	0	14	25.7
07:30 - 07:45	0	0	18	1	1	9	0	29	41.2	0	0	2	0	0	2	0	4	6.6	0	0	9	0	3	5	0	17	25.0
07:45 - 08:00	0	0	22	2	0	5	0	29	35.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	13	1	2	6	0	22	30.8
Hourly Total	0	0	78	4	4	24	0	110	143.2	0	0	6	1	1	3	0	11	15.4	0	1	38	3	5	27	0	74	111.0
08:00 - 08:15	0	0	23	3	1	5	0	32	39.0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	11	3	0	5	0	19	25.5
08:15 - 08:30	0	0	11	1	2	3	0	17	21.9	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	3	3.0	0	0	13	1	1	7	0	22	31.6
08:30 - 08:45	0	0	8	3	3	6	0	20	29.3	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	7	1	1	6	0	15	23.3
08:45 - 09:00	0	0	5	2	0	10	0	17	30.0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1.0	0	0	2	2	0	6	0	10	17.8
Hourly Total	0	0	47	9	6	24	0	86	120.2	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	6	6.0	0	0	33	7	2	24	0	66	98.2
09:00 - 09:15	0	0	3	2	0	8	0	13	23.4	0	0	3	0	0	1	0	4	5.3	0	0	2	1	1	6	1	11	20.3
09:15 - 09:30	0	0	7	1	2	6	0	16	24.8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.0	0	0	2	2	1	8	0	13	23.9
09:30 - 09:45	0	0	12	2	0	8	0	22	32.4	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	3	4.8	0	0	3	2	0	9	0	14	25.7
09:45 - 10:00	0	0	31	48	2	6	1	88	97.8	0	0	6	1	1	0	0	8	8.5	0	0	6	6	1	7	2	22	33.6
Hourly Total	0	0	53	53	4	28	1	139	178.4	0	0	9	2	2	2	0	15	18.6	0	0	13	11	3	30	3	60	103.5
												1															
TOTAL	0	0	178	66	14	76	1	335	441.8	0	0	19	5	3	5	0	32	40.0	0	1	84	21	10	81	3	200	312.7
			1									1															
16:00 - 16:15	0	1	76	9	1	5	0	92	98.4	0	0	8	0	2	0	0	10	11.0	0	0	27	2	3	5	0	37	45.0
16:15 - 16:30	0	1	54	2	2	2	0	61	64.0	0	0	2	1	0	1	0	4	5.3	0	0	21	2	3	3	0	29	34.4
16:30 - 16:45	0	0	65	6	1	1	0	73	74.8	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	9	9.0	0	0	14	5	0	6	0	25	32.8
16:45 - 17:00	0	0	47	3	4	2	0	56	60.6	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	5	4.4	0	0	19	2	1	5	0	27	34.0
Hourly Total	0	2	242	20	8	10	0	282	297.8	0	1	20	4	2	1	0	28	29.7	0	0	81	11	7	19	0	118	146.2
17:00 - 17:15	0	0	40	7	1	5	0	53	60.0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	2.0	0	0	25	2	3	10	1	41	56.5
17:15 - 17:30	0	0	42	8	0	4	0	54	59.2	0	0	4	1	0	1	0	6	7.3	0	1	26	2	2	5	0	36	42.9
17:30 - 17:45	0	0	58	4	0	7	0	69	78.1	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	4	3.4	0	0	21	2	3	7	0	33	43.6
17:45 - 18:00	0	0	33	4	4	4	0	45	52.2	0	0	6	0	1	0	0	7	7.5	0	1	16	4	3	6	0	30	38.7
Hourly Total	0	0	173	23	5	20	0	221	249.5	0	1	14	2	1	1	0	19	20.2	0	2	88	10	11	28	1	140	181.7
18:00 - 18:15	0	0	203	9	1	2	0	215	218.1	0	0	24	1	0	0	0	25	25.0	0	0	74	1	4	6	1	86	96.8
18:15 - 18:30	0	1	59	2	2	2	0	66	69.0	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	10	10.0	0	0	31	2	1	7	0	41	50.6
18:30 - 18:45	0	2	170	3	2	2	0	179	181.4	0	0	24	2	1	0	0	27	27.5	0	0	63	1	1	4	0	69	74.7
18:45 - 19:00	0	0	49	4	1	0	0	54	54.5	0	0	9	1	0	0	0	10	10.0	0	0	13	3	1	1	0	18	19.8
Hourly Total	0	3	481	18	6	6	0	514	523.0	0	0	67	4	1	0	0	72	72.5	0	0	181	7	7	18	1	214	241.9
TOTAL	0	5	896	61	19	36	0	1017	1070.3	0	2	101	10	4	2	0	119	122.4	0	2	350	28	25	65	2	472	569.8

PCU Fact	tors:
CYCLE	0.2
M/CYCLE	0.4
CAR	1.0
LGV	1.0
OGV1	1.5
OGV2	2.3
BUS	2.0

## Road Data Services Ltd

## East Midlands Gateway Wednesday 23rd November 2022 Junction: 1 **Bus Moves**

				Bus N	loves			
TIME	Move 1	Move 2	Move 3	Move 4	Move 5	Move 6	Move 7	Move 8
07:00 - 07:15	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	2
07:15 - 07:30	2	3	1	0	3	1	2	1
07:30 - 07:45	1	2	1	1	2	0	2	2
07:45 - 08:00	2	2	0	2	2	1	1	2
Hourly Total	6	8	2	4	8	2	5	7
08:00 - 08:15	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1
08:15 - 08:30	2	1	0	1	1	0	0	2
08:30 - 08:45	1	3	0	1	3	1	1	1
08:45 - 09:00	2	0	1	1	0	1	0	2
Hourly Total	6	5	2	3	5	2	2	6
09:00 - 09:15	1	2	1	1	2	0	2	2
09:15 - 09:30	2	1	0	1	1	0	1	1
09:30 - 09:45	1	2	0	1	2	1	0	3
09:45 - 10:00	2	1	1	1	1	0	0	2
Hourly Total	6	6	2	4	6	1	3	8
TOTAL	18	19	6	11	19	5	10	21
	1	1						
16:00 - 16:15	1	2	1	2	2	0	0	3
16:15 - 16:30	2	2	0	1	2	1	1	1
16:30 - 16:45	1	2	1	0	2	0	2	1
16:45 - 17:00	2	1	0	1	1	1	1	2
Hourly Total	6	7	2	4	7	2	4	7
17:00 - 17:15	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	2
17:15 - 17:30	2	3	1	1	3	1	0	3
17:30 - 17:45	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1
17:45 - 18:00	2	0	0	1	0	0	1	1
Hourly Total	6	5	3	3	5	1	3	7
18:00 - 18:15	1	1	0	2	1	0	0	3
18:15 - 18:30	2	3	0	1	3	1	2	1
18:30 - 18:45	1	2	0	1	2	0	1	2
18:45 - 19:00	2	1	1	0	1	1	0	1
Hourly Total	6	7	1	4	7	2	3	7
TOTAL	18	19	6	11	19	5	10	21





www.bwbconsulting.com



APPENDIX 4: VISSIM Local Model Validation Report (document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0006_S2-P3)



### **TRANSPORT & INFRASTRUCTURE PLANNING**

SEGRO East Midlands Gateway, Phase 2 Local Model Validation Report (LMVR)



## **TRANSPORT & INFRASTRUCTURE PLANNING**

SEGRO East Midlands Gateway, Phase 2 Local Model Validation Report (LMVR)

Birmingham Livery Place, 35 Livery Street, Colmore Business District Birmingham, B3 2PB T: 0121 233 3322

> Leeds Whitehall Waterfront, 2 Riverside Way Leeds, LS1 4EH T: 0113 233 8000

> > London 11 Borough High Street London, SE1 9SE T: 0207 407 3879

Manchester 11 Portland Street Manchester, M1 3HU T: 0161 233 4260

Nottingham 5th Floor, Waterfront House, Station Street Nottingham, NG2 3DQ T: 0115 924 1100

March 2025



## **DOCUMENT ISSUE RECORD**

Document Number:	EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0006_VISSIM_LMVR-S2-P03
BWB Reference:	220500

Revision	Date of Issue	Status	Author:	Checked:	Approved:
P01	31/03/2023	S2	Charlie Cresswell	Vibeeshan Devaharan	Paul Wilson
P02	15/01/2025	S2	Charlie Cresswell	Vibeeshan Devaharan	Paul Wilson
P03	03/03/2025	S2	Charlie Cresswell	Vibeeshan Devaharan	Paul Wilson

#### Notice

This document has been prepared for the sole use of the Client in accordance with the terms of the appointment under which it was produced. BWB Consulting Limited accepts no responsibility for any use of or reliance on the contents of this document by any third party. No part of this document shall be copied or reproduced in any form without the prior written permission of BWB.



## CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION1
	Report Structure
2.	NETWORK DEVELOPMENT
	Model Approach4
	Model Coverage
	Survey Data4
	Model Time Periods
	Traffic Signals
3.	MODEL CALIBRATION
	Traffic Data14
	Convergence14
	Simulation
	Network Performance
	Calibration Methodology16
	Traffic Flow Calibration
4.	MODEL VALIDATION
	Introduction
	Travel Time Survey
	Validation Results
5.	SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS

## **FIGURES**

Figure 1 Model Coverage Figure 2: VISSIM Model Extents Figure 3: Survey Locations Figure 4: Journey time routes

## **TABLES**

- Table 1: Model Convergence Summary
- Table 2: Network Performance
- Table 3: Summary of Seed Stability Assessment
- Table 4: Network Performance
- Table 5: Summary of Traffic Flow Calibration
- Table 6: Travel Time Validation
- Table 7: Travel Time Validation Summary



## **APPENDICES**

APPENDIX 1: TURNING COUNT CALIBRATION



## 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 BWB Consulting Ltd (BWB) has been appointed by SEGRO (the Applicant) to produce a microsimulation traffic model of the M1 Junction 24, in support of an outline planning application for the Phase 2 Expansion of East Midlands Gateway (EMG) site.
- 1.2 The proposed development seeks outline planning permission via a Development Consent Order for a large warehousing and distribution development, as an extension to the existing EMG1 Strategic Rail Freight Interchange. It comprises 430,000sqm of industrial development across the following sites:
  - 400,000sqm of B2/B8 industrial development on EMG2, including 100,000sqm of B8 mezzanine floorspace.
  - 30,000sqm of B8 industrial development on Plot 16 of EMG1.
- 1.3 The location of the proposed development is show in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Site Location



- 1.4 As part of East Midlands Gateway Phase 1, BWB obtained a copy of the M1 J24 VISSIM model network from National Highways in 2014. The model was validated and calibrated by AECOM to a base year of 2012. This model was utilised by BWB to assess the proposed highway network changes including improvements to M1 J24 as part of the EMG Phase 1. However, the model is now outdated and the proposed migitation as well as the scheme have been constructed on site since and is operational.
- 1.5 Therefore, to assess the impact of EMG Phase 2, a revalidation of the base model was required, this technical note has been produced to outline the modelling methodology undertaken as well as provide details of model calibration and validation.

#### **Report Structure**

1.6 Following this introduction, the remainder of this report is structured as follows:



- Section 2: Network Development, sets out the modelling parameters associated with the baseline model;
- Section 3: Model calibration, including comparison of manual turning count data against modelled flows.
- Section 4: Model validation comparing surveyed journey times with modelled journey times.
- Section 5: Summary and Conclusions.



## 2. NETWORK DEVELOPMENT

#### Model Approach

- 2.1 The PTV software package VISSIM is a microscopic, time-step, behaviour-based simulation tool developed to model traffic and public transport operations. VISSIM models individual vehicles and presents these movements visually, assisting in model validation and in the assessment of the performance of network improvement options.
- 2.2 VISSIM provides output information such as queues, delays, and journey times on identified routes and other specific information. VISSIM also enables 3D videos to be produced providing a powerful visual simulation of the highway network.
- 2.3 The existing VISSIM model has utilised dynamics assignment for traffic flow input, therefore the this has been retained.

#### Model Coverage

2.4 The extents of the existing VISSIM model has been illustrated in **Figure 2** below.



#### Figure 2 Model Coverage



- 2.5 The existing model comprises of the following junctions.
  - i. A50 junction 1 Sawley Interchange;
  - ii. M1 J24a;
  - iii. M1 J24;
  - iv. A453/EMG Phase 1/Kegworth Bypass signal controlled gyratory;
  - v. M1 J23a Finger Farm roundabout (including M1/A42 on and off slip roads);
  - vi. A453/Hunter Road/minor EMG Phase 2 access roundabout
  - vii. A453 East Midlands airport internal roundabouts.
- 2.6 Following discussions with NH, it was agreed that the model will be cordoned of to the following junctions:
  - i. M1 J24;
  - ii. M1 J24a southbound merge onto the M1 and M1 junction 24;
  - iii. A453/EMG Phase 1/Kegworth Bypass signal controlled gyratory;
  - iv. M1 J23a Finger Farm roundabout (including M1/A42 on and off slip roads);
  - v. A453/Hunter Road/minor EMG Phase 2 access roundabout;
  - vi. A453/EMG Phase 2 site access roundabout.

#### **Survey Data**

2.7 Manual turning count surveys were undertaken on 3rd November 2022 for all junctions outlined in Paragraph 2.4. with the exception of the A453/Kegworth Bypass junction which was undertaken on 23rd November 2022. **Figure 3** illustrates locations of surveys undertaken and data points that are available on the WebTRIS website.







2.8 The survey data has been compared to a neutal month of May and a average of the neutal days (Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday) which was obtained from the Webtris website for a number of available data points around J24. The **Tables 1** and **2** sets our the GEH comapison between the survey data and the Webtris data.

	Traff	ic Flows (Veh	)	GEH Comparison			
Appoarch/Exit	Nov 2022 Survey	2022 (Webtris)	2023 (Webtris)	2022 vs Survey	2023 vs Survey		
M1 S Approach	1876	1811	1859	1.51	0.39		
M1 S Exit	655	689	691	1.31	1.39		
A453 Exit Towards EMG1	944	954	1014	0.32	2.24		

#### Table 1: Survey Comparison AM

#### Table 2: Survey Comparison PM

	Trafi	ic Flows (Veh	)	GEH Comparison				
Appoarch/Exit	Nov 2022 Survey	2022 (Webtris)	2023 (Webtris)	2022 vs Survey	2023 vs Survey			
M1 S Approach	1712	1715	1769	0.07	1.37			
M1 S Exit	955	984	1002	0.93	1.50			
A453 Exit Towards EMG1	615	666	699	2.02	3.28			



- 2.9 The tables above illustrate that the survey data is within a GEH 5 when comparing the neutal month from webtris and therefore, the survey data is acceptable.
- 2.10 The network peak hours were calculated as 0730-0830 and 1700-1800 in the morning and evening period respectively.
- 2.11 TomTom journey time data was obtained for all neutral days within the month of November 2022. The has been utilised for journey time validation purposes.

#### Model Time Periods

- 2.12 The modelled time periods include half an of hour warm up and cool down period either side of the peak hours. Subsequently, the model covers the following time periods:
  - Weekday morning period from 0700-0900; and
  - Weekday evening period from 1630-1830.

#### Traffic Flow Calculations

- 2.13 The traffic survey were utilised to generate traffic flow diagrams for each 15 minute interval with the time periods set out above for both light and heavy vehicles respectively. As the EMG gyratory junction was surveyed on a different day, there where significant discrepencies in flows northbound/southbound along the A453 therefore the flows have factored in accordance with flows approaching M1 J24 and Finger Farm Roundabout to ensure consistency for OD matrix estimation
- 2.14 The flows for the ahead and merge/diverge proportions have been calculated using counts that are avabilities on the WebTRIS website. **Tables 1** and **2** illustrate the calculations undertaken to derive the flows along the motorway as well as the split of traffic at the merges and diverges.

		WebT	RIS		Divided By 4 (each 15 interval)							
<b>Time Periods</b>	North	oound	South	bound	North	bound	Southbound					
	Lights	Heavies	Lights	Heavies	Lights	Heavies	Lights	Heavies				
07:00-08:00	2275	605	4471	643	569	151	1118	161				
08:00-09:00	2463	442	4069	581	616	111	1017	145				
16:00-17:00	4132	502	3740	518	1033	126	935	130				
17:00-18:00	4127	416	3863	491	1032	104	966	123				
18:00-19:00	3307	290	3113	410	827	73	778	103				

#### Table 3: Hourly WebTRIS Flows



		Web	TRIS			% S	plit		MI22IV otni stugal					
<b>Time Periods</b>	Northk	bound	Southbound		North	bound	South	bound		inpois ini	0 133111			
	A42	M1	A42	M1	A42	M1	A42	M1	B-G	B-H	G-B	H-B		
07:00 - 07:15	463	942	446	1028	33%	67%	30%	70%	780	338	381	187		
07:15 - 07:30	441	895	477	1024	33%	67%	32%	68%	763	355	381	188		
07:30 - 07:45	466	926	455	937	33%	67%	33%	67%	752	365	378	190		
07:45 - 08:00	428	794	455	921	35%	65%	33%	67%	748	370	370	199		
08:00 - 08:15	374	777	432	790	32%	68%	35%	65%	658	360	416	200		
08:15 - 08:30	360	727	388	714	33%	67%	35%	65%	659	358	412	204		
08:30 - 08:45	368	688	395	760	35%	65%	34%	66%	669	348	401	215		
08:45 - 09:00	358	645	371	719	36%	64%	34%	66%	671	346	396	220		
16:30 - 16:45	646	1148	547	902	36%	64%	38%	62%	582	353	661	372		
16:45 - 17:00	525	1079	517	945	33%	67%	35%	65%	604	331	695	338		
17:00 - 17:15	545	1145	542	944	32%	68%	36%	64%	614	352	699	333		
17:15 - 17:30	571	1196	539	974	32%	68%	36%	64%	622	344	698	333		
17:30 - 17:45	497	1301	579	887	28%	72%	39%	61%	584	381	747	285		
17:45 - 18:00	615	1140	494	829	35%	65%	37%	63%	605	361	670	362		
18:00 - 18:15	524	1040	442	795	34%	66%	36%	64%	500	278	550	277		
18:15 - 18:30	464	945	417	718	33%	67%	37%	63%	492	286	554	272		

#### Table 4: 15-minute WebTRIS Flows

2.15 Each 15-minute interval has been inputed into a skeleton LinSig model of the assessment area for both lights and heavies vehicles to allow LinSig's matrix estimation function to generate OD matrices that can be inputted into VISSIM.

#### Traffic Signals

- 2.16 A copy of the MOVA files for M1 J24 and the EMG gyratory were obtained from NH and the model has been updated to utilise the latest files.
- 2.17 PCMOVA has been utilised to replicate the the signal operation of the M1 J24 and EMG gyratory. The video footage of the junction was compared with the signal operation in VISSIM and it was concluded that this was reflective of on site behaviour.
- 2.18 MOVA data sets were not available for EMG West Steam 3, East Stream 3 and M1 J24 West Stream 4, therefore, VisVAP program has been ustilised to set up the exit/crossing signal controllers and subsequently link them to the respective MOVA junctions using detectors.

## 3. Network Development

3.1 A number of changes have been made to the original base model to ensure calibration & validation of the model. These include changes to reduced speed areas, desired speed distributions, priority rules, conflict area and link/flare usage. Details of these have been provided below.

#### Desired Speed Distribution And Reduced Speed Area

- 3.2 Initial review of the desired speed distributions utilised in the EMG Phase 1 model had been undertaken and it was noted that the speed distributions for 30, 60 and 70mph were affecting the journey times of vehicles in the model. Therefore, DfT data for the most recent year available (2021) has been obtained and new desired speed distributions have been calculated in coded into VISSIM.
- 3.3 Reduced speed areas have been retained and checked in accordance streetview, from the initial model apart from the road that connects the A50 to the M1/M1 J24. The TomTom Journey Data has been review and it was noted that the average speed traveling along this link is lower than what is sign posted and therefore amended to reflect this in the model.
- 3.4 A number of reduced speed area have been coded on to EMG gyratory around the bus stops.

#### Priority Rules & Conflict Areas

#### <u>M1 J24</u>

- 3.5 The existing priority rules and conflict areas have largely been unaltered apart from a small number of priority rules at the M1 J24 on the M1 northbound Off-Slip entry. The priority rules that have been amended are as follows:
  - 495, 496, 497, 498
- 3.6 A few priority rules have been added on the M1 southbound Off-Slip entry. The priority rules that have been added are as follows:
  - 501, 502, 502, 504

#### EMG Gyratory

- 3.7 The existing priority rules and conflict areas have largely been unaltered apart from a small number of priority rules at the EMG gyratory. The priority rules that have been amended are as follows:
  - 469, 489, 509
- 3.8 The above alterations have been undertaken to ensure no overrunning of vehicles along the circulatory carriageway.



#### Public Transport

- 3.9 A number of bus services were identified within the study area therefore these have been coded into VISSIM in accordance with the respectively timetables and route maps. The following services are included within the model:
  - EMG Shuttle Bus
  - 9 EMA Queens Hospital
  - Skylink Derby Leicester Derby
  - Skylink Derby Derby Leicester
  - Skylink Nottingham Nottingham Loughborough
  - Skylink Nottingham Loughborough Nottingham
  - Skylink Express Nottingham EMA
  - Skylink Express Nottingham EMA

## 4. Model Changes

4.1 A copy of the VISSIM model was submitted to National Highways on 31 March 2023 subsequently comments were received on 3 May 2023. Following this, a revised copy of the VISSIM models were submitted on 11 July 2023 to ensure network parameters were acceptable prior to rerunning the models for validation. Details of the changes made are provided below.

**Comment 1**: "Driver behaviour parameter should retain default values unless a reasonable justification for the changes made is provided."

Amendment 1: The driver behaviour parameters have been reverted back to defult

**Comment 2**: "It is considered that Wiedemann 74 is not suitable for use on motorway, or even dual carriageway links (away from junctions) and that a behaviour type based on Wiedemann 99 should be used."

Amendment 2: Motorway link and dual carriageway links have been amended to Wiedemann 99

**Comment 3**: "The Behaviour at Amber/Red Signal for Driver Behaviour types should be changed to 'stop' rather than 'go' as current modelled."

Amendment 3: Behaviour at Amber/Red Signal for Driver Behaviour types set to 'stop'

**Comment 4**: "The various discrepancies between the on-street highway layout and that in the model should be reviewed and corrected."

**Amendment 4**: Link arrangements have been reviews and amended to reflect exactly whats on the ground.

**Comment 5**: "The coded vehicle entry speed from Parking Lots and DSDs throughout the network need to be revised to ensure consistent implied vehicles speeds on the same stretch of highway."

**Amendment 5**: All Parking Lots and DSD have been reviewed and amended where needed to provide more realistic vehicle speeds throughout the network.

**Comment 6**: "The omission of RDAs in the locations listed in this review should be investigated and appropriate RDAs added to the network."

**Amendment 6**: RDAs have been review and added/amended throughout the network

**Comment 7**: "All discrepancies between the controller information and the modelled controller parameters, in particular the inter-green values, should be checked and corrected as appropriate."

**Amendment 7**: All Signal spec checked and amended where required including intergreens

**Comment 8**: "There appears to be differences in the call/cancel times between the controller information and those used in the model. These should be checked and corrected as appropriate."

Amendment 8: call/cancel times have been amended



**Comment 9**: "The dummy connector on the AS453 eastbound entry to Hunter Roundabout should be deleted, mainly to ensure vehicles entering the roundabout correctly give-way."

Amendment 9: call/cancel times have been amended

4.2 Further to the above, some slight modifications were requested for the models, and these changes have been incorporated into the updated VISSIM model.

## 5. ADDITIONAL MODEL CHANGES

5.1 Initial runs of the forecast modelling indicated some calibration issues, therefore, additional changes have been made to the base model. These amendments are set out below.

#### VISSIM Version

5.2 VISSIM base model revalidated in VISSIM 24. VISSIM 24 utilises all cores therefore this will reduce computation time of forecast modelling runs.

#### Links

<u>M1 J24</u>

- 5.3 M1 NB exit altered (Link 10079) to one lane to reflect existing layout.
- 5.4 Altered the M1 south approach circulatory from 2 x 2-lane links (Link 17 & Link 194) to 1 x 4-lane link (Link 17). This allows better lane utilisation.
- 5.5 M1 N approach lane connectors (Link 10016) to A453 Remembrance Way from 1 lane connectors to a 2-lane connector to reflect video observation as well as road markings.

#### EMG Gyratory

- 5.6 Altered the EMG approach from 2x2-lane links to 1x4-lane link as the former caused convergence issues in the forecast modelling scenarios.
  - Links Amended: 48, 70, 83, 576, 10481, 10482, 10487

#### Flare lengths

5.7 Flare lenths and allignments have also been reviewed and amended, the northbound approach to Finger Farm Roundabout (Link 136) and the eastbound approach to Hunters Road Roundabout (Link 212).



#### Signals

- 5.8 MOVA changes at M1 J24 special conditioning amended from call/cancel to delay/persistence. Signal linkage issues were noted in the forecast modelling scenarios. A review of the video footage indicated that the call/cancel special conditioning did not reflect the signal operation well, particularly on the southwestern quadrant of the junction. Therefore special conditioning was amended to delay/persistence which reflected the operation better.
- 5.9 BWB have had discussions with a MOVA engineer who indicated that typically if links are set to simple traffic, these are not utilised on-site. Therefore detectors associated with simple traffic at the EMG gyratory have been removed.

#### **Priority Rules**

5.10 Some of the priority were slightly misaligned at the Finger Farm Roundabout causing vehicles to change lanes at the approach to the circulatory. Therefore, these have been repositioned to ensure no unnecessary lane changes.

#### Route Costs

5.11 As the M1 J24 VISSIM model uses dynamic assignment, there are two routes which can be utilised to access M1 J24 from M1 South in particular, one to travel up the M1 and the other to route via the A453. Therefore to calibrate the turning movements and proportion of traffic utilising M1 and A453 to access J24, a cost of 75/km was assigned to link number 184. Subsequently the cost and path files were converged.



## 6. MODEL CALIBRATION

#### Traffic Data

6.1 A skeleton LinSig model of the VISSIM network was built and Lights/Heavies turning movements were input into LinSig at 15-minute intervals. LinSig matrix estimation was subsequently used to generate synthetic OD matrices for input into the VISSIM model.

#### Convergence

- 6.2 The base model has been developed using dynamic assignment therefore models have been converged prior to extracting results from the model. TfL traffic modelling guidelines indicates that a model is converged if:
  - 95% of all path traffic volumes change by less than 5% for at least four consecutive iterations; and
  - 95% of travel times on all paths change by less than 20% for at least four consecutive iterations.
- 6.3 A summary of the convergence is presented in Table 5 below.

Sine Dun	Traffic Vo	olume	Travel Time on Paths		
Sim Kun	AM	PM	AM	PM	
1	96%	98%	98%	97%	
2	96%	97%	98%	96%	
3	97%	98%	97%	95%	
4	96%	96%	96%	96%	
5	98%	97%	95%	95%	
6	96%	97%	99%	97%	
7	99%	96%	98%	97%	
8	98%	97%	97%	98%	
9	98%	97%	98%	99%	
10	99%	98%	97%	99%	

#### Table 5: Model Convergence Summary

6.4 Based on the above, it is considered that both morning and evening peak hour models are converged.

#### Simulation

6.5 Ten iterations of each of the models were run starting at a random seed of 42 and increasing by 5 each interaction. The network performance parameter 'average delay per vehicle' was obtained for each run. The mean of the 10 runs was found for each option and the average was selected for calibration. The results of this process are presented in **Table 6** below.

	AM PEAK	PM PEAK
seed value	Average Delay per Vehicle	Average Delay per Vehicle
42	46.170	61.581
47	44.658	71.990
52	44.487	60.368
57	47.306	62.967
62	46.182	67.577
67	46.161	65.721
72	50.306	67.168
77	45.939	70.858
82	47.814	67.147
87	46.965	55.121
Average	46.60	65.05
SD	1.58	4.84
Confidence	0.98	3.00

#### Table 6: Network Performance

- 6.6 The stability of the models was judged using the Chi2 goodness of fit test which seeks to demonstrate that statistically the different model runs pass the goodness of fit null hypothesis that there is no significant difference in average delays between the seed values, thus demonstrating stability.
- 6.7 **Table 7** below demonstrate that, using the Chi2 distribution, in the morning and evening peak models pass the Chi2 goodness of fit test for respective degrees of freedom. The models therefore exhibit suitable stability/repeatability and are fit for purpose.

	MORNING PEAK					EVEN	IING PEA	к		
Seed	Observed	Expected	о-е	(o-e)2	(o-e)2/e	Observed	Expected	о-е	(o-e)2	(o-e)2/e
42	46.170	46.558	-0.388	0	0.003	61.581	65.050	-3.468	12.030	0.185
47	44.658	46.558	-1.900	4	0.078	71.990	65.050	6.940	48.162	0.740
52	44.487	46.558	-2.071	4	0.092	60.368	65.050	-4.682	21.922	0.337
57	47.306	46.558	0.748	1	0.012	62.967	65.050	-2.083	4.338	0.067
62	46.182	46.558	-0.376	0	0.003	67.577	65.050	2.527	6.386	0.098
67	46.161	46.558	-0.397	0	0.003	65.721	65.050	0.671	0.451	0.007
72	50.306	46.558	3.748	14	0.302	67.168	65.050	2.119	4.488	0.069
77	45.939	46.558	-0.619	0	0.008	70.858	65.050	5.808	33.735	0.519
82	47.814	46.558	1.256	2	0.034	67.147	65.050	2.097	4.399	0.068
87	46.965	46.558	0.407	0	0.004	55.121	65.050	-9.929	98.585	1.516
					0.539					3.605
	chi critical	0.05				chi critical	0.05			
	DF	(n-1)	9	=	16.919	DF	(n-1)	9	=	16.919

#### Table 7: Summary of Seed Stability Assessment

Pass as 0.539 is less than 16.919

Pass as 3.605 is less than 16.919

TABLE 2: SUMMARY OF SEED STABILITY ASSESSMENT

#### Network Performance

6.8 **Table 8** presents a summary of the average Network Performance information.



#### Table 8: Network Performance

	AM	PM
Average Delay (s)	55	46
Average Speed (mph)	48	50
Vehicles Arrived	18670	18712
Latent Demand	0.5	0.9

6.9 **Table 8** illustrates that there is minimal latent demand in the morning peak hour period however a review of the error logs indicate that by the end of the cool down period, all vehicles are able to enter the VISSIM network.

#### **Calibration Methodology**

- 6.10 The Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB) defines model calibration as "the process of adjusting the parameters used in the various mathematical relationships within the model to reflect the data as well as is necessary to reflect the model objectives". The model calibration process ensures that model has the ability to exhibit characteristics that accurately compare with observed data.
- 6.11 The model calibration has been undertaken over a model period of 1.0 hour in the morning peak (07:30 08:30) and 1 hour in the evening peak (17:00 18:00). These periods of calibration do not include the 'warm up' and 'cool down' period before and after the identified 07:30 08:30 and 17:00 18:00 morning and evening peak hours.

#### Traffic Flow Calibration

- 6.12 The Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB) defines model calibration as "the process of adjusting the parameters used in the various mathematical relationships within the model to reflect the data as well as is necessary to reflect the model objectives". The model calibration process ensures that the model has the ability to exhibit characteristics that accurately compare with observed data.
- 6.13 DfT Transport Analysis Guidance (TAG) states that the calibration of traffic data in a model should be based on the Geffrey E.Havers (GEH) statistic, and states that modelled flows must have a GEH value of less than 5 in at least 85% of the cases.
- 6.14 The turning count calibration for the base model has been based on the average of all simulation runs. **Table** 9 provides a summary of the comparison between the observed and modelled total turning movements within the model.

	Total Turns	Counts GEH<5
Morning Peak	59	88%
Evening Peak	59	94%

#### Table 9: Summary of Traffic Flow Calibration

6.15 The above table represent a pass rate of over 85% for a GEH of less than 5 in both peak hour periods. The model is therefore considered to be fit for purpose. A copy of the full output is presented in **Appendix 1**.



## 7. MODEL VALIDATION

#### Introduction

7.1 TAG Unit M3.1 states that "for journey time calibration, the measure which should be used is: the percentage difference between modelled and observed journey times, subject to an absolute maximum difference". Subsequently, Table 3 of TAG states that the "modelled times along routes should be within 15% of surveyed times or 1 minute, if higher than 15%."

#### **Travel Time Survey**

- 7.2 TomTom journey time survey was obtained at 15 minute intervals for the neutral days within the month of November 2023 during the peak hours.
- 7.3 **Figure 4** and **5** provides routes utilised for journey time validation.



#### Figure 4: Journey Time Routes (1 - 10)







- 7.4 Details of the origin and destination of the routes identified above is provided below:
  - Route 1 A50 to M1 South
  - Route 2 M1 North to M1 South
  - Route 3 A453 Rememberance Way to A42
  - Route 4 Kegworth Bypass to A42
  - Route 5 M1 North to A42
  - Route 6 M1 North to Derby Road
  - Route 7 A453 Rememberance Way to A453 EMA
  - Route 8 M1 North to A453 Rememberance Way
  - Route 9 Kegworth Bypass to M1 South
  - Route 10 M1 South to Kegworth Bypass
  - Route 11 Derby Road to M1 North
  - Route 12 M1 South to A50
  - Route 13 M1 South to M1 North
  - Route 14 A42 to A453 Rememberance Way via A453



- Route 15 A42 to Kegworth Bypass
- Route 16 A453 EMA to A453 Rememberance Way
- Route 17 A42 to A50 via A453
- Route 18 A42 to A453 Rememberance Way via M1
- Route 19 M1 South to A453 Rememberance Way via M1
- Route 20 M1 South to Derby Road via M1

#### Validation Results

7.5 Model validation has been undertaken using 10 simulation seed runs as illustrated in **Table 7**. The average journey time for each full route has been compared with the surveyed journey times and the resultant output is presented in **Table 10** below.

	AM			PM				
Route	Observed	Modelled	% Difference	Observed	Modelled	% Difference		
1	489	356	-27.3%	413	330	-20.2%		
2	358	337	-6.0%	352	317	-9.9%		
3	318	308	-3.0%	353	316	-10.5%		
4	271	303	11.8%	269	295	9.8%		
5	377	321	-14.8%	359	314	-12.5%		
6	311	285	-8.5%	299	263	-12.2%		
7	397	402	1.2%	445	374	-15.9%		
8	271	280	3.2%	255	259	1.6%		
9	293	321	9.5%	294	289	-1.8%		
10	318	363	14.0%	338	356	5.3%		
11	325	348	7.0%	394	342	-13.3%		
12	374	430	15.0%	408	365	-10.4%		
13	331	329	-0.7%	397	339	-14.7%		
14	393	428	8.9%	427	403	-5.7%		
15	293	346	18.2%	314	313	-0.3%		
16	389	406	4.5%	443	378	-14.6%		
17	343	349	1.7%	414	361	-12.7%		
18	372	362	-2.7%	370	341	-7.9%		
19	393	378	-3.8%	394	371	-5.9%		
20	433	374	-13.7%	438	389	-11.1%		

#### Table 10: Travel Time Validation

#### Table 11: Travel Time Validation Summary

	<15%				
	AM	PM			
Fail	2	2			
Pass	18	18			
Total	20	20			
%	90%	90%			



7.6 **Table 8** illustrates that the over 85% of the journey times validate within 15% therefore it is considered the model is fit for purpose for future year assessment.



## 8. SUMMARY & CONCLUSIONS

- 8.1 BWB Consulting Ltd (BWB) has been appointed by SEGRO (the Applicant) to produce a microsimulation traffic model of the M1 Junction 24, in support of an outline planning application for the Phase 2 Expansion of the East Midlands Gateway (EMG) site.
- 8.2 The proposed development seeks outline planning permission via a Development Consent Order for a large warehousing and distribution development, as an extension to the existing EMG1 Strategic Rail Freight Interchange. It comprises 430,000sqm of industrial development across the following sites:
  - 400,000sqm of B2/B8 industrial development on EMG2, including 100,000sqm of B8 mezzanine floorspace.
  - 30,000sqm of B8 industrial development on Plot 16 of EMG1.
- 8.3 Traffic surveys were undertaken in November 2022 and subsequently a base VISSIM model of the study area has been developed by BWB.
- 8.4 The model was calibrated using observed and modelled turning movements during the peak hours at a 15-minute interval. These were assessed against DfT modelling guidelines and it was concluded that the base model satisfies both criterias.
- 8.5 Modelled journey times indicate that over 85% of these validate within 15% of observed data therefore it is considered the model is 'fit for purpose'.



APPENDICES



#### APPENDIX 1: TURNING COUNT CALIBRATION

AM Peak		Survey Flow	VISSIM Flow	Difference (M - C)	% Difference	GEH	GEH <5	
Junction	From	То						
Junction 1	A453 (N)	M1 J23A Access	560	176	384	218%	20.02	Fail
Junction 1	A453 (N)	Donington Services Access	67	82	-15	-18%	1.74	Pass
Junction 1	A453 (N)	A453 (W)	420	591	-171	-29%	7.61	Fail
Junction 1	M1 J23A Access	Donington Services Access	134	123	11	9%	0.97	Pass
Junction 1	M1 J23A Access	A453 (W)	475	403	72	18%	3.44	Pass
Junction 1	M1 J23A Access	A453 (N)	952	759	193	25%	6.60	Fail
Junction 1	Donington Services Access	A453 (W)	55	32	23	72%	3.49	Pass
Junction 1	Donington Services Access	A453 (N)	89	103	-14	-14%	1.43	Pass
Junction 1	Donington Services Access	M1 J23A Access	112	115	-3	-3%	0.28	Pass
Junction 1	A453 (W)	A453 (N)	408	498	-90	-18%	4.23	Pass
Junction 1	A453 (W)	M1 J23A Access	249	164	85	52%	5.92	Fail
Junction 1	A453 (W)	Donington Services Access	23	10	13	130%	3.20	Pass
Junction 2	M1 J24 (N)	A453 (N)	900	979	-79	-8%	2.58	Pass
Junction 2	M1 J24 (N)	To Derby Road	526	557	-31	-6%	1.33	Pass
Junction 2	M1 J24 (N)	To M1 J24 (S)	0	0	0	0%	0.00	Pass
Junction 2	M1 J24 (N)	A453 (S)	542	520	22	4%	0.95	Pass
Junction 2	M1 J24 (N)	A50	321	319	2	1%	0.11	Pass
Junction 2	M1 I24 (N)	Hilton Hotel Lane	14	17	-3	-18%	0.76	Pass
Junction 2	A453 (N)	Derby Road	60	54	6	11%	0.79	Pass
Junction 2	A453 (N)	M1 124 (S)	483	555	-72	-1.3%	3.16	Pass
Junction 2	A453 (N)	Δ453 (S)	424	356	68	19%	3.44	Pass
Junction 2	A453 (N)	A50	246	245	1	0%	0.06	Pass
Junction 2	A453 (N)	Hilton Hotel Lane	14	14	0	0%	0.00	Pass
Junction 2	A453 (N)		187	191	-1	-2%	0.00	Pass
Junction 2	Derby Road	M1 124 (N)	54	64	-4	-278	1.30	Pass
Junction 2	Derby Road	NII J24 (3)	174	144	-10	-10%	2.01	Pars
Junction 2	Derby Road	A455 (5)	1/4	04	12	17/0	1.20	Para
Junction 2	Derby Road	A30	107	74	13	097	0.00	P USS
Junction 2	Derby Road		2		0	0%	0.00	Puss
Junction 2	Derby Road	MI J24 (N)	61	6/	-0	-9%	0.75	Puss
Junction 2		A453 (N)	75	/1	4	6% 0.5%	0.4/	POSS
Junction 2	M1 J24 (S)	A453 (5)	5	34	-29	-83%	6.5/	Fall
Junction 2	M1 J24 (S)	ASU	1150	1132	18	2%	0.53	Pass
Junction 2	M1 J24 (S)	Hilton Hotel Lane	21	23	-2	-9%	0.43	Pass
Junction 2	M1 J24 (S)	M1 J24 (N)	5	0	5	0%	3.16	Pass
Junction 2	M1 J24 (S)	A453 (N)	/31	811	-80	-10%	2.88	Pass
Junction 2	M1 J24 (S)	Derby Road	98	105	-/	-/%	0.69	Pass
Junction 2	A453 (S)	A50	95/	1025	-68	-/%	2.16	Pass
Junction 2	A453 (S)	Hilton Hotel Lane	6	9	-3	-33%	1.10	Pass
Junction 2	A453 (S)	M1 J24 (N)	240	152	88	58%	6.29	Fail
Junction 2	A453 (S)	A453 (N)	232	228	4	2%	0.26	Pass
Junction 2	A453 (S)	Derby Road	30	23	7	30%	1.36	Pass
Junction 2	Hilton Hotel Lane	M1 J24 (N)	5	5	0	0%	0.00	Pass
Junction 2	Hilton Hotel Lane	A453 (N)	63	59	4	7%	0.51	Pass
Junction 2	Hilton Hotel Lane	Derby Road	9	9	0	0%	0.00	Pass
Junction 2	Hilton Hotel Lane	M1 J24 (S)	23	22	1	5%	0.21	Pass
Junction 2	Hilton Hotel Lane	A453 (S)	17	15	2	13%	0.50	Pass
Junction 2	Hilton Hotel Lane	A50	9	12	-3	-25%	0.93	Pass
Junction 3	A453 (N)	A6 Kegworth Bypass	54	91	-37	-41%	4.35	Pass
Junction 3	A453 (N)	A453 (S)	393	603	-210	-35%	9.41	Fail
Junction 3	A453 (N)	Wilders Way	323	374	-51	-14%	2.73	Pass
Junction 3	A6 Kegworth Bypass	A453 (S)	142	162	-20	-12%	1.62	Pass
Junction 3	A6 Kegworth Bypass	Wilders Way	415	382	33	9%	1.65	Pass
Junction 3	A6 Kegworth Bypass	A453 (N)	369	339	30	9%	1.59	Pass
Junction 3	A453 (S)	Wilders Way	219	264	-45	-17%	2.90	Pass
Junction 3	A453 (S)	A453 (N)	1014	1016	-2	0%	0.06	Pass
Junction 3	A453 (S)	A6 Kegworth Bypass	127	112	15	13%	1.37	Pass
Junction 3	Wilders Way	A453 (N)	107	86	21	24%	2.14	Pass
Junction 3	Wilders Way	A6 Kegworth Bypass	7	5	2	40%	0.82	Pass
Junction 3	Wilders Way	A453 (S)	80	88	-8	-9%	0.87	Pass



	<5
Fail	7
ass	52
otal	59
%	88%

AM Peak		Survey Flow	VISSIM Flow	Difference (M - C)	% Difference	GEH	GEH <5	
Junction	From	То						
Junction 1	A453 (N)	M1 J23A Access	367	209	158	76%	9.31	Fail
Junction 1	A453 (N)	Donington Services Access	88	73	15	21%	1.67	Pass
Junction 1	A453 (N)	A453 (W)	178	187	-9	-5%	0.67	Pass
Junction 1	M1 J23A Access	Donington Services Access	138	137	1	1%	0.09	Pass
Junction 1	M1 J23A Access	A453 (W)	420	406	14	3%	0.69	Pass
Junction 1	M1 J23A Access	A453 (N)	771	767	4	1%	0.14	Pass
Junction 1	Donington Services Access	A453 (W)	53	60	-7	-12%	0.93	Pass
Junction 1	Donington Services Access	A453 (N)	88	95	-7	-7%	0.73	Pass
Junction 1	Donington Services Access	M1 J23A Access	124	146	-22	-15%	1.89	Pass
Junction 1	A453 (W)	A453 (N)	587	498	89	18%	3.82	Pass
Junction 1	A453 (W)	M1 J23A Access	253	316	-63	-20%	3.74	Pass
Junction 1	A453 (W)	Donington Services Access	51	59	-8	-14%	1.08	Pass
Junction 2	M1 J24 (N)	A453 (N)	907	949	-42	-4%	1.38	Pass
Junction 2	M1 J24 (N)	To Derby Road	545	528	17	3%	0.73	Pass
Junction 2	M1 J24 (N)	To M1 J24 (S)	4	0	4	0%	2.83	Pass
Junction 2	M1 J24 (N)	A453 (S)	182	141	41	29%	3.23	Pass
Junction 2	M1 J24 (N)	A50	240	221	19	9%	1.25	Pass
Junction 2	M1 J24 (N)	Hilton Hotel Lane	10	9	1	11%	0.32	Pass
Junction 2	A453 (N)	Derby Road	74	72	2	3%	0.23	Pass
Junction 2	A453 (N)	M1 J24 (S)	813	865	-52	-6%	1.80	Pass
Junction 2	A453 (N)	A453 (S)	294	356	-62	-17%	3.44	Pass
Junction 2	A453 (N)	A50	405	376	29	8%	1.47	Pass
Junction 2	A453 (N)	Hilton Hotel Lane	11	9	2	22%	0.63	Pass
Junction 2	A453 (N)	M1 J24 (N)	263	269	-6	-2%	0.37	Pass
Junction 2	Derby Road	M1 J24 (S)	51	59	-8	-14%	1.08	Pass
Junction 2	Derby Road	A453 (S)	88	87	1	1%	0.11	Pass
Junction 2	Derby Road	A50	135	133	2	2%	0.17	Pass
Junction 2	Derby Road	Hilton Hotel Lane	2	2	0	0%	0.00	Pass
Junction 2	Derby Road	M1 J24 (N)	87	89	-2	-2%	0.21	Pass
Junction 2	Derby Road	A453 (N)	57	61	-4	-7%	0.52	Pass
Junction 2	M1 J24 (S)	A453 (S)	5	1	4	400%	2.31	Pass
Junction 2	M1 J24 (S)	A50	1089	980	109	11%	3.39	Pass
Junction 2	M1 J24 (S)	Hilton Hotel Lane	14	15	-1	-7%	0.26	Pass
Junction 2	M1 J24 (S)	M1 J24 (N)	0	0	0	0%	0.00	Pass
Junction 2	M1 J24 (S)	A453 (N)	528	686	-158	-23%	6.41	Fail
Junction 2	M1 J24 (S)	Derby Road	76	95	-19	-20%	2.05	Pass
Junction 2	A453 (S)	A50	999	875	124	14%	4.05	Pass
Junction 2	A453 (S)	Hilton Hotel Lane	5	5	0	0%	0.00	Pass
Junction 2	A453 (S)	M1 J24 (N)	323	347	-24	-7%	1.31	Pass
Junction 2	A453 (S)	A453 (N)	256	269	-13	-5%	0.80	Pass
Junction 2	A453 (S)	Derby Road	41	33	8	24%	1.32	Pass
Junction 2	Hilton Hotel Lane	M1 J24 (N)	15	15	0	0%	0.00	Pass
Junction 2	Hilton Hotel Lane	A453 (N)	20	21	-1	-5%	0.22	Pass
Junction 2	Hilton Hotel Lane	Derby Road	10	10	0	0%	0.00	Pass
Junction 2	Hilton Hotel Lane	M1 J24 (S)	8	7	1	14%	0.37	Pass
Junction 2	Hilton Hotel Lane	A453 (S)	4	3	1	33%	0.53	Pass
Junction 2	Hilton Hotel Lane	A50	11	10	1	10%	0.31	Pass
Junction 3	A453 (N)	A6 Kegworth Bypass	77	94	-17	-18%	1.84	Pass
Junction 3	A453 (N)	A453 (S)	305	194	111	57%	7.03	Fail
Junction 3	A453 (N)	Wilders Way	243	223	20	9%	1.31	Pass
Junction 3	A6 Kegworth Bypass	A453 (S)	132	139	-7	-5%	0.60	Pass
Junction 3	A6 Kegworth Bypass	Wilders Way	402	388	14	4%	0.70	Pass
Junction 3	A6 Kegworth Bypass	A453 (N)	389	388	1	0%	0.05	Pass
Junction 3	A453 (S)	Wilders Way	90	136	-46	-34%	4.33	Pass
Junction 3	A453 (S)	A453 (N)	1026	906	120	13%	3.86	Pass
Junction 3	A453 (S)	A6 Kegworth Bypass	187	328	-141	-43%	8.79	Fail
Junction 3	Wilders Way	A453 (N)	221	214	7	3%	0.47	Pass
Junction 3	Wilders Way	A6 Kegworth Bypass	19	17	2	12%	0.47	Pass
Junction 3	Wilders Way	A453 (S)	140	138	2	1%	0.17	Pass



	<5
Fail	2
ass	45
otal	47
%	96%






www.bwbconsulting.com



APPENDIX 5: Base Model Validation Report (document reference EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0007_S2-P4)



PROJECT NAME	East Midlands Gateway Phase 2		
DOCUMENT NUMBER	EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0007	BWB REF	220500
AUTHOR	Charlie Cresswell	STATUS	S2
CHECKED	Vibeeshan Devaharan	REVISION	P4
APPROVED	Matt Corner	DATE	31/05/2024

# 1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 BWB Consulting Ltd has been in pre-application discussions with the Transport Working Group (TWG) on a proposed Phase 2 Expansion of the East Midlands Gateway site located to the south of the A453 and East Midlands Airport in Leicestershire. BWB has proposed that the following 17 junctions, as presented in **Figure 1**, would be modelled as part of the Transport Assessment.
  - Junction 1: A453/Site Access Roundabout (Leicestershire)
  - Junction 2: A453/Hunter Road Roundabout (Leicestershire)
  - Junction 3: Finger Farm Roundabout (National Highways)
  - Junction 4: A453/EMGP1 Signal Gyratory (National Highways)
  - Junction 5: M1 Junction 24 (National Highways)
  - Junction 6: A453/East Midlands Airport Signal Junction (Leicestershire)
  - Junction 7: A453/Grimes Gate Priority Junction (Leicestershire)
  - Junction 8: A453/The Green Priority Junction (Leicestershire)
  - Junction 9: A453/East Midlands Airport Roundabout (Leicestershire)
  - Junction 10: A453/Walton Hill Signal Junction (Leicestershire)
  - Junction 11: A42 Junction 14 on-slip/Top Brand/Gelscoe Lane Roundabout (National Highways)
  - Junction 12: M1 Junction 23 (National Highways)
  - Junction 13: A50 Junction 1 (National Highways)
  - Junction 14: M1 Junction 25 (National Highways)
  - Junction 15: Station Road/Broad Rushes Roundabout (Leicestershire)
  - Junction 16: A453/Kegworth Road roundabout (Nottinghamshire)
  - Junction 17: A453/Barton Lane/West Leake Lane dumbbell roundabouts (Nottinghamshire)
- 1.2 This Technical Note summarises the validation process undertaken of the base junction models (Junctions 10 and LinSig software) to demonstrate they are suitable ahead of testing the future forecast traffic flows. It should be noted that Junctions 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 are being modelled in VISSIM, of which the base model has already been agreed (report ref: EMG2-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0006_VISSIM_LMVR) and so these junctions are not covered in this report.

# EMG2 BASE MODEL VALIDATION

EAST MIDLANDS GATEWAY PHASE 2





1.3 A copy of the base model was initially submitted to NH on 26/01/2024 subsequently comments were received which have also been addressed in this technical note.

# 2. TRAFFIC SURVEYS

2.1 Manual classified turning counts were undertaken in November 2022 and May 2023 at the above 17 junctions. The surveys were undertaken between 0700 to 1000 hours and 1600 to 1900 hours and included a recording of queue lengths at 5-minute intervals. Video footage has also been supplied for the majority of junctions for the purposes of calculating green times and saturation flows for validating the base LinSig models. The surveys were undertaken on the following dates:

#### November 2023 Surveys

- Junction 2: A453/Hunter Road roundabout
- Junction 3: Finger Farm roundabout
- Junction 4: EMGP1 gyratory
- Junction 5: M1 Junction 24
- Junction 6: A453/Grimes Gate priority junction
- Junction 7: A453/The Green priority junction



- Junction 8: A453/East Midlands Airport signal junction
- Junction 9: A453/East Midlands Airport roundabout
- Junction 10: A453/Walton Hill signal junction
- Junction 12: M1 Junction 23

#### <u>May 2023 Surveys</u>

- Junction 11: A42 Junction 14 on-slip/A453/Top Brand/Gelscoe Lane roundabout
- Junction 13: A50 Junction 1
- Junction 14: M1 Junction 25
- Junction 15: Station Road/Broad Rushes roundabout (Castle Donnington)
- Junction 16: A453/Kegworth Road roundabout
- Junction 17: A453/Barton Lane/West Leake Lane dumbbell roundabouts

# 3. PRIORITY JUNCTIONS

### Introduction

- 3.1 This following section summarises the base modelling results and validation of the priority-controlled junctions which have been built using TRL's Junctions 10 software, and are as follows:
  - Junction 7 A453/Grimes Gate priority junction
  - Junction 8 A453/The Green priority junction
  - Junction 9 A453/East Midlands Airport roundabout
  - Junction 11 A42 Junction 14 on-slip/Top Brand/Gelscoe Lane roundabout
  - Junction 15 Station Road/Broad Rushes roundabout
  - Junction 16: A453/Kegworth Road roundabout
  - Junction 17: A453/Barton Lane/West Leake Lane dumbbell roundabouts
- 3.2 Whilst there are no specific guidelines/thresholds for validating priority junctions, for the purposes of this assessment, the intention was to validate the models so that average observed versus modelled queues are within 2 passenger car units (PCUs).



### Junction 7 - A453/Grimes Gate Priority Junction

3.3 The junction model has been created using the typical junction measurements that Junctions 10 software requires. The 2023 observed traffic flow movements are summarised in **Table 1**.

	AM Peak						
	A453 (E)	Grimes Gate	A453 (W)	Total			
A453 (E)	0	20	296	316			
Grimes Gate	64	0	6	70			
A453 (W)	547	7	0	554			
Total	611	27	302	940			
		PM Peak					
		PM F	'eak				
	A453 (E)	PM F Grimes Gate	Peak A453 (W)	Total			
A453 (E)	<b>A453 (E)</b> 0	PM F Grimes Gate 74	<b>Peak</b> A453 (W) 408	Total 482			
A453 (E) Grimes Gate	A453 (E) 0 28	PM F Grimes Gate 74 0	Peak A453 (W) 408 9	<b>Total</b> 482 37			
A453 (E) Grimes Gate A453 (W)	A453 (E) 0 28 318	PM F Grimes Gate 74 0 12	Peak A453 (W) 408 9 0	Total           482           37           330			

### Table 1. Junction 7 2023 Observed Traffic Flows (PCUs)

3.4 In order to validate the model, the 2023 observed queues have been compared against the modelled. These are presented in **Table 2** below.

#### Table 2. Junction 7 Queue Comparison

A	AM			РМ		
AIM	Observed	Modelled	Difference	Observed	Modelled	Difference
Grimes Gate (Left Turn)	0.2	0.0	-0.2	0.4	0.0	-0.4
Grimes Gate (Right Turn)	2.1	0.2	-1.9	1.2	0.1	-1.1

- 3.5 The results show that queue lengths on all arms compare well with the observed data and validates well for both the morning and evening peak periods. Therefore, this model is considered suitable for testing the future forecast traffic flows.
- 3.6 The full model extracts and junction geometries are provided within **Appendix 1**.

### Junction 8 - A453/The Green Junction

3.7 The junction model has been created using the typical junction measurements that Junctions 10 software. The observed traffic flow movements are summarised below in **Table 3**.



	AM Peak						
	A453 (E)	The Green	A453 (W)	Total			
A453 (E)	0	12	290	302			
The Green	18	0	85	103			
A453 (W)	536	73	0	609			
Total	554	85	375	1014			
	PM Peak						
		PM F	<b>'eak</b>				
	A453 (E)	PM F The Green	² eak A453 (W)	Total			
A453 (E)	A453 (E)	PM F The Green 12	<b>Peak</b> A453 (W) 405	Total 417			
A453 (E) The Green	A453 (E) 0 14	PM F The Green 12 0	<b>Peak</b> A453 (W) 405 58	<b>Total</b> 417 72			
A453 (E) The Green A453 (W)	A453 (E) 0 14 316	PM F The Green 12 0 104	<b>Peak</b> A453 (W) 405 58 0	<b>Total</b> 417 72 420			

### Table 3. Junction 8 2023 Observed Flows (PCUs)

3.8 The 2023 observed queues have been compared to the modelled queues. The results are presented in **Table 4** below.

#### Table 4. Junction 8 Queue Comparison

٨	АМ			РМ		
AIIII	Observed	Modelled	Difference	Observed	Modelled	Difference
The Green	2.1	0.2	-1.9	2.2	0.2	-2.0

- 3.9 The results show that queue lengths on all arms compare well with the observed data and validates well for both the morning and evening peak periods. Therefore, this model is considered suitable for testing the future forecast traffic flows.
- 3.10 The full model extracts and junction geometries are provided within **Appendix 2**.

#### Junction 9 - A453/EMA Roundabout

3.11 The junction model has been created using the typical junction measurements that Junctions 10 software requires.

#### Model Calibration

- 3.12 As both A453 arm of the roundabout are 2 lane entries and exits there is the potential for unequal lane usage. As result of this the junction has been modelled using Junctions 10 lane simulation mode which allows modelling for unequal lane usage.
- 3.13 The video of the junction has been reviewed to determine the level of traffic which use each lane. The videos showed that approximately 20% of traffic used the second lane. As a result in the Junctions 10 model the Traffic Considering Secondary Lanes Parameter has been set to 20%.
- 3.14 The observed traffic flow movements are summarised below in Table 5.



	AM Peak						
	EMA Access	A453 (E)	A453 (W)	Total			
EMA Access	0	64	60	124			
A453 (E)	219	0	156	375			
A453 (W)	240	545	0	785			
Total	459	609	216	1284			
	PM Peak						
		PM F	<b>°</b> eak				
	EMA Access	PM F A453 (E)	Peak A453 (W)	Total			
EMA Access	EMA Access 0	PM I A453 (E) 162	<b>Peak</b> A453 (W) 221	Total 383			
EMA Access A453 (E)	EMA Access 0 93	PM F A453 (E) 162 0	<b>Peak</b> A453 (W) 221 364	<b>Total</b> 383 457			
EMA Access A453 (E) A453 (W)	<b>EMA Access</b> 0 93 134	PM F A453 (E) 162 0 258	Peak A453 (W) 221 364 0	Total           383           457           392			

### Table 5. Junction 9 2023 Observed Flows (PCUs)

3.15 The 2023 observed queues have been compared to the modelled queues and a summary of this is presented in **Table 6** below.

#### Table 6. Junction 9 Queue Comparison

A	AM			РМ		
Arm	Observed	Modelled	Difference	Observed	Modelled	Difference
EMA Access	1.2	0.2	-1	1.3	0.4	-0.9
A453 (E)	0.6	1.0	0.4	1.3	0.9	-0.4
A453 (W)	1.7	2.1	0.4	1	0.8	-0.2

- 3.16 The results show that queue lengths on all arms compare well with the observed data and validates well for both the morning and evening peak periods. Therefore, this model is considered suitable for testing the future forecast traffic flows.
- 3.17 The full model extracts and junction geometries are provided within **Appendix 3**.

### Junction 11 - A453/Gelscoe Lane/Top Brand roundabout (near A42)

3.18 The A453/Gelscoe Lane/Top Brand roundabout includes an exit only arm; therefore in the Junctions 10 software the exit only option has been selected which registers this and prohibits flows being inputted in the O-D matrices. All other arms have been built using the standard measurements in Junctions 10.

#### Model Calibration

3.19 The junction has been modelled using Junctions 10 lane simulation mode which allows modelling for unequal lane usage. The lanes within the model have been coded to replicate movement at a typical 2 lane entry roundabout i.e. lane 1 for left and ahead and lane 2 for right and U-turns. As there are no arrow markings on the ground the video surveys were review and illustrated that vehicles use the roundabout as set out earlier.



#### 3.20 The observed traffic flow movements are summarised within Table 7 below.

	AM Peak						
	A – Barton Lane	B – Gelscoe Lane	C – Top Brand	D – A42 Entry Slip Road			
A – Barton Lane	0	43	126	77			
B – Gelscoe Lane	19	0	12	24			
C – Top Brand	169	23	0	40			
D – A42 Entry Slip Road	0	0	0	0			
	PM Peak						
		PM P	еак				
	A – Barton Lane	PM F B – Gelscoe Lane	с – Top Brand	D – A42 Entry Slip Road			
A – Barton Lane	A – Barton Lane ()	PM F B – Gelscoe Lane 93	с – Top Brand 273	D – A42 Entry Slip Road 306			
A – Barton Lane B – Gelscoe Lane	A – Barton Lane O 23	PM F B – Gelscoe Lane 93 0	с – Top Brand 273 13	D – A42 Entry Slip Road 306 19			
A – Barton Lane B – Gelscoe Lane C – Top Brand	A – Barton Lane 0 23 56	PM F B – Gelscoe Lane 93 0 7	C – Top Brand 273 13 0	D - A42 Entry Slip Road 306 19 2			

#### Table 7. Junction 11 2023 Observed Flows (PCUs)

3.21 A comparison of the observed and modelled queues are presented in **Table 8** below.

#### Table 8. Junction 11 Queue Comparison

A #===	АМ			PM		
AIM	Observed	Modelled	Difference	Observed	Modelled	Difference
A453 (N)	0.0	0.4	0.4	0.0	1.5	1.5
Gelscoe Lane	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1
Top Brand	0.1	0.5	0.4	0.1	0.1	0.0

- 3.22 The results show that queue lengths on all arms compare well with the observed data and validates well for both the morning and evening peak periods. Therefore, this model is considered suitable for testing the future forecast traffic flows.
- 3.23 The full model extracts and junction geometries are provided within Appendix 4.

### Junction 15 - Station Road/Broad Rushes roundabout (Castle Donington)

3.24 The junction model has been created using the typical junction measurements that Junction 10 software requires.

#### Model Calibration

3.25 The junction has been modelled using Junctions 10 lane simulation mode which allows modelling for unequal lane usage. The lanes within the model have been coded to replicate what the road markings show at the junction.



3.26 The observed traffic flow movements are summarised below in Table 9.

	AM Peak					
	Station Road (N)	Station Road (S)	Broad Rushes			
Station Road (N)	0	626	500			
Station Road (S)	349	0	22			
Broad Rushes	414	12	0			
		PM Peak				
	Station Road (N)	Broad Rushes	Station Road (S)			
Station Road (N)	0	551	440			
Station Road (S)	596	0	12			
Broad Rushes	490	21	0			

# Table 9 Junction 15 2023 Observed Flows (PCUs)

3.27 A comparison of the observed and modelled queues is presented in **Table 10** below.

#### Table 10. Junction 15 Queue Comparison

A	AM			РМ		
Arm	Observed	Modelled	Difference	Observed	Modelled	Difference
Station Road (N)	1.4	2.9	1.5	0.8	2.5	1.7
Broad Rushes	0.1	0.7	0.6	2.6	2.8	0.2
Station Road (S)	1.0	1.2	0.2	2.4	3.1	0.7

- 3.28 The results show that queue lengths on all arms compare well with the observed data and validates well for both the morning and evening peak periods. Therefore, this model is considered suitable for testing the future forecast traffic flows.
- 3.29 The full model extracts and geometries are provided within **Appendix 5**.

#### Junction 16 – A453/Kegworth Road Roundabout

3.30 The junction model has been created using the typical junction measurements that Junctions 10 software requires The observed traffic flow movements are summarised below in **Table 11**.

	AM Peak						
	A453 Exit Slip Road	Kegworth Road (E)	Kegworth Road (S)	A453 Entry Slip Road			
A453 Exit Slip Road	0	39	27	0			
Kegworth Road (E)	0	0	20	28			
Kegworth Road (S)	0	53	0	14			
A453 Entry Slip Road	0	0	0	0			
		PM	Peak				

#### Table 11. Junction 16 2023 Observed Flows (PCUs)



EAST MIDLANDS GATEWAY PHASE 2

	A453 Exit Slip Road	Kegworth Road (E)	Kegworth Road (S)	A453 Entry Slip Road
A453 Exit Slip Road	0	18	36	0
Kegworth Road (E)	0	0	23	74
Kegworth Road (S)	0	35	0	6
A453 Entry Slip Road	0	0	0	0

3.31 A comparison of the observed and modelled queues is presented in **Table 12** below.

 Table 12. Junction 16 Queue Comparison

٨	AM			PM		
AIM	Observed	Modelled	Difference	Observed	Modelled	Difference
A453 Exit Slip	0.2	0	-0.2	0.2	0	-0.2
Kegworth Road (E)	0	0	0.0	0	0.1	0.1
Kegworth Road (S)	0	0.1	0.1	0	0	0.0

- 3.32 The results show that queue lengths on all arms compare well with the observed data and validates well for both the morning and evening peak periods. Therefore, this model is considered suitable for testing the future forecast traffic flows.
- 3.33 The full model extracts and geometries are provided within **Appendix 6**.

### Junction 17 – A453/West Leake Lane Dumbbell Roundabout

3.34 The junction model has been created using the typical junction measurements that Junctions 10 software requires. The observed traffic flow movements are summarised below in **Table 13**.

Table	13	Junction	17	2023	Observed	Flows	(PCUs)
IUDIC	10.	3011011011	17	ZUZU	CDSCITCU	110113	$(1 \cup 03)$

	AM Peak						
	Junction 1						
	J1 - Barton Lane	J1 - A453 (NE)	J1 - West Leake Lane	J1 - A453 (SW)			
J1 - Barton Lane	0	0	273	51			
J1 - A453 (NE)	5	0	48	0			
J1 - West Leake Lane	80	0	0	271			
J1 - A453 (SW)	0	0	0	0			
		Junc	tion 2				
	J2 - Barton Lane	J2 - A453 (NE)	J2 - Barton Lane (\$)	J2 - A453 (SW)			
J2 - Barton Lane	0	0	66	0			
J2 - A453 (NE)	0	0	0	0			

EAST MIDLANDS GATEWAY PHASE 2



J2 - Barton Lane (S)	12	73	0	0						
J2 - A453 (SW)	26	0	258	0						
		PM Peak								
		Junc	ction 1							
	J1 - Barton Lane	J1 - A453 (NE)	J1 - West Leake Lane	J1 - A453 (SW)						
J1 - Barton Lane	0	0	195	15						
J1 - A453 (NE)	4	0	54	0						
J1 - West Leake Lane	61	0	0	199						
J1 - A453 (SW)	0	0	0	0						
		Junc	ction 2							
	J2 - Barton Lane	J2 - A453 (NE)	J2 - Barton Lane (\$)	J2 - A453 (SW)						
J2 - Barton Lane	0	1	18	0						
J2 - A453 (NE)	0	0	0	0						
J2 - Barton Lane (S)	8	57	0	0						
J2 - A453 (SW)	13	0	192	0						

3.35 A comparison of the observed and modelled queues are presented in **Table 14** below.

A rm	АМ			РМ		
AIM	Observed	Modelled	Difference	Observed	Modelled	Difference
Barton Lane (N)	0.4	0.4	0.0	0	0.2	0.2
A453 (NE)	0.5	0	-0.5	0.1	0	-0.1
West Leake Lane	0.6	0.5	-0.1	0.2	0.3	0.1
Barton Lane (N)	0.4	0.1	-0.3	0	0	0.0
Barton Lane (S)	0.6	0.1	-0.5	0.1	0.1	0.0
A453 (SW)	0.7	0.2	-0.5	0.2	0.1	-0.1

### Table 14. Junction 17 Queue Comparison

- 3.36 The results show that queue lengths on all arms compare well with the observed data and validates well for both the morning and evening peak periods. Therefore, this model is considered suitable for testing the future forecast traffic flows.
- 3.37 The full model extracts and geometries are provided within **Appendix 7**.



# 4. SIGNAL CONTROLLED JUNCTIONS

### Introduction

- 4.1 This section summarises the base modelling results and validation of the signal-controlled junctions which have been built using JCT's LinSig software (version V3.2.44), and are as follows:
  - Junction 6 A453/East Midlands Airport Signal Junction
  - Junction 10 A453/Walton Hill Signal Junction
  - Junction 12 M1 Junction 23
  - Junction 13 A50 Junction 1
  - Junction 14 M1 Junction 25
- 4.2 The available traffic signal statistics/data has been sourced from all relevant highway authorities and have been used to build the models.
- 4.3 Further to manual turning counts, manual green time survey and Degree of Saturation (DoS) surveys were also undertaken to validate the models.
- 4.4 TfL modelling guidelines recommend that:

"A Degree of Saturation (DoS) survey should be conducted on all critical (Long Lanes) approaches for each modelled period. Critical approaches would include those close to saturation, those that determine stage length and those key to scheme proposals".

4.5 The validation criteria for LinSig models are presented in 'TfL modelling guidelines' which indicates that modelled DoS should be within 5% of observed values. This has been used as the threshold for validating the base LinSig models.

### Junction 6 - A453/Airport Access Signal Junction

#### Signal Operation

- 4.6 The junction currently operates on one controller, A summary of the signal operation has been detailed below.
  - Stage 1: A453 East Ahead and West Ahead/Left
  - Stage 2: A453 East Ahead/Right and Airport Access Left Turn
  - Stage 3: Airport Access Left and Right (This stage is demand dependant if vehicles are waiting to turn right)

#### Model Amendments

4.7 The videos of the survey have been reviewed to determine how often stage 3 is activated. The video showed that in the morning peak period stage 3 occurs a total of



14 times within the hour. As a result of this bonus green times were calculated and input into the LinSig model to reflect the demand dependency of stage 3 in the morning peak hour period.

### Base Model Validation

4.8 The observed traffic flow movements are summarised below in **Table 15** 

	AM Peak						
	Airport Access	A453 (E)	A453 (W)	Total			
Airport Access	0	114	39	153			
A453 (E)	241	0	390	631			
A453 (W)	129	460	0	589			
Total	370	574	429	1373			
	PM Peak						
		PM F	Peak				
	Airport Access	PM F A453 (E)	Peak A453 (W)	Total			
Airport Access	Airport Access	PM F A453 (E) 224	2eak A453 (W) 65	Total 289			
Airport Access A453 (E)	Airport Access 0 133	PM F A453 (E) 224 0	<b>Peak</b> A453 (W) 65 443	<b>Total</b> 289 576			
Airport Access A453 (E) A453 (W)	Airport Access 0 133 41	PM F A453 (E) 224 0 347	Peak A453 (W) 65 443 0	Total           289           576           388			

#### Table 15. Junction 6 2023 Observed Flows (PCUs)

4.9 The 2023 observed flows have been tested in the LinSig model and a comparison of modelled and observed DoS for the critical lanes is presented in **Table 16** below. Additional readings were undertaken for A453 W Lane one in the evening peak hour period.

#### Table 16. Junction 6 DoS Comparison

Arm / Iano		AM			PM	
Aim / Lane	Observed	Modelled	Difference	Observed	Modelled	Difference
EMG Access – Lane 1	15.6%	18.3%	2.7%	32.4%	32.2%	-0.2%
A453 (E) – Lane 1	19.2%	32.8%	13.6%	31.5%	31.7%	0.2%
A453 (W) – Lane 2	43.8%	47.2%	3.4%	36.3%	32.8%	-3.5%

4.10 Table 16 shows that modelled DoS are within 5% of observed DoS apart from the A453 (E) in the morning peak period. Due to the flare on A453 (E) LinSig provides a higher DoS reading for the A453 (E) ahead movement despite sufficient stacking capacity available on the flare. Therefore, the flare has been converted to a long lane to examine the DoS on the A453 (E) ahead movement. A summary of the results are presented in Table 17 below.

### Table 177. Junction 6 DoS Comparison (With Long Lane on A453 (E))

Arm / Lane	AM			PM		
Ann / Lane	Observed	Modelled	Difference	Observed	Modelled	Difference



EMG Access – Lane 1	15.6%	18.3%	2.7%	32.4%	32.8%	0.4%
A453 (E) – Lane 1	19.2%	21.0%	1.8%	31.5%	29.0%	-2.5%
A453 (W) – Lane 2	43.8%	47.2%	3.4%	36.3%	32.1%	-4.2%

- 4.11 The table above now that the A453 (E) ahead movement now validates therefore it is considered that higher DoS reading inclusive of flare may be due to limitations in LinSig. Nevertheless, the original model inclusive of the short flare adjacent to A453 (E) is retained for forecast modelling scenarios.
- 4.12 Further to the above, a queue comparison has been undertaken on the A453 (E) approach which are shown in **Table 18** below.

#### Table 188. Junction 6 Queue Comparison on A453 (E) Approach

Arm / Iano	AM						
Ann / Lune	Observed	Modelled	Difference				
A453 (E) – Lane 1	1.9	0.8	0.8				
A453 (E) – Lane 2	3.3	5.1	1.8				

- 4.13 The table above shows that the queues are within 2 PCU between the modelled and observed and therefore, it is considered that the base model validates well and the model is considered suitable to test the future forecast scenarios.
- 4.14 A copy of the LinSig outputs are presented in **Appendix 8**.

### Junction 10 – A453/Walton Hill Signal Junction

#### Signal Operation

- 4.15 The junction currently operates on one controller, A summary of the signal operation has been detailed below.
  - Stage 1: A453 West Ahead/Left and A453 East Ahead
  - Stage 2: A453 East Ahead/Right and Walton Hill Left Turn
  - Stage 3: Walton Hill Left/Right Turn and A53 West Left

### Base Model Validation

4.16 The observed survey traffic flow movements are summarised below in Table 19.

#### Table 19. Junction 10 2023 Observed Flows (PCUs)

	AM Peak						
	Local Road	A453 (E)	Walton Hill	Total			
Local Road	0	520	287	807			
A453 (E)	215	0	189	404			
Walton Hill	314	406	0	720			



EAST MIDLANDS GATEWAY PHASE 2

Total	529	926	476	1931
		PM F	<b>'eak</b>	
	Local Road	A453 (E)	Walton Hill	Total
Local Road	0	228	289	517
A453 (E)	343	0	288	631
Walton Hill	Walton Hill 227		0	414
Total	570	415	577	1562

4.17 It was noted that after replicating the latest signal specification at the junction additional DoS readings were required to understand whether the model still validates. This is as a result of the junction operating on MOVA and DoS readings would vary between cycles. Therefore, additional readings were taken at the junction and a comparison of modelled and observed DoS for the critical lanes is presented in **Table 20** below.

ſable	20.	Junction	10	DoS	Comparison
-------	-----	----------	----	-----	------------

Arm / Iano		AM		PM				
Am / Lane	Observed	Modelled	Difference	Observed	Modelled	Difference		
Local Road - Lane 1	49.6%	53.5%	3.9%	48.8%	53.3%	4.5%		
A453 (E) - Lane 1	46.0%	50.4%	4.4%	49.9%	52.8%	2.9%		
Walton Hill - Lane 2	60.9%	63.0%	2.1%	45.3%	50.0%	4.7%		

- 4.18 **Table 20** shows that modelled DoS of all critical lanes are within 5% of observed DoS. Hence, it is considered that the base model validates well and therefore the model is considered suitable to test the future forecast scenarios.
- 4.19 A copy of the LinSig outputs are presented in **Appendix 9**.

### Junction 12 – M1 J23

#### Model Calibration

- 4.20 Pedestrian crossings are present on the northern arms of the junction (M1 north on and off-slips), the video has been reviewed to see how often these crossings are called and they shown that there was no pedestrian calling the crossings. Therefore, the crossing on the M1 north on-slip has not been included within the model as they would not have an impact on the model, this is phases F in controller specification 1.
- 4.21 Phase E in controller specification 2 is the crossing on the M1 north off-slip and would also not be called however, upon review the controller specification would interact with the intergreen time of phase B and therefore kept in the model for this reason.
- 4.22 The signal specification for both controllers have dummy phases, controller 1 is phase G and controller 2 is phase F and therefore not included within the model.



### Signal Operation

4.23 The junction currently operates on two controllers, one controlling the western side of the junction, and the second controlling the eastern side of the junction. Details of the stage sequence for the respective controllers are provided below.



- Stage 1: A512 West approach and South Circulatory
- Stage 2: West Circulatory and South Circulatory
- Stage 3: M1 South Off-slip Approach and West Circulatory
- 4.24 Upon reviewing the signal specification for the eastern side controller the stages are shown to 1,2,3, however a review of the video survey indicates that stage 2 doesn't activate in both peak hour periods and therefore only stages 1 and 3 have been modelled for the eastern side controller.



- Stage 1: North Circulatory, A512 East Approach and Ped crossing over M1 North Offslip
- Stage 2: North Circulatory, North Circulatory and Ped crossing over M1 North Off-slip
- Stage 3: M1 North Off-slip Approach and East Circulatory



### Base Model Validation

4.25 The observed traffic flow movements are summarised below in Table 21.

### Table 21. Junction 11 O/D Data (PCUs)

		AM Peak									
	M1 SB Off-slip	A512 East	M1 NB Off-slip	A512 West							
M1 SB Off-slip	0	795	0	377							
A512 East	494	0	161	378							
M1 NB Off-slip	M1 NB Off-slip 0		0	44							
A512 West	290	735	735 132								
	PM Peak										
		PM P	Peak								
	M1 SB Off-slip	PM F A512 East	Peak M1 NB Off-slip	A512 West							
M1 SB Off-slip	M1 SB Off-slip O	PM P A512 East 408	Peak M1 NB Off-slip O	<b>A512 West</b> 187							
M1 SB Off-slip A512 East	M1 SB Off-slip 0 491	PM P A512 East 408 0	Peak M1 NB Off-slip 0 351	<b>A512 West</b> 187 520							
M1 SB Off-slip A512 East M1 NB Off-slip	M1 SB Off-slip 0 491 0	PM P A512 East 408 0 203	Peak M1 NB Off-slip 0 351 0	A512 West 187 520 168							

4.26 Additional DoS readings were undertaken for A512 W lane 3 and a comparison of modelled and observed DoS for the critical lanes has been presented in **Table 22**.

#### Table 22. Junction 11 DoS Comparison

Arm / I ano		AM		PM			
Ann / Lane	Observed	Modelled	Difference	Observed	Modelled	Difference	
M1 South Bound Off-slip – Lane 1	53.0%	53.0% 57.9% 4.9% 4		46.4%	45.8%	-0.6%	
M1 South Bound Off-slip - Lane 2	58.7%	59.9%	1.2%	62.8%	65.8%	3.0%	
A512 West Bound Off-slip – Lane 2	- 54.7% 55.8% 1.1% 59.8		59.8%	56.6%	-3.2%		
A512 West Bound Off-slip – Lane 3	68.1%	58.1% 72.6% 4.5%		51.6%	49.5%	-2.1%	
M1 North Bound Off-slip – Lane 2					0		
M1 North Bound Off-slip – Lane 3	No Signal Head in Camera View So Validated Against Queues						
A512 East Bound Off-slip – Lane 2	63.4%	3.4% 67.2% 3.8%		46.2%	41.4%	-4.8%	

- 4.27 **Table 22** shows that modelled DoS of all critical lanes are within 5% of observed DoS, Hence, it is considered that the base model validates well and therefore, is suitable to test the future forecast scenarios.
- 4.28 A copy of the LinSig outputs are presented in **Appendix 10**.



### Junction 13 – A50 Junction 1

#### Signal Operation

4.29 The junction currently operates on two controllers, one controlling the A50 westbound approach arm and circulatory, and the second controlling the A50 eastbound approach arm and circulatory. Details of the stage sequence for the respective controllers are provided below.

#### Controller 1: A50 Westbound Approach



- Stage 1: A50 East approach
- Stage 2: East Circulatory

Controller 2: A50 Eastbound Approach



- Stage 1: A50 West approach
- Stage 2: West Circulatory

### Unequal Lane Usage Amendments

4.30 The initial LinSig model built using the signal data, showed unequal lane usage on the Trent Lane arm for vehicles travelling to the A50 eastbound, with the majority of vehicles using lane 3. The CCTV footage was reviewed and showed that vehicles used both lanes 2 and 3 at approximately 2/3 1/3 split respectively. Therefore, flows have been altered manually to allow for the split in the route list view in LinSig which in turn validated this arm.

### Base Model Validation

- 4.31 The observed survey traffic flow movements are summarised below in
- 4.32 Table 23



	AM Peak									
	B5010	B6540	A50 J1 Slip Road (E)	Ryecraft Road	Trent Lane	A50 J1 Slip Road (W)				
B5010	0	65	88	5	95	72				
B6540	37	0	315	11	237	198				
A50 J1 Slip Road (E)	64	269	0	13	419	0				
Ryecraft Road	3	10	15	0	10	12				
Trent Lane	49	145	292	12	0	347				
A50 J1 Slip Road (W)	14	245	0	15	449	0				
			PM F	Peak						
	B5010	B6540	A50 J1 Slip Road (E)	Ryecraft Road	Trent Lane	A50 J1 Slip Road (W)				
B5010	0	51	61	3	65	79				
B6540	69	0	261	6	173	182				
A50 J1 Slip Road (E)	121	279	0	16	330	0				
Ryecraft Road	5	15	17	0	11	15				
Trent Lane	107	235	415	17	0	501				
A50 J1 Slip Road (W)	20	199	0	14	383	0				

#### Table 23. Junction 13 2023 Observed Flows (PCUs)

4.33 The 2023 observed flows have been tested in the LinSig model and a comparison of modelled and observed DoS for the critical lanes has been presented in **Table 24**.

### Table 24. Junction 13 DoS Comparison

		AM		PM			
Arm / Lane	Observed	Modelled	Difference	Observed	Modelled	Difference	
A50 Westbound approach lane 1	49.8%	50.1%	0.3%	40.9%	40.1%	-0.8%	
A50 Westbound approach lane 2	34.2%	35.9% 1.7%		40.9%	43.2%	2.3%	
A50 Eastbound approach lane 1	47.3%	48.8%	1.5%	47.4%	46.4%	-1.0%	

- 4.34 **Table 24** shows that modelled DoS of all critical lanes are within 5% of observed DoS. It is considered that the base model validates well and therefore, this model is considered suitable to test the future forecast scenarios.
- 4.35 A copy of the LinSig outputs are presented in **Appendix 11.**



### Junction 14 – M1 J25

#### Signal Operation

- 4.36 The junction currently operates on two controllers, one controlling the eastern side of the junction, and the second controlling the western side of the junction.
- 4.37 Analysis of the video footage indicated that the eastern controller operated on a 75 second cycle time and western controller on 60 second cycle time in the morning and evening peak hours respectively.
- 4.38 Details of the stage sequence based on video footage observation for the respective controllers for AM and PM are provided below.

### AM Stage Sequences

#### Controller 1: M1 J25 Eastern side



- i. Stage 2: North Circulatory and A52 Westbound Off-slip Approach
- ii. Stage 3: North Circulatory and East Circulatory
- iii. Stage 4: M1 Southbound Off-slip Approach and A52 Westbound Off-slip Approach

### Controller 2: M1 J25 Western Side



i. Stage 2: South Circulatory and A52 Eastbound Off-slip Approach



- ii. Stage 3: South Circulatory and West Circulatory
- iii. Stage 4: M1 Northbound Off-slip Approach and A52 Eastbound Off-slip Approach

#### PM Stage Sequences

#### Controller 1: M1 J25 Eastern side



- Stage 1: M1 Southbound Off-slip Approach and East Circulatory i.
- ii. Stage 2: North Circulatory and A52 Westbound Off-slip Approach
- iii. Stage 3: North Circulatory and East Circulatory



Controller 2: M1 J25 Western Side

- i. Stage 2: South Circulatory and A52 Eastbound Off-slip Approach
- ii. Stage 3: South Circulatory and West Circulatory
- iii. Stage 4: M1 Northbound Off-slip Approach and A52 Eastbound Off-slip Approach

### **Unequal Lane Usage Amendments**

4.39 The initial LinSig model built using the signal data, showed unequal lane usage on the M1 northbound off slip arm for vehicles travelling to the A52 eastbound, with the majority of vehicles using lane 3. The CCTV footage was reviewed and showed that vehicles



used both lanes 2 and 3 equally. Therefore, flows have been altered manually to allow an even 50/50 split in the route list view in LinSig which in turn validated this arm.

### Base Model Validation

4.40 The observed survey traffic flow movements are summarised below in Table 25.

	AM Peak									
	M1 (N)	A52 (E)	Bostocks Lane (S)	M1 (S)	A52 (W)	Bostocks Lane (N)				
M1 (N)	0	357	308	0	642	160				
A52 (E)	342	0	197	480	0	100				
Bostocks Lane (S)	208	167	0	239	223	57				
M1 (S)	0	457	220	0	313	200				
A52 (W)	373	0	142	377	0	148				
Bostocks Lane (N)	215	163	83	280	195	0				
		PM Peak								
	M1 (N)	A52 (E)	Bostocks Lane (S)	M1 (S)	A52 (W)	Bostocks Lane (N)				
M1 (N)	0	262	242	0	338	158				
A52 (E)	368	0	373	387	0	133				
Bostocks Lane (S)	219	132	0	101	177	76				
M1 (S)	0	494	203	0	177	313				
A52 (W)	563	0	131	244	0	108				
Bostocks Lane (N)	170	136	66	126	88	0				

### Table 25. Junction 14 O/D Data (PCUs)

4.41 The 2023 observed flows have been tested in the LinSig model and a comparison of modelled and observed DoS for the critical lanes has been presented in **Table 26** below.

Arm / Iano		AM		PM				
Am / Lane	Observed	Modelled	Difference	Observed	Modelled	Difference		
M1 South Bound Off-slip - Lane 2	79.80%	79.60%	-0.20%	76.00%	78.70%	2.70%		
M1 South Bound Off-slip - Lane 3	64.50%	69.20%	4.70%	81.00%	78.30%	-2.70%		
A52 West Bound Off-slip - Lane 2	50.60%	49.30%	-1.30%	71.40%	76.10%	4.70%		
A52 West Bound Off-slip – Lane 3	59.60%	59.50%	-0.10%	76.70%	75.90%	-0.80%		
M1 North Bound Off-slip – Lane 2	88.60%	83.90%	-4.70%	75.40%	75.40%	0.00%		

### Table 26. Junction 14 DoS Comparison



M1 North Bound Off-slip - Lane 3	71.10%	72.20%	1.10%	65.80%	68.20%	2.40%
A52 East Bound Off-slip - Lane 1	72.60%	73.70%	1.10%	81.10%	83.00%	1.90%
A52 East Bound Off-slip - Lane 2	76.40%	75.10%	-1.30%	82.10%	82.40%	0.30%

- 4.42 **Table 26** shows that modelled DoS of all critical lanes are within 5% of observed data. It is considered that the base model validates well and therefore, the model is considered suitable to test the future forecast scenarios.
- 4.43 A copy of the LinSig outputs are presented in **Appendix 12**.

## 5. SUMMARY

- 5.1 The purpose of this Technical Note is to agree the base Junctions 10 and LinSig models to demonstrate they are suitable ahead of testing the future forecast traffic flows.
- 5.2 It has been demonstrated that all junctions validate well against observed data from the 2023 surveys and industry standard validation thresholds. As such all 17 models are considered suitable to test the future forecast traffic flows and understand where the development is expected to generate significant impacts and therefore where mitigation is required.



**APPENDICES** 



APPENDIX 1: Junction 7 - A453/Grimes Gate Priority Junction Model Outputs







# **Junctions 10**

### **PICADY 10 - Priority Intersection Module**

Version: 10.1.1.1905

© Copyright TRL Software Limited, 2023

For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL Software:

+44 (0)1344 379777 software@trl.co.uk trlsoftware.com

The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: 240213 A453_Grimes Gate PICADY Model (Base Only).j10 Path: J:\2022\220500-East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 (1)\ProjectDelivery\01-WIP\DesignAndCalculations\T&I Planning\Traffic Models\7. A453_Grimes Gate

Report generation date: 05/04/2024 14:03:07

#### »2022 Observed, AM »2022 Observed, PM

#### Summary of junction performance

	AM					РМ										
	Set ID	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS	Network Residual Capacity	Set ID	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS	Network Residual Capacity
	2022 Observed															
Stream B-C		0.0	6.14	0.01	А			114 %		0.0	6.42	0.02	А			147 %
Stream B-A	D1	0.2	8.25	0.14	A	0.67	А	[Stream	D2	0.1	7.54	0.06	А	0.43	А	[Stream
Stream C-AB		0.0	4.07	0.02	А			B-A]		0.0	4.85	0.03	А			B-A]

There are warnings associated with one or more model runs - see the 'Data Errors and Warnings' tables for each Analysis or Demand Set.

Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle. Junction LOS and Junction Delay are demand-weighted averages. Network Residual Capacity indicates the amount by which network flow could be increased before a user-definable threshold (see Analysis Options) is met.

#### **File summary**

#### **File Description**

Title	East Midlands Gateway Phase 2
Location	A453/Grimes Gate
Site number	
Date	06/01/2023
Version	
Status	(new file)
Identifier	
Client	SEGRO
Jobnumber	220500
Enumerator	BWB\matt.corner
Description	

#### Units

Distance units	Speed units	Traffic units input	Traffic units results	Flow units	Average delay units	Total delay units	Rate of delay units
m	kph	PCU	PCU	perHour	s	-Min	perMin



### Analysis Options

Calculate Queue Percentiles	Calculate residual capacity	Residual capacity criteria type	RFC Threshold	Average Delay threshold (s)	Queue threshold (PCU)
	✓	Delay	0.85	36.00	20.00

### **Demand Set Summary**

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)
D1	2022 Observed	AM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15
D2	2022 Observed	PM	ONE HOUR	17:00	18:30	15

### **Analysis Set Details**

ID	Network flow scaling factor (%)
A1	100.000



# 2022 Observed, AM

#### **Data Errors and Warnings**

Severity	everity Area Item		Description
Warning	Vehicle Mix		HV% is zero for all movements / time segments. Vehicle Mix matrix should be completed whether working in PCUs or Vehs. If HV% at the junction is genuinely zero, please ignore this warning.

# **Junction Network**

#### Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Arm A Direction	Arm B Direction	Arm C Direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	A453/Grimes Gate	T-Junction	Two-way	Two-way	Two-way		0.67	A

#### **Junction Network**

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reaching threshold	Network delay (s)	Network LOS
Left	Normal/unknown	114	Stream B-A	0.67	А

### Arms

#### Arms

Arm	Name	Description	Arm type
Α	A453 (E)		Major
в	Grimes Gate		Minor
С	A453 (W)		Major

#### **Major Arm Geometry**

Arm	Width of carriageway (m)	Has kerbed central reserve	Has right-turn storage	Visibility for right turn (m)	Blocks?	Blocking queue (PCU)
С	7.80			190.0	~	0.00

Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A (if relevant) are measured opposite Arm D.

#### **Minor Arm Geometry**

Arm	Minor arm	Width at give-	Width at	Width at	Width at	Width at	Estimate flare	Flare length	Visibility to	Visibility to
	type	way (m)	5m (m)	10m (m)	15m (m)	20m (m)	length	(PCU)	left (m)	right (m)
в	One lane plus flare	8.25	7.50	7.40	4.30	3.80		1.00	215	153

#### Slope / Intercept / Capacity

#### **Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts**

Stream	Intercept (PCU/hr)	Slope for A-B	Slope for A-C	Slope for C-A	Slope for C-B
B-A	732	0.123	0.311	0.195	0.444
B-C	700	0.099	0.250	-	-
C-B	684	0.244	0.244	-	-

The slopes and intercepts shown above include custom intercept adjustments only.

Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted.

Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments.



# **Traffic Demand**

#### **Demand Set Details**

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)
D1	2022 Observed	AM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15

#### **Demand overview (Traffic)**

Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
Α		~	316	100.000
в		~	70	100.000
С		✓	554	100.000

# **Origin-Destination Data**

#### Demand (PCU/hr)

	То				
From		Α	в	С	
	Α	0	20	296	
	в	64	0	6	
	С	547	7	0	

# Vehicle Mix

#### Heavy Vehicle %

	То				
		Α	в	С	
<b>F</b>	Α	0	0	0	
From	в	0	0	0	
	С	0	0	0	

# Results

#### **Results Summary for whole modelled period**

Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
B-C	0.01	6.14	0.0	A
B-A	0.14	8.25	0.2	A
C-AB	0.02	4.07	0.0	A
C-A				
A-B				
A-C				



### Main Results for each time segment

#### 08:00 - 08:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-C	5	629	0.007	4	0.0	5.764	А
B-A	48	578	0.083	48	0.1	6.784	А
C-AB	10	895	0.011	10	0.0	4.068	A
C-A	407			407			
A-B	15			15			
A-C	223			223			

#### 08:15 - 08:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-C	5	614	0.009	5	0.0	5.912	A
B-A	58	548	0.105	57	0.1	7.332	A
C-AB	13	938	0.014	13	0.0	3.890	A
C-A	485			485			
A-B	18			18			
A-C	266			266			

#### 08:30 - 08:45

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-C	7	593	0.011	7	0.0	6.137	А
B-A	70	507	0.139	70	0.2	8.241	А
C-AB	19	1001	0.019	19	0.0	3.667	А
C-A	591			591			
A-B	22			22			
A-C	326			326			

#### 08:45 - 09:00

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-C	7	593	0.011	7	0.0	6.137	А
B-A	70	507	0.139	70	0.2	8.248	А
C-AB	19	1001	0.019	19	0.0	3.667	A
C-A	591			591			
A-B	22			22			
A-C	326			326			

#### 09:00 - 09:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-C	5	614	0.009	5	0.0	5.913	А
B-A	58	548	0.105	58	0.1	7.341	А
C-AB	13	938	0.014	13	0.0	3.892	А
C-A	485			485			
ΑB	18			18			
ΑC	266			266			



#### 09:15 - 09:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-C	5	629	0.007	5	0.0	5.768	А
B-A	48	578	0.083	48	0.1	6.795	А
C-AB	10	895	0.011	10	0.0	4.068	А
C-A	407			407			
ΑB	15			15			
A-C	223			223			



# 2022 Observed, PM

#### **Data Errors and Warnings**

Severity	Area	Item	Description
Warning	Vehicle Mix		HV% is zero for all movements / time segments. Vehicle Mix matrix should be completed whether working in PCUs or Vehs. If HV% at the junction is genuinely zero, please ignore this warning.

# **Junction Network**

#### Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Arm A Direction	Arm B Direction	Arm C Direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	A453/Grimes Gate	T-Junction	Two-way	Two-way	Two-way		0.43	А

#### **Junction Network**

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reaching threshold	Network delay (s)	Network LOS
Left	Normal/unknown	147	Stream B-A	0.43	А

## **Traffic Demand**

#### **Demand Set Details**

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)
D2	2022 Observed	PM	ONE HOUR	17:00	18:30	15

#### **Demand overview (Traffic)**

Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
Α		~	482	100.000
в		✓	37	100.000
С		✓	330	100.000

# **Origin-Destination Data**

#### Demand (PCU/hr)

	То					
		Α	в	С		
Farm	Α	0	74	408		
From	в	28	0	9		
	С	318	12	0		

# Vehicle Mix

#### Heavy Vehicle %

	То				
		Α	в	С	
_	Α	0	0	0	
From	в	0	0	0	
	С	0	0	0	



# Results

### **Results Summary for whole modelled period**

Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
B-C	0.02	6.42	0.0	А
B-A	0.06	7.54	0.1	A
C-AB	0.03	4.85	0.0	A
C-A				
ΑB				
A-C				

### Main Results for each time segment

#### 17:00 - 17:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-C	7	612	0.011	7	0.0	5.948	А
B-A	21	579	0.036	21	0.0	6.450	А
C-AB	13	756	0.018	13	0.0	4.848	A
C-A	235			235			
A-B	56			56			
A-C	307			307			

#### 17:15 - 17:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-C	8	595	0.014	8	0.0	6.137	А
B-A	25	549	0.046	25	0.0	6.869	А
C-AB	17	772	0.022	17	0.0	4.767	А
C-A	280			280			
ΑB	67			67			
A-C	367			367			

#### 17:30 - 17:45

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-C	10	571	0.017	10	0.0	6.420	А
B-A	31	508	0.061	31	0.1	7.541	А
C-AB	24	797	0.030	24	0.0	4.656	А
C-A	340			340			
A-B	81			81			
A-C	449			449			

#### 17:45 - 18:00

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-C	10	571	0.017	10	0.0	6.420	A
B-A	31	508	0.061	31	0.1	7.541	A
C-AB	24	797	0.030	24	0.0	4.656	A
C-A	340			340			
A-B	81			81			
A-C	449			449			


### 18:00 - 18:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-C	8	595	0.014	8	0.0	6.140	А
B-A	25	549	0.046	25	0.0	6.871	А
C-AB	17	772	0.022	17	0.0	4.769	А
C-A	279			279			
ΑB	67			67			
A-C	367			367			

### 18:15 - 18:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-C	7	612	0.011	7	0.0	5.951	А
B-A	21	579	0.036	21	0.0	6.456	А
C-AB	13	756	0.018	13	0.0	4.849	А
C-A	235			235			
ΑB	56			56			
A-C	307			307			



APPENDIX 2: Junction 8 - A453/The Green Priority Junction Model Outputs







Filename: Import of 230124 A453_The Green (BASE ONLY).j10 Path: J:\2022\220500-East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 (1)\ProjectDelivery\01-WIP\Models\A453_The Green Junction Report generation date: 05/01/2024 12:21:59

»2022 Observed, AM »2022 Observed, PM

### Summary of junction performance

		АМ				PM										
	Set ID	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS	Network Residual Capacity	Set ID	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS	Network Residual Capacity
								2022 O	bser	ved						
Stream B-AC	D1	0.2	7.51	0.19	А	1 44	٨	100 %	D2	0.2	7.47	0.14	А	1.63	٨	109 %
Stream C-AB		0.5	4.42	0.19	А	1.44		[Stream C-AB]	02	0.5	5.71	0.24	А	1.05		[Stream C-AB]

There are warnings associated with one or more model runs - see the 'Data Errors and Warnings' tables for each Analysis or Demand Set.

Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle. Junction LOS and Junction Delay are demand-weighted averages. Network Residual Capacity indicates the amount by which network flow could be increased before a user-definable threshold (see Analysis Options) is met.

### **File summary**

#### **File Description**

Title	East Midlands Gateway Phase 2
Location	A453/The Green T-Junction
Site number	
Date	06/01/2023
Version	
Status	(new file)
Identifier	
Client	SEGRO
Jobnumber	220500
Enumerator	BWB\matt.corner
Description	

### Units

Distance units	Speed units	Traffic units input	Traffic units results	Flow units	Average delay units	Total delay units	Rate of delay units
m	kph	PCU	PCU	perHour	s	-Min	perMin



### Analysis Options

Calculate Queue Percentiles	Calculate residual capacity	Residual capacity criteria type	RFC Threshold	Average Delay threshold (s)	Queue threshold (PCU)
	✓	Delay	0.85	36.00	20.00

### **Demand Set Summary**

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)
D1	2022 Observed	AM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15
D2	2022 Observed	PM	ONE HOUR	17:00	18:30	15

### **Analysis Set Details**

ID	Network flow scaling factor (%)
A1	100.000



# 2022 Observed, AM

### **Data Errors and Warnings**

Severity Area Item		ltem	Description		
Warning	Vehicle Mix		HV% is zero for all movements / time segments. Vehicle Mix matrix should be completed whether working in PCUs or Vehs. If HV% at the junction is genuinely zero, please ignore this warning.		

# **Junction Network**

#### Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Arm A Direction	Arm B Direction	Arm C Direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	A453/The Green T- Junction	T-Junction	Two-way	Two-way	Two-way		1.44	A

#### **Junction Network**

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reaching threshold	Network delay (s)	Network LOS
Left	Normal/unknown	100	Stream C-AB	1.44	А

### Arms

#### Arms

Arm	Name	Description	Arm type
Α	A453 (E)		Major
в	The Green		Minor
С	A453 (W)		Major

### **Major Arm Geometry**

Arm	Width of carriageway (m)	Has kerbed central reserve	Has right-turn storage	Visibility for right turn (m)	Blocks?	Blocking queue (PCU)
С	7.00			250.0	✓	0.00

Geometries for Arm C are measured opposite Arm B. Geometries for Arm A (if relevant) are measured opposite Arm D.

#### **Minor Arm Geometry**

Arm	Minor arm type	Lane width (m)	Visibility to left (m)	Visibility to right (m)
в	One lane	3.60	119	135

### Slope / Intercept / Capacity

### **Priority Intersection Slopes and Intercepts**

Stream	Intercept (PCU/hr)	Slope for A-B	Slope for A-C	Slope for C-A	Slope for C-B
B-A	621	0.108	0.273	0.172	0.390
B-C	752	0.110	0.279	-	-
C-B	719	0.266	0.266	-	-

The slopes and intercepts shown above include custom intercept adjustments only.

Streams may be combined, in which case capacity will be adjusted.

Values are shown for the first time segment only; they may differ for subsequent time segments.



### **Traffic Demand**

### **Demand Set Details**

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)
D1	2022 Observed	AM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15

Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)
HV Percentages	2.00

### **Demand overview (Traffic)**

Arm	Linked arm Use O-D data		Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)	
Α		~	302	100.000	
в		~	103	100.000	
С		✓	609	100.000	

### **Origin-Destination Data**

### Demand (PCU/hr)

		То				
		Α	В	c		
_	Α	0	12	290		
From	в	18	0	85		
	С	536	73	0		

# Vehicle Mix

### Heavy Vehicle Percentages

		T	о	
		Α	в	С
	Α	0	0	0
From	в	0	0	0
	С	0	0	0

### Results

### **Results Summary for whole modelled period**

Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
B-AC	0.19	7.51	0.2	A
C-AB	0.19	4.42	0.5	A
C-A				
A-B				
A-C				



### Main Results for each time segment

### 08:00 - 08:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	78	637	0.122	77	0.1	6.419	А
C-AB	98	914	0.108	98	0.2	4.409	А
C-A	360			360			
A-B	9			9			
A-C	218			218			

### 08:15 - 08:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	93	619	0.150	92	0.2	6.834	А
C-AB	133	955	0.139	133	0.3	4.380	A
C-A	415			415			
A-B	11			11			
A-C	261			261			

### 08:30 - 08:45

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	113	593	0.191	113	0.2	7.499	A
C-AB	193	1014	0.191	193	0.5	4.389	A
C-A	477			477			
A-B	13			13			
A-C	319			319			

### 08:45 - 09:00

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	113	593	0.191	113	0.2	7.506	А
C-AB	194	1014	0.191	193	0.5	4.394	А
C-A	477			477			
A-B	13			13			
A-C	319			319			

### 09:00 - 09:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	93	619	0.150	93	0.2	6.843	А
C-AB	133	956	0.139	134	0.3	4.388	А
C-A	414			414			
A-B	11			11			
A-C	261			261			

### 09:15 - 09:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	78	637	0.122	78	0.1	6.437	A
C-AB	99	915	0.108	99	0.2	4.421	A
C-A	360			360			
ΑB	9			9			
A-C	218			218			



# 2022 Observed, PM

### **Data Errors and Warnings**

Severity	Area	ltem	Description
Warning	Vehicle Mix		HV% is zero for all movements / time segments. Vehicle Mix matrix should be completed whether working in PCUs or Vehs. If HV% at the junction is genuinely zero, please ignore this warning.

# **Junction Network**

### Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Arm A Direction	Arm B Direction	Arm C Direction	Use circulating lanes	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	A453/The Green T- Junction	T-Junction	Two-way	Two-way	Two-way		1.63	A

#### **Junction Network**

Driving side	Lighting	Network residual capacity (%)	First arm reaching threshold	Network delay (s)	Network LOS
Left	Normal/unknown	109	Stream C-AB	1.63	A

### **Traffic Demand**

### **Demand Set Details**

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)
D2	2022 Observed	PM	ONE HOUR	17:00	18:30	15

 Vehicle mix source
 PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)

 HV Percentages
 2.00

### **Demand overview (Traffic)**

Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
Α		~	417	100.000
в		✓	72	100.000
С		~	420	100.000

### **Origin-Destination Data**

### Demand (PCU/hr)

	То			
		Α	в	С
<b>F</b>	Α	0	12	405
From	в	14	0	58
	С	316	104	0

### **Vehicle Mix**

### **Heavy Vehicle Percentages**

	То				
		Α	в	С	
Farm	Α	0	0	0	
From	в	0	0	0	
	С	0	0	0	

# Results

### Results Summary for whole modelled period

Stream	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
B-AC	0.14	7.47	0.2	A
C-AB	0.24	5.71	0.5	A
C-A				
A-B				
A-C				

### Main Results for each time segment

### 17:00 - 17:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	54	614	0.088	54	0.1	6.421	A
C-AB	112	789	0.142	111	0.2	5.308	A
C-A	204			204			
ΑB	9			9			
A-C	305			305			

### 17:15 - 17:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	65	592	0.109	65	0.1	6.823	А
C-AB	145	805	0.180	144	0.3	5.451	А
C-A	233			233			
ΑB	11			11			
A-C	364			364			

### 17:30 - 17:45

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	79	561	0.141	79	0.2	7.469	А
C-AB	198	829	0.239	197	0.5	5.700	А
C-A	265			265			
A-B	13			13			
A-C	446			446			

### 17:45 - 18:00

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	79	561	0.141	79	0.2	7.473	А
C-AB	198	830	0.239	198	0.5	5.713	А
C-A	264			264			
ΑB	13			13			
A-C	446			446			



### 18:00 - 18:15

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	65	592	0.109	65	0.1	6.834	А
C-AB	145	806	0.180	146	0.3	5.466	А
C-A	232			232			
ΑB	11			11			
A-C	364			364			

### 18:15 - 18:30

Stream	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
B-AC	54	614	0.088	54	0.1	6.433	A
C-AB	112	789	0.142	113	0.2	5.329	А
C-A	204			204			
A-B	9			9			
A-C	305			305			



APPENDIX 3: Junction 9 - A453/East Midlands Airport Roundabout Model Outputs





### **Junctions 10**

### **ARCADY 10 - Roundabout Module**

Version: 10.1.1.1905

© Copyright TRL Software Limited, 2023

For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL Software:

+44 (0)1344 379777 software@trl.co.uk trlsoftware.com

The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: 240213 A453_EMA Roundabout ARCADY Model (Lane Sim) (Base Only).j10 Path: J:\2022\220500-East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 (1)\ProjectDelivery\01-WIP\DesignAndCalculations\T&I Planning\Traffic Models\9. A453_EMA Roundabout

Report generation date: 05/04/2024 14:08:58

### »2022 Base Flows, AM »2022 Base Flows, PM

### Summary of junction performance

						AM								PM		ion Network Residual			
	Set ID	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS	Network Residual Capacity	Set ID	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS	Network Residual Capacity			
		[Lane Simulation] - 2022 Base Flows																	
Arm 1		0.3	4.26	0.14	А			%		0.4	4.54	0.25	А			%			
Arm 2	D1	0.9	4.82	0.35	А	5.93	А		D2	0.7	4.42	0.32	А	4.80	А				
Arm 3		1.3	7.51	0.46	А			[]	[]		0.8	5.58	0.29	A			[]		

There are warnings associated with one or more model runs - see the 'Data Errors and Warnings' tables for each Analysis or Demand Set.

Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle. Arm and junction delays are averages for all movements, including movements with zero delay. Network Residual Capacity indicates the amount by which network flow could be increased before a user-definable threshold (see Analysis Options) is met.

### **File summary**

#### **File Description**

Title	East Midlands Gateway Phase 2
Location	A453/EMA Roundabout
Site number	
Date	24/01/2023
Version	
Status	(new file)
Identifier	
Client	SEGRO
Jobnumber	220500
Enumerator	BWB\matt.corner
Description	

### Units

Distance units	Speed units	Traffic units input	Traffic units results	Flow units	Average delay units	Total delay units	Rate of delay units
m	kph	PCU	PCU	perHour	s	-Min	perMin



### Analysis Options

Vehicl length (m)	e Calculate Queue Percentiles	Calculate detailed queueing delay	Show lane queues in feet / metres	Show all PICADY stream intercepts	Calculate residual capacity	Residual capacity criteria type	RFC Threshold	Average Delay threshold (s)	Queue threshold (PCU)	Use simulation for HCM roundabouts	Use iterations for HCM roundabouts
5.75					✓	Delay	0.85	36.00	20.00		

### Lane Simulation options

Criteria type	Stop criteria (%)	Stop criteria time (s)	Stop criteria number of trials	Calculate RFCs	Relaxation factor for capacity/RFC runs	Random seed	Results refresh speed (s)	Individual vehicle animation number of trials	Average animation capture interval (s)	Use quick response	Do flow sampling	Suppress automatic lane creation	Last run random seed	Last run number of trials
Delay	1.00	100000	100000	Calculate for all arms	3.00	-1	3	1	60	~			1467308316	48

### **Demand Set Summary**

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)	Run automatically
D1	2022 Base Flows	AM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15	✓
D2	2022 Base Flows	PM	ONE HOUR	17:00	18:30	15	✓

### **Analysis Set Details**

ID	Use Lane Simulation Include in report		Network flow scaling factor (%)	Network capacity scaling factor (%)		
A1	~	~	100.000	100.000		



# 2022 Base Flows, AM

### **Data Errors and Warnings**

Severity	Area	Item	Description
Warning	Geometry	Arm 2 - Roundabout Geometry	Effective flare length is over 30m, which is outside the normal range. Treat capacities with increasing caution.
Warning	Vehicle Mix		HV% is zero for all movements / time segments. Vehicle Mix matrix should be completed whether working in PCUs or Vehs. If HV% at the junction is genuinely zero, please ignore this warning.
Info	Simulation	A1 - [Lane Simulation]	This run uses Simulation mode. For detailed information on this mode, please see the User Guide.

# Junction Network

### Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Use circulating lanes	Arm order	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	A453/EMA Roundabout	Standard Roundabout		1, 2, 3	5.93	A

### **Junction Network**

Driving side Lighting		Network delay (s)	Network LOS
Left	Normal/unknown	5.93	А

### Arms

### Arms

Arm	Name	Description	No give-way line
1	EMA Access		
2	A453 (E)		
3	A453 (W)		

### **Roundabout Geometry**

Arm	V - Approach road half- width (m)	E - Entry width (m)	l' - Effective flare length (m)	R - Entry radius (m)	D - Inscribed circle diameter (m)	PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg)	Entry only	Exit only
1	6.13	8.46	8.0	20.5	50.0	21.0		
2	3.77	8.05	49.0	13.3	50.0	35.0		
3	3.89	6.48	6.5	24.5	50.0	27.0		

### Slope / Intercept / Capacity

#### Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

Arm	Final slope	Final intercept (PCU/hr)			
1	0.730	2295			
2	0.666	2065			
3	0.586	1553			

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

### Lane Simulation: Arm options

Arm	Lane capacity source	Traffic considering secondary lanes (%)
1	Evenly split	10.00
2	Evenly split	20.00
3	Evenly split	20.00



#### Lanes

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Has limited storage	Storage (PCU)	Has bottleneck	Has obstruction	Minimum capacity (PCU/hr)	Maximum capacity (PCU/hr)	Signalised
		4	1	2	~	3.00			0	99999	
4	Entry	1	2	1, 3	~	3.00			0	99999	
'		2	1	(1, 2, 3)		Infinity					
	Exit	1	1			Infinity					
		1	1	3	~	11.00			0	99999	
2	Entry		2	1, 2, 3	~	11.00			0	99999	
2		2	1	(1, 2, 3)		Infinity					
	Exit	1	1			Infinity					
		4	1	1, 2	~	14.00			0	99999	
3	Entry		2	2, 3	~	14.00			0	99999	
		2	1	(1, 2, 3)		Infinity					
	Exit	1	1			Infinity					

### Entry Lane slope and intercept

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Final slope	Final intercept (PCU/hr)			
	Enter	4	1	0.365	1147			
	Entry	1	1	1	1	2	0.365	1147
2	Entry	ntry 1	1	0.333	1033			
2	Entry		2	0.333	1033			
2	Entry	Entry 1		1	0.293	777		
3			2	0.293	777			

# Summary of Entry Lane allowed movements

Arm		Lana	Destination arm			
AIII		Lane	1	2	3	
	4	1		~		
1	1	2	~		✓	
	2	1	~	~	✓	
	4	1			~	
2		2	~	~	✓	
	2	1	~	~	✓	
	4	1	~	~		
3	1	2		~	✓	
	2	1	✓	✓	~	

# **Traffic Demand**

### **Demand Set Details**

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)	Run automatically
D1	2022 Base Flows	AM	ONE HOUR	08:00	09:30	15	~

### **Demand overview (Traffic)**

Arm	Linked arm	Profile type	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
1		ONE HOUR	✓	153	100.000
2		ONE HOUR	√	631	100.000
3		ONE HOUR	✓	589	100.000

# **Origin-Destination Data**



### Demand (PCU/hr)

		То									
From		1	2	3							
	1	0	114	39							
	2	241	0	390							
	3	129	460	0							

# Vehicle Mix

HV data entry mode	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)
HV Percentages	2.00

Junction	PCU factor for a cyclist	PCU factor for a cyclist in controlling flow
1	0.20	0.80

### Heavy Vehicle %

Cyclist %

		Т	o	
From		1	2	3
	1	0	0	0
	2	0	0	0
	3	0	0	0

	cyclisi											
		То										
			1	2	3							
	_	1	0	0	0							
	From	2	0	0	0							
		3	0	0	0							

# Results

### Results Summary for whole modelled period

Arm	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Total Junction Arrivals (PCU)
1	0.14	4.26	0.3	A	143	215
2	0.35	4.82	0.9	A	569	853
3	0.46	7.51	1.3	A	549	824

### Main Results for each time segment

### 08:00 - 08:15

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1	109	27	352	1347	0.081	109	114	283	0.0	0.1	3.606	А
2	481	120	32	2042	0.235	481	471	430	0.0	0.5	4.125	A
3	446	112	186	1454	0.307	450	441	327	0.0	0.5	6.015	А

### 08:15 - 08:30

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1	157	39	418	1324	0.118	155	144	339	0.1	0.3	4.099	А
2	557	139	40	2011	0.277	561	573	533	0.5	0.5	4.317	А
3	539	135	219	1404	0.384	538	529	382	0.5	1.2	6.454	A



### 08:30 - 08:45

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1	179	45	506	1258	0.142	177	170	404	0.3	0.3	4.259	A
2	663	166	46	2026	0.327	665	682	637	0.5	0.8	4.533	A
3	655	164	253	1412	0.464	657	650	459	1.2	1.3	7.307	A

### 08:45 - 09:00

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1	165	41	510	1252	0.132	167	170	406	0.3	0.1	4.205	А
2	699	175	44	1984	0.352	699	697	633	0.8	0.9	4.816	A
3	638	159	276	1429	0.446	640	647	468	1.3	1.2	7.515	А

#### 09:00 - 09:15

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1	138	34	446	1287	0.107	136	138	339	0.1	0.2	3.951	А
2	554	139	34	2002	0.277	554	562	549	0.9	0.7	4.388	А
3	566	142	217	1428	0.396	568	543	371	1.2	0.9	6.523	А

### 09:15 - 09:30

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1	111	28	361	1312	0.084	110	115	261	0.2	0.1	3.777	А
2	458	114	25	2060	0.222	458	474	446	0.7	0.5	4.182	А
3	451	113	171	1465	0.307	451	439	312	0.9	0.7	5.971	А

### Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.

### Lanes: Main Results for each time segment

### 08:00 - 08:15

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		4	1	2	78	1019	0.076	78	84	0.0	0.1	3.666	А
1	Entry		2	1, 3	32	1019	0.031	32	30	0.0	0.0	3.440	А
'		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	109			109	114	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
E	Exit	1	1		283			283	276	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1	1	3	170	1022	0.167	170	164	0.0	0.2	3.838	А
_	Entry		2	1, 2, 3	310	1022	0.304	311	306	0.0	0.4	4.279	А
2		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	481			481	473	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		430			430	430	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	1, 2	256	722	0.354	256	251	0.0	0.4	6.234	А
_	Entry		2	2, 3	191	722	0.264	194	190	0.0	0.1	5.725	А
3		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	446			446	443	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		327			327	320	0.0	0.0	0.000	А



### 08:15 - 08:30

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		4	1	2	116	995	0.116	115	106	0.1	0.2	4.261	A
1	Entry	1	2	1, 3	41	995	0.041	40	38	0.0	0.1	3.582	A
•		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	157			157	144	0.0	0.0	0.016	А
	Exit	1	1		339			339	333	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1	1	3	202	1019	0.198	203	207	0.2	0.2	3.888	А
_	Entry		2	1, 2, 3	355	1019	0.348	358	366	0.4	0.3	4.560	А
2		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	557			557	572	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		533			533	517	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	1, 2	306	712	0.429	306	301	0.4	0.6	6.679	A
_	Entry	1	2	2, 3	234	712	0.328	231	228	0.1	0.6	6.159	А
3		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	539			539	532	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
	Exit	1	1		382			382	396	0.0	0.0	0.000	A

### 08:30 - 08:45

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		4	1	2	133	963	0.138	131	128	0.2	0.3	4.391	А
1	Entry	-	2	1, 3	46	963	0.048	46	43	0.1	0.0	3.857	А
		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	179			179	170	0.0	0.0	0.002	А
Exit	1	1		404			404	404	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
		4	1	3	238	1017	0.234	237	250	0.2	0.3	4.016	А
2	Entry	1	2	1, 2, 3	426	1017	0.418	429	432	0.3	0.5	4.832	A
2		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	663			663	684	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		637			637	635	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		4	1	1, 2	369	702	0.525	370	362	0.6	0.8	7.763	A
2	Entry	1	2	2, 3	286	702	0.407	287	288	0.6	0.5	6.733	A
3		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	655			655	651	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
	Exit	1	1		459			459	465	0.0	0.0	0.000	A

#### 08:45 - 09:00

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		4	1	2	121	961	0.126	123	126	0.3	0.1	4.234	А
1	Entry	1	2	1, 3	44	961	0.046	44	44	0.0	0.0	4.088	А
'		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	165			165	169	0.0	0.0	0.009	А
	Exit	1	1		406			406	409	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	3	258	1018	0.253	257	259	0.3	0.4	4.113	А
2	Entry	1	2	1, 2, 3	441	1018	0.433	442	438	0.5	0.5	5.231	A
2		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	699			699	697	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		633			633	635	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	1, 2	357	696	0.513	358	359	0.8	0.7	7.878	A
_	Entry	1	2	2, 3	281	696	0.403	282	288	0.5	0.5	7.063	А
3		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	638			638	647	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		468			468	470	0.0	0.0	0.000	A



### 09:00 - 09:15

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		4	1	2	104	985	0.106	103	106	0.1	0.2	4.010	A
4	Entry	1	2	1, 3	34	985	0.034	34	32	0.0	0.0	3.744	А
'		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	138			138	138	0.0	0.0	0.002	А
	Exit	1	1		339			339	333	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	3	201	1022	0.197	200	205	0.4	0.3	3.956	А
_	Entry		2	1, 2, 3	353	1022	0.346	354	356	0.5	0.4	4.637	А
2		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	554			554	560	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		549			549	534	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	1, 2	319	713	0.447	321	305	0.7	0.6	6.797	A
_	Entry	1	2	2, 3	247	713	0.347	247	238	0.5	0.4	6.171	А
3		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	566			566	542	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
	Exit	1	1		371			371	377	0.0	0.0	0.000	А

### 09:15 - 09:30

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		1	1	2	86	1016	0.084	85	87	0.2	0.1	3.873	А
1	Entry		2	1, 3	25	1016	0.025	25	28	0.0	0.0	3.486	А
		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	111			111	115	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		261			261	281	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	3	162	1024	0.158	163	166	0.3	0.1	3.881	А
2	Entry		2	1, 2, 3	296	1024	0.288	295	309	0.4	0.4	4.344	А
2		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	458			458	474	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		446			446	430	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	1, 2	252	726	0.347	254	252	0.6	0.4	6.214	А
2	Entry		2	2, 3	199	726	0.273	198	187	0.4	0.4	5.643	A
3		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	451			451	438	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		312			312	317	0.0	0.0	0.000	А



### Lane movements: Main Results for each time segment

### 08:00 - 08:15

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	78	19	1147	1020	0.076	78	84	0.0	0.1	3.666	А
		4		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
1	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	32	8	1147	1019	0.031	32	30	0.0	0.0	3.440	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	78	19	-	-	-	78	84	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	32	8	-	-	-	32	30	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		4		3	170	43	1033	1023	0.167	170	164	0.0	0.2	3.838	A
		•		1	184	46	1033	1022	0.180	186	181	0.0	0.1	4.645	А
2	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	127	32	1033	1023	0.124	126	125	0.0	0.2	3.751	A
				1	184	46	-	-	-	184	182	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	297	74	-	-	-	297	291	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	97	24	777	722	0.134	97	95	0.0	0.1	7.240	А
			1	2	159	40	777	723	0.220	159	156	0.0	0.3	5.620	A
		1		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		•		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
3	Entry		2	2	191	48	777	723	0.264	194	190	0.0	0.1	5.725	A
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	97	24	-	-	-	97	96	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		2	1	2	349	87	-	-	-	349	347	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A



### 08:15 - 08:30

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	116	29	1147	994	0.116	115	106	0.1	0.2	4.261	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		1		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
1	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	41	10	1147	996	0.041	40	38	0.0	0.1	3.582	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	116	29	-	-	-	116	107	0.0	0.0	0.022	А
				3	41	10	-	-	-	41	38	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		4		3	202	51	1033	1019	0.199	203	207	0.2	0.2	3.888	А
				1	216	54	1033	1019	0.212	219	214	0.1	0.1	5.041	A
2	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	139	35	1033	1019	0.136	139	151	0.2	0.2	3.879	A
				1	216	54	-	-	-	216	214	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	341	85	-	-	-	341	358	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	120	30	777	712	0.168	120	118	0.1	0.3	7.811	А
			1	2	186	46	777	712	0.261	187	183	0.3	0.3	5.941	A
		4		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		•		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
3	Entry		2	2	234	58	777	713	0.328	231	228	0.1	0.6	6.159	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	120	30	-	-	-	120	119	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	419	105	-	-	-	419	413	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A



### 08:30 - 08:45

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	133	33	1147	963	0.138	131	128	0.2	0.3	4.391	A
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
1	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	46	12	1147	961	0.048	46	43	0.1	0.0	3.857	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	133	33	-	-	-	133	128	0.0	0.0	0.002	А
				3	46	12	-	-	-	46	43	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4		3	238	59	1033	1018	0.234	237	250	0.2	0.3	4.016	А
				1	251	63	1033	1018	0.247	253	260	0.1	0.4	5.457	А
2	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	175	44	1033	1018	0.171	176	172	0.2	0.1	3.885	А
				1	251	63	-	-	-	251	261	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	412	103	-	-	-	412	423	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	150	37	777	703	0.213	151	144	0.3	0.2	9.309	A
			1	2	220	55	777	703	0.312	219	219	0.3	0.5	6.754	A
		4		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		•		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
3	Entry		2	2	286	72	777	702	0.407	287	288	0.6	0.5	6.733	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	150	37	-	-	-	150	143	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		2	1	2	506	126	-	-	-	506	508	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A



### 08:45 - 09:00

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	121	30	1147	961	0.126	123	126	0.3	0.1	4.234	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
1	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	44	11	1147	959	0.046	44	44	0.0	0.0	4.088	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	121	30	-	-	-	121	125	0.0	0.0	0.012	А
				3	44	11	-	-	-	44	44	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		4		3	258	65	1033	1018	0.253	257	259	0.3	0.4	4.113	А
		1		1	274	69	1033	1018	0.269	276	270	0.4	0.4	5.925	А
2	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	167	42	1033	1018	0.164	167	168	0.1	0.2	4.115	А
				1	274	69	-	-	-	274	270	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	425	106	-	-	-	425	427	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	130	33	777	695	0.187	130	139	0.2	0.4	9.031	A
			1	2	227	57	777	696	0.326	228	220	0.5	0.4	7.147	A
		4		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		•		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
3	Entry		2	2	281	70	777	696	0.403	282	288	0.5	0.5	7.063	A
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	130	33	-	-	-	130	139	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		2	1	2	508	127	-	-	-	508	508	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A



### 09:00 - 09:15

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	104	26	1147	986	0.105	103	106	0.1	0.2	4.010	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		•		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
1	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	34	8	1147	988	0.034	34	32	0.0	0.0	3.744	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		2	1	2	104	26	-	-	-	104	107	0.0	0.0	0.002	А
				3	34	8	-	-	-	34	31	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		4		3	201	50	1033	1022	0.197	200	205	0.4	0.3	3.956	A
				1	216	54	1033	1022	0.212	217	217	0.4	0.2	5.123	A
2	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	137	34	1033	1022	0.134	137	140	0.2	0.1	3.883	А
				1	216	54	-	-	-	216	216	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	338	85	-	-	-	338	344	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	120	30	777	713	0.168	122	116	0.4	0.2	7.908	А
			1	2	199	50	777	713	0.279	199	189	0.4	0.4	6.119	A
		4		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		•		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
3	Entry		2	2	247	62	777	713	0.347	247	238	0.5	0.4	6.171	A
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	120	30	-	-	-	120	115	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		2	1	2	447	112	-	-	-	447	427	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А



### 09:15 - 09:30

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	86	21	1147	1019	0.084	85	87	0.2	0.1	3.873	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		1		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
1	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	25	6	1147	1022	0.024	25	28	0.0	0.0	3.486	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	86	21	-	-	-	86	86	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	25	6	-	-	-	25	28	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4		3	162	41	1033	1025	0.158	163	166	0.3	0.1	3.881	А
		1		1	169	42	1033	1024	0.165	171	186	0.2	0.2	4.768	А
2	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	126	32	1033	1025	0.123	124	123	0.1	0.2	3.700	А
				1	169	42	-	-	-	169	186	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	288	72	-	-	-	288	288	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	90	23	777	725	0.124	90	95	0.2	0.2	7.038	А
			1	2	162	40	777	726	0.223	164	157	0.4	0.2	5.715	A
		4		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
3	Entry		2	2	199	50	777	727	0.273	198	187	0.4	0.4	5.643	A
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	90	23	-	-	-	90	95	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		2	1	2	360	90	-	-	-	360	343	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A



# 2022 Base Flows, PM

### **Data Errors and Warnings**

Severity	Area	Item	Description
Warning	Geometry	Arm 2 - Roundabout Geometry	Effective flare length is over 30m, which is outside the normal range. Treat capacities with increasing caution.
Warning	Vehicle Mix		HV% is zero for all movements / time segments. Vehicle Mix matrix should be completed whether working in PCUs or Vehs. If HV% at the junction is genuinely zero, please ignore this warning.
Info	Simulation	A1 - [Lane Simulation]	This run uses Simulation mode. For detailed information on this mode, please see the User Guide.

# Junction Network

### Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Use circulating lanes	Arm order	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	A453/EMA Roundabout Standard Roundabout			1, 2, 3	4.80	А

### **Junction Network**

Driving side	Lighting	Network delay (s)	Network LOS	
Left	Normal/unknown	4.80	А	

### **Traffic Demand**

### **Demand Set Details**

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)	Run automatically
D2	2022 Base Flows	PM	ONE HOUR	17:00	18:30	15	~

### **Demand overview (Traffic)**

Arm	Linked arm	Profile type	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
1		ONE HOUR	~	289	100.000
2		ONE HOUR	✓	576	100.000
3		ONE HOUR	~	388	100.000

# **Origin-Destination Data**

### Demand (PCU/hr)

	То				
From		1	2	3	
	1	0	224	65	
	2	133	0	443	
	3	41	347	0	

### Vehicle Mix

HV data entry mode	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)
HV Percentages	2.00

Junction	PCU factor for a cyclist	PCU factor for a cyclist in controlling flow
1	0.20	0.80



### Heavy Vehicle %

	То			
From		1	2	3
	1	0	0	0
	2	0	0	0
	3	0	0	0

C	/cl	ist	%	
_ <b>U</b>		100	/0	

	То				
From		1	2	3	
	1	0	0	0	
	2	0	0	0	
	3	0	0	0	

# Results

### Results Summary for whole modelled period

Arm	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Total Junction Arrivals (PCU)
1	0.25	4.54	0.4	А	264	396
2	0.32	4.42	0.7	A	538	807
3	0.29	5.58	0.8	A	355	532

### Main Results for each time segment

### 17:00 - 17:15

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1	221	55	258	1361	0.163	221	220	139	0.0	0.3	3.989	А
2	439	110	49	2049	0.214	440	430	430	0.0	0.4	3.894	А
3	287	72	109	1468	0.196	289	287	381	0.0	0.4	5.373	A

### 17:15 - 17:30

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1	256	64	314	1338	0.192	258	262	164	0.3	0.3	4.083	А
2	526	132	58	2003	0.263	528	521	514	0.4	0.6	4.123	А
3	352	88	126	1463	0.241	351	349	460	0.4	0.6	5.362	A

### 17:30 - 17:45

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1	319	80	390	1287	0.248	322	311	187	0.3	0.2	4.354	А
2	649	162	73	2023	0.321	654	641	639	0.6	0.7	4.314	A
3	430	108	147	1480	0.291	430	430	580	0.6	0.8	5.576	А

### 17:45 - 18:00

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1	311	78	372	1264	0.246	311	325	191	0.2	0.4	4.541	A
2	629	157	67	2000	0.315	632	622	616	0.7	0.6	4.423	А
3	414	104	148	1471	0.281	414	417	550	0.8	0.8	5.573	А



### 18:00 - 18:15

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1	254	63	313	1322	0.192	255	264	155	0.4	0.3	4.338	A
2	538	135	55	2001	0.269	542	525	513	0.6	0.4	4.147	A
3	349	87	120	1473	0.237	348	353	477	0.8	0.5	5.396	A

### 18:15 - 18:30

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1	224	56	264	1328	0.169	225	214	137	0.3	0.2	3.904	А
2	448	112	53	2051	0.218	446	439	437	0.4	0.4	4.187	A
3	296	74	104	1521	0.194	297	300	395	0.5	0.4	5.099	А

### Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.

### Lanes: Main Results for each time segment

### 17:00 - 17:15

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		4	1	2	171	1053	0.163	171	170	0.0	0.2	4.149	А
4	Entry		2	1, 3	50	1053	0.047	49	50	0.0	0.1	3.392	А
		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	221			221	221	0.0	0.0	0.013	A
	Exit	1	1		139			139	131	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	3	178	1016	0.175	179	175	0.0	0.1	3.717	А
2	Entry		2	1, 2, 3	261	1016	0.257	261	255	0.0	0.3	4.016	А
		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	439			439	432	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		430			430	426	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	1, 2	154	745	0.206	155	155	0.0	0.2	5.512	А
2	Entry	1	2	2, 3	134	745	0.179	134	132	0.0	0.2	5.210	А
3		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	287			287	288	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
3	Exit	1	1		381			381	380	0.0	0.0	0.000	A

### 17:15 - 17:30

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		4	1	2	199	1033	0.192	200	201	0.2	0.3	4.230	A
1	Entry	1	2	1, 3	58	1033	0.056	58	61	0.1	0.0	3.552	A
l '		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	256			256	262	0.0	0.0	0.011	A
	Exit	1	1		164			164	154	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	3	216	1013	0.213	216	217	0.1	0.2	3.875	A
2	Entry		2	1, 2, 3	310	1013	0.306	312	303	0.3	0.4	4.301	A
2		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	526			526	521	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		514			514	514	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		4	1	1, 2	192	740	0.260	192	188	0.2	0.3	5.386	A
_	Entry	1	2	2, 3	160	740	0.217	159	161	0.2	0.3	5.334	A
3		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	352			352	350	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
	Exit	1	1		460			460	465	0.0	0.0	0.000	А



### 17:30 - 17:45

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		4	1	2	246	1005	0.245	250	240	0.3	0.1	4.437	А
4	Entry	1	2	1, 3	73	1005	0.073	73	71	0.0	0.1	3.936	А
'		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	319			320	311	0.0	0.0	0.031	А
	Exit	1	1		187			187	195	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	3	271	1009	0.268	272	270	0.2	0.4	4.114	А
2	Entry		2	1, 2, 3	378	1009	0.375	382	370	0.4	0.3	4.461	А
		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	649			649	641	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		639			639	624	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	1, 2	227	733	0.310	226	232	0.3	0.4	5.604	A
3	Entry	1	2	2, 3	203	733	0.277	204	198	0.3	0.4	5.542	А
		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	430			430	431	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
	Exit	1	1		580			580	563	0.0	0.0	0.000	A

### 17:45 - 18:00

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		1	1	2	244	1012	0.242	244	251	0.1	0.4	4.739	А
1	Entry		2	1, 3	67	1012	0.066	67	73	0.1	0.1	3.761	А
		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	311			311	326	0.0	0.0	0.022	А
	Exit	1	1		191			191	191	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		1	1	3	276	1010	0.273	277	268	0.4	0.3	4.093	А
2	Entry		2	1, 2, 3	354	1010	0.350	355	354	0.3	0.4	4.674	А
2		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	629			629	622	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
1	Exit	1	1		616			616	623	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1	1	1, 2	221	733	0.302	222	221	0.4	0.5	5.815	А
2	Entry		2	2, 3	193	733	0.263	193	196	0.4	0.4	5.298	А
3		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	414			414	417	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		550			550	551	0.0	0.0	0.000	A

### 18:00 - 18:15

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		4	1	2	199	1033	0.193	201	205	0.4	0.3	4.503	А
1	Entry	1	2	1, 3	54	1033	0.052	55	60	0.1	0.1	3.614	А
		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	254			254	264	0.0	0.0	0.036	А
	Exit	1	1		155			155	151	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	3	228	1014	0.224	230	226	0.3	0.1	3.907	А
2	Entry		2	1, 2, 3	310	1014	0.306	312	299	0.4	0.3	4.328	А
		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	538			538	524	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		513			513	524	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	1, 2	181	741	0.244	180	188	0.5	0.4	5.516	А
2	Entry	1	2	2, 3	168	741	0.227	168	165	0.4	0.2	5.259	А
3		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	349			349	352	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
3	Exit	1	1		477			477	468	0.0	0.0	0.000	A



### 18:15 - 18:30

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		4	1	2	172	1051	0.164	172	165	0.3	0.2	4.027	А
4	Entry	1	2	1, 3	52	1051	0.049	53	49	0.1	0.0	3.388	А
'		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	224			224	213	0.0	0.0	0.024	А
	Exit	1	1		137			137	134	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	3	191	1015	0.189	189	186	0.1	0.3	3.843	А
_	Entry		2	1, 2, 3	256	1015	0.253	257	253	0.3	0.2	4.441	А
2		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	448			448	440	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		437			437	431	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	1, 2	163	746	0.219	165	162	0.4	0.2	5.194	А
_	Entry		2	2, 3	132	746	0.177	132	137	0.2	0.2	4.988	А
3		2	1	(1, 2, 3)	296			296	299	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		395			395	387	0.0	0.0	0.000	A

### Lane movements: Main Results for each time segment

### 17:00 - 17:15

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service	
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	Unsignalised level of service           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A           A	
			1	2	171	43	1147	1054	0.163	171	170	0.0	0.2	4.149	А	
		4		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
1	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
				3	50	12	1147	1048	0.048	49	50	0.0	0.1	3.392	A	
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
		2	1	2	171	43	-	-	-	171	171 171 0.0 0.0	0.013	A			
					3	50	12	-	-	-	50	51	0.0	0.0	0.012	A
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0         0.013         A           0         0.012         A           0         0.000         A           0         0.000         A           1         3.717         A           2         4.426         A           0         0.000         A		
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0         0.0         0.00         0.013         0.0           0.0         0.0         0.013         0.0         0.012         0.0           0.0         0.0         0.000         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0           0.0         0.0         0.000         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.0         0.	A		
		4		3	178	44	1033	1016	0.175	179	175         0.0         0.1           101         0.0         0.2	0.1	3.717	A		
		•		1	109	27	1033	1017	0.107	109		4.426	А			
2	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
				3	152	38	1033	1016	0.150	152	155	0.0	0.1	3.747	A	
				1	109	27	-	-	-	109	102	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	
				3	330	82	-	-	-	330	330	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	
				1	30	8	777	746	0.041	31	31	0.0	0.1	6.035	A	
			1	2	123	31	777	746	0.165	124	124	0.0	0.2	(s)         severice service           0.000         A           4.149         A           0.000         A           0.013         A           0.000         A           0.012         A           0.000         A           0.000         A           0.000         A           3.717         A           4.426         A           0.000         A           3.747         A           0.000         A           0.000         A           0.000         A           5.383         A           0.000         A           5.210         A           0.000         A           0.000         A           0.000         A           0.000         A           0.000         A           <		
		1		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	
		•		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
3	Entry		2	2	134	33	777	745	0.179	134	132	0.0	0.2	5.210	A	
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	
				1	30	8	-	-	-	30	31	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	
		2	1	2	257	64	-	-	-	257	258	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	



### 17:15 - 17:30

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	199	50	1147	1032	0.193	200	201	0.2	0.3	4.230	A
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		•		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
1	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	58	14	1147	1037	0.056	58	61	0.1	0.0	3.552	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		2	1	2	199	50	-	-	-	199	202	0.0	0.0	0.014	A
				3	58	14	-	-	-	58	61	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Entry		1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0         0.0         0.000           0.1         0.2         3.875	0.000	A
		4		3	216	54	1033	1013	0.213	216	217	0.1		3.875	А
				1	126	32	1033	1013	0.125	126	117	0.2	0.2	4.928	A
2			2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	184	46	1033	1013	0.182	185	186	0.1	0.2	3.907	А
				1	126	32	-	-	-	126	117	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	400	100	-	-	-	400	404	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	37	9	777	741	0.050	37	37	0.1	0.1	6.144	А
			1	2	155	39	777	740	0.209	155	151	0.2	0.2	5.203	А
		4		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.3         4.230         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.0         3.552         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.0         0.014         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.1         0.000         A           0.2         3.875         A           0.2         3.875         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.2         3.907         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.1         6.144         A           0.2         5.203         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.0         0.000         A	А
		•		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
3	Entry		2	2	160	40	777	739	0.217	159	161	0.2	0.3	5.334	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	37	9	-	-	-	37	37	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		2	1	2	315	79	-	-	-	315	314	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A



### 17:30 - 17:45

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service	
					1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	246	62	1147	1005	0.245	250	240	0.3	0.1	4.437	А	
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
		•		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
1	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
				3	73	18	1147	1006	0.073	73	71	0.0	0.1	3.936	А	
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	
		2	1	2	246	61	-	-	-	246	240	0.0	0.0 0.039	A		
					3	73	18	-	-	-	73	71	0.0	0.0	0.006	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0         0.000         A           0.1         3.936         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.0         0.039         A           0.0         0.006         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.1         3.984         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.1         3.984         A           0.0         0.000         A			
	Entry		1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	
		4		3	271	68	1033	1009	0.268	272	270	0.2	0.4	4.114	A	
				1	145	36	1033	1010	0.144	147	148	0.2	0.2	5.176	А	
2			2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	
				3	233	58	1033	1009	0.231	235	222	0.2	0.1	3.984	А	
				1	145	36	-	-	-	145	148	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	
				3	503	126	-	-	-	503	493	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
				1	41	10	777	732	0.056	40	46	0.1	0.1	6.336	А	
			1	2	186	47	777	733	0.254	186	186	0.2	0.3	5.420	А	
		4		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.1         4.437         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.1         3.936         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.1         3.936         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.0         0.039         A           0.0         0.006         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.1         3.984         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.1         3.984         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.1         3.984         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.1         6.336         A           0.3         5.420         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.4         5.542         A           0.0         0.000         A           0.0         0.000         A		
		•		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
3	Entry		2	2	203	51	777	734	0.277	204	198	0.3	0.4	5.542	A	
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	
				1	41	10	-	-	-	41	47	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	
		2	1	2	389	97	-	-	-	389	384	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	



### 17:45 - 18:00

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	244	61	1147	1012	0.241	244	251	0.1	0.4	4.739	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
1	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	67	17	1147	1013	0.066	67	73	0.1	0.1	3.761	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0 0.0 0	0.000	А	
		2	1	2	244	61	-	-	-	- 244 252 0.0	0.0	0.024	А		
				3	67	17	-	-	-	67	73	0.0	0.0 0.0	0.015	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	1         0.1         3.761         A           .0         0.0         0.000         A           .0         0.0         0.024         A           .0         0.0         0.015         A           .0         0.0         0.000         A           .2         0.2         5.447         A           .0         0.0         0.000         A           .1         0.1         4.139         A           .0         0.0         0.000         A	А	
	Entry		1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0		0.000	A
		4		3	276	69	1033	1010	0.273	277	268	0.4		4.093	А
		•		1	149	37	1033	1011	0.147	148	145	0.2	0.2	5.447	А
2			2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	205	51	1033	1011	0.203	207	209	0.1	0.1	4.139	A
				1	149	37	-	-	-	149	145	0.0	0.0 0.0 0.000	0.000	А
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	481	120	-	-	-	481	477	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	42	10	777	733	0.057	42	46	0.1	0.1	6.739	А
			1	2	180	45	777	733	0.245	179	175	0.3	0.4	Delay (s)         Delay level of service           0         0.000         A           4         4.739         A           0         0.000         A           0         0.015         A           0         0.024         A           0         0.000         A           0         0.000         A           0         0.000         A           0         0.000         A           1         4.139         A           0         0.000         A           0         0.000         A           0         0.000         A           1         6.739         A           4         5.574         A           0         0.000         A           0         0.000         A           0 <t< td=""><td>A</td></t<>	A
		4		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0		А
		•		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
3	Entry		2	2	193	48	777	733	0.263	193	196	0.4	0.4	5.298	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	42	10	-	-	-	42	46	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		2	1	2	373	93	-	-	-	373	371	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A



### 18:00 - 18:15

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service	
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
			1	2	199	50	1147	1032	0.193	201	205	0.4	0.3	4.503	А	
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
1	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
				3	54	14	1147	1029	0.053	55	60	0.1	0.1	3.614	А	
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	
		2	1	2	199	50	-	-	-	199	199 204 0.0	0.0	0.044	A		
					3	54	14	-	-	-	54	60	0.0	0.0	0.007	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	.0         0.0         0.000         A           .1         0.1         3.614         A           .0         0.0         0.000         A           .0         0.0         0.044         A           .0         0.0         0.007         A           .0         0.0         0.000         A           .0         0.0         0.000         A           .0         0.0         0.000         A           .0         0.0         0.000         A           .0         0.1         3.907         A           .2         0.1         4.653         A           .0         0.0         0.000         A           .1         0.2         4.123         A           .0         0.0         0.000         A           .0         0.0         0.000         A           .0         0.0         0.000         A	А		
	Entry		1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0		0.000	A	
		4		3	228	57	1033	1014	0.225	230	226	0.3		3.907	A	
				1	120	30	1033	1014	0.118	120	116	0.2	0.1	4.653	A	
2			2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	
				3	191	48	1033	1014	0.188	192	183	0.1	0.2	4.123	А	
				1	120	30	-	-	-	120	116	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	
				3	418	105	-	-	-	418	408	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
				1	36	9	777	742	0.049	35	34	0.1	0.1	6.487	А	
			1	2	145	36	777	741	0.195	144	154	0.4	0.3	5.297	А	
		4		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.3     4.503     A       0.0     0.000     A       0.0     0.000     A       0.0     0.000     A       0.0     0.000     A       0.1     3.614     A       0.0     0.000     A       0.0     0.000     A       0.0     0.000     A       0.0     0.007     A       0.0     0.000     A       0.0     0.000     A       0.1     3.907     A       0.1     4.653     A       0.0     0.000     A       0.1     4.653     A       0.0     0.000     A       0.1     4.653     A       0.0     0.000     A       0.1     6.487     A       0.0     0.000     A       0.1     6.487     A       0.3     5.297     A       0.0     0.000     A       0.2     5.259     A       0.0     0.000     A       0.0     0.000     A       0.0     0.000     A       0.0     0.000     A	А	
		•		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
3	Entry		2	2	168	42	777	741	0.227	168	165	0.4	0.2	5.259	A	
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	
				1	36	9	-	-	-	36	35	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	
		2	1	2	313	78	-	-	-	313	318	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	


# 18:15 - 18:30

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	172	43	1147	1050	0.164	172	165	0.3	0.2	4.027	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
1	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	52	13	1147	1051	0.049	53	49	0.1	0.0	3.388	A
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	172	43	-	-	-	172	164	0.0	0.0	0.027	A
				3	52	13	-	-	-	52	49	0.0	0.0	0.012	A
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		1		3	191	48	1033	1015	0.189	189	186	0.1	0.3	3.843	А
				1	103	26	1033	1015	0.102	104	101	0.1	0.1	5.202	A
2	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	153	38	1033	1015	0.151	153	152	0.2	0.1	3.935	A
				1	103	26	-	-	-	103	101	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	345	86	-	-	-	345	339	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	32	8	777	748	0.043	33	33	0.1	0.0	5.903	A
			1	2	131	33	777	747	0.176	132	129	0.3	0.1	5.011	A
		1		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
3	Entry		2	2	132	33	777	746	0.177	132	137	0.2	0.2	4.988	A
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	32	8	-	-	-	32	33	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		2	1	2	264	66	-	-	-	264	266	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A

<

>



APPENDIX 4: Junction 11 - A42 Junction 14 on-slip/Top Brand/Gelscoe Lane Roundabout Model Outputs





# **Junctions 10**

# **ARCADY 10 - Roundabout Module**

Version: 10.1.1.1905

© Copyright TRL Software Limited, 2023

For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL Software:

+44 (0)1344 379777 software@trl.co.uk trlsoftware.com

The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

**Filename:** A453_Gelscoe Lane_Top Brand roundabout (near A42) (Lane Sim) (Base Only).j10 **Path:** J:\2022\220500-East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 (1)\ProjectDelivery\01-WIP\DesignAndCalculations\T&I Planning\Traffic Models\11. A453_Gelscoe Lane_Top Brand roundabout (near A42) **Report generation date:** 05/04/2024 14:13:09

»2022 Base Flows, AM »2022 Base Flows, PM

# Summary of junction performance

		А	M				P	M		
	Set ID	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Set ID	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS
	[Lane Simulation] - 2022 Base Flows									
1 - A453 (N)		0.4	4.39	0.20	А		1.5	6.17	0.47	А
2 - Gelscoe Lane	D1	0.1	4.30	0.05	А	D2	0.1	4.98	0.05	А
3 - Top Brand		0.5	4.93	0.26	A		0.1	4.47	0.08	А

There are warnings associated with one or more model runs - see the 'Data Errors and Warnings' tables for each Analysis or Demand Set.

Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle. Arm and junction delays are averages for all movements, including movements with zero delay.

#### File summary

#### **File Description**

Title	A453 Gelscoe Lane Top Brand roundabout
Location	
Site number	
Date	18/10/2023
Version	
Status	(new file)
Identifier	Aaiza
Client	
Jobnumber	220500
Enumerator	BWB\Aaiza.Shafiq
Description	

## Units

Distance units	Speed units	Traffic units input	Traffic units results	Flow units	Average delay units	Total delay units	Rate of delay units
m	kph	PCU	PCU	perHour	s	-Min	perMin



# **Analysis Options**

Vehicle length (m)	Calculate Queue Percentiles	Calculate detailed queueing delay	Show lane queues in feet / metres	Show all PICADY stream intercepts	Calculate residual capacity	RFC Threshold	Average Delay threshold (s)	Queue threshold (PCU)	Use simulation for HCM roundabouts	Use iterations for HCM roundabouts
5.75						0.85	36.00	20.00		

# Lane Simulation options

Criteria type	Stop criteria (%)	Stop criteria time (s)	Stop criteria number of trials	Calculate RFCs	Relaxation factor for capacity/RFC runs	Random seed	Results refresh speed (s)	Individual vehicle animation number of trials	Average animation capture interval (s)	Use quick response	Do flow sampling	Suppress automatic lane creation	Last run random seed	Last run number of trials
Delay	1.00	100000	100000	Calculate for all arms	3.00	-1	3	1	60	~			2058580669	74

# **Demand Set Summary**

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)	Run automatically
D1	2022 Base Flows	AM	ONE HOUR	07:45	09:15	15	✓
D2	2022 Base Flows	PM	ONE HOUR	16:45	18:15	15	~

# **Analysis Set Details**

ID	Use Lane Simulation	Include in report	Network flow scaling factor (%)	Network capacity scaling factor (%)
A1	✓	~	100.000	100.000



# 2022 Base Flows, AM

# **Data Errors and Warnings**

Severity	Area	Item	Description
Warning	Geometry	2 - Gelscoe Lane - Roundabout Geometry	Effective flare length is over 30m, which is outside the normal range. Treat capacities with increasing caution.
Warning	Geometry	3 - Top Brand - Roundabout Geometry	Effective flare length is over 30m, which is outside the normal range. Treat capacities with increasing caution.
Warning	Vehicle Mix		HV% is zero for all movements / time segments. Vehicle Mix matrix should be completed whether working in PCUs or Vehs. If HV% at the junction is genuinely zero, please ignore this warning.
Info	Simulation	A1 - [Lane Simulation]	This run uses Simulation mode. For detailed information on this mode, please see the User Guide.

# **Junction Network**

#### Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Use circulating lanes	Arm order	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	untitled	Standard Roundabout		1, 2, 3, 4	4.61	А

# **Junction Network**

Driving side	Lighting	Network delay (s)	Network LOS
Left	Normal/unknown	4.61	А

# Arms

# Arms

Arm	Name	Description	No give-way line
1	A453 (N)		
2	Gelscoe Lane		
3	Top Brand		
4	A42		

# **Roundabout Geometry**

Arm	V - Approach road half-width (m)	E - Entry width (m)	l' - Effective flare length (m)	R - Entry radius (m)	D - Inscribed circle diameter (m)	PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg)	Entry only	Exit only
1 - A453 (N)	3.88	7.16	18.0	24.0	58.0	9.6		
2 - Gelscoe Lane	3.26	6.87	35.0	45.0	58.0	14.7		
3 - Top Brand	3.56	6.87	36.0	44.0	58.0	14.7		
4 - A42								✓

# Slope / Intercept / Capacity

# Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

Arm	Final slope	Final intercept (PCU/hr)
1 - A453 (N)	0.633	1946
2 - Gelscoe Lane	0.635	1956
3 - Top Brand	0.643	2002
4 - A42		

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.



# Lane Simulation: Arm options

Arm	Lane capacity source	Traffic considering secondary lanes (%)		
1 - A453 (N)	Evenly split	10.00		
2 - Gelscoe Lane Evenly split		10.00		
3 - Top Brand Evenly split		10.00		
4 - A42	Evenly split	10.00		

# Lanes

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Has limited storage	Storage (PCU)	Has bottleneck	Has obstruction	Minimum capacity (PCU/hr)	Maximum capacity (PCU/hr)	Signalised							
		1	1	2, 3	✓	4.00			0	99999								
1 4452 (NI)	Entry	1	2	1, 4	✓	4.00			0	99999								
1 - A455 (N)		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)		Infinity												
	Exit	1	1			Infinity												
		1	1	3, 4	✓	5.00			0	99999								
2. Colores Lana	Entry	•	2	1, 2	✓	5.00			0	99999								
2 - Geiscoe Lane		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)		Infinity												
	Exit	1	1			Infinity												
		4	1	1, 4	✓	5.00			0	99999								
2 Ton Brand	Entry	Entry	Entry	Entry	Entry	Entry	Entry	Entry	•	2	2, 3	✓	5.00			0	99999	
3 - Top Brand		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)		Infinity												
	Exit	1	1			Infinity												
4 - A42	Exit	1	1			Infinity												

# Entry Lane slope and intercept

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Final slope	Final intercept (PCU/hr)
1 - A453 (N)	Enter	1	1	0.316	973
			2	0.316	973
	Entry	1	1	0.317	978
2 - Geiscoe Lane			2	0.317	978
3 - Top Brand	Entry	1	1	0.321	1001
			2	0.321	1001

#### Summary of Entry Lane allowed movements

Arm	Lana		Destination arm					
	Level	Lane	A453 (N)	Gelscoe Lane	Top Brand	A42		
	1	1		✓	✓			
1 - A453 (N)		2	✓			~		
	2	1	✓	~	✓	~		
2.	1	1			✓	~		
Gelscoe		2	~	✓				
Lane	2	1	✓	✓	✓	✓		
3 - Top Brand	1	1	✓			✓		
	'	2		~	✓			
	2	1	✓	✓	✓	✓		

# **Traffic Demand**

# **Demand Set Details**

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)	Run automatically
D1	2022 Base Flows	AM	ONE HOUR	07:45	09:15	15	~



# **Demand overview (Traffic)**

Arm	Linked arm	Profile type	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
1 - A453 (N)		ONE HOUR	✓	246	100.000
2 - Gelscoe Lane		ONE HOUR	✓	55	100.000
3 - Top Brand		ONE HOUR	✓	232	100.000
4 - A42					

# **Origin-Destination Data**

# Demand (PCU/hr)

	То							
		1 - A453 (N)	2 - Gelscoe Lane	3 - Top Brand	4 - A42			
	1 - A453 (N)	0	43	126	77			
From	2 - Gelscoe Lane	19	0	12	24			
	3 - Top Brand	169	23	0	40			
	4 - A42	0	0	0	0			

# Vehicle Mix

HV data entry mode	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)
HV Percentages	2.00

Junction	PCU factor for a cyclist	PCU factor for a cyclist in controlling flow
1	0.20	0.80

# Heavy Vehicle %

	То							
· From ·		1 - A453 (N)	2 - Gelscoe Lane	3 - Top Brand	4 - A42			
	1 - A453 (N)	0	0	0	0			
	2 - Gelscoe Lane	0	0	0	0			
	3 - Top Brand	0	0	0	0			
	4 - A42	0	0	0	0			

# Cyclist %

			То		
		1 - A453 (N)	2 - Gelscoe Lane	3 - Top Brand	4 - A42
From	1 - A453 (N)	0	0	0	0
	2 - Gelscoe Lane	0	0	0	0
	3 - Top Brand	0	0	0	0
	4 - A42	0	0	0	0

# Results

# **Results Summary for whole modelled period**

Arm	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Total Junction Arrivals (PCU)
1 - A453 (N)	0.20	4.39	0.4	А	226	339
2 - Gelscoe Lane	0.05	4.30	0.1	А	50	75
3 - Top Brand	0.26	4.93	0.5	А	212	318
4 - A42						



# Main Results for each time segment

## 07:45 - 08:00

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1 - A453 (N)	183	46	17	1340	0.137	183	183	138	0.0	0.2	4.167	A
2 - Gelscoe Lane	40	10	150	1438	0.028	40	43	50	0.0	0.0	3.905	A
3 - Top Brand	175	44	86	1065	0.164	176	177	104	0.0	0.2	4.354	A
4 - A42			0					107				

#### 08:00 - 08:15

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1 - A453 (N)	218	55	22	1364	0.160	217	221	163	0.2	0.2	4.358	A
2 - Gelscoe Lane	47	12	180	1373	0.034	47	48	59	0.0	0.1	3.987	A
3 - Top Brand	200	50	106	1089	0.184	201	210	122	0.2	0.2	4.443	А
4 - A42			0					122				

#### 08:15 - 08:30

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1 - A453 (N)	273	68	26	1369	0.199	272	267	209	0.2	0.4	4.387	A
2 - Gelscoe Lane	57	14	222	1360	0.042	58	55	76	0.1	0.0	4.051	А
3 - Top Brand	263	66	133	1028	0.256	264	256	147	0.2	0.5	4.899	A
4 - A42			0					162				

#### 08:30 - 08:45

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1 - A453 (N)	265	66	25	1361	0.195	263	267	209	0.4	0.4	4.383	A
2 - Gelscoe Lane	65	16	220	1357	0.048	64	64	69	0.0	0.1	3.953	A
3 - Top Brand	258	64	136	1090	0.237	261	258	148	0.5	0.2	4.925	A
4 - A42			0					162				

# 08:45 - 09:00

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1 - A453 (N)	229	57	22	1380	0.166	229	224	163	0.4	0.2	4.191	A
2 - Gelscoe Lane	52	13	190	1380	0.038	51	51	60	0.1	0.1	4.299	A
3 - Top Brand	204	51	113	1087	0.188	205	209	129	0.2	0.2	4.823	A
4 - A42			0					133				

#### 09:00 - 09:15

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1 - A453 (N)	186	47	14	1340	0.139	187	184	140	0.2	0.2	4.206	А
2 - Gelscoe Lane	37	9	156	1344	0.028	37	39	45	0.1	0.1	4.031	A
3 - Top Brand	173	43	89	1089	0.158	171	177	104	0.2	0.2	4.260	A
4 - A42			0					106				



# Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.

# Lanes: Main Results for each time segment

# 07:45 - 08:00

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		4	1	2, 3	128	968	0.132	128	127	0.0	0.2	4.367	A
1 A 452 (NI)	Entry		2	1, 4	56	968	0.057	55	56	0.0	0.1	3.707	А
1 - A455 (N)		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	183			183	184	0.0	0.0	0.002	А
	Exit	1	1		138			138	141	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1	1	3, 4	29	930	0.031	29	28	0.0	0.0	3.925	А
2 Galagoo Lana	Entry	•	2	1, 2	12	930	0.013	11	15	0.0	0.0	3.869	А
2 - Geiscoe Laile		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	40			40	43	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		50			50	53	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	1, 4	159	973	0.163	159	159	0.0	0.2	4.410	А
2 Ten Brond	Entry		2	2, 3	16	973	0.017	17	18	0.0	0.0	3.838	А
3 - Top Brand		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	175			175	178	0.0	0.0	0.003	А
	Exit	1	1		104			104	102	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
4 - A42	Exit	1	1		107			107	107	0.0	0.0	0.000	A

# 08:00 - 08:15

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		4	1	2, 3	148	966	0.153	148	152	0.2	0.1	4.397	A
1 A 452 (NI)	Entry	1	2	1, 4	70	966	0.073	70	69	0.1	0.1	4.274	A
1 - A455 (N)		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	218			218	221	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		163			163	169	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1	1	3, 4	32	921	0.035	32	31	0.0	0.0	4.094	А
2 Galagoo Lana	Entry		2	1, 2	16	921	0.017	16	16	0.0	0.0	3.783	A
2 - Geiscoe Lane		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	47			47	48	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		59			59	62	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		1	1	1, 4	179	967	0.185	180	188	0.2	0.2	4.515	А
2 Ton Brand	Entry		2	2, 3	21	967	0.022	22	22	0.0	0.0	3.841	А
3 - Top Brand		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	200			200	210	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		122			122	123	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
4 - A42	Exit	1	1		122			122	124	0.0	0.0	0.000	A

# 08:15 - 08:30

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		4	1	2, 3	184	965	0.190	184	181	0.1	0.3	4.497	A
4 4452 (NI)	Entry	1	2	1, 4	89	965	0.092	88	86	0.1	0.1	4.155	A
1 - A455 (N)		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	273			273	268	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		209			209	203	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	3, 4	39	907	0.043	39	38	0.0	0.0	4.131	A
2 Galagoo Lana	Entry	1	2	1, 2	18	907	0.019	18	18	0.0	0.0	3.882	А
2 - Geiscoe Laile		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	57			57	55	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		76			76	73	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		1	1	1, 4	237	958	0.247	238	231	0.2	0.5	5.029	А
2 Ten Brond	Entry		2	2, 3	26	958	0.027	26	25	0.0	0.0	3.684	A
3 - Top Brand		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	263			263	257	0.0	0.0	0.003	A
	Exit	1	1		147			147	146	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
4 - A42	Exit	1	1		162			162	157	0.0	0.0	0.000	A



# 08:30 - 08:45

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		4	1	2, 3	180	965	0.186	179	183	0.3	0.3	4.536	A
4 4 4 5 2 (NI)	Entry		2	1, 4	85	965	0.088	85	84	0.1	0.1	4.047	A
1 - A453 (N)		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	265			265	266	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
	Exit	1	1		209			209	208	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		4	1	3, 4	42	908	0.047	42	42	0.0	0.1	3.919	А
	Entry		2	1, 2	23	908	0.025	22	22	0.0	0.0	4.017	А
2 - Geiscoe Lane		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	65			65	64	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
	Exit	1	1		69			69	71	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	1, 4	233	957	0.243	236	232	0.5	0.2	5.003	А
2 Tan Brand	Entry		2	2, 3	25	957	0.026	25	26	0.0	0.0	4.174	A
3 - Top Brand		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	258			258	256	0.0	0.0	0.006	А
	Exit	1	1		148			148	152	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
4 - A42	Exit	1	1		162			162	158	0.0	0.0	0.000	A

#### 08:45 - 09:00

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		4	1	2, 3	159	966	0.164	158	152	0.3	0.2	4.319	A
1 A 452 (NI)	Entry		2	1, 4	70	966	0.073	70	73	0.1	0.1	3.925	A
1 - A455 (N)		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	229			229	224	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		163			163	169	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	3, 4	34	917	0.037	33	32	0.1	0.1	4.596	A
2 - Gelscoe Lane	Entry		2	1, 2	18	917	0.020	18	19	0.0	0.0	3.792	А
		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	52			52	51	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		60			60	60	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		4	1	1, 4	182	965	0.189	183	186	0.2	0.2	4.906	А
2 Ten Brond	Entry		2	2, 3	22	965	0.023	22	23	0.0	0.0	4.035	А
3 - Top Brand		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	204			204	209	0.0	0.0	0.015	A
	Exit	1	1		129			129	126	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
4 - A42	Exit	1	1		133			133	130	0.0	0.0	0.000	A

# 09:00 - 09:15

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
			1	2, 3	128	969	0.132	129	125	0.2	0.1	4.293	A
4 4452 (NI)	Entry		2	1, 4	58	969	0.060	59	59	0.1	0.0	4.023	A
1 - A455 (N)		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	186			186	184	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		140			140	142	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1	1	3, 4	23	928	0.025	23	25	0.1	0.0	4.008	А
2 - Gelscoe I ane	Entry	1	2	1, 2	14	928	0.015	14	14	0.0	0.0	4.073	А
2 - Geiscoe Laile		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	37			37	39	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		45			45	47	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1	1	1, 4	158	972	0.163	157	160	0.2	0.2	4.314	А
3 - Top Brand	Entry		2	2, 3	14	972	0.015	14	17	0.0	0.0	3.744	А
		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	173			173	177	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
	Exit	1	1		104			104	104	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
4 - A42	Exit	1	1		106			106	107	0.0	0.0	0.000	A



# Lane movements: Main Results for each time segment

### 07:45 - 08:00

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	33	8	973	967	0.034	33	35	0.0	0.0	4.284	А
			'	3	95	24	973	967	0.098	95	92	0.0	0.1	4.399	А
		4		4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
1 - A453 (N)	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
1 - A433 (N)	Linuy			3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	56	14	973	967	0.057	55	56	0.0	0.1	3.707	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	33	8	-	-	-	33	35	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	'	3	95	24	-	-	-	95	93	0.0	0.0	0.004	А
				4	56	14	-	-	-	56	56	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			'	3	9	2	872	828	0.011	9	9	0.0	0.0	3.998	А
		4		4	19	5	978	932	0.021	19	19	0.0	0.0	3.888	А
	Entry			1	12	3	951	907	0.013	11	15	0.0	0.0	3.869	А
2 - Golscoo I ano			2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
2 - Gelscoe Lane Ent	Linuy		<b>_</b>	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	12	3	-	-	-	12	15	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	'	3	9	2	-	-	-	9	9	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	19	5	-	-	-	19	19	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	127	32	1001	974	0.130	127	127	0.0	0.2	4.356	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			.	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		4	32	8	1001	972	0.033	32	32	0.0	0.0	4.622	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
3 - Top Brand	Entry		2	2	16	4	987	958	0.017	17	18	0.0	0.0	3.838	А
	y		<b></b>	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	127	32	-	-	-	127	127	0.0	0.0	0.004	А
		2	1	2	16	4	-	-	-	16	18	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	'	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	32	8	-	-	-	32	32	0.0	0.0	0.000	A



# 08:00 - 08:15

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				2	37	9	973	966	0.038	37	40	0.0	0.0	4.147	А
				3	111	28	973	966	0.115	111	113	0.1	0.1	4.484	А
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
4 4450 (81)	E			2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
1 - A453 (N)	Entry		2	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	70	18	973	966	0.073	70	69	0.1	0.1	4.274	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		2		2	37	9	-	-	-	37	39	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	'	3	111	28	-	-	-	111	113	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	70	18	-	-	-	70	69	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			'	3	11	3	899	847	0.013	11	11	0.0	0.0	3.907	А
		1		4	21	5	978	921	0.023	20	21	0.0	0.0	4.190	А
		Entry		1	16	4	978	919	0.017	16	16	0.0	0.0	3.783	А
2 - Golscoo I ano	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
2 - Gelscoe Lane Entry	Linuy			3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	16	4	-	-	-	16	16	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		-	.	3	11	3	-	-	-	11	11	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				4	21	5	-	-	-	21	21	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	147	37	1001	967	0.152	147	153	0.2	0.1	4.501	A
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		1		4	32	8	1001	966	0.033	32	35	0.0	0.0	4.573	A
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
3 - Top Brand	Entry		2	2	21	5	1001	968	0.022	22	22	0.0	0.0	3.841	А
	<b>,</b>		_	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	147	37	-	-	-	147	153	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		2	1	2	21	5	-	-	-	21	22	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
			4	32	8	-	-	-	32	35	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	



# 08:15 - 08:30

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				2	49	12	973	966	0.050	50	48	0.0	0.0	4.480	А
			1	3	135	34	973	965	0.140	134	133	0.1	0.2	4.503	А
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
1 A 452 (NI)	Entry			2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
1 - A455 (N)	Entry		2	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	89	22	973	965	0.092	88	86	0.1	0.1	4.155	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	49	12	-	-	-	49	48	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	'	3	135	34	-	-	-	135	134	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	89	22	-	-	-	89	86	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			'	3	13	3	938	871	0.015	13	12	0.0	0.0	3.703	А
		1		4	26	7	978	907	0.029	27	25	0.0	0.0	4.339	A
		'		1	18	4	925	862	0.021	18	18	0.0	0.0	3.882	А
2 Colsoon Lana	Entry			2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
2 - Geiscoe Laile	2 - Gelscoe Lane Entry		2	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	18	4	-	-	-	18	18	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		<u> </u>	'	3	13	3	-	-	-	13	12	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	26	7	-	-	-	26	25	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	190	48	1001	959	0.199	191	185	0.1	0.4	5.094	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			'	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		4	46	12	1001	960	0.048	47	46	0.0	0.1	4.766	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
3 - Ton Brand	Entry		2	2	26	6	1001	958	0.027	26	25	0.0	0.0	3.684	А
	Linuy		<b>_</b>	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	190	48	-	-	-	190	186	0.0	0.0	0.001	А
		2	1	2	26	6	-	-	-	26	25	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		-	'	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			4	46	12	-	-	-	46	46	0.0	0.0	0.011	А	



# 08:30 - 08:45

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			4	2	43	11	973	965	0.045	43	45	0.0	0.0	4.557	A
			1	3	137	34	973	965	0.142	135	138	0.2	0.3	4.529	A
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		1		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
4 4452 (NI)	Entra		~	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
1 - A453 (N)	Entry		2	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	85	21	973	965	0.088	85	84	0.1	0.1	4.047	A
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		2	4	2	43	11	-	-	-	43	45	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2		3	137	34	-	-	-	137	138	0.0	0.0	0.001	А
				4	85	21	-	-	-	85	83	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			•	3	13	3	912	847	0.016	13	14	0.0	0.0	4.222	А
		1 - y		4	29	7	978	907	0.032	29	28	0.0	0.0	3.769	А
				1	23	6	978	905	0.025	22	22	0.0	0.0	4.017	А
2 - Golscoo Lano	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
2 - Geiscoe Laile	- Gelscoe Lane Entry		2	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	23	6	-	-	-	23	22	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		-	•	3	13	3	-	-	-	13	14	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	29	7	-	-	-	29	28	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	185	46	1001	957	0.193	187	185	0.4	0.2	4.999	A
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
			•	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		1		4	48	12	1001	956	0.050	49	46	0.1	0.0	5.018	A
		•		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
3 - Ton Brand	Entry		2	2	25	6	1001	958	0.026	25	26	0.0	0.0	4.174	А
e rop brand	y		-	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	185	46	-	-	-	185	185	0.0	0.0	0.008	А
		2	1	2	25	6	-	-	-	25	26	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		-		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			4	48	12	-	-	-	48	46	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	



# 08:45 - 09:00

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				2	38	10	973	965	0.039	38	36	0.0	0.0	4.203	A
				3	120	30	973	966	0.125	120	115	0.3	0.1	4.356	А
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
1 A 452 (NI)	Entry			2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
1 - A455 (N)	Entry		<b>_</b>	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	70	18	973	966	0.073	70	73	0.1	0.1	3.925	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	38	10	-	-	-	38	36	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	'	3	120	30	-	-	-	120	115	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	70	18	-	-	-	70	72	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			'	3	9	2	899	844	0.011	9	10	0.0	0.0	4.675	А
		1		4	25	6	978	918	0.027	24	22	0.0	0.1	4.559	А
		Entry		1	18	5	978	915	0.020	18	19	0.0	0.0	3.792	А
2 Colsooo Lana	Entry			2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
2 - Gelscoe Lane Entry		<b>_</b>	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	18	5	-	-	-	18	19	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		-	.	3	9	2	-	-	-	9	10	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	25	6	-	-	-	25	22	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	144	36	1001	964	0.149	145	150	0.2	0.1	4.860	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
			.	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		4	38	10	1001	964	0.040	38	36	0.0	0.1	5.097	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
3 - Ton Brand	Entry		2	2	22	6	1001	964	0.023	22	23	0.0	0.0	4.035	A
o rop brand	y		-	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	144	36	-	-	-	144	150	0.0	0.0	0.021	А
		2	1	2	22	6	-	-	-	22	24	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			4	38	10	-	-	-	38	36	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	



# 09:00 - 09:15

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				2	31	8	973	968	0.032	31	30	0.0	0.0	4.374	А
				3	98	24	973	969	0.101	97	95	0.1	0.1	4.266	А
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
4 4450 (81)	E			2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
1 - A453 (N)	Entry		2	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	58	15	973	969	0.060	59	59	0.1	0.0	4.023	A
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2		2	31	8	-	-	-	31	30	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	'	3	98	24	-	-	-	98	95	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	58	15	-	-	-	58	59	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
			'	3	7	2	872	825	0.008	7	8	0.0	0.0	4.026	A
		1 Entry		4	17	4	978	925	0.018	17	17	0.1	0.0	3.999	А
				1	14	4	951	903	0.016	14	14	0.0	0.0	4.073	А
2 Colsooo Lana	Entry			2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
2 - Gelscoe Lane Entry		2	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	14	4	-	-	-	14	14	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		2	'	3	7	2	-	-	-	7	8	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	17	4	-	-	-	17	17	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	127	32	1001	972	0.130	126	128	0.1	0.2	4.301	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			'	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		4	32	8	1001	971	0.033	31	31	0.1	0.1	4.369	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
3 - Ton Brand	Entry		2	2	14	4	1001	973	0.015	14	17	0.0	0.0	3.744	А
	Linuy		2	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	127	32	-	-	-	127	129	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	14	4	-	-	-	14	17	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		-		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			4	32	8	-	-	-	32	31	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	



# 2022 Base Flows, PM

# **Data Errors and Warnings**

Severity	Area	Item	Description
Warning	Geometry	2 - Gelscoe Lane - Roundabout Geometry	Effective flare length is over 30m, which is outside the normal range. Treat capacities with increasing caution.
Warning	Geometry	3 - Top Brand - Roundabout Geometry	Effective flare length is over 30m, which is outside the normal range. Treat capacities with increasing caution.
Warning	Vehicle Mix		HV% is zero for all movements / time segments. Vehicle Mix matrix should be completed whether working in PCUs or Vehs. If HV% at the junction is genuinely zero, please ignore this warning.
Info	Simulation	A1 - [Lane Simulation]	This run uses Simulation mode. For detailed information on this mode, please see the User Guide.

# **Junction Network**

#### Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Use circulating lanes	Arm order	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	untitled	Standard Roundabout		1, 2, 3, 4	5.95	A

#### **Junction Network**

Driving side	Lighting	Network delay (s)	Network LOS
Left	Normal/unknown	5.95	A

# **Traffic Demand**

# **Demand Set Details**

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)	Run automatically
D2	2022 Base Flows	PM	ONE HOUR	16:45	18:15	15	~

# **Demand overview (Traffic)**

Arm	Linked arm	Profile type	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
1 - A453 (N)		ONE HOUR	✓	672	100.000
2 - Gelscoe Lane		ONE HOUR	✓	55	100.000
3 - Top Brand		ONE HOUR	~	65	100.000
4 - A42					

# **Origin-Destination Data**

# Demand (PCU/hr)

			То		
		1 - A453 (N)	2 - Gelscoe Lane	3 - Top Brand	4 - A42
	1 - A453 (N)	0	93	273	306
From	2 - Gelscoe Lane	23	0	13	19
	3 - Top Brand	56	7	0	2
	4 - A42	0	0	0	0

# Vehicle Mix

HV data entry mode	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)
HV Percentages	2.00



Junction	PCU factor for a cyclist	PCU factor for a cyclist in controlling flow
1	0.20	0.80

#### Heavy Vehicle %

			То		
		1 - A453 (N)	2 - Gelscoe Lane	3 - Top Brand	4 - A42
From	1 - A453 (N)	0	0	0	0
110111	2 - Gelscoe Lane	0	0	0	0
	3 - Top Brand	0	0	0	0
	4 - A42	0	0	0	0

# Cyclist %

			То		
		1 - A453 (N)	2 - Gelscoe Lane	3 - Top Brand	4 - A42
From	1 - A453 (N)	0	0	0	0
	2 - Gelscoe Lane	0	0	0	0
	3 - Top Brand	0	0	0	0
	4 - A42	0	0	0	0

# Results

# Results Summary for whole modelled period

Arm	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Total Junction Arrivals (PCU)
1 - A453 (N)	0.47	6.17	1.5	A	610	914
2 - Gelscoe Lane	0.05	4.98	0.1	A	50	76
3 - Top Brand	0.08	4.47	0.1	A	59	88
4 - A42						

# Main Results for each time segment

#### 16:45 - 17:00

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1 - A453 (N)	505	126	4	1547	0.326	507	503	58	0.0	0.7	5.111	A
2 - Gelscoe Lane	43	11	437	1435	0.030	43	41	74	0.0	0.0	4.736	A
3 - Top Brand	48	12	260	1030	0.046	47	53	220	0.0	0.1	4.216	А
4 - A42			0					245				

# 17:00 - 17:15

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1 - A453 (N)	603	151	6	1513	0.398	599	592	67	0.7	1.1	5.290	A
2 - Gelscoe Lane	47	12	516	1361	0.035	47	51	88	0.0	0.1	4.493	A
3 - Top Brand	57	14	309	1013	0.057	56	58	254	0.1	0.1	4.213	A
4 - A42			0					292				

#### 17:15 - 17:30

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1 - A453 (N)	723	181	8	1560	0.463	722	729	90	1.1	0.9	6.052	А
2 - Gelscoe Lane	62	15	626	1255	0.049	62	61	104	0.1	0.1	4.975	A
3 - Top Brand	73	18	372	965	0.075	73	75	316	0.1	0.1	4.176	A
4 - A42			0					347				



# 17:30 - 17:45

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1 - A453 (N)	746	187	8	1580	0.472	743	737	83	0.9	1.5	6.172	A
2 - Gelscoe Lane	59	15	637	1228	0.048	59	59	113	0.1	0.1	4.734	A
3 - Top Brand	68	17	374	976	0.070	69	73	321	0.1	0.0	4.474	A
4 - A42			0					353				

#### 17:45 - 18:00

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1 - A453 (N)	588	147	5	1531	0.384	588	608	71	1.5	0.8	5.359	A
2 - Gelscoe Lane	50	12	504	1286	0.039	50	50	89	0.1	0.1	4.748	A
3 - Top Brand	56	14	305	992	0.057	56	58	250	0.0	0.1	4.412	A
4 - A42			0					284				

#### 18:00 - 18:15

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1 - A453 (N)	493	123	4	1581	0.312	493	494	61	0.8	0.7	4.911	A
2 - Gelscoe Lane	42	11	423	1391	0.030	42	42	74	0.1	0.1	4.548	A
3 - Top Brand	50	12	248	1030	0.048	50	49	217	0.1	0.0	3.964	A
4 - A42			0					233				

# Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.

# Lanes: Main Results for each time segment

# 16:45 - 17:00

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		4	1	2, 3	275	972	0.283	277	276	0.0	0.4	5.081	А
1 A 452 (N)	Entry	•	2	1, 4	230	972	0.236	230	227	0.0	0.3	5.066	А
1 - A453 (N)		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	505			505	506	0.0	0.0	0.038	А
	Exit	1	1		58			58	63	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	3, 4	25	839	0.030	25	24	0.0	0.0	4.728	А
2 Galagoo Lana	Entry		2	1, 2	17	839	0.021	17	17	0.0	0.0	4.748	А
2 - Geiscoe Laile		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	43			43	42	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		74			74	77	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	1, 4	44	917	0.047	43	48	0.0	0.1	4.140	А
2 Ton Brand	Entry		2	2, 3	4	917	0.004	4	5	0.0	0.0	4.903	А
5 - Top Brand		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	48			48	53	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		220			220	216	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
4 - A42	Exit	1	1		245			245	243	0.0	0.0	0.000	A



# 17:00 - 17:15

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		4	1	2, 3	325	971	0.335	324	319	0.4	0.5	5.339	А
1 A 452 (N)	Entry		2	1, 4	277	971	0.286	275	274	0.3	0.6	5.080	А
1 - A455 (N)		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	603			602	594	0.0	0.0	0.070	А
	Exit	1	1		67			67	73	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	3, 4	29	814	0.036	29	30	0.0	0.1	4.500	А
	Entry		2	1, 2	18	814	0.022	18	21	0.0	0.0	4.485	А
2 - Geiscoe Lane		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	47			47	51	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		88			88	90	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	1, 4	51	902	0.057	50	53	0.1	0.1	4.272	А
2 Tan Brand	Entry		2	2, 3	6	902	0.007	6	5	0.0	0.0	3.573	А
з - тор вгана		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	57			57	58	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		254			254	246	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
4 - A42	Exit	1	1		292			292	293	0.0	0.0	0.000	A

#### 17:15 - 17:30

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		4	1	2, 3	399	971	0.411	398	395	0.5	0.6	6.057	A
1 A 452 (NI)	Entry		2	1, 4	324	971	0.333	324	334	0.6	0.3	5.645	A
1 - A455 (N)		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	723			723	728	0.0	0.0	0.185	А
	Exit	1	1		90			90	91	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1	1	3, 4	35	779	0.045	35	33	0.1	0.1	5.052	А
2 Galagoo Lana	Entry	•	2	1, 2	27	779	0.035	27	27	0.0	0.1	4.882	A
2 - Geiscoe Lane		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	62			62	61	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		104			104	106	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	1, 4	65	881	0.074	65	66	0.1	0.0	4.226	А
2 Ten Brond	Entry		2	2, 3	8	881	0.009	8	9	0.0	0.0	3.791	А
з - тор втапо		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	73			73	75	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
	Exit	1	1		316			316	310	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
4 - A42	Exit	1	1		347			347	357	0.0	0.0	0.000	A

# 17:30 - 17:45

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
			1	2, 3	417	971	0.429	414	408	0.6	0.8	6.316	A
4 4452 (NI)	Entry		2	1, 4	331	971	0.341	328	329	0.3	0.7	5.395	A
1 - A455 (N)		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	746			747	740	0.0	0.0	0.268	А
	Exit	1	1		83			83	87	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1	1	3, 4	35	776	0.046	36	35	0.1	0.0	4.844	А
2 Galagoo Lana	Entry	1	2	1, 2	23	776	0.030	23	24	0.1	0.0	4.573	А
2 - Geiscoe Laile		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	59			59	59	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		113			113	115	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1	1	1, 4	61	881	0.069	62	65	0.0	0.0	4.578	А
2 Ten Brond	Entry		2	2, 3	8	881	0.009	8	8	0.0	0.0	3.619	А
5 - TOP Brand		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	68			68	73	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
	Exit	1	1		321			321	314	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
4 - A42	Exit	1	1		353			353	355	0.0	0.0	0.000	A



## 17:45 - 18:00

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		4	1	2, 3	322	971	0.331	321	331	0.8	0.5	5.401	А
1 A 452 (NI)	Entry		2	1, 4	267	971	0.275	267	277	0.7	0.3	5.133	А
1 - A455 (N)		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	588			588	605	0.0	0.0	0.080	А
	Exit	1	1		71			71	73	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1	1	3, 4	28	818	0.034	28	28	0.0	0.0	4.710	А
2 Galagoo Lana	Entry		2	1, 2	22	818	0.026	22	22	0.0	0.1	4.798	А
2 - Geiscoe Lane		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	50			50	50	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		89			89	91	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	1, 4	51	903	0.056	50	53	0.0	0.1	4.448	А
2 Ten Brand	Entry		2	2, 3	5	903	0.006	5	5	0.0	0.0	4.069	А
з - тор вгана		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	56			56	58	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		250			250	257	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
4 - A42	Exit	1	1		284			284	295	0.0	0.0	0.000	A

#### 18:00 - 18:15

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
			1	2, 3	278	972	0.286	277	277	0.5	0.4	5.006	A
1 A 452 (NI)	Entry		2	1, 4	214	972	0.221	216	217	0.3	0.3	4.777	A
1 - A455 (N)		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	493			493	494	0.0	0.0	0.006	А
	Exit	1	1		61			61	60	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		1	1	3, 4	25	844	0.030	25	25	0.0	0.0	4.478	A
2 Galagoo Lana	Entry		2	1, 2	17	844	0.020	17	17	0.1	0.0	4.650	А
2 - Geiscoe Laile		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	42			42	42	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		74			74	75	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		1	1	1, 4	46	921	0.050	46	45	0.1	0.0	3.950	А
2 Ten Brond	Entry		2	2, 3	4	921	0.004	4	4	0.0	0.0	4.111	А
з - тор вгано		2	1	(1, 2, 3, 4)	50			50	49	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Exit	1	1		217			217	216	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
4 - A42	Exit	1	1		233			233	234	0.0	0.0	0.000	A



# Lane movements: Main Results for each time segment

### 16:45 - 17:00

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				2	69	17	973	971	0.071	70	71	0.0	0.1	4.938	А
			'	3	206	52	973	972	0.212	207	204	0.0	0.3	5.130	A
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
1 A 452 (N)	Entry			2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
1 - A455 (N)	Entry		2	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	230	57	973	972	0.236	230	227	0.0	0.3	5.066	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	69	17	-	-	-	69	72	0.0	0.0	0.046	А
		2	'	3	206	52	-	-	-	206	205	0.0	0.0	0.033	А
				4	230	57	-	-	-	230	229	0.0	0.0	0.039	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			'	3	12	3	965	831	0.015	12	11	0.0	0.0	4.952	А
		4		4	13	3	965	822	0.016	13	13	0.0	0.0	4.533	А
				1	17	4	952	818	0.021	17	17	0.0	0.0	4.748	А
2 - Golscoo I ano	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
2 - Geiscoe Laile	Linuy		<b>_</b>	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	17	4	-	-	-	17	17	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	'	3	12	3	-	-	-	12	11	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	13	3	-	-	-	13	13	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	41	10	1001	917	0.045	41	46	0.0	0.1	4.102	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			.	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		4	2	0.52	360	331	0.006	2	2	0.0	0.0	4.972	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
3 - Ton Brand	Entry		2	2	4	1	694	639	0.006	4	5	0.0	0.0	4.903	А
	Linuy		<b></b>	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	41	10	-	-	-	41	46	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	4	1	-	-	-	4	5	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	2	0.52	-	-	-	2	2	0.0	0.0	0.000	А



# 17:00 - 17:15

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				2	82	21	973	971	0.085	82	85	0.1	0.2	5.300	А
			'	3	243	61	973	971	0.250	242	234	0.3	0.3	5.354	A
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
4 4452 (NI)	Enter			2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
1 - A453 (N)	Entry		2	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				4	277	69	973	971	0.285	275	274	0.3	0.6	5.080	A
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		2		2	82	21	-	-	-	82	85	0.0	0.0	0.117	A
		2	'	3	243	61	-	-	-	243	234	0.0	0.0	0.068	А
				4	277	69	-	-	-	277	275	0.0	0.0	0.057	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
			'	3	13	3	952	795	0.016	12	12	0.0	0.0	4.451	A
				4	16	4	939	785	0.021	16	17	0.0	0.1	4.534	A
		'		1	18	4	978	819	0.022	18	21	0.0	0.0	4.485	A
	Enter			2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
2 - Geiscoe Lane	Entry		2	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	18	4	-	-	-	18	21	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		2	'	3	13	3	-	-	-	13	12	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				4	16	4	-	-	-	16	17	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	50	12	1001	902	0.055	48	51	0.1	0.1	4.320	A
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			'	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		4	1	0.36	440	398	0.004	1	2	0.0	0.0	3.117	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
2 Ton Brand	Entry			2	6	2	761	687	0.009	6	5	0.0	0.0	3.573	А
5 - Top Brand	Entry		2	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	50	12	-	-	-	50	51	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	4	2	6	2	-	-	-	6	5	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	<b>'</b>	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	1	0.36	-	-	-	1	2	0.0	0.0	0.000	А



# 17:15 - 17:30

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				2	96	24	973	971	0.098	96	97	0.2	0.1	6.143	А
				3	304	76	973	971	0.313	302	297	0.3	0.5	6.029	A
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
4 4452 (NI)	Enter			2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
1 - A453 (N)	Entry		2	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	324	81	973	971	0.333	324	334	0.6	0.3	5.645	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		2	1	2	96	24	-	-	-	96	97	0.0	0.0	0.225	А
		2	'	3	304	76	-	-	-	304	298	0.0	0.0	0.164	А
				4	324	81	-	-	-	324	333	0.0	0.0	0.191	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			'	3	14	3	939	749	0.018	14	13	0.0	0.0	5.069	А
		1		4	21	5	965	769	0.027	21	20	0.1	0.0	5.041	А
		•		1	27	7	978	776	0.035	27	27	0.0	0.1	4.882	А
2 - Golscoo I ano	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
2 - Geiscoe Laile	Linuy		<b>_</b>	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	27	7	-	-	-	27	27	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		-	.	3	14	3	-	-	-	14	13	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	21	5	-	-	-	21	20	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	63	16	1001	880	0.072	63	64	0.1	0.0	4.229	A
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
			.	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		1		4	2	0.44	440	388	0.005	2	2	0.0	0.0	4.138	A
		•		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
3 - Ton Brand	Entry		2	2	8	2	894	789	0.010	8	9	0.0	0.0	3.791	A
	y		-	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	63	16	-	-	-	63	64	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	8	2	-	-	-	8	9	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		-		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	2	0.44	-	-	-	2	2	0.0	0.0	0.000	А



# 17:30 - 17:45

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				2	108	27	973	971	0.111	106	107	0.1	0.4	6.420	А
			1	3	309	77	973	971	0.318	308	301	0.5	0.5	6.278	A
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		1		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
4 4452 (NI)	Enter			2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
1 - A453 (N)	Entry		2	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				4	331	83	973	971	0.341	328	329	0.3	0.7	5.395	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
			1	2	107	27	-	-	-	108	108	0.0	0.0	0.290	А
		2 ×	'	3	309	77	-	-	-	309	301	0.0	0.0	0.306	А
				4	330	83	-	-	-	331	331	0.0	0.0	0.225	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			'	3	13	3	952	750	0.018	13	13	0.0	0.0	4.655	A
				4	22	6	978	774	0.029	23	23	0.0	0.0	4.949	A
		'		1	23	6	978	778	0.030	23	24	0.1	0.0	4.573	A
	Enter			2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
2 - Geiscoe Lane	Entry		2	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	23	6	-	-	-	23	24	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	'	3	13	3	-	-	-	13	13	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	22	6	-	-	-	22	23	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	58	15	1001	880	0.066	59	62	0.0	0.0	4.562	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			'	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		4	2	0.56	440	385	0.006	2	2	0.0	0.0	4.986	А
		'		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
3 - Top Brand	Entry		2	2	8	2	867	766	0.010	8	8	0.0	0.0	3.619	А
5 - TOP Brand	Entry		2	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	58	15	-	-	-	58	62	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	8	2	-	-	-	8	8	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		<b>_</b>		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	2	0.56	-	-	-	2	2	0.0	0.0	0.000	А



# 17:45 - 18:00

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				2	84	21	973	971	0.087	84	85	0.4	0.1	5.205	A
				3	237	59	973	971	0.244	237	246	0.5	0.4	5.469	A
		4		4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
1 A 452 (NI)	Entry			2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
1 - A453 (N)	Entry		2	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				4	267	67	973	971	0.275	267	277	0.7	0.3	5.133	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	4	2	84	21	-	-	-	84	84	0.0	0.0	0.100	А
		2	•	3	237	59	-	-	-	237	245	0.0	0.0	0.104	А
				4	267	67	-	-	-	267	275	0.0	0.0	0.053	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			4	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			•	3	13	3	939	777	0.017	13	12	0.0	0.0	4.847	А
		1		4	15	4	978	811	0.019	15	16	0.0	0.0	4.612	А
		•		1	22	5	978	816	0.026	22	22	0.0	0.1	4.798	А
2 - Golscoo Lano	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
2 - Geiscoe Laile	Enuy		2	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	22	5	-	-	-	22	22	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		-	•	3	13	3	-	-	-	13	12	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	15	4	-	-	-	15	17	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	50	12	1001	905	0.055	49	51	0.0	0.1	4.445	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			•	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		1		4	1	0.28	320	287	0.004	1	2	0.0	0.0	4.544	А
		•		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
3 - Ton Brand	Entry		2	2	5	1	734	658	0.008	5	5	0.0	0.0	4.069	А
e rop brand	y		-	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	50	12	-	-	-	50	51	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		2	1	2	5	1	-	-	-	5	5	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		~		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				4	1	0.28	-	-	-	1	2	0.0	0.0	0.000	А



# 18:00 - 18:15

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service	
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
				2	70	18	973	972	0.072	70	71	0.1	0.1	4.923	А	
			1	3	208	52	973	972	0.214	207	206	0.4	0.3	5.035	А	
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
		1		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	
4 4 4 5 2 (41)	En trus			2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	
1 - A453 (N)	Entry		2	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
				4	214	54	973	972	0.221	216	217	0.3	0.3	4.777	A	
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	
		_		2	70	18	-	-	-	70	71	0.0	0.0	0.002	A	
		2		3	208	52	-	-	-	208	206	0.0	0.0	0.007	А	
				4	214	54	-	-	-	214	217	0.0	0.0	0.006	А	
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
			4	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
			•	3	10	3	861	740	0.014	10	10	0.0	0.0	4.421	А	
		1		4	15	4	926	796	0.019	15	16	0.0	0.0	4.513	А	
				1	17	4	939	811	0.021	17	17	0.1	0.0	4.650	А	
2 - Golscoo I ano	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
2 - Geiscoe Laile	Linu y			3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
			1	1	17	4	-	-	-	17	17	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
		2		2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
		-	•	3	10	3	-	-	-	10	9	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	
				4	15	4	-	-	-	15	16	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	
				1	44	11	1001	918	0.048	44	43	0.1	0.0	3.946	A	
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	
		1		4	2	0.40	320	294	0.005	2	2	0.0	0.0	4.055	A	
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A	
3 - Ton Brand	Entry	2	2	4	1	681	628	0.006	4	4	0.0	0.0	4.111	A		
			_	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
				4	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
				1	44	11	-	-	-	44	43	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
		2		2	4	1	-	-	-	4	4	0.0	0.0	0.000	А	
		2	2		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			4	2	0.40	-	-	-	2	2	0.0	0.0	0.000	А		

<

>



APPENDIX 5: Junction 15 - Station Road/Broad Rushes Roundabout Model Outputs





# **Junctions 10**

# **ARCADY 10 - Roundabout Module**

Version: 10.1.1.1905

© Copyright TRL Software Limited, 2023

For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL Software:

+44 (0)1344 379777 software@trl.co.uk trlsoftware.com

The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

**Filename:** Station Road_Broad Rushes roundabout (LANE SIM) (Base Only).j10 **Path:** J:\2022\220500-East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 (1)\ProjectDelivery\01-WIP\DesignAndCalculations\T&I Planning\Traffic Models\15. Station Road_Broad Rushes roundabout (Castle Donington) **Report generation date:** 05/04/2024 14:20:26

»2023 base, AM »2023 base, PM

### Summary of junction performance

		AM				РМ				
	Set ID	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Set ID	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS
		[Lane Simulation] - 2023 base								
1 - Station Road (N)		2.9	8.04	0.67	А		2.5	7.05	0.60	А
2 - Station Road (S)	D1	0.7	6.65	0.42	А	D2	2.8	13.04	0.73	В
3 - Broad Rushes		1.2	9.62	0.56	A		3.1	17.25	0.74	С

There are warnings associated with one or more model runs - see the 'Data Errors and Warnings' tables for each Analysis or Demand Set.

Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle. Arm and junction delays are averages for all movements, including movements with zero delay.

#### File summary

#### **File Description**

Title	Station Road_Broad Rushes roundabout
Location	
Site number	Junction 15
Date	18/10/2023
Version	
Status	(new file)
Identifier	Aaiza
Client	
Jobnumber	220500
Enumerator	BWB\Aaiza.Shafiq
Description	

## Units

Distance units	Speed units	Traffic units input	Traffic units results	Flow units	Average delay units	Total delay units	Rate of delay units
m	kph	PCU	PCU	perHour	s	-Min	perMin



# Analysis Options

Vehicle length (m)	Calculate Queue Percentiles	Calculate detailed queueing delay	Show lane queues in feet / metres	Show all PICADY stream intercepts	Calculate residual capacity	RFC Threshold	Average Delay threshold (s)	Queue threshold (PCU)	Use simulation for HCM roundabouts	Use iterations for HCM roundabouts
5.75						0.85	36.00	20.00		

# Lane Simulation options

Criteria type	Stop criteria (%)	Stop criteria time (s)	Stop criteria number of trials	Calculate RFCs	Relaxation factor for capacity/RFC runs	Random seed	Results refresh speed (s)	Individual vehicle animation number of trials	Average animation capture interval (s)	Use quick response	Do flow sampling	Suppress automatic lane creation	Last run random seed	Last run number of trials
Delay	1.00	100000	100000	Calculate for all arms	3.00	-1	3	1	60	~			429421701	85

# **Demand Set Summary**

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)	Run automatically
D1	2023 base	AM	ONE HOUR	07:45	09:15	15	✓
D2	2023 base	PM	ONE HOUR	16:45	18:15	15	✓

# **Analysis Set Details**

ID	Use Lane Simulation	Include in report	Network flow scaling factor (%)	Network capacity scaling factor (%)
A1	✓	~	100.000	100.000



# 2023 base, AM

# **Data Errors and Warnings**

Severity	Area	Item	Description
Warning	Geometry	1 - Station Road (N) - Roundabout Geometry	Effective flare length is over 30m, which is outside the normal range. Treat capacities with increasing caution.
Warning	Vehicle Mix		HV% is zero for all movements / time segments. Vehicle Mix matrix should be completed whether working in PCUs or Vehs. If HV% at the junction is genuinely zero, please ignore this warning.
Info	Simulation	A1 - [Lane Simulation]	This run uses Simulation mode. For detailed information on this mode, please see the User Guide.

# **Junction Network**

#### Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Use circulating lanes	Arm order	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	untitled	Standard Roundabout		1, 2, 3	8.12	А

# **Junction Network**

Driving side	Driving side Lighting		Network LOS
Left	Normal/unknown	8.12	A

# Arms

#### Arms

Arm	Name	Description	No give-way line
1	Station Road (N)		
2	Station Road (S)		
3	Broad Rushes		

# **Roundabout Geometry**

Arm	V - Approach road half-width (m)	E - Entry width (m)	l' - Effective flare length (m)	R - Entry radius (m)	D - Inscribed circle diameter (m)	PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg)	Entry only	Exit only
1 - Station Road (N)	3.44	7.51	62.0	28.0	50.0	45.2		
2 - Station Road (S)	3.58	9.41	28.0	35.0	50.0	26.4		
3 - Broad Rushes	3.80	7.58	24.0	46.0	50.0	32.6		

# Slope / Intercept / Capacity

#### Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

Arm	Final slope	Final intercept (PCU/hr)
1 - Station Road (N)	0.651	1982
2 - Station Road (S)	0.716	2217
3 - Broad Rushes	0.661	1949

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

#### Arm Capacity Adjustments

Arm	Туре	Reason	Direct capacity adjustment (PCU/hr)
1 - Station Road (N)	Direct		100



# Lane Simulation: Arm options

Arm Lane capacity source		Traffic considering secondary lanes (%)
1 - Station Road (N)	Evenly split	10.00
2 - Station Road (S)	Evenly split	10.00
3 - Broad Rushes	Evenly split	10.00

# Lanes

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Has limited storage	Storage (PCU)	Has bottleneck	Has obstruction	Minimum capacity (PCU/hr)	Maximum capacity (PCU/hr)	Signalised
		4	1	2	~	7.00			0	99999	
1 - Station Road (N)	Entry		2	1, 3	✓	7.00			0	99999	
		2	1	(1, 3, 2)		Infinity					
	Exit	1	1			Infinity					
	Entry	4	1	3	✓	5.00			0	99999	
2 Station Boad (S)		1	2	1, 2	~	5.00			0	99999	
2 - Station Road (S)		2	1	(1, 3, 2)		Infinity					
	Exit	1	1			Infinity					
3 - Broad Rushes		4	1	1	✓	7.00			0	99999	
	Entry	1	2	3, 2	✓	7.00			0	99999	
		2	1	(1, 3, 2)		Infinity					
	Exit	1	1			Infinity					

# Entry Lane slope and intercept

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Final slope	Final intercept (PCU/hr)
4 Station Bood (N)	Entry	4	1	0.325	991
I - Station Road (N)	Entry	1	2	0.325	991
2 Station Boad (S)	Entry	1	1	0.358	1108
2 - Station Road (S)	Entry		2	0.358	1108
2 Deced Duckers		4	1	0.330	974
3 - Broad Rusnes	Entry	I	2	0.330	974

# Summary of Entry Lane allowed

#### movements

			Destination arm				
Arm	Lane Level	Lane	Station Road (N)	Broad Rushes	Station Road (S)		
1 -	4	1			✓		
Station Road		2	✓	~			
(N)	2	1	~	~	~		
3 - Broad Rushes	1	1	~				
		2		~	✓		
	2	1	~	~	~		
2 - Station Road	4	1		~			
		2	~		✓		
(S)	2	1	~	~	~		

# **Traffic Demand**

# **Demand Set Details**

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)	Run automatically
D1	2023 base	AM	ONE HOUR	07:45	09:15	15	~



# **Demand overview (Traffic)**

Arm	Linked arm	Profile type	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
1 - Station Road (N)		ONE HOUR	~	1126	100.000
2 - Station Road (S)		ONE HOUR	✓	371	100.000
3 - Broad Rushes		ONE HOUR	~	426	100.000

# **Origin-Destination Data**

# Demand (PCU/hr)

	То				
		1 - Station Road (N)	2 - Station Road (S)	3 - Broad Rushes	
<b>F</b>	1 - Station Road (N)	0	626	500	
From	2 - Station Road (S)	349	0	22	
	3 - Broad Rushes	414	12	0	

# Vehicle Mix

HV data entry mode	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)
HV Percentages	2.00

Junction	PCU factor for a cyclist	PCU factor for a cyclist in controlling flow
1	0.20	0.80

#### Heavy Vehicle %

		То		
		1 - Station Road (N)	2 - Station Road (S)	3 - Broad Rushes
From	1 - Station Road (N)	0	0	0
	2 - Station Road (S)	0	0	0
	3 - Broad Rushes	0	0	0

# Cyclist %

		То		
		1 - Station Road (N)	2 - Station Road (S)	3 - Broad Rushes
From	1 - Station Road (N)	0	0	0
	2 - Station Road (S)	0	0	0
	3 - Broad Rushes	0	0	0

# Results

# **Results Summary for whole modelled period**

Arm	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Total Junction Arrivals (PCU)
1 - Station Road (N)	0.67	8.04	2.9	А	1029	1543
2 - Station Road (S)	0.42	6.65	0.7	A	340	509
3 - Broad Rushes	0.56	9.62	1.2	A	390	585

# Main Results for each time segment

07:45 - 08:00

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1 - Station Road (N)	851	213	11	1841	0.462	852	857	575	0.0	1.4	5.587	A
2 - Station Road (S)	280	70	377	1049	0.267	280	278	486	0.0	0.4	5.078	A
3 - Broad Rushes	326	81	261	946	0.344	325	319	396	0.0	0.5	6.140	A



#### 08:00 - 08:15

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1 - Station Road (N)	1001	250	10	1829	0.547	1001	1019	694	1.4	2.0	6.390	A
2 - Station Road (S)	343	86	443	1002	0.342	345	337	568	0.4	0.5	5.512	A
3 - Broad Rushes	383	96	325	880	0.436	380	381	463	0.5	1.0	7.013	A

# 08:15 - 08:30

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1 - Station Road (N)	1223	306	14	1813	0.674	1218	1223	833	2.0	2.8	8.036	A
2 - Station Road (S)	405	101	547	973	0.417	406	397	685	0.5	0.7	6.646	A
3 - Broad Rushes	462	116	382	832	0.556	465	465	571	1.0	1.0	8.859	A

#### 08:30 - 08:45

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1 - Station Road (N)	1227	307	15	1846	0.665	1221	1234	854	2.8	2.9	8.010	A
2 - Station Road (S)	407	102	536	974	0.418	411	410	700	0.7	0.7	6.647	A
3 - Broad Rushes	476	119	386	897	0.531	483	475	561	1.0	1.2	9.625	A

# 08:45 - 09:00

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1 - Station Road (N)	1013	253	11	1866	0.543	1010	1017	684	2.9	1.9	6.325	A
2 - Station Road (S)	330	83	461	992	0.333	331	331	560	0.7	0.4	5.546	A
3 - Broad Rushes	381	95	312	919	0.414	383	392	479	1.2	0.7	7.176	A

# 09:00 - 09:15

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1 - Station Road (N)	857	214	8	1841	0.466	859	849	560	1.9	1.3	5.674	A
2 - Station Road (S)	272	68	372	1031	0.264	271	277	495	0.4	0.5	5.048	A
3 - Broad Rushes	311	78	256	916	0.339	311	315	387	0.7	0.6	6.214	А


### Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.

### Lanes: Main Results for each time segment

### 07:45 - 08:00

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		1	1	2	477	1087	0.439	475	477	0.0	1.0	6.119	А
1 - Station Road (N)	Entry	1	2	1, 3	374	1087	0.344	377	380	0.0	0.4	4.903	A
		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	851			851	863	0.0	0.0	0.006	A
	Exit	1	1		575			575	569	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
	Entry	1	1	3	19	974	0.020	19	18	0.0	0.0	4.041	A
2 Station Boad (S)	Entry		2	1, 2	261	974	0.268	261	260	0.0	0.3	5.149	A
2 - Station Road (S)		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	280			280	280	0.0	0.0	0.002	А
	Exit	1	1		486			486	487	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		1	1	1	315	888	0.355	314	309	0.0	0.5	6.205	А
2 Prood Puchoo	Entry	1	2	3, 2	10	888	0.012	11	10	0.0	0.0	4.099	А
5 - Droau Rusnes		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	326			326	321	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
	Exit	1	1		396			396	398	0.0	0.0	0.000	A

### 08:00 - 08:15

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		1	1	2	558	1087	0.513	558	568	1.0	1.1	6.952	A
1 Station Boad (N)	Entry		2	1, 3	444	1087	0.408	443	451	0.4	0.9	5.557	A
I - Station Road (N)		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	1001			1002	1022	0.0	0.0	0.057	A
	Exit	1	1		694			694	687	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		1	1	3	19	950	0.021	20	20	0.0	0.0	4.070	А
2 Station Boad (S)	Entry		2	1, 2	324	950	0.341	325	317	0.3	0.5	5.589	A
2 - Station Road (S)		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	343			343	337	0.0	0.0	0.013	A
	Exit	1	1		568			568	579	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1	1	1	373	867	0.430	370	370	0.5	1.0	7.087	A
2 Broad Bushas	Entry		2	3, 2	10	867	0.012	10	11	0.0	0.0	4.328	A
5 - Divau Rusiles		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	383			383	383	0.0	0.0	0.002	A
	Exit	1	1		463			463	471	0.0	0.0	0.000	A

### 08:15 - 08:30

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		1	1	2	674	1086	0.620	671	678	1.1	1.4	8.497	А
1 - Station Road (N)	Entry		2	1, 3	548	1086	0.505	547	545	0.9	1.2	6.663	А
		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	1223			1222	1225	0.0	0.2	0.348	A
	Exit	1	1		833			833	825	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1	1	3	25	913	0.027	24	24	0.0	0.0	4.131	А
2 Station Boad (S)	ion Bood (S) Entry		2	1, 2	381	913	0.417	382	373	0.5	0.7	6.674	А
2 - Station Road (S)		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	405			405	398	0.0	0.0	0.128	А
	Exit	1	1		685			685	691	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1	1	1	450	848	0.530	452	452	1.0	1.0	8.859	А
2 Bread Buches	Entry		2	3, 2	13	848	0.016	14	13	0.0	0.0	4.700	А
5 - Broau Rusnes		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	462			463	465	0.0	0.0	0.119	A
	Exit	1	1		571			571	569	0.0	0.0	0.000	A



### 08:30 - 08:45

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		4	1	2	690	1086	0.635	685	686	1.4	1.8	8.641	А
1 Station Boad (N)	Entry		2	1, 3	538	1086	0.495	536	548	1.2	1.0	6.341	А
i - Station Road (N)		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	1227			1228	1234	0.2	0.1	0.395	А
	Exit	1	1		854			854	846	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	3	25	917	0.027	25	26	0.0	0.0	4.297	А
2 Station Boad (S)	Entry		2	1, 2	382	917	0.417	386	384	0.7	0.7	6.753	А
2 - Station Road (3)		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	407			407	410	0.0	0.0	0.049	А
	Exit	1	1		700			700	699	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	1	463	847	0.547	468	462	1.0	1.2	9.546	А
2 Bread Bushes	Entry	1	2	3, 2	15	847	0.017	15	13	0.0	0.0	4.143	А
5 - Broad Rusnes		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	476			477	476	0.0	0.0	0.228	А
	Exit	1	1		561			561	574	0.0	0.0	0.000	A

### 08:45 - 09:00

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		1	1	2	549	1087	0.505	549	556	1.8	1.0	6.500	А
1 Station Boad (N)	Entry		2	1, 3	464	1087	0.427	461	461	1.0	0.9	5.909	А
i - Station Road (N)		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	1013			1013	1013	0.1	0.0	0.096	А
	Exit	1	1		684			684	693	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1	1	3	18	944	0.019	18	19	0.0	0.0	3.589	А
2 - Station Poad (S)	Entry	1	2	1, 2	312	944	0.330	312	312	0.7	0.4	5.657	А
2 - Station Road (3)		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	330			330	330	0.0	0.0	0.009	А
	Exit	1	1		560			560	567	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1	1	1	370	871	0.425	372	381	1.2	0.7	7.244	А
2 Bread Buches	Entry		2	3, 2	11	871	0.012	11	11	0.0	0.0	4.027	А
3 - Broad Rusnes		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	381			381	389	0.0	0.0	0.021	А
	Exit	1	1		479			479	479	0.0	0.0	0.000	А

### 09:00 - 09:15

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		4	1	2	485	1088	0.446	487	476	1.0	0.7	6.032	A
1 Station Boad (N)	Entry		2	1, 3	372	1088	0.342	372	373	0.9	0.5	5.158	А
i - Station Road (N)		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	857			857	847	0.0	0.0	0.027	А
	Exit	1	1		560			560	568	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1	1	3	15	975	0.015	15	16	0.0	0.0	3.824	А
2 Station Boad (S)	Entry	•	2	1, 2	257	975	0.264	256	261	0.4	0.5	5.111	А
2 - Station Road (S)		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	272			272	277	0.0	0.0	0.010	А
	Exit	1	1		495			495	484	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	1	303	890	0.340	303	307	0.7	0.6	6.271	А
3 - Broad Pushes	Entry	•	2	3, 2	8	890	0.009	8	8	0.0	0.0	4.018	А
5 - bioau Rusiles		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	311			311	315	0.0	0.0	0.001	A
	Exit	1	1		387			387	389	0.0	0.0	0.000	A



### Lane movements: Main Results for each time segment

### 07:45 - 08:00

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalise level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	477	119	991	1087	0.439	475	477	0.0	1.0	6.119	А
		4		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
1 - Station Road (N)	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	374	93	991	1087	0.344	377	380	0.0	0.4	4.903	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	477	119	-	-	-	477	481	0.0	0.0	0.007	А
				3	374	93	-	-	-	374	382	0.0	0.0	0.005	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		3	19	5	1095	961	0.020	19	18	0.0	0.0	4.041	А
				1	261	65	1108	973	0.268	261	260	0.0	0.3	5.149	A
2 - Station Road (S)	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	261	65	-	-	-	261	261	0.0	0.0	0.002	А
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	19	5	-	-	-	19	18	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	315	79	974	889	0.355	314	309	0.0	0.5	6.205	A
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		1		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		•		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
3 - Broad Rushes	Entry		2	2	10	3	905	825	0.012	11	10	0.0	0.0	4.099	A
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	315	79	-	-	-	315	311	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		2	1	2	10	3	-	-	-	10	10	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А



### 08:00 - 08:15

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalise level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	558	139	991	1087	0.513	558	568	1.0	1.1	6.952	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
1 - Station Road (N)	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	444	111	991	1087	0.408	443	451	0.4	0.9	5.557	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	557	139	-	-	-	558	569	0.0	0.0	0.063	А
				3	444	111	-	-	-	444	453	0.0	0.0	0.049	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		3	19	5	1095	937	0.021	20	20	0.0	0.0	4.070	А
		'		1	324	81	1108	949	0.341	325	317	0.3	0.5	5.589	A
2 - Station Road (S)	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	324	81	-	-	-	324	317	0.0	0.0	0.014	A
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	19	5	-	-	-	19	20	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	373	93	974	867	0.430	370	370	0.5	1.0	7.087	A
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		1		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
3 - Broad Rushes	Entry		2	2	10	3	905	811	0.013	10	11	0.0	0.0	4.328	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	373	93	-	-	-	373	372	0.0	0.0	0.002	А
		2	1	2	10	3	-	-	-	10	11	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А



### 08:15 - 08:30

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalise level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	674	168	991	1086	0.620	671	678	1.1	1.4	8.497	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		'		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
1 - Station Road (N)	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	548	137	991	1086	0.505	547	545	0.9	1.2	6.663	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	674	168	-	-	-	674	679	0.0	0.1	0.363	А
				3	549	137	-	-	-	548	546	0.0	0.1	0.331	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		3	25	6	1095	899	0.027	24	24	0.0	0.0	4.131	А
		'		1	381	95	1108	912	0.418	382	373	0.5	0.7	6.674	А
2 - Station Road (S)	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	381	95	-	-	-	381	374	0.0	0.0	0.130	А
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	25	6	-	-	-	25	24	0.0	0.0	0.108	А
				1	450	112	974	848	0.530	452	452	1.0	1.0	8.859	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		'		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
3 - Broad Rushes	Entry		2	2	13	3	940	820	0.016	14	13	0.0	0.0	4.700	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	449	112	-	-	-	450	452	0.0	0.0	0.122	А
		2	1	2	13	3	-	-	-	13	13	0.0	0.0	0.010	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А



### 08:30 - 08:45

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalise level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
			1	2	690	172	991	1086	0.635	685	686	1.4	1.8	8.641	А
		1		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
1 - Station Road (N)	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	538	134	991	1086	0.495	536	548	1.2	1.0	6.341	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	689	172	-	-	-	690	687	0.1	0.1	0.425	А
				3	538	134	-	-	-	538	547	0.1	0.0	0.357	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		3	25	6	1108	913	0.027	25	26	0.0	0.0	4.297	А
				1	382	96	1108	918	0.417	386	384	0.7	0.7	6.753	A
2 - Station Road (S)	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	382	96	-	-	-	382	384	0.0	0.0	0.051	A
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	25	6	-	-	-	25	26	0.0	0.0	0.011	А
				1	463	116	974	848	0.546	468	462	1.0	1.2	9.546	A
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		1		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
3 - Broad Rushes	Entry		2	2	15	4	928	809	0.018	15	13	0.0	0.0	4.143	A
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	462	115	-	-	-	463	463	0.0	0.0	0.232	А
		2	1	2	15	4	-	-	-	15	13	0.0	0.0	0.075	A
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А



### 08:45 - 09:00

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalise level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	549	137	991	1087	0.505	549	556	1.8	1.0	6.500	А
		1		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
1 - Station Road (N)	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	464	116	991	1087	0.427	461	461	1.0	0.9	5.909	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	549	137	-	-	-	549	553	0.1	0.0	0.085	А
				3	464	116	-	-	-	464	460	0.0	0.0	0.109	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		3	18	5	1095	934	0.020	18	19	0.0	0.0	3.589	А
				1	312	78	1108	944	0.330	312	312	0.7	0.4	5.657	А
2 - Station Road (S)	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	312	78	-	-	-	312	311	0.0	0.0	0.009	А
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	18	5	-	-	-	18	19	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	370	93	974	871	0.425	372	381	1.2	0.7	7.244	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
3 - Broad Rushes	Entry		2	2	11	3	871	780	0.014	11	11	0.0	0.0	4.027	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	370	93	-	-	-	370	379	0.0	0.0	0.021	А
		2	1	2	11	3	-	-	-	11	11	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А



### 09:00 - 09:15

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalise level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	485	121	991	1088	0.446	487	476	1.0	0.7	6.032	А
		1		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		'		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
1 - Station Road (N)	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	372	93	991	1088	0.342	372	373	0.9	0.5	5.158	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		2	1	2	485	121	-	-	-	485	475	0.0	0.0	0.025	А
				3	372	93	-	-	-	372	371	0.0	0.0	0.029	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		3	15	4	1108	970	0.015	15	16	0.0	0.0	3.824	А
				1	257	64	1108	975	0.264	256	261	0.4	0.5	5.111	А
2 - Station Road (S)	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	257	64	-	-	-	257	261	0.0	0.0	0.011	А
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	15	4	-	-	-	15	16	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	303	76	974	890	0.340	303	307	0.7	0.6	6.271	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
3 - Broad Rushes	Entry		2	2	8	2	848	776	0.010	8	8	0.0	0.0	4.018	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	303	76	-	-	-	303	307	0.0	0.0	0.001	А
		2	1	2	8	2	-	-	-	8	8	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А



## 2023 base, PM

### **Data Errors and Warnings**

Severity	Area	Item	Description
Warning	Geometry	1 - Station Road (N) - Roundabout Geometry	Effective flare length is over 30m, which is outside the normal range. Treat capacities with increasing caution.
Warning	Vehicle Mix		HV% is zero for all movements / time segments. Vehicle Mix matrix should be completed whether working in PCUs or Vehs. If HV% at the junction is genuinely zero, please ignore this warning.
Info	Simulation	A1 - [Lane Simulation]	This run uses Simulation mode. For detailed information on this mode, please see the User Guide.

## Junction Network

#### Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Use circulating lanes	Arm order	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	untitled	Standard Roundabout		1, 2, 3	11.26	В

### **Junction Network**

Driving side	Lighting	Network delay (s)	Network LOS
Left	Normal/unknown	11.26	В

### **Traffic Demand**

### **Demand Set Details**

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)	Run automatically
D2	2023 base	PM	ONE HOUR	16:45	18:15	15	~

### **Demand overview (Traffic)**

Arm	Linked arm	Profile type	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
1 - Station Road (N)		ONE HOUR	~	991	100.000
2 - Station Road (S)		ONE HOUR	✓	608	100.000
3 - Broad Rushes		ONE HOUR	✓	511	100.000

## **Origin-Destination Data**

### Demand (PCU/hr)

		Тс	)		
		1 - Station Road (N)	2 - Station Road (S)	3 - Broad Rushes	
<b>F</b>	1 - Station Road (N)	0	551	440	
From	2 - Station Road (S)	596	0	12	
	3 - Broad Rushes	490	21	0	

### **Vehicle Mix**

HV data entry mode	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)
HV Percentages	2.00

Junction	PCU factor for a cyclist	PCU factor for a cyclist in controlling flow
1	0.20	0.80



### Heavy Vehicle %

		То			
		1 - Station Road (N)	2 - Station Road (S)	3 - Broad Rushes	
From	1 - Station Road (N)	0	0	0	
	2 - Station Road (S)	0	0	0	
	3 - Broad Rushes	0	0	0	

## Results

### **Results Summary for whole modelled period**

Arm	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Total Junction Arrivals (PCU)
1 - Station Road (N)	0.60	7.05	2.5	A	904	1356
2 - Station Road (S)	0.73	13.04	2.8	В	553	830
3 - Broad Rushes	0.74	17.25	3.1	С	470	706

### Main Results for each time segment

### 16:45 - 17:00

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1 - Station Road (N)	740	185	15	1861	0.398	743	735	806	0.0	0.9	5.191	А
2 - Station Road (S)	449	112	327	1001	0.449	448	457	432	0.0	0.8	6.858	A
3 - Broad Rushes	380	95	440	853	0.445	381	379	334	0.0	0.9	8.013	А

#### 17:00 - 17:15

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1 - Station Road (N)	888	222	17	1811	0.490	890	884	955	0.9	1.3	5.686	A
2 - Station Road (S)	536	134	391	974	0.550	533	538	515	0.8	1.2	8.462	A
3 - Broad Rushes	453	113	522	859	0.528	450	458	402	0.9	1.4	9.940	A

### 17:15 - 17:30

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1 - Station Road (N)	1103	276	23	1852	0.596	1097	1098	1205	1.3	2.5	6.911	А
2 - Station Road (S)	676	169	486	928	0.728	673	665	634	1.2	2.8	12.592	В
3 - Broad Rushes	569	142	658	770	0.739	570	558	501	1.4	2.6	15.634	С

#### 17:30 - 17:45

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1 - Station Road (N)	1087	272	23	1879	0.578	1092	1093	1200	2.5	2.1	7.054	А
2 - Station Road (S)	670	167	482	951	0.704	670	679	634	2.8	2.6	13.036	В
3 - Broad Rushes	571	143	658	768	0.744	566	566	494	2.6	3.1	17.246	С

### Cyclist %

		То		
		1 - Station Road (N)	2 - Station Road (S)	3 - Broad Rushes
From	1 - Station Road (N)	0	0	0
	2 - Station Road (S)	0	0	0
	3 - Broad Rushes	0	0	0



### 17:45 - 18:00

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1 - Station Road (N)	881	220	19	1871	0.471	881	887	965	2.1	1.3	5.670	А
2 - Station Road (S)	527	132	396	999	0.527	528	546	504	2.6	1.1	8.008	A
3 - Broad Rushes	470	117	515	824	0.570	469	468	408	3.1	1.4	10.619	В

### 18:00 - 18:15

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Throughput (exit side) (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1 - Station Road (N)	723	181	18	1873	0.386	724	745	815	1.3	1.0	4.965	А
2 - Station Road (S)	461	115	320	1016	0.454	462	470	422	1.1	1.0	6.661	A
3 - Broad Rushes	380	95	454	857	0.443	380	387	329	1.4	0.8	7.771	А

### Lane Results

Lane Level notation: Lane Level 1 is always closest to the junction.

### Lanes: Main Results for each time segment

### 16:45 - 17:00

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		1	1	2	415	1086	0.382	416	410	0.0	0.6	5.451	A
1 Station Boad (N)	Entry		2	1, 3	326	1086	0.300	327	326	0.0	0.4	4.765	А
i - Station Road (N)		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	740			740	739	0.0	0.0	0.043	А
	Exit	1	1		806			806	813	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
2 Station Bood (S)		4	1	3	8	992	0.008	8	9	0.0	0.0	3.666	А
	Entry		2	1, 2	442	992	0.445	440	448	0.0	0.8	6.782	А
2 - Station Road (S)		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	449			449	460	0.0	0.0	0.132	А
	Exit	1	1		432			432	424	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	1	365	829	0.440	366	365	0.0	0.8	8.132	А
3 - Broad Rushes _	Entry	1	2	3, 2	15	829	0.018	15	15	0.0	0.0	4.220	А
		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	380			380	383	0.0	0.0	0.030	A
	Exit	1	1		334			334	334	0.0	0.0	0.000	A

### 17:00 - 17:15

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
			1	2	498	1085	0.459	498	491	0.6	0.8	6.071	A
1 - Station Road (N)	Entry	1	2	1, 3	389	1085	0.359	391	393	0.4	0.5	5.147	А
		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	888			888	885	0.0	0.0	0.025	A
	Exit	1	1		955			955	966	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
2. Station Read (S)		1	1	3	11	968	0.011	11	11	0.0	0.0	3.645	A
	Entry	•	2	1, 2	523	968	0.540	522	527	0.8	1.1	8.162	A
2 - Station Road (S)		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	536			534	539	0.0	0.1	0.388	А
	Exit	1	1		515			515	510	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
			1	1	436	802	0.544	433	439	0.8	1.4	9.935	A
3 - Broad Rushes	Entry	1	2	3, 2	17	802	0.021	17	19	0.0	0.0	4.569	A
		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	453			453	460	0.0	0.0	0.224	A
	Exit	1	1		402			402	404	0.0	0.0	0.000	A



### 17:15 - 17:30

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		4	1	2	616	1083	0.569	611	608	0.8	1.6	7.332	А
1 Station Boad (N)	Entry	1	2	1, 3	486	1083	0.449	486	490	0.5	0.8	5.978	А
i - Station Road (N)		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	1103			1102	1103	0.0	0.1	0.181	А
	Exit	1	1		1205			1205	1187	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1	1	3	14	934	0.015	14	12	0.0	0.0	3.962	А
2 Station Boad (S)	Entry		2	1, 2	659	934	0.705	658	653	1.1	2.1	10.610	В
2 - Station Road (S)		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	676			674	669	0.1	0.7	2.076	А
	Exit	1	1		634			634	632	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
3 - Broad Rushes -		4	1	1	547	757	0.723	547	534	1.4	2.4	14.808	В
	Entry	1	2	3, 2	23	757	0.030	23	23	0.0	0.0	4.866	А
		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	569			570	562	0.0	0.2	1.228	A
	Exit	1	1		501			501	502	0.0	0.0	0.000	A

### 17:30 - 17:45

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		1	1	2	607	1083	0.560	611	612	1.6	1.2	7.514	А
1 Station Boad (N)	Entry		2	1, 3	481	1083	0.444	482	481	0.8	0.8	5.994	А
		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	1087			1088	1091	0.1	0.0	0.207	A
	Exit	1	1		1200			1200	1210	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
2 Station Bood (S)		1	1	3	12	936	0.013	12	12	0.0	0.0	3.652	A
	Entry		2	1, 2	656	936	0.701	658	667	2.1	2.0	10.991	В
2 - Station Road (3)		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	670			669	679	0.7	0.5	2.191	A
	Exit	1	1		634			634	635	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1	1	1	548	757	0.724	543	543	2.4	2.8	15.692	С
3 - Broad Rushes	Entry		2	3, 2	24	757	0.031	23	23	0.0	0.1	4.928	А
		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	571			571	568	0.2	0.3	1.965	А
	Exit	1	1		494			494	493	0.0	0.0	0.000	А

### 17:45 - 18:00

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		1	1	2	489	1084	0.451	485	493	1.2	0.9	6.055	А
1 Station Boad (N)	Entry		2	1, 3	392	1084	0.362	396	394	0.8	0.4	5.113	А
i - Station Road (N)		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	881			881	884	0.0	0.0	0.037	А
	Exit	1	1		965			965	985	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
2 Station Bood (S)		1	1	3	12	967	0.013	12	11	0.0	0.0	3.785	А
	Entry		2	1, 2	514	967	0.532	515	535	2.0	1.1	7.621	А
2 - Station Road (S)		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	527			527	542	0.5	0.1	0.492	А
	Exit	1	1		504			504	511	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	1	451	804	0.561	450	451	2.8	1.3	10.696	В
2 Broad Buchas	Entry	1	2	3, 2	20	804	0.025	19	18	0.1	0.0	4.528	А
3 - Broad Rushes _		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	470			470	462	0.3	0.0	0.220	А
	Exit	1	1		408			408	405	0.0	0.0	0.000	A



### 18:00 - 18:15

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	Destination arms	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
		4	1	2	402	1085	0.371	403	414	0.9	0.5	5.216	А
1 - Station Poad (N)	Entry	•	2	1, 3	321	1085	0.296	320	330	0.4	0.4	4.649	А
i - Station Road (N)		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	723			723	743	0.0	0.0	0.001	А
	Exit	1	1		815			815	831	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
2 - Station Poad (S)		1	1	3	9	994	0.009	9	10	0.0	0.0	3.715	А
	Entry		2	1, 2	453	994	0.456	454	460	1.1	0.9	6.619	А
2 - Station Road (3)		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	461			462	469	0.1	0.0	0.110	А
	Exit	1	1		422			422	430	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		4	1	1	362	824	0.439	361	372	1.3	0.8	7.876	А
3 - Broad Rushes _	Entry		2	3, 2	18	824	0.022	18	15	0.0	0.0	4.961	А
		2	1	(1, 3, 2)	380			380	385	0.0	0.0	0.011	А
	Exit	1	1		329			329	341	0.0	0.0	0.000	A

### Lane movements: Main Results for each time segment

### 16:45 - 17:00

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalise level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	415	104	991	1086	0.382	416	410	0.0	0.6	5.451	А
		1		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
1 - Station Road (N)	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	326	81	991	1086	0.300	327	326	0.0	0.4	4.765	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	415	104	-	-	-	415	412	0.0	0.0	0.050	А
				3	326	81	-	-	-	326	327	0.0	0.0	0.035	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		3	8	2	1037	927	0.008	8	9	0.0	0.0	3.666	А
				1	442	110	1108	992	0.445	440	448	0.0	0.8	6.782	А
2 - Station Road (S)	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	441	110	-	-	-	442	451	0.0	0.0	0.134	А
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	8	2	-	-	-	8	9	0.0	0.0	0.054	А
				1	365	91	974	829	0.440	366	365	0.0	0.8	8.132	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
3 - Broad Rushes	Entry		2	2	15	4	924	783	0.019	15	15	0.0	0.0	4.220	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	365	91	-	-	-	365	368	0.0	0.0	0.026	А
		2	1	2	15	4	-	-	-	15	15	0.0	0.0	0.137	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А



### 17:00 - 17:15

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalise level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
			1	2	498	125	991	1085	0.459	498	491	0.6	0.8	6.071	А
		1		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
1 - Station Road (N)	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	389	97	991	1085	0.359	391	393	0.4	0.5	5.147	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	498	125	-	-	-	498	492	0.0	0.0	0.027	A
				3	389	97	-	-	-	389	393	0.0	0.0	0.023	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		3	11	3	1052	919	0.012	11	11	0.0	0.0	3.645	А
				1	523	131	1108	968	0.541	522	527	0.8	1.1	8.162	A
2 - Station Road (S)	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	525	131	-	-	-	523	529	0.0	0.1	0.392	A
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	11	3	-	-	-	11	11	0.0	0.0	0.205	А
				1	436	109	974	802	0.544	433	439	0.8	1.4	9.935	A
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
3 - Broad Rushes	Entry		2	2	17	4	974	805	0.021	17	19	0.0	0.0	4.569	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	436	109	-	-	-	436	441	0.0	0.0	0.234	А
		2	1	2	17	4	-	-	-	17	19	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А



### 17:15 - 17:30

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalis level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
			1	2	616	154	991	1083	0.569	611	608	0.8	1.6	7.332	A
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		1		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
1 - Station Road (N)	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	486	122	991	1083	0.449	486	490	0.5	0.8	5.978	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	617	154	-	-	-	616	611	0.0	0.0	0.199	А
				3	486	122	-	-	-	486	491	0.0	0.0	0.158	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		3	14	4	1066	897	0.016	14	12	0.0	0.0	3.962	А
				1	659	165	1108	935	0.705	658	653	1.1	2.1	10.610	В
2 - Station Road (S)	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	661	165	-	-	-	659	657	0.1	0.7	2.082	А
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	14	4	-	-	-	14	12	0.0	0.0	1.791	А
				1	547	137	974	757	0.723	547	534	1.4	2.4	14.808	В
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		1		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
3 - Broad Rushes	Entry		2	2	23	6	974	761	0.030	23	23	0.0	0.0	4.866	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	546	137	-	-	-	547	539	0.0	0.2	1.243	А
		2	1	2	23	6	-	-	-	23	23	0.0	0.0	0.886	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А



### 17:30 - 17:45

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalis level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
			1	2	607	152	991	1083	0.560	611	612	1.6	1.2	7.514	A
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
1 - Station Road (N)	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	481	120	991	1083	0.444	482	481	0.8	0.8	5.994	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	606	152	-	-	-	607	610	0.0	0.0	0.213	А
				3	480	120	-	-	-	481	480	0.0	0.0	0.199	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		3	12	3	1066	899	0.014	12	12	0.0	0.0	3.652	А
				1	656	164	1108	936	0.702	658	667	2.1	2.0	10.991	В
2 - Station Road (S)	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	657	164	-	-	-	656	667	0.7	0.5	2.194	A
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	12	3	-	-	-	12	12	0.0	0.0	2.034	A
				1	548	137	974	757	0.723	543	543	2.4	2.8	15.692	С
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		-		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
3 - Broad Rushes	Entry		2	2	24	6	974	757	0.031	23	23	0.0	0.1	4.928	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	548	137	-	-	-	548	545	0.2	0.3	1.963	A
		2	1	2	24	6	-	-	-	24	23	0.0	0.0	2.023	А
		-	2   1	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А



### 17:45 - 18:00

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalis level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	489	122	991	1084	0.451	485	493	1.2	0.9	6.055	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		'		1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
1 - Station Road (N)	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	392	98	991	1084	0.362	396	394	0.8	0.4	5.113	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	489	122	-	-	-	489	492	0.0	0.0	0.037	А
				3	392	98	-	-	-	392	392	0.0	0.0	0.037	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		3	12	3	1052	916	0.013	12	11	0.0	0.0	3.785	А
		'		1	514	129	1108	966	0.532	515	535	2.0	1.1	7.621	A
2 - Station Road (S)	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	514	129	-	-	-	514	531	0.5	0.1	0.498	A
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	12	3	-	-	-	12	11	0.0	0.0	0.229	A
				1	451	113	974	804	0.560	450	451	2.8	1.3	10.696	В
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
3 - Broad Rushes	Entry		2	2	20	5	962	791	0.025	19	18	0.1	0.0	4.528	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	450	113	-	-	-	451	445	0.3	0.0	0.221	A
		2	1	2	20	5	-	-	-	20	18	0.0	0.0	0.190	А
		-	2 1	3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А



### 18:00 - 18:15

Arm	Side	Lane level	Lane	To Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Junction Arrivals (PCU)	Simulation max flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	Average throughput (PCU/hr)	Start queue (PCU)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalise level of service
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	402	101	991	1085	0.370	403	414	0.9	0.5	5.216	А
		1		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
1 - Station Road (N)	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	321	80	991	1085	0.296	320	330	0.4	0.4	4.649	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		2	1	2	402	101	-	-	-	402	413	0.0	0.0	0.002	А
				3	321	80	-	-	-	321	331	0.0	0.0	0.001	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
		1		3	9	2	1023	911	0.010	9	10	0.0	0.0	3.715	А
				1	453	113	1108	994	0.456	454	460	1.1	0.9	6.619	А
2 - Station Road (S)	Entry		2	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	453	113	-	-	-	453	459	0.1	0.0	0.112	A
		2	1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	9	2	-	-	-	9	10	0.0	0.0	0.031	А
				1	362	90	974	826	0.438	361	372	1.3	0.8	7.876	А
			1	2	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
		1		3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А
				1	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
3 - Broad Rushes	Entry		2	2	18	5	974	822	0.022	18	15	0.0	0.0	4.961	A
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				1	362	90	-	-	-	362	370	0.0	0.0	0.011	A
		2	1	2	18	5	-	-	-	18	15	0.0	0.0	0.000	A
				3	0	0	0	0	0.000	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.000	А

<

>



APPENDIX 6: Junction 16 A453/Kegworth Road Roundabout Model Outputs





## **Junctions 10**

### **ARCADY 10 - Roundabout Module**

Version: 10.0.3.1598

© Copyright TRL Software Limited, 2021

For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL Software: +44 (0)1344 379777 software@trl.co.uk trlsoftware.com

The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: Import of A453 Kegworth Road.j10 Path: J:\2022\220500-East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 (1)\ProjectDelivery\01-WIP\Models\16. A453_Kegworth Road\A453_Kegworth Road Base Model Report generation date: 31/01/2024 14:40:31

»2022, AM »2022, PM

### Summary of junction performance

		A	M			РМ							
	Set ID	Queue (PCU) Delay (s) RFC LOS Set ID Queue (PCU)			Delay (s)	RFC	LOS						
		2022											
Arm 1		0.0	2.41	0.04	А		0.0	2.39	0.04	А			
Arm 2	D1	0.0	2.27	0.03		D2	0.1	2.38	0.06	А			
Arm 3		0.1	2.67	0.05	А		0.0	2.55	0.03	А			

Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle.

### **File summary**

### **File Description**

Title	
Location	
Site number	
Date	08/01/2024
Version	
Status	(new file)
Identifier	
Client	
Jobnumber	
Enumerator	BWB\Aaiza.Shafiq
Description	

#### Units

Distance units	Speed units	Traffic units input	Traffic units results	Flow units	Average delay units	Total delay units	Rate of delay units
m	kph	PCU	PCU	perHour	s	-Min	perMin

### **Analysis Options**

Calculate Queue Percentiles	Calculate residual capacity	RFC Threshold	Average Delay threshold (s)	Queue threshold (PCU)
		0.85	36.00	20.00



### Demand Set Summary

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)
D1	2022	AM	ONE HOUR	07:45	09:15	15
D2	2022	PM	ONE HOUR	16:45	18:15	15

### Analysis Set Details

ID	Network flow scaling factor (%)
A1	100.000



## 2022, AM

#### **Data Errors and Warnings**

No errors or warnings

### **Junction Network**

#### Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Use circulating lanes	Arm order	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	untitled	Standard Roundabout		1, 2, 3, 4	2.47	А

#### **Junction Network**

Driving side Lighting		Network delay (s)	Network LOS
Left	Normal/unknown	2.47	A

### Arms

### Arms

Arm	Name	Description	No give-way line
1	A453 Exit Slip Road		
2	Kegworth Road (E)		
3	Kegworth Road (S)		
4	A453 Entry Slip Road		

#### **Roundabout Geometry**

Arm	V - Approach road half- width (m)	E - Entry width (m)	l' - Effective flare length (m)	R - Entry radius (m)	D - Inscribed circle diameter (m)	PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg)	Entry only	Exit only
1	3.90	6.80	8.6	16.8	60.0	19.7		
2	3.70	7.20	19.8	19.6	60.0	41.8		
3	3.40	6.20	5.8	20.0	60.0	0.0		
4								~

### Slope / Intercept / Capacity

### Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

Arm Final slope Final intercept (PCU/hr)

1	0.555	1648
2	0.550	1723
3	0.550	1504
4		

The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

### **Traffic Demand**

### **Demand Set Details**

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)	
D1	2022	AM	ONE HOUR	07:45	09:15	15	

Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)
HV Percentages	2.00



### **Demand overview (Traffic)**

Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
1		✓	66	100.000
2		✓	48	100.000
3		✓	67	100.000
4				

## **Origin-Destination Data**

### Demand (PCU/hr)

		То					
		1	2	3	4		
	1	0	39	27	0		
From	2	0	0	20	28		
	3	0	53	0	14		
	4	0	0	0	0		

## Vehicle Mix

### **Heavy Vehicle Percentages**

	То					
		1	2	3	4	
	1	0	3	4	0	
From	2	0	0	0	8	
	3	0	2	0	17	
	4	0	0	0	0	

### Results

### **Results Summary for whole modelled period**

Arm	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
1	0.04	2.41	0.0	А
2	0.03	2.27	0.0	A
3	0.05	2.67	0.1	A
4				

### Main Results for each time segment

#### 07:45 - 08:00

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1	50	40	1626	0.031	50	0.0	2.361	А
2	36	20	1712	0.021	36	0.0	2.244	A
3	50	21	1492	0.034	50	0.0	2.616	А
4		40						



### 08:00 - 08:15

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1	59	48	1621	0.037	59	0.0	2.382	А
2	43	24	1710	0.025	43	0.0	2.257	А
3	60	25	1490	0.040	60	0.0	2.638	А
4		48						

#### 08:15 - 08:30

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1	73	58	1615	0.045	73	0.0	2.412	А
2	53	30	1707	0.031	53	0.0	2.274	А
3	74	31	1487	0.050	74	0.1	2.669	А
4		58						

#### 08:30 - 08:45

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1	73	58	1615	0.045	73	0.0	2.412	А
2	53	30	1707	0.031	53	0.0	2.274	A
3	74	31	1487	0.050	74	0.1	2.669	А
4		58						

#### 08:45 - 09:00

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1	59	48	1621	0.037	59	0.0	2.384	A
2	43	24	1710	0.025	43	0.0	2.257	A
3	60	25	1490	0.040	60	0.0	2.639	A
4		48						

### 09:00 - 09:15

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1	50	40	1626	0.031	50	0.0	2.361	A
2	36	20	1712	0.021	36	0.0	2.244	А
3	50	21	1492	0.034	50	0.0	2.619	A
4		40						



# 2022, PM

#### **Data Errors and Warnings**

No errors or warnings

### **Junction Network**

#### Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Use circulating lanes	Arm order	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	untitled	Standard Roundabout		1, 2, 3, 4	2.42	А

#### **Junction Network**

Driving side Lighting		Network delay (s)	Network LOS
Left	Normal/unknown	2.42	A

### **Traffic Demand**

### **Demand Set Details**

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)
D2	2022	PM	ONE HOUR	16:45	18:15	15

Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)
HV Percentages	2.00

### **Demand overview (Traffic)**

Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
1		✓	54	100.000
2		✓	97	100.000
3		~	41	100.000
4				

### **Origin-Destination Data**

#### Demand (PCU/hr)

	То					
		1	2	3	4	
	1	0	18	36	0	
From	2	0	0	23	74	
	3	0	35	0	6	
	4	0	0	0	0	

### Vehicle Mix

### **Heavy Vehicle Percentages**

	То				
		1	2	3	4
	1	0	13	0	0
From	2	0	0	10	4
	3	0	0	0	0
	4	0	0	0	0



## Results

### Results Summary for whole modelled period

Arm	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
1	0.04	2.39	0.0	А
2	0.06	2.38	0.1	А
3	0.03	2.55	0.0	A
4				

### Main Results for each time segment

### 16:45 - 17:00

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1	41	26	1633	0.025	41	0.0	2.350	А
2	73	27	1708	0.043	73	0.0	2.319	A
3	31	56	1473	0.021	31	0.0	2.495	A
4		26						

#### 17:00 - 17:15

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1	49	31	1630	0.030	49	0.0	2.366	A
2	87	32	1705	0.051	87	0.1	2.343	А
3	37	66	1467	0.025	37	0.0	2.516	А
4		31						

### 17:15 - 17:30

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1	59	39	1626	0.037	59	0.0	2.388	А
2	107	40	1701	0.063	107	0.1	2.378	А
3	45	81	1459	0.031	45	0.0	2.546	A
4		39						

### 17:30 - 17:45

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1	59	39	1626	0.037	59	0.0	2.388	А
2	107	40	1701	0.063	107	0.1	2.378	А
3	45	81	1459	0.031	45	0.0	2.546	А
4		39						

#### 17:45 - 18:00

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1	49	31	1630	0.030	49	0.0	2.368	А
2	87	32	1705	0.051	87	0.1	2.343	А
3	37	67	1467	0.025	37	0.0	2.516	А
4		31						



### 18:00 - 18:15

Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1	41	26	1633	0.025	41	0.0	2.350	А
2	73	27	1708	0.043	73	0.0	2.319	А
3	31	56	1473	0.021	31	0.0	2.497	А
4		26						



APPENDIX 7: Junction 17 - A453/West Leake Lane Roundabout Model Outputs





ARM 2 - A453 WESTBOUND OFF-SLIP ENTRY WIDTH = 7.62m APPROACH HALF WIDTH = 3.50m FLARE LENGTH = 10.62m ENTRY RADIUS = 18.8 CONFLICT ANGLE = 25 ICD = 50m

ARM 3 - WEST LEAKE LANE ENTRY WIDTH = 6.12m APPROACH HALF WIDTH = 2.90m FLARE LENGTH = 5.78m ENTRY RADIUS = 27.2 CONFLICT ANGLE = 10.7 ICD = 50m



### **Junctions 10**

### **ARCADY 10 - Roundabout Module**

Version: 10.0.3.1598

© Copyright TRL Software Limited, 2021

For sales and distribution information, program advice and maintenance, contact TRL Software: +44 (0)1344 379777 software@trl.co.uk trlsoftware.com

The users of this computer program for the solution of an engineering problem are in no way relieved of their responsibility for the correctness of the solution

Filename: Import of West Leake Lane.j10

Path: J:\2022\220500-East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 (1)\ProjectDelivery\01-WIP\Models\17. A453_West Leake Lane\A453_West Leake Lane Base Model Report generation date: 31/01/2024 14:42:21

»2022, AM »2022, PM

### Summary of junction performance

		AM				РМ				
	Set ID	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS	Set ID	Queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	RFC	LOS
	2022									
1 - untitled - 1 - Barton Lane		0.4	3.74	0.24	A		0.2	3.01	0.16	А
1 - untitled - 2 - A453 (NE)		0.0	2.80	0.04	А		0.0	2.60	0.04	А
1 - untitled - 3 - West Leake Lane	D1	0.5	4.40	0.30	А	D2	0.3	3.70	0.22	А
2 - untitled - 1 - Barton Lane		0.1	3.55	0.06	A	02	0.0	2.84	0.02	A
2 - untitled - 3 - Barton Lane (S)		0.1	3.02	0.06	A		0.1	2.71	0.05	А
2 - untitled - 4 - A453 (SW)		0.2	2.50	0.16	А		0.1	2.10	0.11	А

Values shown are the highest values encountered over all time segments. Delay is the maximum value of average delay per arriving vehicle.

### File summary

#### **File Description**

Title	
Location	
Site number	
Date	08/01/2024
Version	
Status	(new file)
Identifier	
Client	
Jobnumber	
Enumerator	BWB\Aaiza.Shafiq
Description	

#### Units

Distance units	Speed units	Traffic units input	Traffic units results	Flow units	Average delay units	Total delay units	Rate of delay units
m	kph	PCU	PCU	perHour	s	-Min	perMin



### Analysis Options

Calculate Queue Percentiles	Calculate residual capacity	<b>RFC</b> Threshold	Average Delay threshold (s)	Queue threshold (PCU)
		0.85	36.00	20.00

### **Demand Set Summary**

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)
D1	2022	AM	ONE HOUR	07:45	09:15	15
D2	2022	PM	ONE HOUR	16:45	18:15	15

### **Analysis Set Details**

ID	Network flow scaling factor (%)
A1	100.000



# 2022, AM

#### **Data Errors and Warnings**

No errors or warnings

## **Junction Network**

### Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Use circulating lanes	Arm order	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	untitled	Standard Roundabout		1, 2, 3, 4	3.99	A
2	untitled	Standard Roundabout		1, 2, 3, 4	2.76	A

### **Junction Network**

Driving side Lighting		Network delay (s)	Network LOS
Left	Normal/unknown	3.53	А

### Arms

### Arms

Junction	Arm	Name	Description	No give-way line
	1	Barton Lane		
	2	A453 (NE)		
i - untitied	3	West Leake Lane		
	4	A453 (SW)		
	1	Barton Lane		
2 - untitled	2	A453 (NE)		
	3	Barton Lane (S)		
	4	A453 (SW)		

### **Roundabout Geometry**

Junction	Arm	V - Approach road half-width (m)	E - Entry width (m)	l' - Effective flare length (m)	R - Entry radius (m)	D - Inscribed circle diameter (m)	PHI - Conflict (entry) angle (deg)	Entry only	Exit only
	1 - Barton Lane	3.70	6.94	6.2	24.3	50.0	38.0		
1 - untitled	2 - A453 (NE)	3.50	7.62	10.6	18.8	50.0	25.0	~	
	3 - West Leake Lane	2.90	6.12	5.8	27.2	50.0	10.7		
	4 - A453 (SW)								~
	1 - Barton Lane	3.90	6.50	5.8	26.7	50.0	30.9		
2 - untitled	2 - A453 (NE)								✓
	3 - Barton Lane (S)	3.80	6.07	4.2	28.7	50.0	20.7		
	4 - A453 (SW)	4.00	8.45	17.6	38.0	50.0	23.6	~	

### Slope / Intercept / Capacity

### Roundabout Slope and Intercept used in model

Junction	Arm	Final slope	Final intercept (PCU/hr)
1 - untitled	1 - Barton Lane	0.558	1461
	2 - A453 (NE)	0.601	1640
	3 - West Leake Lane	0.561	1328
	4 - A453 (SW)		
	1 - Barton Lane	0.577	1519
2 - untitled	2 - A453 (NE)		
	3 - Barton Lane (S)	0.578	1468
	4 - A453 (SW)	0.687	2045



The slope and intercept shown above include any corrections and adjustments.

### **Traffic Demand**

### **Demand Set Details**

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)
D1	2022	AM	ONE HOUR	07:45	09:15	15

 Vehicle mix source
 PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)

 HV Percentages
 2.00

### Linked Arm Data

Junction	Arm	Feeding Junction	Feeding Arm	Link Type	Flow source	Uniform flow (PCU/hr)	Flow multiplier (%)	Internal storage space (PCU)
1 - untitled	1 - Barton Lane	2	3	Queue limited	Normal	0	100.00	27.00
2 - untitled	3 - Barton Lane (S)	1	1	Queue limited	Normal	0	100.00	27.00

### **Demand overview (Traffic)**

Junction	Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
	1 - Barton Lane	✓			
1 untitlad	2 - A453 (NE)		✓	53	100.000
i - untitied	3 - West Leake Lane		✓	351	100.000
	4 - A453 (SW)				
	1 - Barton Lane		✓	66	100.000
2 - untitled	2 - A453 (NE)				
	3 - Barton Lane (S)	✓			
	4 - A453 (SW)		~	284	100.000

### **Origin-Destination Data**

### Demand (PCU/hr)

1 - untitled

	To							
		1 - Barton Lane	2 - A453 (NE)	3 - West Leake Lane	4 - A453 (SW)			
	1 - Barton Lane	0	0	273	51			
From	2 - A453 (NE)	5	0	48	0			
	3 - West Leake Lane	80	0	0	271			
	4 - A453 (SW)	0	0	0	0			

Demand (PCU/hr)

2 - untitled

			То		
		1 - Barton Lane	2 - A453 (NE)	3 - Barton Lane (S)	4 - A453 (SW)
	1 - Barton Lane	0	0	66	0
From	2 - A453 (NE)	0	0	0	0
	3 - Barton Lane (S)	12	73	0	0
	4 - A453 (SW)	26	0	258	0

### Vehicle Mix



### Heavy Vehicle Percentages

1 - untitled

			То		
		1 - Barton Lane	2 - A453 (NE)	3 - West Leake Lane	4 - A453 (SW)
	1 - Barton Lane	0	0	14	19
From	2 - A453 (NE)	0	0	7	0
	3 - West Leake Lane	14	0	0	10
	4 - A453 (SW)	0	0	0	0

### **Heavy Vehicle Percentages**

2 - untitled

			То		
		1 - Barton Lane	2 - A453 (NE)	3 - Barton Lane (S)	4 - A453 (SW)
	1 - Barton Lane	0	0	22	0
From	2 - A453 (NE)	0	0	0	0
	3 - Barton Lane (S)	25	14	0	0
	4 - A453 (SW)	15	0	16	0

## Results

### **Results Summary for whole modelled period**

Junction	Arm	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
1 - untitled	1 - Barton Lane	0.24	3.74	0.4	А
	2 - A453 (NE)	0.04	2.80	0.0	А
	3 - West Leake Lane	0.30	4.40	0.5	А
	4 - A453 (SW)				
2 - untitled	1 - Barton Lane	0.06	3.55	0.1	А
	2 - A453 (NE)				
	3 - Barton Lane (S)	0.06	3.02	0.1	А
	4 - A453 (SW)	0.16	2.50	0.2	A

### Main Results for each time segment

### 07:45 - 08:00

Junction	Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1 - untitled	1 - Barton Lane	243	0	1461	0.167	242	0.2	3.389	А
	2 - A453 (NE)	40	242	1495	0.027	40	0.0	2.629	А
	3 - West Leake Lane	264	42	1304	0.203	263	0.3	3.832	А
	4 - A453 (SW)		64						
2 - untitled	1 - Barton Lane	50	248	1376	0.036	50	0.0	3.311	А
	2 - A453 (NE)		243						
	3 - Barton Lane (S)	64	0	1468	0.043	64	0.1	2.958	A
	4 - A453 (SW)	214	64	2002	0.107	213	0.1	2.333	A



### 08:00 - 08:15

Junction	Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1 - untitled	1 - Barton Lane	291	0	1461	0.199	291	0.3	3.531	А
	2 - A453 (NE)	48	291	1466	0.033	48	0.0	2.698	A
	3 - West Leake Lane	316	50	1299	0.243	315	0.4	4.055	А
	4 - A453 (SW)		76						
2 - untitled	1 - Barton Lane	59	297	1348	0.044	59	0.1	3.408	A
	2 - A453 (NE)		291						
	3 - Barton Lane (S)	76	0	1468	0.052	76	0.1	2.984	A
	4 - A453 (SW)	255	76	1993	0.128	255	0.2	2.400	A

### 08:15 - 08:30

Junction	Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1 - untitled	1 - Barton Lane	356	0	1461	0.244	356	0.4	3.740	А
	2 - A453 (NE)	58	356	1426	0.041	58	0.0	2.796	А
	3 - West Leake Lane	386	62	1293	0.299	386	0.5	4.399	А
	4 - A453 (SW)		93						
2 - untitled	1 - Barton Lane	73	364	1309	0.056	73	0.1	3.551	А
	2 - A453 (NE)		356						
	3 - Barton Lane (S)	93	0	1468	0.064	93	0.1	3.022	A
	4 - A453 (SW)	313	93	1981	0.158	313	0.2	2.500	А

### 08:30 - 08:45

Junction	Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1 - untitled	1 - Barton Lane	357	0	1461	0.244	357	0.4	3.741	А
	2 - A453 (NE)	58	357	1426	0.041	58	0.0	2.797	А
	3 - West Leake Lane	386	62	1293	0.299	386	0.5	4.403	А
	4 - A453 (SW)		94						
2 - untitled	1 - Barton Lane	73	364	1309	0.056	73	0.1	3.552	А
	2 - A453 (NE)		357						
	3 - Barton Lane (S)	94	0	1468	0.064	94	0.1	3.022	А
	4 - A453 (SW)	313	94	1981	0.158	313	0.2	2.500	A

### 08:45 - 09:00

Junction	Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1 - untitled	1 - Barton Lane	291	0	1461	0.200	292	0.3	3.534	А
	2 - A453 (NE)	48	292	1465	0.033	48	0.0	2.701	А
	3 - West Leake Lane	316	50	1299	0.243	316	0.4	4.063	А
	4 - A453 (SW)		77						
2 - untitled	1 - Barton Lane	59	298	1347	0.044	59	0.1	3.409	А
	2 - A453 (NE)		291						
	3 - Barton Lane (S)	77	0	1468	0.052	77	0.1	2.987	А
	4 - A453 (SW)	255	77	1993	0.128	255	0.2	2.401	А

### 09:00 - 09:15

Junction	Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
1 - untitled	1 - Barton Lane	244	0	1461	0.167	244	0.2	3.398	A
	2 - A453 (NE)	40	244	1494	0.027	40	0.0	2.634	А
	3 - West Leake Lane	264	42	1304	0.203	265	0.3	3.841	А
	4 - A453 (SW)		64						
2 - untitled	1 - Barton Lane	50	249	1375	0.036	50	0.0	3.315	А
	2 - A453 (NE)		244						
	3 - Barton Lane (S)	64	0	1468	0.044	64	0.1	2.958	А
	4 - A453 (SW)	214	64	2001	0.107	214	0.1	2.334	A




# 2022, PM

#### **Data Errors and Warnings**

No errors or warnings

# **Junction Network**

#### Junctions

Junction	Name	Junction type	Use circulating lanes	Arm order	Junction Delay (s)	Junction LOS
1	untitled	Standard Roundabout		1, 2, 3, 4	3.30	A
2	untitled	Standard Roundabout		1, 2, 3, 4	2.29	A

#### **Junction Network**

Driving side	Lighting	Network delay (s)	Network LOS
Left	Normal/unknown	2.94	A

# **Traffic Demand**

#### **Demand Set Details**

ID	Scenario name	Time Period name	Traffic profile type	Start time (HH:mm)	Finish time (HH:mm)	Time segment length (min)
D2	2022	PM	ONE HOUR	16:45	18:15	15

Vehicle mix source	PCU Factor for a HV (PCU)
HV Percentages	2.00

#### Linked Arm Data

Junction	Arm	Feeding Junction	Feeding Arm	Link Type	Flow source	Uniform flow (PCU/hr)	Flow multiplier (%)	Internal storage space (PCU)
1 - untitled	1 - Barton Lane	2	3	Queue limited	Normal	0	100.00	27.00
2 - untitled	3 - Barton Lane (S)	1	1	Queue limited	Normal	0	100.00	27.00

#### **Demand overview (Traffic)**

Junction	Arm	Linked arm	Use O-D data	Average Demand (PCU/hr)	Scaling Factor (%)
	1 - Barton Lane	~			
4	2 - A453 (NE)		~	58	100.000
i - untitied	3 - West Leake Lane		✓	260	100.000
	4 - A453 (SW)				
	1 - Barton Lane		✓	19	100.000
2	2 - A453 (NE)				
z - untitied	3 - Barton Lane (S)	~			
	4 - A453 (SW)		✓	205	100.000

# **Origin-Destination Data**



#### Demand (PCU/hr)

1 - untitled

			То		
		1 - Barton Lane	2 - A453 (NE)	3 - West Leake Lane	4 - A453 (SW)
From	1 - Barton Lane	0	0	195	15
	2 - A453 (NE)	4	0	54	0
	3 - West Leake Lane	61	0	0	199
	4 - A453 (SW)	0	0	0	0

#### Demand (PCU/hr)

2 - untitled

			То		
		1 - Barton Lane	2 - A453 (NE)	3 - Barton Lane (S)	4 - A453 (SW)
From	1 - Barton Lane	0	1	18	0
	2 - A453 (NE)	0	0	0	0
	3 - Barton Lane (S)	8	57	0	0
	4 - A453 (SW)	13	0	192	0

# Vehicle Mix

F

#### Heavy Vehicle Percentages

1 - untitled

			То		
		1 - Barton Lane	2 - A453 (NE)	3 - West Leake Lane	4 - A453 (SW)
	1 - Barton Lane	0	0	3	0
rom	2 - A453 (NE)	0	0	4	0
	3 - West Leake Lane	5	0	0	6
	4 - A453 (SW)	0	0	0	0

#### **Heavy Vehicle Percentages**

То 1 - Barton Lane 3 - Barton Lane (S) 4 - A453 (SW) 2 - A453 (NE) 0 0 6 0 1 - Barton Lane 0 0 0 0 From 2 - A453 (NE) 0 0 3 - Barton Lane (S) 6 0 4 - A453 (SW) 9 0 3 0

# Results

2 - untitled

#### **Results Summary for whole modelled period**

Junction	Arm	Max RFC	Max Delay (s)	Max Queue (PCU)	Max LOS
	1 - Barton Lane	0.16	3.01	0.2	А
1 untitled	2 - A453 (NE)	0.04	2.60	0.0	А
i - untitieu	3 - West Leake Lane	0.22	3.70	0.3	А
	4 - A453 (SW)				
	1 - Barton Lane	0.02	2.84	0.0	А
2	2 - A453 (NE)				
z - untitied	3 - Barton Lane (S)	0.05	2.71	0.1	A
	4 - A453 (SW)	0.11	2.10	0.1	А



# Main Results for each time segment

#### 16:45 - 17:00

Junction	Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
	1 - Barton Lane	158	0	1461	0.108	157	0.1	2.838	А
1 untitled	2 - A453 (NE)	44	157	1546	0.028	44	0.0	2.484	А
i - untitieu	3 - West Leake Lane	196	14	1320	0.148	195	0.2	3.384	А
	4 - A453 (SW)		49						
	1 - Barton Lane	14	187	1411	0.010	14	0.0	2.722	А
2	2 - A453 (NE)		158						
2 - untitied	3 - Barton Lane (S)	49	0	1468	0.033	49	0.0	2.667	A
	4 - A453 (SW)	154	49	2012	0.077	154	0.1	2.002	A

#### 17:00 - 17:15

Junction	Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
	1 - Barton Lane	189	0	1461	0.129	189	0.2	2.908	А
1 untitled	2 - A453 (NE)	52	189	1527	0.034	52	0.0	2.530	А
i - untitieu	3 - West Leake Lane	234	17	1318	0.177	234	0.2	3.510	А
	4 - A453 (SW)		58						
	1 - Barton Lane	17	224	1390	0.012	17	0.0	2.770	А
2 - untitled	2 - A453 (NE)		189						
	3 - Barton Lane (S)	58	0	1468	0.040	58	0.0	2.686	A
	4 - A453 (SW)	184	58	2005	0.092	184	0.1	2.043	A

#### 17:15 - 17:30

Junction	Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
	1 - Barton Lane	231	0	1461	0.158	231	0.2	3.008	А
1 untitled	2 - A453 (NE)	64	231	1502	0.043	64	0.0	2.596	А
1 - Untitled	3 - West Leake Lane	286	21	1316	0.218	286	0.3	3.697	А
	4 - A453 (SW)		72						
	1 - Barton Lane	21	274	1361	0.015	21	0.0	2.837	А
2 - untitled	2 - A453 (NE)		231						
	3 - Barton Lane (S)	72	0	1468	0.049	71	0.1	2.711	A
	4 - A453 (SW)	226	71	1996	0.113	226	0.1	2.101	A

#### 17:30 - 17:45

Junction	Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
	1 - Barton Lane	231	0	1461	0.158	231	0.2	3.008	A
1 untitled	2 - A453 (NE)	64	231	1501	0.043	64	0.0	2.596	А
1 - untitled	3 - West Leake Lane	286	21	1316	0.218	286	0.3	3.697	A
	4 - A453 (SW)		72						
	1 - Barton Lane	21	274	1361	0.015	21	0.0	2.838	А
2 untition	2 - A453 (NE)		231						
z - untitied	3 - Barton Lane (S)	72	0	1468	0.049	72	0.1	2.711	A
	4 - A453 (SW)	226	72	1996	0.113	226	0.1	2.101	A



#### 17:45 - 18:00

Junction	Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
	1 - Barton Lane	189	0	1461	0.129	189	0.2	2.909	А
1 untitled	2 - A453 (NE)	52	189	1527	0.034	52	0.0	2.533	А
1 - untitled	3 - West Leake Lane	234	17	1318	0.177	234	0.2	3.515	А
	4 - A453 (SW)		58						
	1 - Barton Lane	17	224	1390	0.012	17	0.0	2.772	А
2 - untitled	2 - A453 (NE)		189						
	3 - Barton Lane (S)	58	0	1468	0.040	59	0.0	2.688	А
	4 - A453 (SW)	184	59	2005	0.092	184	0.1	2.045	A

#### 18:00 - 18:15

Junction	Arm	Total Demand (PCU/hr)	Circulating flow (PCU/hr)	Capacity (PCU/hr)	RFC	Throughput (PCU/hr)	End queue (PCU)	Delay (s)	Unsignalised level of service
	1 - Barton Lane	158	0	1461	0.108	158	0.1	2.842	А
1 untitled	2 - A453 (NE)	44	158	1545	0.028	44	0.0	2.488	А
1 - untitled	3 - West Leake Lane	196	14	1320	0.148	196	0.2	3.388	А
	4 - A453 (SW)		49						
	1 - Barton Lane	14	188	1411	0.010	14	0.0	2.723	А
2 - untitled	2 - A453 (NE)		158						
	3 - Barton Lane (S)	49	0	1468	0.033	49	0.0	2.670	А
	4 - A453 (SW)	154	49	2012	0.077	154	0.1	2.003	A



APPENDIX 8: Junction 6 - A453/East Midlands Airport Signal Junction Model Outputs

# Basic Results Summary Basic Results Summary

# **User and Project Details**

Project:	East Midlands Gateway Phase 2
Title:	A453/EMA Junction
Location:	
Client:	SEGRO
Site Ref(s):	Junction 6
Checked By:	Vibeeshan Devaharan
Checked By Date:	27/03/24
Additional detail:	
File name:	240405 A453_Airport Access Signal Junction (BASE ONLY).lsg3x
Author:	Charlie Cresswell
Company:	BWB
Address:	

# Scenario 1: '2022 Observed (AM)' (FG1: '2022 Observed (AM)', Plan 2: 'Network Control Plan 2') Network Layout Diagram



Item	Lane Description	Deg Sat (%)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)
Network: A453/EMA Junction	-	63.9%	-	-
A453/Airport Access	-	63.9%	-	-
2/3	A453 (West) Ahead	10.6%	12.2	1.5
5/2	Ahead	19.4%	5.0	1.8
5/1	Ahead	23.2%	1.2	0.0
1/1+1/2	A453 (East) Ahead Right	32.8 : 41.8%	11.1 (1.8:26.1)	4.8
2/2+2/1	A453 (West) Ahead Left	47.2 : 47.2%	13.3 (15.7:7.0)	6.2
3/1+3/2	Airport Access Right Left	18.3 : 63.9%	29.7 (24.0:46.4)	2.0
	C1 PRC for Signalled L PRC Over All La	.anes (%): 40.8 ines (%): 40.8	Total Delay for Signalled Lan Total Delay Over All Lan	es (pcuHr): 5.35 Cycle Time (s): 90 nes(pcuHr): 5.66

Basic Results Summary Scenario 2: '2022 Observed (PM)' (FG2: '2022 Observed (PM)', Plan 2: 'Network Control Plan 2') Network Layout Diagram



Item	Lane Description	Deg Sat (%)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Max. Back of Uniform Queue (pcu)
Network: A453/EMA Junction	-	32.8%	-	-
A453/Airport Access	-	32.8%	-	-
2/3	A453 (West) Ahead	8.0%	14.1	1.1
5/2	Ahead	13.5%	4.0	1.2
5/1	Ahead	24.9%	1.2	0.0
1/1+1/2	A453 (East) Ahead Right	31.7 : 31.7%	10.2 (4.3:30.0)	3.1
3/1+3/2	Airport Access Right Left	32.2 : 32.2%	26.1 (22.1:39.8)	3.9
2/2+2/1	A453 (West) Ahead Left	32.8 : 32.8%	15.0 (16.5:5.3)	4.2
	C1 PRC for Signalled L PRC Over All La	anes (%): 174.5 nes (%): 174.5	Total Delay for Signalled Lan Total Delay Over All Lan	es (pcuHr): 5.34 Cycle Time (s): 90 nes(pcuHr): 5.59



APPENDIX 9: Junction 10 - A453/Walton Hill Signal Junction Model Outputs

## Basic Results Summary Basic Results Summary

## **User and Project Details**

Project:	East Midlands Gateway Phase 2
Title:	A453 Walton Hill Junction
Location:	
Client:	SEGRO
Site Ref(s):	Junction 10
Date Completed:	27/03/24
Checked By:	Vibeeshan Devaharan
Additional detail:	Phase B added to run in stage 3 as per on site observations
File name:	240405 A453_Local Road Signal Junction (BASE ONLY).lsg3x
Author:	Charlie Cresswell
Company:	BWB
Address:	

Scenario 1: '2022 Observed (AM)' (FG1: '2022 Observed (AM) (Current Scenario)', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Network Layout Diagram



Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: A453 Walton Hill Junction	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	63.7%	0	0	0	11.9	-	-
Unnamed Junction	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	63.7%	0	0	0	11.9	-	-
1/1+1/2	A453 (East) Ahead Right	U	C D		1	55:20	-	404	1965:1828	375+427	50.4 : 50.4%	-	-	-	2.7	23.8	5.2
2/2+2/1	A453 (West) Ahead Left	U	A B		1	29:56	-	720	2105:1828	645+499	63.0 : 63.0%	-	-	-	4.3	21.4	9.2
5/1+5/2	Local Road Right Left	U	EF		1	48:24	-	807	1786:1828	817+451	63.7 : 63.7%	-	-	-	5.0	22.3	9.1
		C	21	PRC PI	for Signalleo RC Over All	d Lanes (%) Lanes (%):	): 41.3 41.3	То	tal Delay for Sig Total Delay C	nalled Lanes Over All Lanes	(pcuHr): (pcuHr):	11.95 11.95	Cycle Time (s):	90	-		-

Basic Results Summary Scenario 2: '2022 Observed (PM)' (FG2: '2022 Observed (PM)', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Network Layout Diagram



Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: A453 Walton Hill Junction	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	53.3%	0	0	0	9.4	-	-
Unnamed Junction	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	53.3%	0	0	0	9.4	-	-
1/1+1/2	A453 (East) Ahead Right	U	C D		1	52:31	-	631	1965:1828	546+650	52.8 : 52.8%	-	-	-	3.5	19.8	7.3
2/2+2/1	A453 (West) Ahead Left	U	A B		1	15:45	-	414	2105:1828	374+454	50.0 : 50.0%	-	-	-	3.0	26.2	4.7
5/1+5/2	Local Road Right Left	U	EF		1	62:27	-	517	1786:1828	428+542	53.3 : 53.3%	-	-	-	2.9	20.2	6.4
		C	21	PRC PI	for Signalleo RC Over All	d Lanes (%) Lanes (%):	): 68.9 68.9	To	tal Delay for Sig Total Delay C	nalled Lanes Over All Lanes	(pcuHr): (pcuHr):	9.37 9.37	Cycle Time (s):	90	-		-



APPENDIX 10: Junction 12 - M1 Junction 23 Model Outputs

# Basic Results Summary Basic Results Summary

# **User and Project Details**

Project:	East Midlands Gateway 2
Title:	M1 Junction 23
Location:	
Client:	SEGRO
Site Ref(s):	Junction 12
Date Started:	26/10/23
Checked By:	Vibeeshan Devaharan
Additional detail:	
File name:	M1 Junction 23 (BASE ONLY).lsg3x
Author:	Charlie Cresswell
Company:	BWB
Address:	

# Scenario 1: 'AM Base' (FG1: '2022 Am', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Network Layout Diagram



Item	Lane Description	Deg Sat (%)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: M1 Junction 23	-	82.0%	-	-
Unnamed Junction	-	82.0%	-	-
1/2+1/1	A512 East Left Ahead	55.8 : 55.8%	21.7	6.4
1/3	A512 East Ahead	72.6%	29.2	9.5
2/2+2/1	M1 Northbound Slip Left Ahead	67.1 : 67.1%	42.9	4.5
2/3	M1 Northbound Slip Ahead	80.5%	58.7	6.2
3/1	A512 West Left	32.5%	14.7	3.7
3/2+3/3	A512 West Ahead	67.2 : 67.2%	17.1	8.0
4/1	M1 Southbound Slip Left	57.9%	24.7	6.8
4/2+4/3	M1 Southbound Slip Left Ahead	59.9 : 56.2%	21.5	7.0
6/1	Ahead	14.8%	1.1	0.1
6/2	Ahead	0.0%	0.0	0.0
8/1	Southern Circulatory Ahead	27.7%	17.2	6.6
8/2	Southern Circulatory Ahead Right	64.5%	13.4	9.9
9/2+9/1	Western Circulatory Right Ahead	76.9 : 76.9%	32.2	11.1
9/3	Western Circulatory Right	30.6%	6.8	0.6
10/1	Northern Circulatory Ahead	82.0%	20.9	14.4
10/2	Northern Circulatory Ahead Right	70.5%	18.3	11.3
11/1	Eastern Circulatory Ahead	13.0%	15.2	2.6
11/2	Eastern Circulatory Right	37.3%	3.5	0.4
14/1	M1 northbound slip Ped crossing Ahead	40.4%	1.6	0.3
14/2	M1 northbound slip Ped crossing Ahead	0.0%	0.0	0.0
C1 - Westsic C2 - Eastsic	de 25911 PRC for Signalled Lanes (%): de 25921 PRC for Signalled Lanes (%): PRC Over All Lanes (%):	11.7 Tot 9.8 Tot 9.8	al Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): al Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr):	23.51 Cycle Time (s): 69   22.60 Cycle Time (s): 69   46.53 69 69

Basic Results Summary Scenario 2: 'PM Base' (FG2: '2022 Pm', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Network Layout Diagram



Item	Lane Description	Deg Sat (%)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: M1 Junction 23	-	65.8%	-	-
Unnamed Junction	-	65.8%	-	-
1/2+1/1	A512 East Left Ahead	56.6 : 56.6%	13.6	7.3
1/3	A512 East Ahead	49.5%	14.8	6.6
2/2+2/1	M1 Northbound Slip Left Ahead	59.1 : 59.1%	38.3	3.7
2/3	M1 Northbound Slip Ahead	48.5%	39.3	2.9
3/1	A512 West Left	31.2%	19.6	3.2
3/2+3/3	A512 West Ahead	41.4 : 41.4%	18.7	4.0
4/1	M1 Southbound Slip Left	45.8%	33.9	3.2
4/2+4/3	M1 Southbound Slip Left Ahead	65.8 : 51.0%	31.5	4.9
6/1	Ahead	23.7%	1.2	0.2
6/2	Ahead	0.0%	0.0	0.0
8/1	Southern Circulatory Ahead	38.1%	5.4	2.4
8/2	Southern Circulatory Ahead Right	50.4%	5.1	2.6
9/2+9/1	Western Circulatory Right Ahead	54.3 : 54.3%	12.2	9.2
9/3	Western Circulatory Right	14.6%	3.8	0.2
10/1	Northern Circulatory Ahead	27.8%	4.4	0.8
10/2	Northern Circulatory Ahead Right	33.6%	4.3	0.9
11/1	Eastern Circulatory Ahead	17.0%	17.6	2.3
11/2	Eastern Circulatory Right	27.0%	4.9	0.3
14/1	M1 northbound slip Ped crossing Ahead	36.5%	1.5	0.3
14/2	M1 northbound slip Ped crossing Ahead	0.0%	0.0	0.0
C1 - Westsic C2 - Eastsic	le 25911 PRC for Signalled Lanes (%): PRC for Signalled Lanes (%): PRC Over All Lanes (%):	52.4 Tot 36.8 Tot 36.8	al Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): al Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr):	11.56 Cycle Time (s): 69   12.32 Cycle Time (s): 69   24.32 69 69



APPENDIX 11: Junction 13 - A50 Junction 1 Model Outputs

# Basic Results Summary Basic Results Summary

# **User and Project Details**

Project:	A50 Junction 1
Title:	A50 Junction 1
Location:	
Client:	SEGRO
Site Ref(s):	Junction 13
Date Completed:	27/03/2024
Checked By:	Vibeeshan Devaharan
Additional detail:	
File name:	A50 Junction 1 (BASE ONLY).lsg3x
Author:	Charlie Cresswell
Company:	
Address:	

Scenario 1: '2023 Base AM' (FG1: '2023 Base Flows AM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Network Layout Diagram



ltem	Lane Description	Lane Type	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: A50 Junction 1	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	101.1%	3959	0	0	35.0	-	-
A50 Junction 1	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	101.1%	3959	0	0	35.0	-	-
1/2+1/1	B6540 Left Ahead	о	-		-	-	-	798	2088:1950	656+490	60.1 : 82.4%	1596	0	0	1.5	6.6	4.1
2/1	A50 Westbound Off-slip Left Ahead	U	C1:A		1	18	-	432	1907	863	50.1%	-	-	-	1.5	12.3	4.0
2/2	A50 Westbound Off-slip Ahead	U	C1:A		1	18	-	333	2049	927	35.9%	-	-	-	1.0	10.6	2.8
3/1	Ryecroft Road Left Ahead	ο	-		-	-	-	50	1886	448	11.2%	50	0	0	0.1	5.3	0.2
6/1	Ahead	U	-		-	-	-	990	1980	1980	49.7%	-	-	-	0.5	1.8	0.5
6/2	Ahead	0	-		-	-	-	220	1980	499	44.1%	220	0	0	0.5	8.7	2.7
7/1	Trent Lane Left	о	-		-	-	-	347	1923	775	44.8%	347	0	0	0.4	4.2	0.4
7/2+7/3	Trent Lane Ahead	ο	-		-	-	-	498	2063:2101	257+775	48.2 : 48.2%	996	0	0	0.5	3.4	0.5
9/2+9/1	A50 Eastbound Off-slip Left Ahead	U	C2:A		1	19	-	723	1995:1853	950+645	48.8 : 40.1%	-	-	-	1.9	9.3	4.0
10/1+10/2	B5010 Left Ahead	ο	-		-	-	-	325	1894:2034	550+550	27.7 : 31.5%	650	0	0	0.3	3.0	0.6
12/1	Ahead	U	-		-	-	-	634	1965	1965	32.3%	-	-	-	0.2	1.4	0.2
12/2	Ahead	0	-		-	-	-	100	1965	575	17.4%	100	0	0	0.1	3.8	0.1
13/1	West Circ Ahead Right	U	C2:B		1	11	-	477	1944	555	85.9%	-	-	-	4.8	36.4	7.4
13/2+13/3	West Circ Right	U	C2:B		1	11	-	419	1942:1940	555+309	49.0 : 47.5%	-	-	-	1.9	16.4	3.0

Basic Resu	Ilts Summary		1	1			1							1			
14/1	North West Circ Ahead	U	-		-	-	-	569	1930	1930	29.5%	-	-	-	0.2	1.3	0.2
14/2	North West Circ Ahead Right	U	-		-	-	-	272	1926	1926	14.1%	-	-	-	0.1	1.1	0.1
14/3	North West Circ Right	U	-		-	-	-	611	1923	1923	31.8%	-	-	-	0.2	1.4	1.3
15/1	Northeast Circ Ahead	U	-		-	-	-	259	1932	1932	13.4%	-	-	-	0.1	1.1	0.1
15/2+15/3	Northeast Circ Ahead Right	U	-		-	-	-	784	1929:1926	1604+325	40.7 : 40.7%	-	-	-	0.3	1.6	0.3
16/1	East Circ Ahead Ahead2	U	C1:B		1	12	-	605	1933	598	101.1%	-	-	-	15.8	94.1	21.2
16/2+16/3	East Circ Ahead	U	C1:B		1	12	-	526	1930:1927	597+596	36.7: 51.5%	-	-	-	2.1	14.4	3.3
17/1	Southeast Circ Ahead	U	-		-	-	-	980	1912	1912	50.9%	-	-	-	0.5	1.9	0.5
17/2	Southeast Circ Ahead	U	-		-	-	-	220	1907	1907	11.5%	-	-	-	0.1	1.1	0.1
17/3	Southeast Circ Right	U	-		-	-	-	640	1899	1899	33.7%	-	-	-	0.3	1.4	0.3
18/1	Southwest Circ Ahead	U	-		-	-	-	282	1937	1937	14.6%	-	-	-	0.1	1.1	0.1
18/2+18/3	Southwest Circ Right	U	-		-	-	-	398	1935:1930	1716+219	20.6 : 20.6%	-	-	-	0.1	1.2	0.1
	C1 - Eastsde E36308PRC for Signalled Lanes (%):-12.4Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr):20.37Cycle Time (s):42C2 - Westside E36309PRC for Signalled Lanes (%):4.8Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr):8.60Cycle Time (s):42PRC Over All Lanes (%):-12.4Total Delay Over All Lanes (pcuHr):35.0235.02																

## Basic Results Summary Scenario 2: '2023 Base PM' (FG2: '2023 Base Flows PM', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1') Network Layout Diagram



Item	Lane Description	Lane Type	Full Phase	Arrow Phase	Num Greens	Total Green (s)	Arrow Green (s)	Demand Flow (pcu)	Sat Flow (pcu/Hr)	Capacity (pcu)	Deg Sat (%)	Turners In Gaps (pcu)	Turners When Unopposed (pcu)	Turners In Intergreen (pcu)	Total Delay (pcuHr)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: A50 Junction 1	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	101.5%	4372	0	0	36.5	-	-
A50 Junction 1	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	101.5%	4372	0	0	36.5	-	-
1/2+1/1	B6540 Left Ahead	0	-		-	-	-	691	2088:1950	652+509	60.7 : 57.9%	1382	0	0	0.9	4.8	2.3
2/1	A50 Westbound Off-slip Left Ahead	U	C1:A		1	18	-	346	1907	863	40.1%	-	-	-	1.1	11.2	3.0
2/2	A50 Westbound Off-slip Ahead	U	C1:A		1	18	-	400	2049	927	43.2%	-	-	-	1.2	11.2	3.5
3/1	Ryecroft Road Left Ahead	0	-		-	-	-	63	1886	497	12.7%	63	0	0	0.1	5.1	0.2
6/1	Ahead	U	-		-	-	-	775	1980	1980	39.1%	-	-	-	0.3	1.5	0.3
6/2	Ahead	0	-		-	-	-	187	1980	544	34.3%	187	0	0	0.3	5.9	2.1
7/1	Trent Lane Left	0	-		-	-	-	501	1923	742	67.6%	501	0	0	1.0	7.4	1.3
7/2+7/3	Trent Lane Ahead	ο	-		-	-	-	774	2063:2101	308+742	73.8 : 73.8%	1548	0	0	1.4	6.5	2.2
9/2+9/1	A50 Eastbound Off-slip Left Ahead	U	C2:A		1	17	-	616	1995:1853	855+604	46.4 : 36.2%	-	-	-	1.8	10.4	3.6
10/1+10/2	B5010 Left Ahead	ο	-		-	-	-	259	1894:2034	392+515	28.5 : 28.5%	518	0	0	0.3	3.7	0.6
12/1	Ahead	U	-		-	-	-	606	1965	1965	30.5%	-	-	-	0.2	1.3	0.2
12/2	Ahead	0	-		-	-	-	173	1965	583	29.7%	173	0	0	0.2	4.6	1.3
13/1	West Circ Ahead Right	U	C2:B		1	13	-	658	1944	648	101.5%	-	-	-	17.9	98.2	23.4
13/2+13/3	West Circ Right	U	C2:B		1	13	-	622	1942:1940	647+325	64.0 : 64.0%	-	-	-	2.8	16.1	4.7

Basic Resu	Its Summary						i.				i						
14/1	North West Circ Ahead	U	-		-	-	-	555	1930	1930	28.4%	-	-	-	0.2	1.3	0.2
14/2	North West Circ Ahead Right	U	-		-	-	-	414	1926	1926	21.5%	-	-	-	0.1	1.2	0.1
14/3	North West Circ Right	U	-		-	-	-	605	1923	1923	31.5%	-	-	-	0.2	1.4	1.3
15/1	Northeast Circ Ahead	U	-		-	-	-	302	1932	1932	15.6%	-	-	-	0.1	1.1	0.1
15/2+15/3	Northeast Circ Ahead Right	U	-		-	-	-	752	1929:1926	1621+308	39.0 : 39.0%	-	-	-	0.3	1.5	0.3
16/1	East Circ Ahead Ahead2	U	C1:B		1	12	-	475	1933	598	79.4%	-	-	-	2.9	22.0	6.4
16/2+16/3	East Circ Ahead	U	C1:B		1	12	-	516	1930:1927	526+596	35.3 : 55.3%	-	-	-	2.1	14.7	3.5
17/1	Southeast Circ Ahead	U	-		-	-	-	764	1912	1912	40.0%	-	-	-	0.3	1.6	0.3
17/2	Southeast Circ Ahead	U	-		-	-	-	187	1907	1907	9.8%	-	-	-	0.1	1.0	0.1
17/3	Southeast Circ Right	U	-		-	-	-	730	1899	1899	38.4%	-	-	-	0.3	1.5	0.8
18/1	Southwest Circ Ahead	U	-		-	-	-	276	1937	1937	14.2%	-	-	-	0.1	1.1	0.1
18/2+18/3	Southwest Circ Right	U	-		-	-	-	506	1935:1930	1648+287	26.2 : 26.2%	-	-	-	0.2	1.3	0.2
	C1 - Eastsde E36308PRC for Signalled Lanes (%):13.4Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr):7.33Cycle Time (s):42C2 - Westside E36309PRC for Signalled Lanes (%):-12.8Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr):22.51Cycle Time (s):42PRC Over All Lanes (%):-12.8Total Delay Over All Lanes (pcuHr):36.55																



APPENDIX 12: Junction 14 - M1 Junction 25 Model Outputs

# Basic Results Summary Basic Results Summary

# **User and Project Details**

Project:	M1 Junction 25
Title:	EMG2
Location:	
Client:	SERGO
Date Completed:	27/03/24
Checked By:	Vibeeshan Devaharan
Additional detail:	
File name:	240514 M1 Junction 25 (BASE ONLY).lsg3x
Author:	Charlie Cresswell
Company:	BWB
Address:	

Scenario 1: '2022 AM' (FG1: '2022 AM Base', Plan 1: 'Network Control Plan 1 AM') Network Layout Diagram



Item	Lane Description	Deg Sat (%)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)
Network: EMG2	-	156.4%	-	-
Unnamed Junction	-	156.4%	-	-
1/2+1/1	M1 Southbound Off-slip Ahead Left	79.6 : 79.6%	24.7	11.4
1/3	M1 Southbound Off-slip Ahead	69.2%	24.9	11.1
2/1	North Circ Adj M1 SB Ahead	63.5%	21.4	9.6
2/2+2/3	North Circ Adj M1 SB Right Ahead	99.5 : 83.4%	29.3	16.3
3/1	East Circ Ahead	71.5%	19.7	14.4
3/2	East Circ Right	85.6%	20.5	19.3
3/3	East Circ Right	60.9%	34.5	13.4
5/2+5/1	A52 Westbound Off-slip Ahead Left	49.3 : 49.3%	21.1	6.0
5/3	A52 Westbound Off-slip Ahead	59.5%	25.5	8.2
6/1	Southeast Circ Adj Bostocks Lane Ahead	34.7%	1.4	0.3
6/2	Southeast Circ Adj Bostocks Lane Ahead	34.2%	1.4	2.5
6/3	Southeast Circ Adj Bostocks Lane Ahead	54.2%	2.0	5.5
7/1	Ahead	46.5%	1.7	0.4
9/2+9/1	Bostocks Lane Left	77.3 : 77.3%	21.9	4.6
9/3	Bostocks Lane Left	127.9%	453.1	74.2
10/1	South Circ Left	44.4%	1.6	0.4
10/2	South Circ Left Ahead	46.9%	1.7	0.4
10/3	South Circ Ahead	70.8%	3.1	1.8
12/1	South Circ Adj M1 NB Ahead	50.0%	11.8	6.0
12/2+12/3	South Circ Adj M1 NB Right Ahead	92.7 : 86.0%	22.1	18.1
13/2+13/1	M1 Northbound Off-slip Ahead Left	83.9 : 83.9%	31.2	10.1
13/3	M1 Northbound Off-slip Ahead	72.2%	30.3	7.6
14/1	West Circ Right Ahead	71.4%	17.2	11.5
14/2	West Circ Right	73.2%	22.5	12.3
14/3	West Circ Right	43.8%	31.3	7.4
16/1	North Circ Adj London Road Left	27.4%	1.3	0.2

Basic Results Summar	У						
16/2	North Circ Adj Lo	ondon Road Ahead Left	37.6%	1.5		0.3	
16/3	North Circ Adj	London Road Ahead	48.3%	1.8		3.7	
17/1	A52 Eastbour	nd Off-slip Ahead Left	73.7%	27.1		8.6	_
17/2	A52 Eastbo	und Off-slip Ahead	75.1%	27.0		9.3	
18/1		Ahead	33.0%	1.4		0.2	
20/2+20/1	l	_eft Left2	60.3 : 60.3%	12.9		2.3	
20/3		Left	156.4%	717.4		129.9	
C1 - East S C2 - West S	C1 - East Side T7772E06 C2 - West Side T7771W07		-10.6 -3.0 -73.8	Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr):	45.83 39.77 260.15	Cycle Time (s): Cycle Time (s):	75 60

1.4

Basic Results Summary Scenario 2: '2022 PM' (FG2: '2022 PM Base', Plan 2: 'Network Control Plan 2 PM') Network Layout Diagram



Item	Lane Description	Deg Sat (%)	Av. Delay Per PCU (s/pcu)	Mean Max Queue (pcu)			
Network: EMG2	-	99.8%	-	-			
Unnamed Junction	-	99.8%	-	-			
1/2+1/1	M1 Southbound Off-slip Ahead Left	78.7 : 78.7%	36.3	8.4			
1/3	M1 Southbound Off-slip Ahead	78.3%	42.9	9.3			
2/1	North Circ Adj M1 SB Ahead	39.6%	9.5	5.2			
2/2+2/3	North Circ Adj M1 SB Right Ahead	67.5 : 67.5%	10.9	9.3			
3/1	East Circ Ahead	58.9%	15.9	8.6			
3/2	East Circ Right	44.0%	18.0	7.6			
3/3	East Circ Right	43.7%	6.6	2.6			
5/2+5/1	A52 Westbound Off-slip Ahead Left	76.1 : 76.1%	29.3	10.3			
5/3	A52 Westbound Off-slip Ahead	75.9%	34.0	10.9			
6/1	Southeast Circ Adj Bostocks Lane Ahead	21.9%	1.2	0.1			
6/2	Southeast Circ Adj Bostocks Lane Ahead	22.5%	1.2	1.8			
6/3	Southeast Circ Adj Bostocks Lane Ahead	50.0%	1.9	7.2			
7/1	Ahead	51.3%	1.9	0.5			
9/2+9/1	Bostocks Lane Left	41.4 : 41.4%	6.6	1.4			
9/3	Bostocks Lane Left	99.8%	93.4	18.9			
10/1	South Circ Left	26.7%	1.2	0.2			
10/2	South Circ Left Ahead	31.1%	1.3	0.2			
10/3	South Circ Ahead	70.9%	3.1	6.3			
12/1	South Circ Adj M1 NB Ahead	30.6%	12.2	3.1			
12/2+12/3	South Circ Adj M1 NB Right Ahead	89.7 : 89.7%	23.6	16.7			
13/2+13/1	M1 Northbound Off-slip Ahead Left	75.4 : 75.4%	24.0	9.0			
13/3	M1 Northbound Off-slip Ahead	68.2%	24.4	8.0			
14/1	West Circ Right Ahead	80.5%	20.5	15.0			
14/2	West Circ Right	74.9%	16.4	13.1			
14/3	West Circ Right	47.7%	29.2	8.6			
16/1	North Circ Adj London Road Left	28.0%	1.3	0.2			
Basic Results Summa	ry						
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------	--
16/2	North Circ Adj	London Road Ahead Left	48.3%	1.8	0.5		
16/3	North Circ A	dj London Road Ahead	44.4%	1.7	0.4		
17/1	A52 Eastbo	und Off-slip Ahead Left	82.4%	35.0	9.9		
17/2	A52 Eastb	ound Off-slip Ahead	83.0%	34.5	10.6		
18/1		Ahead	39.8%	1.5	0.3		
20/2+20/1		Left Left2	45.3 : 53.3%	12.0	1.8		
20/3		Left	87.8%	48.1	5.6		
C1 - East Side T7772E06 PRC for Sig C2 - West Side T7771W07 PRC for Sig PRC Ov		PRC for Signalled Lanes (%): PRC for Signalled Lanes (%): PRC Over All Lanes (%):	14.3 0.3 -10.9	Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): Total Delay for Signalled Lanes (pcuHr): Total Delay Over All Lanes(pcuHr):	32.53         Cycle Time (s           40.49         Cycle Time (s           93.73         Cycle Time (s	): 75 ;): 60	



APPENDIX 6: EMFM Base Year Model Review (document reference EMFM 2019 – East Midlands Gateway Phase 2: Base Year Model Review v1.1)



# **EMFM 2019**

East Midlands Gateway Phase 2: Base Year Model Review

Delivering a better world

# Quality Information

Prepared by	Checked by	Approved by
Sophie Gage / Kit Tang	Mark Dazeley	Mark Dazeley
Principal Consultant / Associate Director	Regional Director	Regional Director

### **Revision History**

Revision	Revision date	Details	Authorised	Name	Position
v1.0	2022-11-04	For Issue	Yes	Mark Dazeley	Regional Director
v1.1	2022-11-11	For Issue – minor text update	Yes	Mark Dazeley	Regional Director

#### Prepared by:

AECOM Limited Marlborough Court 10 Bricket Road St Albans Hertfordshire AL1 3JX

aecom.com

#### © 2022 AECOM Limited. All Rights Reserved.

This document has been prepared by AECOM Limited ("AECOM") for sole use of our client (the "Client") in accordance with generally accepted consultancy principles, the budget for fees and the terms of reference agreed between AECOM and the Client. Any information provided by third parties and referred to herein has not been checked or verified by AECOM, unless otherwise expressly stated in the document. No third party may rely upon this document without the prior and express written agreement of AECOM.

Google Earth Pro[™] imagery in the form of Google Map[™] and Google Street View[™] have been used, unmodified, within this document. This imagery has been used within the extents of the AECOM license agreement with Google Inc.

# **Table of Contents**

Section 1 – Overview	4
1.1 Introduction	4
1.2 Report Structure	5
Section 2 – Zone System and Network Structure	6
2.1 Introduction	6
2.2 Zone System	6
2.3 EMFM Highway Base Year Model Network Coding	8
Section 3 – Base Year Model Performance	.11
3.1 Introduction	.11
3.2 EMFM Highway Base Year Model Screenline / Cordon Performance	.11
3.3 EMFM Highway Base Year Model Link Flow Performance	14
3.4 EMFM Highway Base Year Model Journey Time Performance	21
Section 4 – Summary	24
Appendix A Journey Time Route Performance	25

# **List of Tables**

Table 3.1: Revised Screenline Flow Acceptability Criteria	11
Table 3.2: Screenline and Cordon Performance for the EMFM	11
Table 3.3: Screenline and Cordon Performance in the Vicinity of the Proposed Development	13
Table 3.4: Link Flow Performance for the EMFM	15
Table 3.5: Link Flow Performance – A453 and East Midlands Airport	17
Table 3.6: Link Flow Performance – Strategic Road Network	19
Table 3.7: Journey Time Performance for the EMFM	21
Table 3.8: Journey Time Performance in the Vicinity of the Proposed Development	23

# **List of Figures**

Figure 1.1: Location of Proposed Development	4
Figure 2.1: Highway Model Zone System – EMFM	7
Figure 2.2: Highway Model Zone System – North-West Leicestershire / East Midlands Gateway	
Phase 2 Area	7
Figure 2.3: Overview of the Highway Network – EMFM	8
Figure 2.4: Overview of the Highway Network - East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 Area	9
Figure 2.5: Junction Coding – Strategic Junctions	10
Figure 3.1: Screenlines and Cordons for the EMFM	12
Figure 3.2: Screenlines and Cordons in the Vicinity of the Proposed Development	12
Figure 3.3: Link Count Locations	14
Figure 3.4: Link Flow Performance for the EMFM in the AM Peak Hour	15
Figure 3.5: Link Flow Performance for the EMFM in the PM Peak Hour	16
Figure 3.6: Observed Count Locations – A453 and East Midlands Airport	18
Figure 3.7: Observed Count Locations – Strategic Road Network	20
Figure 3.8: Journey Time Routes used in the EMFM	22
Figure 3.9: Journey Time Routes in the Vicinity of the Proposed Development	22

# Section 1 – Overview

# 1.1 Introduction

- 1.1.1 The East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 development is a proposed employment development of mixed B2 (general industrial) and B8 (storage or distribution) use, with capacity for 300,000m² gross floor area of industrial use, comprising 240,000m² B8 and 60,000m² B2.
- 1.1.2 The development site is located to the south of East Midlands Airport in Leicestershire and west of the A42 and is expected to build out by 2031.
- 1.1.3 Figure 1.1 shows an indication of the location of the proposed development, denoted by the area shaded in purple. The proposed development has a total area of circa 250 acres located to the south of the A453 and East Midlands Airport itself, to the east of Diseworth village. The M1 Junction 23a lies to the east of the site with the Moto Donnington Motorway Service Area (MSA) directly abutting to the north-east.



#### Figure 1.1: Location of Proposed Development¹

Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright and database right 2022

- 1.1.4 AECOM has been commissioned to undertake strategic modelling to assess the potential traffic impacts of the proposed development using the East Midlands Freeport Model (EMFM). The first stage of this commission is to undertake a review of the EMFM base year model.
- 1.1.5 The base year of the EMFM is 2019, and it is a highway assignment model (for the AM Peak and PM Peak hours), with its demand derived from the more extensive Pan-Regional Transport Model (PRTM 2019), though the EMFM has greater network and zonal density in the vicinity of the Freeport sites. The EMFM uses the latest in-draft November 2022 TAG databook.

¹ Figure 1, Technical Note 1 – Transport Scoping Note, East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 (EMG-BWB-GEN-XX-RP-TR-0001_TN1 Transport Scoping Note-S1-P3.pdf), provided as part of the information pack with the PRTM Development Form for East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 (220701 EMGP2 PRTM Development Form v1.2.docx)

1.1.6 The EMFM is derived from a cordon extract from the Pan-Regional Transport Model 2019 (PRTM 2019). The highway simulation network of the EMFM has been extended northward and model zones have been disaggregated for zones outside Leicestershire to provide greater detail in the East Midlands Freeport area. Figure 2.1, Figure 2.2 and Figure 2.3 provide an overview of the EMFM zone system and network structure.

# 1.2 Report Structure

- 1.2.1 Following the introduction, this report contains the following sections:
  - Section 2 details the review of the EMFM zone system and network structure in the vicinity of the proposed East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 development;
  - Section 3 details the review of the EMFM base year model performance compared with observed data;
  - Section 4 provides a summary of the base year model review; and
  - Appendix A provides journey time validation graphs for routes in the vicinity of the proposed East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 development.

# Section 2 – Zone System and Network Structure

# 2.1 Introduction

- 2.1.1 This section details the review of the base year (2019) EMFM highway model in the vicinity of the proposed East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 development, which includes:
  - a review of the model zone system in the vicinity of the proposed development; and
  - a review of the base year highway network coding in the vicinity of the proposed development, including:
    - the A453 between the Tonge Interchange with the A42 and the interchange with the A42 and M1 Junction 23a;
    - the M1 between Junction 23 and Junction 24;
    - o the A42 / A453 junction (Tonge Interchange); and
    - the A50 Junction 1.

#### 2.2 Zone System

- 2.2.1 The existing zoning for the EMFM is largely defined by existing land-use and 2011 Census geography.
- 2.2.2 Figure 2.1 shows an overview of the EMFM highway model zone system. The granularity of the zone system is generally higher in urban areas, such as Loughborough, Derby and Nottingham, and larger zones are used for rural areas.
- 2.2.3 Figure 2.2 shows the EMFM highway model zone system in north-west Leicestershire, with East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 broadly in the centre. The zonal detail in the East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 area is considered suitable for this application of the EMFM, considering the existing underlying land-use.
- 2.2.4 The proposed development site is covered by the existing zone 7250. This zone covers a predominantly rural area with two small villages (Breedon on the Hill and Diseworth) and is connected to the highway network using a single access point onto the highway network at Breedon on the Hill. Considering the level of expected trip generation within zone 7250, predominantly from these small villages, this is judged to be appropriate zonal detail.
- 2.2.5 It is recommended that one or two development zone(s) be used to represent the proposed East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 development, thus separating the demand from the proposed development and that in the existing zone 7250.



#### Figure 2.1: Highway Model Zone System – EMFM

Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright and database right 2022





Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright and database right 2022

# 2.3 EMFM Highway Base Year Model Network Coding

- 2.3.1 Figure 2.3 shows the base year highway network of the EMFM. The EMFM highway network has been coded using the standards set out in the PRTM 2019 Coding Manual . All links (and junctions) are within the simulation area except for the urban areas of Nottingham and Derby which have been coded as buffer. As shown in Figure 2.3, all strategic roads within the model area are included in the EMFM highway network. The review of the existing base year network coding is focused on the East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 area, and specifically the roads and junctions that are likely to be affected by the proposed development.
- 2.3.2 Figure 2.4 shows the highway network extent near the proposed East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 development. All strategic links and junctions near the proposed development are simulated and the network detail in the base year model is appropriate for the purpose of strategic assessment of the proposed development.
- 2.3.3 The highway network in the vicinity of the proposed development has been reviewed. The coded distances for the A453 (between the Tonge Interchange with the A42 and M1 Junction 23a) and the M1 (between Junction 23 and Junction 24) have been reviewed, and no significant discrepancies between the measured and coded distances have been found. Speed flow curves and link capacities for the A453 and the M1 have also been reviewed and found to be appropriately coded.
- 2.3.4 In terms of junction coding, junctions along the A453 (between the Tonge Interchange with the A42 and M1 Junction 23a) have been reviewed and are found to be representative of the 2019 road configurations. Most junctions are coded using a single node, and the junction type, the number of lanes, turning movements and flare lengths are satisfactory in terms of their accuracy and consistency with the Coding Manual.
- 2.3.5 For the Finger Farm roundabout, A453 / A6 Kegworth Bypass roundabout, M1 Junction 24 and A50 Junction 1, these have been coded as 'exploded' roundabouts which provide a more accurate representation of the junctions in terms of number of lanes and capacity than a single roundabout node. Figure 2.5 shows the network coding structure for these junctions.



#### Figure 2.3: Overview of the Highway Network – EMFM

Contains Ordnance Survey data  $\textcircled{\mbox{\footnotesize C}}$  Crown copyright and database right 2022



Figure 2.4: Overview of the Highway Network – East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 Area

Contains Ordnance Survey data © Crown copyright and database right 2022



# Figure 2.5: Junction Coding – Strategic Junctions

# **Section 3 – Base Year Model Performance**

#### 3.1 Introduction

3.1.1 This section presents a summary of the performance of the EMFM base year model against the observed traffic counts and journey times in the vicinity of the proposed East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 development.

#### 3.2 EMFM Highway Base Year Model Screenline / Cordon Performance

- 3.2.1 Guidelines set out in Table 1 of TAG Unit M3.1 on highway assignment modelling state that a modelled screenline meets TAG criteria if the differences between modelled flows and observed counts are less than 5% of the counts and that this should be true for 'all or nearly all screenlines'.
- 3.2.2 Screenlines are normally made up of 5 links or more. Within the EMFM, there are several screenlines / cordons with fewer than five count locations and / or with a relatively low observed flow for the screenline. It has been noted that such screenline / cordons tend to fail the 5% TAG criterion for screenline / cordon flows even when all individual links are within the TAG criteria. For this reason, the flow criterion has been adjusted for screenlines / cordons with fewer than five counts and / or low observed flows.
- 3.2.3 This revised criterion has been based on the individual link flow acceptability criteria and is given in Table 3.1. This uses the individual link flow TAG criteria for screenlines with one count, and the standard screenline criterion for screenlines with five or more counts, and interpolates between these two points for screenlines with between two and four counts. These revised criteria have been used in the assessment of the modelled screenline flows against observed data.

Number of Counts on Screenline	Acceptability Guidelines
5 of more counts	Within $\pm 5\%$ or $\pm 100$ vehicles of observed count
4 counts	Within ±7.5% or ±100 vehicles of observed count
3 counts	Within ±10% or ±100 vehicles of observed count
2 counts	Within ±12.5% or ±100 vehicles of observed count
1 count	Within ±15% or ±100 vehicles of observed count

#### Table 3.1: Revised Screenline Flow Acceptability Criteria

- 3.2.4 Figure 3.1 shows the screenlines and cordons for the EMFM and Table 3.2 provides a summary of the base year model performance by county. All screenlines (for all vehicles) meet the revised acceptability criteria for the PM Peak hour. For the AM Peak hour, one screenline in Leicestershire (Leicestershire County Screenline (North) Inbound) marginally fails, resulting in a 92.9% (13 out of 14) pass rate for Leicestershire.
- 3.2.5 Overall, the screenline and cordon performance for the EMFM base year model is good and meets the TAG that 'all or nearly all screenlines' pass the acceptability criteria.

Area	#	All Vehicles		C	ar	LC	GV	HGV		
	Slines	AM	РМ	AM	РМ	AM	РМ	AM	РМ	
Nottinghamshire	8	100.0%	100.0%	87.5%	87.5%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Leicestershire	14	92.9%	100.0%	92.9%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Derbyshire	8	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Staffordshire	2	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	

#### Table 3.2: Screenline and Cordon Performance for the EMFM

3.2.6 For the screenlines and cordons in the vicinity of the proposed East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 development (as shown in Figure 3.2), which include four screenlines in Leicestershire and two cordons for Nottingham and Derby, detailed base year model performance results are provided in Table 3.3. All screenlines and cordons considered meet the acceptability criteria in both directions and in both modelled peak hours except for the Leicestershire County Screenline (North) which marginally fails for southbound (i.e. inbound to Leicestershire) in the AM Peak hour.



#### Figure 3.1: Screenlines and Cordons for the EMFM

Contains Ordnance Survey data ©Crown copyright and database right 2022



Figure 3.2: Screenlines and Cordons in the Vicinity of the Proposed Development

Contains Ordnance Survey data ©Crown copyright and database right 2022

# Table 3.3: Screenline and Cordon Performance in the Vicinity of the Proposed Development

	#		Α	PM Peak							
Screenline / Cordon	"Counts	Observed (veh)	Modelled (veh)	Abs Diff (veh)	% Diff	Pass?	Observed (veh)	Modelled (veh)	Abs Diff (veh)	% Diff	Pass?
Leicestershire E-W Screenline (Airport) Northbound	3	1,301	1,291	-10	-0.8%	✓	424	378	-46	-10.9%	$\checkmark$
Leicestershire E-W Screenline (Airport) Southbound	3	415	397	-17	-4.2%	✓	1,150	1,089	-61	-5.3%	$\checkmark$
Leicestershire County Screenline (North-West) Inbound	7	4,279	4,249	-30	-0.7%	✓	5,134	5,027	-107	-2.1%	$\checkmark$
Leicestershire County Screenline (North-West) Outbound	7	4,843	4,659	-184	-3.8%	✓	4,946	4,921	-24	-0.5%	$\checkmark$
Leicestershire County Screenline (North) Inbound	9	3,788	4,000	212	5.6%	×	3,988	3,978	-10	-0.3%	$\checkmark$
Leicestershire County Screenline (North) Outbound	9	3,821	3,817	-4	-0.1%	$\checkmark$	4,095	4,179	84	2.1%	$\checkmark$
Leicestershire N-S Screenline (M1, North) Eastbound	9	5,604	5,628	24	0.4%	$\checkmark$	4,721	4,678	-42	-0.9%	$\checkmark$
Leicestershire N-S Screenline (M1, North) Westbound	9	3,945	3,971	26	0.7%	$\checkmark$	5,275	5,340	65	1.2%	$\checkmark$
Nottingham Cordon Inbound	25	23,816	3,094	-722	-3.0%	$\checkmark$	24,787	24,194	-593	-2.4%	$\checkmark$
Nottingham Cordon Outbound	25	22,567	21,739	-828	-3.7%	$\checkmark$	24,524	23,316	-1208	-4.9%	$\checkmark$
Derby Cordon Inbound	13	12,975	12,449	-525	-4.0%	$\checkmark$	12,760	12,649	-110	-0.9%	$\checkmark$
Derby Cordon Outbound	13	11,285	11,277	-8	-0.1%	$\checkmark$	13,448	13,079	-370	-2.7%	$\checkmark$

#### 3.3 EMFM Highway Base Year Model Link Flow Performance

- 3.3.1 Guidelines set out in Table 2 of TAG Unit 3.1 on highway assignment modelling state that a modelled link flow meets TAG criteria if at least one of the two following conditions is met:
  - Flow criteria:
    - modelled flow is within 100 vehicles for counts with an observed flow of less than 700 vehicles;
    - modelled flow is within 15% vehicles for counts with an observed flow between 700 and 2,700 vehicles; or
    - modelled flow is within 400 vehicles for counts with an observed flow greater than 2,700 vehicles.
  - GEH criteria:
    - a GEH² value of less than 5.
- 3.3.2 Figure 3.3 shows the location of observed traffic count sites within the EMFM. Based on these data, 258 directional traffic counts have been used in the calibration of the model.
- 3.3.3 Table 3.4 provides a summary of the base year model link flow performance; and Figure 3.4 and Figure 3.5 illustrate the locations of the links passing TAG criteria in the AM Peak hour and PM Peak hour respectively. Table 3.4 shows that of the 258 counts used for the calibration of the model, the pass rates for all vehicles are 94.2% and 92.2% for the AM Peak hour and PM Peak hour respectively, exceeding the 85% TAG criteria guidelines.

#### Figure 3.3: Link Count Locations



#### Contains Ordnance Survey data ©Crown copyright and database right 2022

Area	#	All Vehicles		C	ar	LC	θV	HGV		
	Counts	AM	РМ	AM	РМ	AM	РМ	AM	РМ	
Nottinghamshire	78	85.9%	85.9%	87.2%	85.9%	98.7%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Leicestershire	112	98.2%	96.4%	98.2%	95.5%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Derbyshire	36	97.2%	97.2%	97.2%	97.2%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Staffordshire	16	93.8%	81.3%	93.8%	81.3%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
Individual Counts	16	100.0%	93.8%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	93.8%	100.0%	
Total	258	94.2%	92.2%	94.6%	92.2%	99.6%	100.0%	99.6%	100.0%	

#### Table 3.4: Link Flow Performance for the EMFM

#### Figure 3.4: Link Flow Performance for the EMFM in the AM Peak Hour

Green = passing in both directions (or one direction if a one-way link), orange = passing in one direction, red = failing in both directions (or one direction if a one-way link)



Contains Ordnance Survey data ©Crown copyright and database right 2022

#### Figure 3.5: Link Flow Performance for the EMFM in the PM Peak Hour

Green = passing in both directions (or one direction if a one-way link), orange = passing in one direction, red = failing in both directions (or one direction if a one-way link)



Contains Ordnance Survey data ©Crown copyright and database right 2022

3.3.4 Near the proposed East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 development, the counts on the A453, East Midlands Airport links and the Strategic Road Network (SRN) perform well. Table 3.5 shows that all counts on the A453 and East Midlands Airport links pass the acceptability criteria in both directions for the AM Peak and PM Peak hour. For the 14 counts considered for the SRN, Table 3.6 shows that all pass in the AM Peak hour and all but one (M1 northbound between Junction 23a and Junction 24) pass in the PM Peak hour. Figure 3.6 and Figure 3.7 show the observed count locations.

# Table 3.5: Link Flow Performance – A453 and East Midlands Airport

	AM Peak							PM Peak					
Location	Observed (veh)	Modelled (veh)	Abs Diff (veh)	% Diff	GEH	Pass?	Observed (veh)	Modelled (veh)	Observed (veh)	% Diff	GEH	Pass?	
Ashby Road E of EMA Eastbound	567	562	-6	-1%	0.2	$\checkmark$	649	593	-56	-9%	2.3	$\checkmark$	
Ashby Road E of EMA Westbound	619	505	-114	-18%	4.8	$\checkmark$	520	513	-7	-1%	0.3	$\checkmark$	
Ashby Road West of A453 Junction Eastbound	582	703	121	21%	4.8	~	1,024	1,014	-10	-1%	0.3	~	
Ashby Road West of A453 Junction Westbound	1,084	1,079	-5	0%	0.2	~	589	633	45	8%	1.8	~	
Moor Lane Northbound	293	293	1	0%	0.0	$\checkmark$	240	242	2	1%	0.1	$\checkmark$	
Moor Lane Southbound	224	224	0	0%	0.0	$\checkmark$	289	288	-1	0%	0.1	$\checkmark$	
A453 Walton Hill Eastbound	440	438	-2	0%	0.1	$\checkmark$	324	325	1	0%	0.0	$\checkmark$	
A453 Walton Hill Westbound	307	311	3	1%	0.2	$\checkmark$	451	444	-7	-1%	0.3	✓	
Ashby Road West of Grimes Gate Northbound	641	631	-10	-2%	0.4	~	321	322	2	1%	0.1	~	
Ashby Road West of Grimes Gate Southbound	331	332	1	0%	0.1	~	444	440	-3	-1%	0.2	~	
EMA Western Access Northbound	300	300	1	0%	0.0	$\checkmark$	78	79	1	1%	0.1	$\checkmark$	
EMA Central Access Northbound	389	417	27	7%	1.4	$\checkmark$	177	179	1	1%	0.1	✓	
Hunter Road (Pegasus Park) Northbound	612	574	-38	-6%	1.6	~	169	120	-49	-29%	4.0	~	
EMA Western Access Southbound	65	64	-1	-1%	0.1	$\checkmark$	299	299	0	0%	0.0	$\checkmark$	
EMA Central Access Southbound	189	191	2	1%	0.2	$\checkmark$	356	369	13	4%	0.7	$\checkmark$	
Hunter Road (Pegasus Park) Southbound	161	142	-19	-12%	1.5	~	496	421	-74	-15%	3.5	~	



# Figure 3.6: Observed Count Locations – A453 and East Midlands Airport

# Table 3.6: Link Flow Performance – Strategic Road Network

	AM Peak							PM Peak					
Location	Observed (veh)	Modelled (veh)	Abs Diff (veh)	% Diff	GEH	Pass?	Observed (veh)	Modelled (veh)	Observed (veh)	% Diff	GEH	Pass?	
A50 between Junction 1 and Junction 2 Eastbound	3,018	2,994	-24	-1%	0.4	~	3,173	3,146	-27	-1%	0.5	~	
A50 between Junction 1 and Junction 2 Westbound	3,039	3,036	-3	0%	0.1	~	3,590	3,566	-23	-1%	0.4	~	
A42 between Junction 12 and Junction 13 Northbound	2,700	2,698	-3	0%	0.0	~	2,542	2,542	1	0%	0.0	~	
A42 between Junction 12 and Junction 13 Southbound	2,395	2,401	6	0%	0.1	~	2,466	2,466	0	0%	0.0	~	
A42 between Junction 14 and M1 Northbound	2,175	2,064	-111	-5%	2.4	~	2,027	2,027	0	0%	0.0	~	
A42 between Junction 14 and M1 Southbound	2,019	1,996	-22	-1%	0.5	~	1,976	1,882	-95	-5%	2.2	~	
M1 between Junction 22 and Junction 23 Northbound	3,983	3,996	13	0%	0.2	~	4,282	4,314	32	1%	0.5	~	
M1 between Junction 22 and Junction 23 Southbound	3,731	3,727	-4	0%	0.1	~	4,104	4,110	6	0%	0.1	~	
M1 between Junction 23 and 23a Northbound	3,974	3,974	0	0%	0.0	✓	4,521	4,494	-27	-1%	0.4	✓	
M1 between Junction 23 and 23a Southbound	4,002	3,997	-4	0%	0.1	~	4,214	4,221	7	0%	0.1	~	
M1 between Junction 23a and 24 Northbound	3,658	3,950	292	8%	4.7	~	4,301	4,762	460	11%	6.8	×	
M1 between Junction 23a and 24 Southbound	5,153	5,313	161	3%	2.2	~	5,255	5,253	-2	0%	0.0	~	
M1 between Junction 24 and Junction 25 Northbound	3,461	3,446	-15	0%	0.3	~	5,119	5,072	-47	-1%	0.7	~	
M1 between Junction 24 and Junction 25 Southbound	4,501	4,525	23	1%	0.3	~	3,887	3,815	-72	-2%	1.2	~	



# Figure 3.7: Observed Count Locations – Strategic Road Network

# 3.4 EMFM Highway Base Year Model Journey Time Performance

3.4.1 In terms of journey time routes, there are 32 routes (64 by direction) in the EMFM, as shown in Figure 3.8. The TAG criteria for journey time routes are for the modelled journey time to be within ±15% (or ±1 minute) of the observed journey time. Table 3.7 provides a summary of the journey time performance by area. Overall, the EMFM base year model performs well and is above the 85% threshold of the routes required to pass against TAG.

A.r.o.	#	All Vehicles		
Alea	Routes	AM	РМ	
Strategic (cross-county)	8	100.0%	100.0%	
North-West Leicestershire	24	83.3%	87.5%	
North Leicestershire	18	94.4%	94.4%	
Nottinghamshire	6	83.3%	83.3%	
Derbyshire & Staffordshire	8	87.5%	87.5%	
Total	64	89.1%	90.6%	

#### Table 3.7: Journey Time Performance for the EMFM

- 3.4.2 For the journey time routes in the vicinity of the proposed East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 development (as shown in Figure 3.9), further details are provided in Table 3.8. Appendix A shows the comparison of modelled and observed journey times for these routes in distance-time graph format.
- 3.4.3 Of the four journey time routes considered, all pass in the AM Peak hour and all but one route (A453 northbound from M1 Junction 23a to A52) pass in the PM Peak hour. Review of the distance-time graph shows that the section of the A453 near the proposed development between M1 Junction 23a and M1 Junction 24 performs well, with the model overestimating journey time on the A453 approach to the A52 in Nottingham.



#### Figure 3.8: Journey Time Routes used in the EMFM

Contains Ordnance Survey data ©Crown copyright and database right 2022



Figure 3.9: Journey Time Routes in the Vicinity of the Proposed Development

Contains Ordnance Survey data ©Crown copyright and database right 2022

Route	AM Peak				PM Peak					
	Observed	Modelled	Abs Diff	% Diff	Pass	Observed	Modelled	Abs Diff	% Diff	Pass
M1 (Jn22 to 27) Northbound	20:30	22:27	01:56	9%	$\checkmark$	21:20	23:42	02:22	11%	$\checkmark$
M1 (Jn22 to 27) Southbound	22:15	23:53	01:37	7%	$\checkmark$	20:52	22:53	02:00	10%	$\checkmark$
A42 (Jn11 to M1) Northbound	14:27	15:48	01:21	9%	$\checkmark$	13:27	15:27	02:00	15%	$\checkmark$
A42 (Jn11 to M1) Southbound	13:59	15:17	01:19	9%	$\checkmark$	13:33	15:07	01:34	12%	$\checkmark$
A50 (A515 to M1) Eastbound	17:55	19:18	01:23	8%	$\checkmark$	17:03	19:35	02:32	15%	$\checkmark$
A50 (A515 to M1) Westbound	17:57	19:17	01:19	7%	$\checkmark$	18:20	19:23	01:03	6%	$\checkmark$
A453 (M1 Jn23a to A52) Northbound	13:29	14:54	01:24	10%	$\checkmark$	11:45	14:14	02:30	21%	×
A453 (M1 Jn23a to A52) Southbound	13:08	13:41	00:33	4%	$\checkmark$	14:24	14:47	00:23	3%	$\checkmark$

#### Table 3.8: Journey Time Performance in the Vicinity of the Proposed Development

# Section 4 – Summary

- 4.1.1 The EMFM highway model represents an average weekday in April / May / June in 2019 for the AM Peak and PM Peak hours. This review is focused on the suitability of the model for the use in the strategic assessment of the proposed East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 development.
- 4.1.2 The review of the base year highway model has considered the zone system and network structure in the vicinity of the proposed development, and the network coding along the A453 and for several key junctions in the area. It has also considered the performance of the base year model against the observed counts and journey time data collated as part of the model development.
- 4.1.3 In terms of the model zone system, the EMFM is considered to contain sufficient detail for a strategic assessment of the proposed development. The proposed development is located within one zone south-west of the Airport. To be able to accurately represent the access points to the network for the proposed development, and to be able to isolate the trips generated by the development within the assignment, it is recommended that one or two development zone(s) be used to represent the proposed development.
- 4.1.4 A review of the coded highway network near the proposed development has shown the coding of the base year model is satisfactory and is representative of the 2019 road configurations.
- 4.1.5 A review of the performance of the base year highway model against observed counts and journey time data collated as part of the model development has been undertaken. The EMFM performs well and meets TAG acceptability guidelines in terms of screenline and cordon performance, link flow performance and journey time validation performance.
- 4.1.6 Near the proposed development, six screenlines and cordons were reviewed in greater detail. Of these six screenlines and cordons, all meet the acceptability criteria in the PM Peak hour and all but one (Leicestershire County Screenline (North)) pass in the AM Peak hour.
- 4.1.7 Regarding link flow performance, the EMFM considers 258 observed counts in total, and the pass rates for all vehicles are 94.2% and 92.2% for the AM Peak hour and PM Peak hour respectively, exceeding the 85% TAG criteria guidelines. Near the proposed development, all counts on the A453 and East Midlands Airport links pass the acceptability criteria in both directions for the AM Peak and PM Peak hours. For the 14 counts considered for the SRN, all pass in the AM Peak hour and all but one (M1 northbound between Junction 23a and Junction 24) pass in the PM Peak hour.
- 4.1.8 In terms of the journey time validation performance, the EMFM considers 64 directional routes in total and the pass rates are 89.1% and 90.6% for the AM Peak hour and PM Peak hour respectively. For the eight directional routes considered in the vicinity of the proposed development which covers the A50, A453, A42 and M1, all pass in the AM Peak hour and all but one (A453 northbound from M1 Junction 23a to A52) pass in the PM Peak hour. Review of the distance-time graph shows that the section of the A453 near the proposed development between M1 Junction 23a and M1 Junction 24 performs well, with the model overestimating journey time on the A453 approach to the A52 in Nottingham.
- 4.1.9 In summary, based on this base year model review, the EMFM is considered suitable for the strategic assessment of the proposed East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 development.

# Appendix A Journey Time Route Performance





### Figure A.1: M1 (Junction 22 to Junction 27) Journey Time Validation Graphs



Distance (km)

# Figure A.2: A42 (Junction 11 to M1) Journey Time Validation Graphs

25

Distance (km)



# Figure A.3: A50 (A515 to B6540) Journey Time Validation Graphs



Distance (km)

16 0

Distance (km)

14 16



#### Figure A4: A453 (M1 Junction 23a to A52) Journey Time Validation Graphs