

Document DCO 5.1D / MCO 5.1D

Consultation Report Appendices 20 to 31

OCTOBER 2025

The East Midlands Gateway Phase 2
and Highway Order 202X and The East Midlands Gateway
Rail Freight and Highway (Amendment) Order 202X

Appendix 20 - – Copy of Feedback form for Statutory Consultation

EMG2 Questions for response form

Name:

Address:

1. How would you describe your interest in the scheme? (Please tick all that apply)

- | | |
|---|--|
| ☐ Local resident | ☐ Regular visitor |
| ☐ Local elected representative | ☐ Statutory organisation |
| ☐ Owner of land affected by the scheme | ☐ Local interest group (please name them) |
| ☐ Local business owner | |
| ☐ Work locally | ☐ Other (please specify) |

2. Are you generally supportive of the principle of the scheme?

☐ Yes

☐ No

Please give reasons for your answer

3. Do you agree with the strategic context and justification for the scheme? Including proximity to the EMG1 interchange, and the Freeport designation?

☐ Yes

☐ No

4. Are there any elements of the scheme that you would like to see changed?

5. Do you have any comments on the preliminary environmental information provided?

6. Do you have any comments on the proposed retention of Hyam's Lane within the scheme as a footpath and cycleway?

7. The scheme includes a new community park area, with proposed public access to that area. Do you have any ideas or suggestions of what features that area should include?

☐ Yes

☐ No

8. Do you think the proposed approach to landscaping and earthworks are appropriate, including use of the bunding to help screen the scheme from outside views?

☐ Yes

☐ No

If you require more room for your answers please continue overleaf

9. Please provide any additional comments that you would like to make on the scheme or on the consultation materials.



Appendix 21 - Letters to NWLDC and Castle Donington Library depositing Consultation Material



North West Leicestershire District Council
Customer Centre
Belvoir Road
Coalville
LE67 3PD
BY COURIER

SEGRO Properties Limited
SEGRO (EMG) Limited
12th Floor
Two Friargate
Station Square
Coventry CV1 2GN

SEGRO.com
T: +44(0)2475 529730

3 February 2025

Dear Sir / Madam

East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 (EMG2)

Proposals for a second phase of SEGRO Logistics Park East Midlands Gateway (EMG1) which is an existing nationally significant infrastructure development comprising a rail freight interchange and warehousing on land in the vicinity of Junction 24 of the M1 motorway to the north of East Midlands Airport

Statutory Consultation from 3 February 2025 until 17 March 2025

In December 2024, North West Leicestershire District Council (NWLDC) Customer Centre received and placed on display, a copy of the Statement of Community Consultation alerting residents to our emerging proposals for a second phase of the SEGRO Logistics Park East Midlands Gateway (EMG1), known as EMG2. EMG2 will comprise a multi-unit state-of-the-art logistics and industrial development delivering over 3 million sq. ft. of warehousing and advanced manufacturing on land within the East Midlands Freeport and creating circa. 4,000 new jobs and investment of over £325 million into the local area.

We have placed newspaper adverts in the national and local press and written to statutory consultees, which include NWLDC, providing formal notification of the statutory consultation period which runs from 3 February 2025 until 17 March 2025. The purpose of the proposed statutory consultation is to invite comments on our draft proposals.

Please find enclosed three lever arch folders comprising a hard copy of the consultation material which is available to be viewed or downloaded from the project website (www.segro.com/slpemg2) and has also been provided electronically to local Parish Councils on USB memory sticks. The consultation material contains a large amount of information about EMG2 including drafts of documents which will be submitted as part of the application process for seeking consent for EMG2.

Please can you arrange for the enclosed hard copy consultation material to be stored and displayed in the same way as the Statement of Community Consultation which you displayed previously.

Consultation responses must be provided by **17 March 2025** by any one of the following methods:

By Post: EMG2, PO Box 11382, Nottingham, NG2 9AU	Online via the comments form at: www.segro.com/slpemg2	By telephone: 02475 529730
---	---	--------------------------------------

Yours sincerely

Segro

SEGRO Properties Limited / SEGRO (EMG) Limited



Castle Donington Library
The Community Hub
101 Bondgate
Castle Donington
Derby
DE74 2NR
BY COURIER

SEGRO Properties Limited
SEGRO (EMG) Limited
12th Floor
Two Friargate
Station Square
Coventry CV1 2GN

SEGRO.com
T: +44(0)2475 529730

3 February 2025

Dear Sir / Madam

East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 (EMG2)

Proposals for a second phase of SEGRO Logistics Park East Midlands Gateway (EMG1) which is an existing nationally significant infrastructure development comprising a rail freight interchange and warehousing on land in the vicinity of Junction 24 of the M1 motorway to the north of East Midlands Airport

Statutory Consultation from 3 February 2025 until 17 March 2025

In December 2024, Castle Donington Library received and placed on display, a copy of the Statement of Community Consultation alerting residents to our emerging proposals for a second phase of the SEGRO Logistics Park East Midlands Gateway (EMG1), known as EMG2. EMG2 will comprise a multi-unit state-of-the-art logistics and industrial development delivering over 3 million sq. ft. of warehousing and advanced manufacturing on land within the East Midlands Freeport and creating circa. 4,000 new jobs and investment of over £325 million into the local area.

We have placed newspaper adverts in the national and local press and written to statutory consultees, which include Castle Donington Parish Council, providing formal notification of the statutory consultation period which runs from 3 February 2025 until 17 March 2025. The purpose of the proposed statutory consultation is to invite comments on our draft proposals.

Please find enclosed three lever arch folders comprising a hard copy of the consultation material which is available to be viewed or downloaded from the project website (www.segro.com/slpemg2) and has also been provided electronically to the Parish Council on x5 USB memory sticks. The consultation material contains a large amount of information about EMG2 including drafts of documents which will be submitted as part of the application process for seeking consent for EMG2.

Please can you arrange for the enclosed hard copy consultation material to be stored and displayed in the same way as the Statement of Community Consultation which you displayed previously.

Consultation responses must be provided by **17 March 2025** by any one of the following methods:

By Post: EMG2, PO Box 11382, Nottingham, NG2 9AU	Online via the comments form at: www.segro.com/slpemg2	By telephone: 02475 529730
---	---	--------------------------------------

Yours sincerely

Segro

SEGRO Properties Limited / SEGRO (EMG) Limited

Appendix 22 - Letters to Parish Councils distributing USB sticks

Samantha Lockwood
Clerk to Breedon on the Hill Parish Council



SEGRO Properties Limited
SEGRO (EMG) Limited

12th Floor
Two Friargate
Station Square
Coventry CV1 2GN

SEGRO.com
T: +44(0)2475 529730

31 January 2025

Dear Ms Lockwood

East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 (EMG2)

Proposals for a second phase of SEGRO Logistics Park East Midlands Gateway (EMG1) which is an existing nationally significant infrastructure development comprising a rail freight interchange and warehousing on land in the vicinity of Junction 24 of the M1 motorway to the north of East Midlands Airport

Statutory Consultation from 3 February 2025 until 17 March 2025

The Parish Council will shortly receive formal notification of the proposed statutory consultation on our proposals for a second phase of EMG1, known as EMG2. EMG2 will comprise a multi-unit state-of-the-art logistics and industrial development delivering over 3 million sq. ft. of warehousing and advanced manufacturing on land within the East Midlands Freeport and creating circa. 4,000 new jobs and investment of over £325 million into the local area. The purpose of the proposed statutory consultation is to invite comments on our proposals.

We are writing to you, as clerk for the parish, to ensure you are aware of the statutory consultation process and to enclose, for your use, a USB memory stick of the consultation materials. The consultation materials contain a large amount of information about EMG2 including drafts of documents which will be submitted as part of the application process for seeking consent for EMG2.

Copies of the consultation materials will also be available to be viewed or downloaded from the project website, www.segro.com/slpemg2, and hard copies will be available to be viewed at North West Leicestershire District Council's Customer Centre in Coalville and at Castle Donington Library.

Consultation responses must be provided by **17 March 2025** by any one of the following methods:

By Post: EMG2, PO Box 11382, Nottingham, NG2 9AU	Online via the comments form at: www.segro.com/slpemg2	By telephone: 02475 529730
---	---	--------------------------------------

If you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours sincerely

Segro

SEGRO Properties Limited / SEGRO (EMG) Limited

Fiona Palmer
Clerk to Castle Donington Parish Council



SEGRO Properties Limited
SEGRO (EMG) Limited
12th Floor
Two Friargate
Station Square
Coventry CV1 2GN

SEGRO.com
T: +44(0)2475 529730

31 January 2025

Dear Ms Palmer

East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 (EMG2)

Proposals for a second phase of SEGRO Logistics Park East Midlands Gateway (EMG1) which is an existing nationally significant infrastructure development comprising a rail freight interchange and warehousing on land in the vicinity of Junction 24 of the M1 motorway to the north of East Midlands Airport

Statutory Consultation from 3 February 2025 until 17 March 2025

The Parish Council will shortly receive formal notification of the proposed statutory consultation on our proposals for a second phase of EMG1, known as EMG2. EMG2 will comprise a multi-unit state-of-the-art logistics and industrial development delivering over 3 million sq. ft. of warehousing and advanced manufacturing on land within the East Midlands Freeport and creating circa. 4,000 new jobs and investment of over £325 million into the local area. The purpose of the proposed statutory consultation is to invite comments on our proposals.

We are writing to you, as clerk for the parish, to ensure you are aware of the statutory consultation process and to enclose, for your use, a USB memory stick of the consultation materials. The consultation materials contain a large amount of information about EMG2 including drafts of documents which will be submitted as part of the application process for seeking consent for EMG2.

Copies of the consultation materials will also be available to be viewed or downloaded from the project website, www.segro.com/slpemg2, and hard copies will be available to be viewed at North West Leicestershire District Council's Customer Centre in Coalville and at Castle Donington Library.

Consultation responses must be provided by **17 March 2025** by any one of the following methods:

By Post: EMG2, PO Box 11382, Nottingham, NG2 9AU	Online via the comments form at: www.segro.com/slpemg2	By telephone: 02475 529730
---	---	--------------------------------------

If you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours sincerely

Segro

SEGRO Properties Limited / SEGRO (EMG) Limited

Tim Wagstaff
Chairman of Parish Meeting
Isley cum Langley Parish



SEGRO Properties Limited
SEGRO (EMG) Limited
12th Floor
Two Friargate
Station Square
Coventry CV1 2GN

SEGRO.com
T: +44(0)2475 529730

31 January 2025

Dear Mr Wagstaff

East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 (EMG2)

Proposals for a second phase of SEGRO Logistics Park East Midlands Gateway (EMG1) which is an existing nationally significant infrastructure development comprising a rail freight interchange and warehousing on land in the vicinity of Junction 24 of the M1 motorway to the north of East Midlands Airport

Statutory Consultation from 3 February 2025 until 17 March 2025

The Parish Council will shortly receive formal notification of the proposed statutory consultation on our proposals for a second phase of EMG1, known as EMG2. EMG2 will comprise a multi-unit state-of-the-art logistics and industrial development delivering over 3 million sq. ft. of warehousing and advanced manufacturing on land within the East Midlands Freeport and creating circa. 4,000 new jobs and investment of over £325 million into the local area. The purpose of the proposed statutory consultation is to invite comments on our proposals.

We are writing to you, as clerk for the parish, to ensure you are aware of the statutory consultation process and to enclose, for your use, a USB memory stick of the consultation materials. The consultation materials contain a large amount of information about EMG2 including drafts of documents which will be submitted as part of the application process for seeking consent for EMG2.

Copies of the consultation materials will also be available to be viewed or downloaded from the project website, www.segro.com/slpemg2, and hard copies will be available to be viewed at North West Leicestershire District Council's Customer Centre in Coalville and at Castle Donington Library.

Consultation responses must be provided by **17 March 2025** by any one of the following methods:

By Post: EMG2, PO Box 11382, Nottingham, NG2 9AU	Online via the comments form at: www.segro.com/slpemg2	By telephone: 02475 529730
---	---	--------------------------------------

If you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours sincerely

Segro

SEGRO Properties Limited / SEGRO (EMG) Limited

Donna Griggs



SEGRO Properties Limited
SEGRO (EMG) Limited

12th Floor
Two Friargate
Station Square
Coventry CV1 2GN

SEGRO.com
T: +44(0)2475 529730

31 January 2025

Dear Ms Griggs

East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 (EMG2)

Proposals for a second phase of SEGRO Logistics Park East Midlands Gateway (EMG1) which is an existing nationally significant infrastructure development comprising a rail freight interchange and warehousing on land in the vicinity of Junction 24 of the M1 motorway to the north of East Midlands Airport

Statutory Consultation from 3 February 2025 until 17 March 2025

The Parish Council will shortly receive formal notification of the proposed statutory consultation on our proposals for a second phase of EMG1, known as EMG2. EMG2 will comprise a multi-unit state-of-the-art logistics and industrial development delivering over 3 million sq. ft. of warehousing and advanced manufacturing on land within the East Midlands Freeport and creating circa. 4,000 new jobs and investment of over £325 million into the local area. The purpose of the proposed statutory consultation is to invite comments on our proposals.

We are writing to you, as clerk for the parish, to ensure you are aware of the statutory consultation process and to enclose, for your use, a USB memory stick of the consultation materials. The consultation materials contain a large amount of information about EMG2 including drafts of documents which will be submitted as part of the application process for seeking consent for EMG2.

Copies of the consultation materials will also be available to be viewed or downloaded from the project website, www.segro.com/slpemg2, and hard copies will be available to be viewed at North West Leicestershire District Council's Customer Centre in Coalville and at Castle Donington Library.

Consultation responses must be provided by **17 March 2025** by any one of the following methods:

By Post: EMG2, PO Box 11382, Nottingham, NG2 9AU	Online via the comments form at: www.segro.com/slpemg2	By telephone: 02475 529730
---	---	--------------------------------------

If you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours sincerely

Segro

SEGRO Properties Limited / SEGRO (EMG) Limited



Gill Simkiss
Clerk to Lockington and Hemington Parish Council



SEGRO Properties Limited
SEGRO (EMG) Limited
12th Floor
Two Friargate
Station Square
Coventry CV1 2GN

SEGRO.com
T: +44(0)2475 529730

31 January 2025

Dear Mr Simkiss

East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 (EMG2)

Proposals for a second phase of SEGRO Logistics Park East Midlands Gateway (EMG1) which is an existing nationally significant infrastructure development comprising a rail freight interchange and warehousing on land in the vicinity of Junction 24 of the M1 motorway to the north of East Midlands Airport

Statutory Consultation from 3 February 2025 until 17 March 2025

The Parish Council will shortly receive formal notification of the proposed statutory consultation on our proposals for a second phase of EMG1, known as EMG2. EMG2 will comprise a multi-unit state-of-the-art logistics and industrial development delivering over 3 million sq. ft. of warehousing and advanced manufacturing on land within the East Midlands Freeport and creating circa. 4,000 new jobs and investment of over £325 million into the local area. The purpose of the proposed statutory consultation is to invite comments on our proposals.

We are writing to you, as clerk for the parish, to ensure you are aware of the statutory consultation process and to enclose, for your use, a USB memory stick of the consultation materials. The consultation materials contain a large amount of information about EMG2 including drafts of documents which will be submitted as part of the application process for seeking consent for EMG2.

Copies of the consultation materials will also be available to be viewed or downloaded from the project website, www.segro.com/slpemg2, and hard copies will be available to be viewed at North West Leicestershire District Council's Customer Centre in Coalville and at Castle Donington Library.

Consultation responses must be provided by **17 March 2025** by any one of the following methods:

By Post: EMG2, PO Box 11382, Nottingham, NG2 9AU	Online via the comments form at: www.segro.com/slpemg2	By telephone: 02475 529730
---	---	--------------------------------------

If you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours sincerely

Segro

SEGRO Properties Limited / SEGRO (EMG) Limited

Samantha Lockwood
Clerk to Long Whatton & Diseworth Parish Council



SEGRO Properties Limited
SEGRO (EMG) Limited

12th Floor
Two Friargate
Station Square
Coventry CV1 2GN

SEGRO.com
T: +44(0)2475 529730

31 January 2025

Dear Ms Lockwood

East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 (EMG2)

Proposals for a second phase of SEGRO Logistics Park East Midlands Gateway (EMG1) which is an existing nationally significant infrastructure development comprising a rail freight interchange and warehousing on land in the vicinity of Junction 24 of the M1 motorway to the north of East Midlands Airport

Statutory Consultation from 3 February 2025 until 17 March 2025

The Parish Council will shortly receive formal notification of the proposed statutory consultation on our proposals for a second phase of EMG1, known as EMG2. EMG2 will comprise a multi-unit state-of-the-art logistics and industrial development delivering over 3 million sq. ft. of warehousing and advanced manufacturing on land within the East Midlands Freeport and creating circa. 4,000 new jobs and investment of over £325 million into the local area. The purpose of the proposed statutory consultation is to invite comments on our proposals.

We are writing to you, as clerk for the parish, to ensure you are aware of the statutory consultation process and to enclose, for your use, a USB memory stick of the consultation materials. The consultation materials contain a large amount of information about EMG2 including drafts of documents which will be submitted as part of the application process for seeking consent for EMG2.

Copies of the consultation materials will also be available to be viewed or downloaded from the project website, www.segro.com/slpemg2, and hard copies will be available to be viewed at North West Leicestershire District Council's Customer Centre in Coalville and at Castle Donington Library.

Consultation responses must be provided by **17 March 2025** by any one of the following methods:

By Post: EMG2, PO Box 11382, Nottingham, NG2 9AU	Online via the comments form at: www.segro.com/slpemg2	By telephone: 02475 529730
---	---	--------------------------------------

If you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours sincerely

Segro

SEGRO Properties Limited / SEGRO (EMG) Limited



Vicky Rose
Clerk to Melbourne Parish Council



SEGRO Properties Limited
SEGRO (EMG) Limited
12th Floor
Two Friargate
Station Square
Coventry CV1 2GN

SEGRO.com
T: +44(0)2475 529730

31 January 2025

Dear Ms Rose

East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 (EMG2)

Proposals for a second phase of SEGRO Logistics Park East Midlands Gateway (EMG1) which is an existing nationally significant infrastructure development comprising a rail freight interchange and warehousing on land in the vicinity of Junction 24 of the M1 motorway to the north of East Midlands Airport

Statutory Consultation from 3 February 2025 until 17 March 2025

The Parish Council will shortly receive formal notification of the proposed statutory consultation on our proposals for a second phase of EMG1, known as EMG2. EMG2 will comprise a multi-unit state-of-the-art logistics and industrial development delivering over 3 million sq. ft. of warehousing and advanced manufacturing on land within the East Midlands Freeport and creating circa. 4,000 new jobs and investment of over £325 million into the local area. The purpose of the proposed statutory consultation is to invite comments on our proposals.

We are writing to you, as clerk for the parish, to ensure you are aware of the statutory consultation process and to enclose, for your use, a USB memory stick of the consultation materials. The consultation materials contain a large amount of information about EMG2 including drafts of documents which will be submitted as part of the application process for seeking consent for EMG2.

Copies of the consultation materials will also be available to be viewed or downloaded from the project website, www.segro.com/slpemg2, and hard copies will be available to be viewed at North West Leicestershire District Council's Customer Centre in Coalville and at Castle Donington Library.

Consultation responses must be provided by **17 March 2025** by any one of the following methods:

By Post: EMG2, PO Box 11382, Nottingham, NG2 9AU	Online via the comments form at: www.segro.com/slpemg2	By telephone: 02475 529730
---	---	--------------------------------------

If you have any queries, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours sincerely

Segro

SEGRO Properties Limited / SEGRO (EMG) Limited

Appendix 23 - Summary of Responses to Statutory Consultation Main Themes Document

Summary of the responses to statutory consultation – main themes

April 2025

The East Midlands Gateway Phase 2
and Highway Order 202X and The East Midlands Gateway
Rail Freight and Highway (Amendment) Order 202X

CONTENTS

Section	Page
1. Introduction	3
2. Statutory consultation	4
3. Main themes	4
4. Conclusion	4
Appendix	5

1 Introduction

- 1.1 SEGRO Properties Limited and SEGRO (EMG) Limited (referred to as "SEGRO" or "the Applicant") are preparing to make applications to the Secretary of State for a second phase of the East Midlands Gateway Logistics Park ("EMG1") which is a Strategic Rail Freight Interchange ("SRFI") located to the north of East Midlands Airport.
- 1.2 EMG1 is a nationally significant infrastructure development comprising a rail freight terminal and warehousing. It was authorised by The East Midlands Gateway Rail Freight Interchange and Highway Order 2016 (SI 2016/17) ("the EMG1 DCO") and is substantially complete.
- 1.3 The first application, the applicant for which is SEGRO Properties Limited, will be submitted pursuant to Part 5 of the Planning Act 2008 ("the 2008 Act") for a Development Consent Order ("DCO"). The second application, the applicant for which is SEGRO (EMG) Limited, will be submitted pursuant to Regulation 4 of the Infrastructure Planning (Changes to, and Revocation of, Development Consent Orders) Regulations 2011 (the "CRDCO Regulations") for a Material Change Order to the EMG1 DCO ("MCO").
- 1.4 The proposed development for which the two applications are to be made is referred to as 'East Midlands Gateway 2' or 'EMG2' and comprises the following elements:

Within the DCO Application

- EMG2 Main Site – a new multi-unit logistics/industrial development located south of East Midlands Airport and the A453, and west of the M1 motorway. This part of the site falls within the 'East Midlands Airport and Gateway Industrial Cluster' (EMAGIC) site, which forms part of the East Midlands Freeport designated by the Government in 2022; and
- Highways Works – works to the highway network including significant improvements at Junction 24 of the M1 (referred to as J24 Improvements) and the road network interacting with that junction. Due to the scale (and area) of the Highways Works, they represent an NSIP in their own right; and

Within the MCO Application

- EMG1 Works – the proposals include changes within EMG1, incorporating additional warehousing on Plot 16 together with works to increase the permitted height of the cranes at the rail-freight terminal, improvements to the public transport interchange and site management building.

2 Statutory consultation

- 2.1 SEGRO formally consulted on its emerging proposals for EMG2 between 3 February 2025 and 17 March 2025 (the "statutory consultation period")¹.
- 2.2 The six (6) week statutory consultation period was completed in accordance with the Statement of Community Consultation ("SoCC") published on 18 December 2024. The SoCC remains available to download on the EMG2 webpage – www.segro.com/slpemg2.
- 2.3 SEGRO is in the process of preparing an Adequacy of Consultation Milestone ("AoCM") document for submission to the Planning Inspectorate ("PINS"). The AoCM will set out the consultation activities completed by SEGRO, including informal non-statutory consultation activities and other activities undertaken by SEGRO to raise awareness and consult with statutory consultees, key stakeholders, interested parties and members of the public before and during the formal statutory consultation period. A full list of the statutory consultees will be appended to the AoCM.
- 2.4 A commitment was given in the SoCC to make available a summary of the main themes arising from the statutory consultation processes following the end of the statutory consultation period. This document has been prepared to give effect to that commitment.
- 2.5 A more formal Consultation Report is being prepared and will accompany the DCO and MCO applications in due course. That report will provide more detail on the statutory consultation responses and how the Applicant has had regard to them in preparing the DCO and MCO applications to satisfy the requirements of the 2008 Act and the CRDCO Regulations.

3 Main themes

- 3.1 The main themes arising from the responses received to SEGRO's statutory consultation are summarised in the Appendix to this document.
- 3.2 Where a theme is specific to the DCO application or the MCO application then this has been identified. For clarity, references to the Environmental Statement ("ES") chapters are common to both the DCO and MCO applications as a single ES will cover both applications.

4 Conclusion

- 4.1 SEGRO is considering the statutory consultation responses received and is having regard to any relevant responses in developing its proposals for EMG2 in accordance with its duty set out in section 49 of the 2008 Act. Further engagement is also being undertaken where appropriate to better understand the issues raised in the statutory consultation responses.

¹ Statutory pre-application consultation was completed pursuant to section 42 of the 2008 Act and regulation 10 of the CRDCO Regulations and press notices were given pursuant to section 48 of the 2008 Act, regulation 14 of the CRDCO Regulations and regulations 13 and 22 of the Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017

- 4.2 The responses received to the statutory consultation will be set out and a response provided to them will be set out in full in the Consultation Report when it is submitted with the DCO and MCO applications.

APPENDIX

Summary of main theme	Update / response
Principle / need for EMG2	
Many, but not all, respondents do not support the principle of the scheme.	The need for the scheme arises from national, regional and local planning policy and its associated evidence base and will be set out in full in the DCO and MCO applications, together with commentary of the scale and type of jobs that it will deliver.
There is no need for more warehousing because there is a surplus of warehousing in the area, particularly vacant floor space.	
There is no need for more jobs of the type proposed. The immediate area has a high level of employment and the type of jobs which will be provided by the scheme are not what is needed. Many respondents felt the jobs on offer would only be low paid, low skilled jobs.	
Location of EMG2	
The location of the development is not appropriate given its greenfield status and proximity to Diseworth village. Many respondents said that the proposals should be moved to a brownfield site.	The location of the proposals arises out of the regional and local planning policy and its associated evidence base, together with the strategic suitability of the location and relationship to EMG1, East Midlands Airport, , the M1 motorway, the A42 trunk road and three local population centres. Furthermore, the EMG2 Main site forms part of the East Midlands Freeport. The locational benefits and an assessment of alternative sites will be set out in full in the DCO and MCO applications.
Design	

Building Heights: Lack of understanding about the parameters plan and the building heights, with some respondents concerned that buildings heights were 86m, rather than 86 AOD. Queries about whether the buildings could be higher than 18m or 24m based upon the parameters (i.e. by lowering the floor level as this did not appear to be fixed). A few respondents raised concerns about further applications being submitted for taller heights beyond the DCO limits.	Further clarity on the parameters plans and the building heights will be provided in the DCO and MCO applications. If made, the DCO and MCO will restrict the building heights. Any increase to those heights will then require a new consent.
The proposed colour palette of the buildings, with some respondents suggesting the buildings should be green to blend in better with the countryside.	The design and colour palette of the buildings is being considered and will be determined at detailed design stage pursuant to the DCO and MCO.
Heritage	
Concerns about building near listed buildings and a conservation area.	As indicated above, the selection and suitability of the EMG2 Main Site will be set out in full in the DCO and MCO applications, and the impact on heritage assets will be fully assessed within the Environmental Statement accompanying those applications.
Drainage and flood risk	
Concerns about the adequacy of the flood risk management and drainage strategy and whether it will make flooding in the area worse. Some respondents voiced concerns that the above ground drainage basins would not be large enough or frequent enough to reduce flood risk.	SEGRO is working closely with the Environment Agency and lead local flood authority to develop its drainage strategy. The impact on flooding and drainage will be fully assessed within the Environmental Statement.

Concerns that the EMG1 drainage basins have previously overtopped (previous winter). Some respondents indicated that they believe this has contributed to past flooding in Lockington.	SEGRO is investigating these issues and has had regard to them in developing its drainage strategy.
Impact of existing surface water run off to properties on Clements Gate, Langley Close and Long Holden. A few respondents reported surface water sheeting off the adjacent fields towards properties on Clements Gate, Langley Close and Long Holden.	
Perception that very high groundwater, and other drainage basins, in the catchment area have led to increased ground water flooding to properties due to changes in local infiltration.	
Existing problems with surface water flooding along the A453 site frontage in storm events fronting the EMG2 Main Site.	
Visual impact and landscaping	
Concerns about the visual impact from Diseworth and the lack of a sufficient buffer. Many respondents were of the view that it is the wrong place/site in landscape/visual terms for this type of commercial development. Some respondents questioned if the scheme could be built lower into the ground to further mitigate visual impact. Some acknowledged and welcomed the consideration that had gone in to the design of the mounding and landscape buffering to Diseworth, and that this had improved by moving development further away during the design process to date, but others still felt it needed to move further to the east and away from Diseworth if it is to happen at all. Some respondents requested that the bunding be higher (like that around Lockington). Suggestion that the buffer planting needs to include more larger tree stock from the outset and that consideration be given to winter conditions when trees not in leaf.	The visual impact and landscaping of the scheme are being considered, including the form / height of the perimeter mounding. The landscape and visual impacts will be fully assessed within the Environmental Statement

Concerns that the EMG1 landscaping had not delivered on its promises, and the same could happen with EMG2.	SEGRO is aware that some of the landscaping at EMG1 has not flourished as hoped and additional planting has been undertaken to address the issue. Lessons can be learned from EMG1 to ensure the same issues do not occur at EMG2.
Concerns raised over the viewpoint visualisations as to the lack of detail and the locations chosen. The credibility of the images was also questioned by some respondents.	More detailed visualisations from a wider range of viewpoints, including from Diseworth, are being prepared and will be made available in due course and with the DCO and MCO applications.
Concerns about the visual impact of Plot 16 at EMG1 from Junction 24 and Kegworth including the lack of bunding / screening to the east of the rail freight terminal.	The visual impact and landscaping of the plot are being considered, including the need for bunding / screening.
Traffic – add in re active travel support	
Whether traffic will stay on M1 and use the new Junction 24 direct link to the A50 or whether drivers will just keep using Junction 23A and the Finger Farm roundabout. Some respondents queried why the A453 at the airport / Finger Farm roundabout is not being dualled and / or no works are proposed to Finger Farm roundabout.	The impacts of traffic will be fully assessed within the Environmental Statement. SEGRO is working closely with National Highways and Leicestershire County Council to develop a scheme of works to Junction 24. That scheme is expected to provide sufficient capacity to negate the need for works to the Finger Farm roundabout.
Concerns about rat running through Diseworth and Long Whatton, particularly when the A453 is congested. Some respondents supported traffic calming measures in Diseworth, others did not.	Traffic modelling is underway which will identify any issues so that they can be mitigated if necessary.

Concerns that traffic at Finger Farm roundabout could be made worse (some respondents noted that there is already queuing traffic on A453).	As above, traffic modelling is underway which will identify any issues so that they can be mitigated.
Concerns that people who work at EMG2 could park in Diseworth.	The scheme will incorporate adequate parking for those who work at EMG2.
Whether the linkages to Hyam's Lane could increase parking / road safety issues if it was open to cars. Requests made that Hyam's Lane be closed to vehicular access. Respondents were also concerned that the retention of Hyam's Lane as a footpath / cycleway could encourage workers to park in the village and walk up Hyam's Lane to EMG2.	The future use of Hyam's Lane and at Long Holden is being considered.
Safety concerns around The Green junction, particularly how visibility is already reduced. The scheme could make matters worse if two vehicles are side by side. Other respondents suggested greater improvements to this junction and to the Grimes Gate junction, or not, because it will attract more traffic through Diseworth. This was also tied to rat running concerns.	Traffic modelling is underway which will identify whether this could be an issue.
Concerns about existing weaving / safety issues on the A50 heading north west from M1 Junction 24.	As above, traffic modelling is underway which will identify any issues so that they can be mitigated.
Safety concerns about entry from Derby Road (old A6) onto Junction 24 including about lane guidance and markings.	
Concerns that airport users are parking in Diseworth and that the scheme could make this worse.	SEGRO does not believe that the scheme will contribute to this issue but is considering the matter.

Concerns about HGV parking in the area (in lay-bys or inappropriate locations overnight) which could be made worse by the scheme. This concern is linked to litter / human waste issues in the local area.	The scheme will make provision for HGV parking and welfare facilities which will mitigate the likelihood of this.
Concerns about the construction impact of the highways works, and how this would impact local people and businesses. There were some questions asking how long the highways works were expected to take.	The impact of the works on the road network will be managed through a traffic management plan. More detail about this will be provided in the DCO and MCO applications.
The timing of delivery of the highways works and the need to ensure that such works are fully delivered.	Traffic modelling is being undertaken to determine when the highway works are required, and this will then be secured in the DCO and MCO.
Sustainable transport	
Lack of a direct bus (or tram) connection from East Midlands Parkway to EMG2 and lack of bus connectivity between settlements. Whether any public transport (bus, rail, tram) measures introduced will integrate with other new / existing developments and Diseworth.	The need for and extent of any bus provision is being considered.
Support for the proposed provision of pedestrian routes through the community park to reach the airport's bus interchange (pedestrians currently walk along the road) and the new public bus interchange at EMG2 via Hyam's Lane.	Appropriate pedestrian and cycle routes will be secured in the DCO and MCO applications.
Support for the active travel link between EMG1 and EMG2 / the airport, alongside the A453.	The support for the link to be incorporated into the DCO application is noted.
Whether measures could be introduced to make cycling / walking safer along The Green and Grimes Gate. And whether more cycling / walking routes could be created including	The need for and extent of cycling / walking measures is being considered.

for instance into the proposed Isley Woodhouse site and onto Cloud trail extension to Ashby.	
Ecology / biodiversity / agricultural land	
Concerns about the loss of ancient hedgerows and the consequential loss of wildlife and biodiversity.	The impacts of the scheme on ancient hedgerows, wildlife and biodiversity are being actively considered and will be addressed in detail within the Environmental Statement.
Concerns about the loss of agricultural land, specifically arable land.	The impact on agricultural land is being actively considered and will be addressed in detail within the Environmental Statement.
Noise	
Concerns about HGV and forklift reversing alarms particularly at night and in certain weather / wind conditions. The need for night time noise control.	Operational noise from the scheme is being considered and measures will be incorporated into the DCO and MCO to manage it.
The extent of noise mitigation measures, including whether the bunding should be higher and / or fencing should be installed, and the proposed buildings are too close.	The extent of noise mitigation measures is being actively considered, and appropriate measures will be secured by the DCO and MCO, and will be addressed in detail within the Environmental Statement.
Lighting	
The need for lighting along the footpaths and Hyam's Lane.	The need for lighting is being considered and the scale / scope of any lighting will be addressed in the DCO and MCO applications through the Environmental Statement.

Whether there will be mounted luminaire (lights) at similar heights to that at East Midlands Airport.	The lighting at EMG2 will not be the same as at the airport. A lighting strategy is being developed which will set out the extent of any lighting.
Concerns about additional light pollution. Respondents noted that East Midlands Airport have recently installed new lighting, which is having a significant effect on Diseworth.	
Community park	
Concerns about security and residential amenity issues arising from the proposed community park. Some respondents do not support a community park or increased public access through the area. Other respondents see improved public access as being a positive aspect of the scheme.	The community park is an important aspect of the scheme and a significant benefit to the local area. The concerns and aspirations of local residents are noted and SEGRO proposes to engage further with them to develop the proposals for the park.
Support for the community park with respondents suggesting elements which they would wish to see incorporated into it (e.g. benches, wildlife information boards, bins, fitness trail, children's play park, accessible paths).	
Other issues	
Concerns about inappropriate use of Long Holden, particularly fly tipping and anti-social behaviour (drug taking and nuisance parking).	SEGRO notes the concerns and is actively considering what, if any, measures could be introduced to minimise the risk of such inappropriate uses.
The impact of the development on local resident's physical and mental health (e.g. air pollution, disruption during construction, loss of green space, and disruption of village life).	The impact of the scheme on population and human health is being actively considered.
Concerns about the loss of horse-riding routes due to the scheme and any change of use of Hyam's Lane.	The impact of the scheme on horse riders is being actively considered.

Appendix 24 - Summary of individual public consultation responses

SUMMARY OF INDIVIDUAL PUBLIC CONSULTATION RESPONSES TO STAGE 2 STATUTORY CONSULTATION

	Name	Interest in the scheme	Summary
PC1.	Angela Pritchard	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with need for warehousing and low paid jobs. Concerned about closure of Kegworth exit at J24 due to re-routing of HGVs from Refresco through proposed residential scheme. Local residents not being consulted on changes to roads/J24 – discussions are too high level.
PC2.	Julie Howlett	Local Resident	In support. Concerned about anti-social motorbike use on green space/bunding at EMG1. Suggests providing a dedicated trail area in EMG2 for motorbikes. Also suggests bunding to match building heights.
PC3.	Carly Hosker	Local Resident	Not in support. Concerns about litter around EMG1 and requesting implemented measures to prevent this occurring around EMG2.
PC4.	Jeffrey Walter Humphries	Local Resident	Not in support. Concerns about flash flooding from the proposal in combination with Isley Woodhouse proposals, particularly about how precipitation run off is dealt with.
PC5.	Mark Ryan	Local Resident	Not in support. Area is being slowly destroyed by these developments. Will bring additional pollution, vehicles, noise, traffic to the area. Not aware of any great unemployment in the locality. Mitigation proposed will not change the harm.
PC6.	Sheila Marie Sharpe	Local Resident	Not in support. Too much pressure on locality in general. Scheme should be smaller. Hyam's Lane should be protected as footway.
PC7.	D P Collins	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with the need for warehousing. EMG1 had a terrible effect on lives and lack of screening. Development will cause traffic.
PC8.	Nick Hollick	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and proximity to conservation village. Brownfield first. Concerned about drainage, ecology, nuisance parking, horse riding, light/noise pollution and litter. Suggests Hyams Lane to be upgraded to a bridle path/provide safe crossing for horses.

	Name	Interest in the scheme	Summary
PC9.	Sarah Wellick	Owner of land affected by scheme	Not in support. Disagrees with need for local jobs or selection of Freeport. Suggests changing height, size and proximity of scheme to Diseworth. Concerns about biodiversity, noise & light pollution and air quality due to traffic will have impact on health.
PC10.	Janet Minton	Local Resident	Not in support. Concerned about security near to houses and more thought to be given to houses near perimeter.
PC11.	Sandra Moores	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Warehousing taking away agricultural land for food. Biodiversity impact.
PC12.	Anonymous	Local Resident	Not in support. Remove the DCO and collaborate with community. CGIs need to show visual impact from all directions with a wider radius.
PC13.	Anonymous	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with the location. Brownfield first. Won't provide for local jobs. Concerned about ecology and health.
PC14.	Tracey Handley	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Does not want pedestrians/cyclists past their house on Hyams Lane. Suggests screening needs to be higher.
PC15.	Mike Prover	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and damage to environment. Flood management is inadequate - collaborative approach needed between SEGRO, Airport and DHL.
PC16.	Shirley Briggs	Local Resident	Not in support. Dislikes building colours. Suggests as much screening/distance from the village and ensuring cars cannot access Hyams Lane. Concerned about management of community park, flooding and traffic.
PC17.	Geoff Stone	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Suggests reducing ground level and increasing height of bunding, additional tree planting in CP.
PC18.	Alan & Beryl Wheeler	Local Resident	In support, but not on this scale. Disagrees with location. Bus services for employees, but none for local community. Supports CP, suggests benches and wildlife/heritage information boards. Screening not sufficient.

	Name	Interest in the scheme	Summary
PC19.	Nichola Miller	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and need for warehousing. Brownfield first. Concerned about cumulative impact, noise, light, pollution, loss of agricultural land, traffic, biodiversity loss, flooding. Height of bunding is too low.
PC20.	Thomas Lane	Local Resident Work Locally	Not in support. Severe impact on residents and village. Concerned about Diseworth becoming a rat run and flood management.
PC21.	Nigel Lane	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with need for warehousing and low paid jobs. Concerned about screening, noise/light pollution, traffic, 'rat run', loss of wildlife, views, flooding, loss of green space. Suggests increasing size of CP.
PC22.	Meryl Tait	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Air/noise/traffic pollution primarily and loss of agri land and wildlife, drainage too. Supportive of CP and Hyams Lane.
PC23.	Victor	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with need for warehousing. Concerned about flood risk/drainage primarily and traffic.
PC24.	Patrick Lane	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagree with location. Suggests higher bunding. Concerned about security at CP, traffic, flooding, house prices.
PC25.	Pew Miller	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with greenfield location and loss of fields. Supports retention of Hyams Lane.
PC26.	Anonymous	Local Resident	Not in support. Huge impact on community. Screening not sufficient. Concerned about traffic, biodiversity loss, crime. Lack of consultation. Need to improve transport – bus, tram extension
PC27.	Neil Curling	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with greenfield location and selection of Freeport. Sheds should be green rather than blue. Higher bunding, litter picking.
PC28.	Lucas Cox	Local Resident	Not in support. Negatives outweigh benefits. Supportive of CP and landscaping – suggests an outdoor gym.
PC29.	Anonymous	Local Resident	Not in support. Concerned about contractors using local village roads and drainage.

	Name	Interest in the scheme	Summary
PC30.	Anonymous	Local Resident	Concerned about increase of traffic on A453 and flood risk/drainage.
PC31.	Diane Browning	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with the need for warehousing. Concerned about flooding, highways works and access into Kegworth from J24. Suggests wider public transport improvements and solar panels.
PC32.	Karen Franklin	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with the location. Concerned about biodiversity loss, visual impact of buildings, screening due to topography of village, flooding, traffic, noise.
PC33.	David Bamford	Local Resident Local elected representative	Not in support. Disagrees with proximity to Diseworth. More separation wanted. Measures to stop cars using Hyams Lane. Supports landscaping but lower ground levels would be preferable.
PC34.	Simon Matthews	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with need for warehousing. Concerns about climate change and end of life re-use of materials.
PC35.	Andrew Allman	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with greenfield location. Concerned about traffic, noise, pollution, flooding. Bunding should be higher, size of the scheme should be reduced.
PC36.	Julia Matthew	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and need for jobs. Concerned about flooding and loss of agricultural land. Extend buffer to Diseworth.
PC37.	Anonymous	Local Resident	Not in support. Concerned about loss of privacy and security due to CP backing onto property, and also light/noise pollution, ruined views and traffic. Would like a buffer zone between property and CP.
PC38.	Anonymous	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Concerned about traffic, emissions, lack of consultation with the community. Insufficient screening.
PC39.	Lisa Calkin	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Move scheme further away. Concerned about drainage, noise/air pollution. CP should have SuDS, benches, paths. Mounds should be higher.
PC40.	C.E	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Concerned about noise, traffic, flooding.

	Name	Interest in the scheme	Summary
PC41.	Lesley Allman	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location, brownfield first. Concerned about highways, noise, light, flooding, proximity to village. Suggests public transport improvements and showing impact on Diseworth on CGIs.
PC42.	Sam Wade	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Concerned about flooding, noise, light and traffic. Insufficient screening due to time it will take to grow.
PC43.	Anonymous	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location, brownfield first and need for jobs. Concerned about noise, light and air pollution. Suggests increasing noise reduction and height of bunding and reducing size of scheme.
PC44.	Marie Slevin	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Concerned about ecology, noise, flooding. Suggests reducing ground level of development to help with visual impact.
PC45.	Richard Baker	Local Resident	Not in support. Concerned about biodiversity/ ecology and loss of agricultural land. Suggests making buffer as large as possible and protecting privacy of dwellings on boundary.
PC46.	Sadie Dunmore	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Concerned about heritage, flooding, ecology, noise/light/air pollution, traffic and litter.
PC47.	Alan Wade	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and location of freeport. Concerned about light/noise pollution, flooding.
PC48.	Cherie Sisson	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Concerned about visual impact/screening and traffic (if village becomes a cut through when A453 blocked). Suggests mature tree planting.
PC49.	John	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Concerned about light pollution, loss of agri land, and HGV parking.
PC50.	Rosemary Brown	Local Resident	Neither in/not in support. Disagrees with need for warehousing. Concerned about noise (cumulative) and light pollution, and traffic – more mitigation needed.

	Name	Interest in the scheme	Summary
PC51.	Gary Woods	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Concerned about noise/light pollution, biodiversity loss. Suggests moving as far from village as possible.
PC52.	Kelly Lene	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Concerned about screening and visual impact, light/noise pollution, habitat loss, traffic, road safety and concerns village will be a rat run.
PC53.	Julie Doyle	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with need for jobs and warehousing. Concerned about noise/light/air pollution, heritage and visual impact. Suggests a bigger buffer.
PC54.	Anonymous	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with the principle altogether. Concerned about loss of agri land, habitat, noise, traffic.
PC55.	J. Billington	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Concerned about ecology, loss of agri land, light/noise pollution, disruption during works.
PC56.	Owen Hevelever	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and need for jobs. Suggests more biodiversity in CP.
PC57.	Gillian Burrage	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and need for warehousing. Concerned about flooding and ASB in CP. Suggests increasing bunding height, buffer distance, local bus route investment.
PC58.	Patricia Russell	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with need for jobs and warehousing. Concerned about loss of green space, noise, traffic, pollution and construction phase.
PC59.	G. Russell	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with need for warehousing, and lack of jobs it will generate. Concerned about traffic and pollution, loss of green space.
PC60.	Adrianne Chester	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Concerned about traffic, light/noise pollution, insufficient screening.
PC61.	Carly Snee	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Concerned about traffic, noise, pollution, ecology and loss of agri land.
PC62.	Liz Jarrom	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Concerned about flooding (size of water attenuation features), noise, landscape, ecology/biodiversity.

	Name	Interest in the scheme	Summary
PC63.	Ann Smith	Local Resident	Not in support. Concerned about flooding and emergency vehicle access/turning circles.
PC64.	Ian & Kathleen Robertson	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Not in accordance with local plan. Concerns about cumulative impact, traffic/parking impact in village and J23A/24, flooding, height of bunding and employee use of Hyams Lane.
PC65.	C.T. Stevenson	Local Resident	In support. Concerned about road safety, particularly where J24 to A50 goes from 2 to 1 lane.
PC66.	B. Smith	Local Resident	Not in support. Concerned about flooding and nuisance parking. Suggests traffic management to protect Diseworth being a rat run.
PC67.	Rod Pritchard	Local Resident	Unsure of support, but understands strategic justification. Disagrees with the need for warehousing. Concerned about J24 at Kegworth impacts. Suggests J24 should have flyover to M1 South so existing entrance for local traffic into Kegworth can still access.
PC68.	Anonymous	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Suggests moving development to power station site.
PC69.	Carol Lane	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees on location and potential for job creation. Concerned about traffic, flooding and loss of agricultural land.
PC70.	Charlotte Springthorpe	Local Resident	Not in support. Should use brownfield site. Disagree with the need for jobs. Concerned about flood risk. Should include bridleways in ped/cycle route and barriers to stop motorcycles.
PC71.	Mike Doyle	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location, scale and need for jobs. Impact on heritage and conservation area. Concerned about cumulative impact on flood risk, health and wellbeing of Diseworth residents, village parking from employees, height of bunding. Cumulative impact of development is not assessed. Suggests quiet lane designation on routes through Diseworth.
PC72.	James McKay	Local Resident	Not in support. Has suggestions re. highway improvements package 4 to reduce the rat running issues in Kegworth – concerned about safety and traffic.

	Name	Interest in the scheme	Summary
PC73.	Rob Higgins	Local Resident	Not in support. Scheme does not fit with conservation village. Mounding too low to screen visual and noise impacts. Retention of Hyams Lane as footpath is positive.
PC74.	Angela Shephard	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with the location. Brownfield first. Concerned about traffic and HGVs (A453/Finger Farm). Existing severe litter problems from HGV drivers. Landscaping insufficient to mitigate visual and light impacts. Suggests Hyams Lane as a bridleway for horses
PC75.	Alison Evans	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with the need for warehousing. Disbelief in drainage improvements. Concerns about heritage, traffic, parking, litter, screening, pollution. Supportive of community park – provide benches. Increase gap between Diseworth and schemes. Lots of qus relating to freeport, jobs, etc.
PC76.	Kathryn Hutchinson	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with the location. Questioning selection of site for Freeport. Disagrees with community park. Concerned about impact on Conservation Area.
PC77.	Emily Briggs-Minton	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with greenfield location. Concerned about public footpath running to the rear of property, particularly security and privacy. Concerned about screening.
PC78.	Elizabeth Osborne	Local Resident Erewash Riders committee member	Not in support. Concerned about horse access and requesting provision of a bridleway/routes to ride horses safely due to a lack in the area.
PC79.	Simon Tivey	Land Owner (adjoining) Local Business Owner	In support. Agree with retention of Hyam's Lane. Suggested the balancing ponds could have greater capacity. Funds should be allocated to improve The Green as it is unsafe for pedestrians/cyclists.
PC80.	Mr Philip Everitt	Local Resident	Not in support. Concerned about environmental impact, loss of agri land, traffic/poor air quality and use of RFI.
PC81.	Anna Rafter	Local Resident	Not in support. Lack of engagement at consultation event. Disagrees with location. Concerned about impact on countryside/wildlife, noise/light pollution, traffic and property prices.

	Name	Interest in the scheme	Summary
PC82.	Val Spalton	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with the need and location. Concerned about the impact on environment screening.
PC83.	Zoe	Work Locally	In support. But concerned about lane widening, traffic from additional workers/events at Donington Park, flooding, anti-social issues, construction impacts of highway works.
PC84.	Kim	Work Locally	In support. But concerned about traffic impact and construction impact of highway works and the impact on businesses in the area, and drainage.
PC85.	R. Saunders	Local Resident	In support. Generally supportive of highway improvements, but concerned about cars cutting through Castle Donington. Suggests litter bins.
PC86.	Dennis Holness	Local Resident Regular visitor	In support. Agrees with strategic context and justification. Concerned about footpath link from EMA Northern Trail to EMG1 bund as it becomes muddy in winter. Suggests putting gravel down.
PC87.	Gary Miles	Local Resident Regular visitor	In support. Agrees with more employment opportunities. Supportive of scheme additions. Suggests having toilets at community park.
PC88.	Anonymous	Local Resident Work locally	Unsure of support, but agrees with strategic justification. Suggests parking near bus interchange for higher access to PT or link to tram.
PC89.	Eve Sutton	Local Resident	Not in support. Concerned about noise, pollution, traffic, visual impact and Kegworth highway works cutting through designated space.
PC90.	J Moorhouse	Local Resident Protect Diseworth member	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Brownfield first. Concerned about traffic, flooding, landscaping, biodiversity. Suggests moving units further away to combat noise/light pollution and solar panels.
PC91.	Dr H Tanith	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and scale. Concerned about impact on Kegworth from highways works. Landscaping is appropriate.
PC92.	J & R Mellors	Local Resident	Concerned about highways, particularly J24. Suggests better signage at M1 J24 South slip for safety, as traffic regularly crosses over the 4 lanes at J24.

	Name	Interest in the scheme	Summary
PC93.	Anonymous	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and proximity to village. Concerned about traffic and drainage. Suggests making bunding higher.
PC94.	Anonymous	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Overdevelopment in area. Concerned about traffic and flooding/polluted runoff. More screening would help with noise/light pollution.
PC95.	Catherine Coupe	Local Resident	Not in support. Concerned about traffic (J23a/24/24a) at Finger Farm, road layouts, road safety at A50 merge.
PC96.	Anonymous	Work Locally	Not in support. Disagrees with need. Concerned about loss of agricultural land and highways works affecting designated land for sports pitches.
PC97.	Phill Brookes	Local Resident Local Business Owner	In support. Agrees with strategic location. Brings wealth, employment and investment, supports infrastructure improvements in the area. Good mitigation/benefits package in place. Following EMG1 success.
PC98.	Tim Wagstaff	Local Resident Work locally	Not in support. Disagrees with scale and proximity to village. Concerned about noise pollution. Suggests night time noise restrictions.
PC99.	Michael John Poultney	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Concerned about extreme environmental impact, noise and air pollution, property prices. Suggests EMG1 reduced bus services through Diseworth.
PC100.	Lesley Hextall	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with greenfield location and scale. Concerned about environmental impact, loss of agri land, traffic and landscape harm.
PC101.	Peter Widdowson	Local Resident Work locally	Not in support. Disagrees with principle and location. Concerned about damage to village/wider area.
PC102.	Tim Burrage	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location, brownfield first and selection of Freeport. Concerned about height of bunding, movement of HGVs/traffic, and noise/light pollution.

	Name	Interest in the scheme	Summary
PC103.	Ian Marshall	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with need and location. Lack of consultation re. Freeport selection. Concerned about flooding, building heights and car use on Hyam's Lane. Suggests moving scheme further and higher bunding.
PC104.	Rex Chester	Local Resident	Not in support. Concerned about flooding, traffic on A453 and loss of agricultural land.
PC105.	Paula Canty	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and need for local jobs. Concerned about overdevelopment, light/noise/air pollution, traffic impact, flooding, biodiversity loss, litter. Suggests higher bunding/more landscaping.
PC106.	Nicholas Canty	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and proximity to conservation area. Concerned about traffic, screening, height of buildings, noise, flooding, pollution, heritage.
PC107.	Janet Hutchinson	Owner of land affected by the scheme	Not in support. Disagrees with location, need for local jobs, and harm to village. Concerned about visual impact, bunding, flooding, light/noise pollution, traffic, building heights, HGV driver behaviour.
PC108.	Colin Dewey	Local Resident	Not in support. Brownfield first, e.g. Power Station. Concerned about overdevelopment, noise/light pollution, flooding and traffic congestion on A453.
PC109.	Paul Grimley	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and Freeport. Overdevelopment around Diseworth. Bunding too low. Concerned about proposed use of Hyam's Lane, loss of PRoW and loss of horse riding routes.
PC110.	Jacqui Tomlinson	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Brownfield first. Comments on ES Chapter 5 (Socio-Economic). Concerned about noise/light pollution, traffic, height of bunding and Hyams Lane being cut through for staff.
PC111.	Mark Jones	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with principle. Concerned about height of bunding principally and environmental modelling. Suggests increasing height of bunding similar to EMG1.
PC112.	Duncan Ross	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and need for warehousing and jobs. Comments on content within ES chapters. Concerned about height of bunding/screening, traffic, air quality, biodiversity, flooding. Suggests greater separation distance.

	Name	Interest in the scheme	Summary
PC113.	Robert Evans	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with need for warehousing. Concerned about loss of agricultural land, building heights/design, screening, noise/light/air pollution, traffic, flooding. New jobs will not be created, just moved.
PC114.	Sarah-Jane Catherine Varley	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location, brownfield first. Concerned about loss of biodiversity, traffic/rat runs (locally and wider M1/A50 network, nuisance parking, loss of agri land, flooding, light/noise/air pollution. No information on cumulative effect.
PC115.	John Marriott	Extremely concerned citizen	Not in support. Disagrees with scale and location. Lack of info on environmental impact from traffic and traffic modelling. Principally concerned about traffic and highway impact, but also screening and flooding
PC116.	A.E. Leech	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Environmental information is inadequate and unrealistic. Concerned about house prices.
PC117.	Annette Della-Porta	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Concerned about light/noise/air pollution, height of bunding and parking in the village and using Hyam's Lane to access EMG2.
PC118.	Elizabeth Jarroll	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location, lack of consultation with village and need for local jobs. Concerned about loss of agri land, screening, biodiversity, flooding. In conflict with Local Plan EC2(2).
PC119.	John Hurley	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and need for local jobs. Data flawed. Concerned about traffic (J23a and Kegworth Bypass) and visual impact.
PC120.	Laura Dudley	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with the location and need. Concerned about noise/light pollution, traffic, flooding, misuse of Hyam's Lane by employees, lack of screening, impact on conservation village.
PC121.	Louis Della-Porta	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Concerned about building heights, height of bunding, village parking from employees, separation distance, noise/light pollution, flooding.
PC122.	Richard Smith	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and need for warehousing. Concerned about flooding, height of bunding, solar panel provision, traffic, noise, pollution.

	Name	Interest in the scheme	Summary
PC123.	Sarah Howes	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Concerned about light/noise/air pollution, traffic impact, Suggests various improvements to the scheme, including no access from Clements Gate into CP.
PC124.	Stuart Dudley	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location, need for warehousing and low-paid jobs. Incomplete environmental information. Concerned about screening/height of bunding, employee parking in the village, impact on conservation area,
PC125.	Susan Hurley	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Concerned about screening, loss of agricultural land, traffic (J23a/J24/A50), light/noise pollution, litter.
PC126.	Susan Smith	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and need for warehousing. Brownfield first. Concerned about drainage, height of bunding, loss of agri land, traffic impact, noise/light/air pollution.
PC127.	William Jarroll	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Concerned about loss of agri land, height of buildings, ecology, screening, flooding, noise, building colour, traffic and HGV welfare facilities.
PC128.	Jack Shields	Local Resident Local Business Owner	Not in support. Highways assessment is unrealistic. Concerned about additional traffic, especially on rural roads to south of the scheme.
PC129.	Michael Davies	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location, scale, surplus of development in area. Negative impacts from EMG1 – light/noise pollution, lack of screening from Kegworth side, anti-social driving. Concerned this will be made worse with EMG2 and traffic impact.
PC130.	Luke Frearson	Previous resident from nearby with local family	In support. Agrees with strategic location and proximity to air, road and rail links. Will boost Midlands economy. Traffic will not be majorly worsened.
PC131.	Barry Thomas	Local Resident Open Spaces Society	Not in support. CGI images are unrealistic. Hyam's Lane should remain on LCC's Definitive Map and footpath to be physically separated from cycle track. New PRoWs should be included on LCC Definitive Map

	Name	Interest in the scheme	Summary
PC132.	Malcolm Cooke	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with need for warehousing. Lack of community benefit. Concerned about visual impact and screening, lack of services/facilities in village and overdevelopment in the area.
PC133.	Stephanie	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with need for more warehousing as many units are empty. Concerned about insufficient screening. Scheme is not justified.
PC134.	Mervyn Johnson	Local Resident Local Business Owner	Not in support. Disagrees with need for local jobs and warehousing due to surplus. Brownfield first. Concerned about loss of agri land, 'new' jobs, screening, light/noise/vibration/air pollution, potential rise in aircraft movement, health impacts. Not in accordance with LP Policy EC2(2).
PC135.	Richard Robinson	Local Resident	Not in support. Concerned about flooding, noise/light pollution, damage to countryside, employee parking in village. Suggests greater separation distance and additional screening with mature trees.
PC136.	Alex Bashforth	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and need. Concerned about damage to countryside, pollution, flooding, ecology/biodiversity.
PC137.	Janet Moorhouse	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and need for local jobs and warehousing. Concerned about height of bunding, noise/light pollution, flooding, traffic, nuisance parking, heritage, loss of agri land, height of buildings.
PC138.	Emma Lawrence	Local Resident	Not in support. Concerned about traffic impact, damage to countryside, harm to wildlife.
PC139.	Peter Farnworth	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with need for warehousing. Concerned about traffic impact and lack of mitigation at Finger Farm, solar panels, screening, loss of agri land.
PC140.	Sarah	Local Resident	Not in support. Concerned about loss of green space, biodiversity, water/air quality impact, landscaping mitigation. Lack of justification of scheme and local benefit.
PC141.	Gayle Appleby-Merry	Local Resident	Not in support. Concerned about traffic impact on local lanes, and road damage from HGVs. Scheme should be cancelled.

	Name	Interest in the scheme	Summary
PC142.	Helene Smith	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with need for surplus warehousing. Concerned about traffic, biodiversity/ecology impact. Suggests that Hyam's Lane/Community Park is fully accessible for pedestrians.
PC143.	Ciara Donaghy	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with need for unskilled jobs. Concerned about damage to countryside, cumulative effect, traffic impact and employee parking in the village, height of bunds, CGI viewpoints, flooding, noise, litter and impact on conservation area.
PC144.	Steve MacIver	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with need for warehousing, local jobs and overdevelopment. Concerned about height of screening, noise. Not in accordance with Policy EC2(2).
PC145.	Dawn MacIver	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with need for warehousing. Lack of information on use, operation and working hours. Concerned about flooding, parking, rat runs, noise, dust, light pollution, loss of agri land and wildlife.
PC146.	Ann Hawtin	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and need for local jobs. Concerned about noise/light pollution, flooding, traffic, impact on conservation village, screening measures, loss of agri land.
PC147.	Jackie Richards	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and overdevelopment of the area. Concerned about height of buildings and bunding, environmental impact including wildlife loss, traffic impact.
PC148.	Mike McIntyre	Local Resident Parish Councillor (Lockington & Hemington PC)	Not in support. Overdevelopment of local area. Unit 16 should be moved due to impact on King Street Plantation woodland. Bunding/screening should be better than EMG1. Concerned about traffic and anti-social driving at EMG1, but sustainable transport measures should be enhanced.
PC149.	Ali Millard	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location, scale and need for local jobs. Concerned about traffic, visual impact, height of bunding, impact on conservation village, pollution, flooding, loss of agri land, litter, employee parking in village. Does not consider cumulative impact.
PC150.	Alan Clark	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Concerned about traffic, flooding, noise/light/air pollution, height of bunding and buildings. Mature trees to be included in screening.

	Name	Interest in the scheme	Summary
PC151.	Noel McGough	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and selection of Freeport. Brownfield first (e.g. Power Station site). Concerned about traffic, air quality, noise and water management, nuisance parking, height of bunding, light pollution.
PC152.	Emma	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and need for warehousing. Brownfield first. Concerned about noise/air/light pollution, loss of agri land, height of bunding, biodiversity and impact on conservation area.
PC153.	Katrina Paling	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and need for warehousing. Brownfield first (e.g. Power Station site). Concerned about employee parking at end of Hyam's Lane, screening, flooding, lack of PVs.
PC154.	Katharine McGough	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location, need for warehousing and low paid jobs. Brownfield first. Concerned about nuisance parking, height of bunding, landscaping measures, noise, pollution and flooding.
PC155.	Tony Wilson	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location, scale and need for jobs. Concerned about impact on conservation village, traffic, pollution, loss of agri land, noise, lighting and litter. Does not consider cumulative impacts.
PC156.	Alastair Hutchinson	Relative	Not in support. Disagrees with location and selection of Freeport. Concerned about height of bunding, visual impact, traffic impact on A453/J23A/J24, noise/light pollution, flooding.
PC157.	Matthew McGough	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and low paid/low skilled jobs. Brownfield first. Concerned about noise/light/air pollution, landscaping mitigation, employee parking in Diseworth and flooding.
PC158.	Andy Foxhall	Local Resident Owner of Land affected by the scheme	Not in support. Disagrees with location and need for local jobs and additional warehousing. Brownfield first. Concerned about bunding, screening, noise, heights of building, building colour, placement of yards. Suggests various improvements to the scheme.
PC159.	Erica Wood	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and need for local jobs and additional warehousing. Brownfield first. Concerned about bunding, screening, noise. Suggests

	Name	Interest in the scheme	Summary
		Owner of Land affected by the scheme	various improvements to the scheme, including water management, litter bins, retention of horse riding routes.
PC160.	Kevin Walker	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Concerned about employee parking in Diseworth and use of Hyam's Lane, landscaping, bunding, light/air pollution, flooding.
PC161.	Jackie Butterworth	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and need for local jobs and warehousing. Brownfield first. Concerned about impact on conservation area, loss of agri land, height of bunding, lack of info on occupiers.
PC162.	Michelle Hayward	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Brownfield first. Concerned about impact on conservation area, rat running, about employee parking in Diseworth and use of Hyam's Lane, earthworks, traffic, noise/air pollution.
PC163.	Simon Hearn	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Brownfield first. Concerned about impact on conservation area, rat running, about employee parking in Diseworth and use of Hyam's Lane, earthworks, traffic, noise/air pollution.
PC164.	Xanthe Jones	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Brownfield first. Concerned about impact on conservation area, rat running, about employee parking in Diseworth and use of Hyam's Lane, earthworks, traffic, noise/air pollution.
PC165.	Tahlia Jones	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Brownfield first. Concerned about impact on conservation area, rat running, about employee parking in Diseworth and use of Hyam's Lane, earthworks, traffic, noise/air pollution.
PC166.	Teresa Walker	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Brownfield first. Concerned about employee parking in Diseworth and use of Hyam's Lane, screening, ecology/biodiversity and impact on conservation area.
PC167.	Paul Butterworth	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location, need for local jobs and economic justification. Brownfield first. Concerned about height of buildings, screening, flooding, traffic, road safety and noise.

	Name	Interest in the scheme	Summary
PC168.	Jane Campell-Ross	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and overdevelopment in area. Concerned about damage to environment, landscaping, loss of agri land, traffic, air pollution, PV provision, ecology.
PC169.	Patrick Whelband	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location, need for local jobs and overdevelopment in area. Concerned about traffic, noise/light/air pollution, biodiversity loss, loss of agri land, heritage and cumulative impact.
PC170.	Marie Brierley	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Concerned about loss of hedgerows and wildlife, traffic, flooding, employee parking, height of bunding, visual impact, litter, light pollution, air quality, crime.
PC171.	Erica Morris	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Concerned about noise/light pollution, traffic, loss of agri land, screening, flooding and rat running.
PC172.	Michael Goy	Local Resident	Not in support. Concerned about global warming, loss of agri land and severe environmental impact.
PC173.	Richard Smithies	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Concerned about environmental damage, noise/light/air pollution, traffic, actual job creation, flooding, height of bunding, accuracy of data.
PC174.	Richard Brackenbury	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and selection of Freeport. Concerned about nuisance parking, noise/light/air pollution, heritage impact, lack of community benefits.
PC175.	Alicia Smithies	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and need for low paid jobs. Concerned about flooding, air/noise/water pollution, height of bunding, operating hours, litter, traffic. Greater separation needed.
PC176.	Isobel Smithies	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and low paid jobs. Concerned about height of buildings, road safety for pedestrians, height of bunding/screening, flooding and health impact.
PC177.	Margaret Morris	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Concerned about flooding, building heights, visual impact, impact on conservation area, pollution.

	Name	Interest in the scheme	Summary
PC178.	Barry Hollis	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Concerned about flooding, building heights, visual impact, impact on conservation area, pollution and lack of community benefit.
PC179.	Jim Snee	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and need for local jobs. Concerned about loss of agri land, height of bunding and buildings, lighting, noise/vibration, air quality, water management, HGV facilities, construction phase. Contravenes LP.
PC180.	Vanessa Johnson	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and need for more warehousing. Brownfield first. Concerned about loss of agri land, noise/light pollution, height of bunding, and loss of biodiversity.
PC181.	Victoria Moores	Regular Visitor	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Concerned about loss of agri land, height of bunding, nuisance parking, traffic, visual impact.
PC182.	Sue Orme	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and need for warehousing. Concerned about loss of agri land, traffic at A453, rat running, construction traffic through village, wildlife and water management.
PC183.	Ronald Taylor	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and need for warehousing and local jobs. Concerned about lack of screening, loss of agri land, health impact, heights and design of building, vibration and flooding.
PC184.	Mrs Pauline Needham	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Brownfield first. Concerned about loss of agri land, heritage, noise/light/air pollution, rat running and flooding.
PC185.	Margaret Chester	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and need for warehousing. Concerned about flooding and management of balancing ponds, traffic, noise/light pollution, loss of agri land.
PC186.	Kathleen Taylor	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location. Concerned about loss of agri land, screening, health impact, heights and design of building, noise, vibration and flooding.
PC187.	Janet Firth	Local Resident	Not in support. Disagrees with location and need for warehousing. Concerned about building heights, traffic, loss of agri land, heritage, PVs, flooding and traffic management around Diseworth.

Appendix 25 - Example Email of 15 May 2025

From: Dawn Judge On Behalf Of Kate Bedson
Sent: 15 May 2025 12:39
To: michael.squires [REDACTED]
Cc: Ian Rigby [REDACTED], Ben Green [REDACTED]
Subject: EMG2 - Further, Non-Statutory, Consultation

Dear Cllr Squires

Following consideration of all responses to the statutory consultation, we write to advise you that we have decided to carry out a further, non-statutory, consultation which we anticipate will take place towards the end of June/early July.

We will of course advise you of the specific dates and details in due course. The consultation will be communicated by leaflet drop, social media and site notices and the updated material will be available via the website.

As always, we are happy to arrange meetings or calls to answer any queries.

In the meantime, please do call or email with any immediate questions.

Kind regards

Kate

Kate Bedson
Senior Director, National Markets
www.SEGRO.com

T: + [REDACTED]
M: [REDACTED]
SEGRO, Two Friargate, Station Square, Coventry CV1 2GN

 @SEGROpic

SEGRO

This email is confidential, if you have received it in error, please notify the sender and delete this message without copying or disclosing the contents to anyone. Email communications may be monitored for operational reasons or lawful business practices.
SEGRO plc Registered Office: 1 New Burlington Place, London W1S 2HR. UK Registered No. 167591 Place of Registration: England and Wales.

Appendix 25A - Example Email of 16 June 2025

[REDACTED]

From: Dawn Judge [REDACTED] on behalf of Kate Bedson
Sent: 16 June 2025 10:45
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: Ian Rigby; Steve Harley
Subject: EMG2 - Second Round of Consultation

Dear Cllr Squires

Following the conclusion of the statutory consultation on our East Midlands Gateway 2 (EMG2) proposals in March this year, we are making strong progress in finalising our applications for a Development Consent Order (DCO) and a Material Change Order (MCO). This has included completing outstanding technical work, engaging with key stakeholders and carefully considering the feedback received from you and others. We are aiming to submit the applications by this summer.

In light of the technical findings and the feedback gathered, we have made several changes to our proposals for EMG2. We would like to share these updates and invite further feedback before formally submitting our applications. To support this, we will therefore be holding a second round of consultation from **Tuesday 1 July to Tuesday 29 July 2025**.

As a key stakeholder I wanted to give you early notice of this upcoming consultation. The consultation will be online rather than in person but we will be available to answer any questions either by email or telephone. We will be publishing updated versions of the documents previously shared during the February/March 2025 consultation, along with new information – particularly regarding highways and our proposals for a new community park - on the project website at the end of June 2025. We will be raising awareness of the consultation on social media towards the end of this month, as well as sending out formal notification of the dates via leaflet drop and through notices on site.

If you have any questions in the meantime, please do not hesitate to get in touch.

Kind regards

Kate

Kate Bedson
Senior Director, National Markets
www.SEGRO.com

[REDACTED]

SEGRO, Two Friargate, Station Square, Coventry CV1 2GN

 @SEGROpic

SEGRO

Appendix 26 – Example email of 26 June 2025

From: Dawn Judge On Behalf Of Kate Bedson

Sent: 26 June 2025 13:05

To: Mr. M. Squires [REDACTED]

Cc: Ian Rigby [REDACTED]; Steve Harley [REDACTED]

Subject: EMG2 - Second Round of Consultation

Dear Cllr Squires

Following the conclusion of the statutory consultation on our East Midlands Gateway 2 (EMG2) proposals in March this year, we are making strong progress in finalising our applications for a Development Consent Order (DCO) and a Material Change Order (MCO). This has included completing outstanding technical work, engaging with key stakeholders and carefully considering the feedback received from you and others. We are aiming to submit the applications by this summer.

In light of the technical findings and the feedback gathered, we have made several changes to our proposals for EMG2. We would like to share these updates and invite further feedback before formally submitting our applications. To support this, we will therefore be holding a second round of consultation from **Tuesday 1 July to Tuesday 29 July 2025**.

As a key stakeholder I wanted to give you early notice of this upcoming consultation. The consultation will be online rather than in person but we will be available to answer any questions either by email or telephone. We will be publishing updated versions of the documents previously shared during the February/March 2025 consultation, along with new information – particularly regarding highways and our proposals for a new community park - on the project website at the end of June 2025. We will be raising awareness of the consultation on social media towards the end of this month, as well as sending out formal notification of the dates via leaflet drop and through notices on site.

If you have any questions in the meantime, please do not hesitate to get in touch.

Kind regards

Kate

Kate Bedson
Senior Director, National Markets
www.SEGRO.com

T: [REDACTED]
M: + [REDACTED]

SEGRO, Two Friargate, Station Square, Coventry CV1 2GN

 @SEGROplc

SEGRO

Appendix 27 – Newsletter

Newsletter – June 2025

INCLUDING POST-CONSULTATION CHANGES

This newsletter has been prepared by SEGRO to update you on our plans for **East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 (EMG2)** – a new logistics and advanced manufacturing development proposed on land south of East Midlands Airport which would form a second phase of the existing East Midlands Gateway (EMG1) development.

The proposed development comprises:

- **EMG2 Works** - Logistics and advanced manufacturing development located on the EMG2 Main Site south of East Midlands Airport and the A453, and west of the M1 motorway, together with provision of a new community park and an upgrade to the EMG1 substation.
- **Highway Works** - Works to the highway network including the A453 EMG2 access junction works; significant improvements at Junction 24 of the M1 (referred to as the J24 Improvements) and works to the wider highway network including active travel works.
- **EMG1 Works** - Additional warehousing development at EMG1, known as Plot 16, together with works to increase the permitted height of the cranes at the EMG1 rail-freight terminal, improvements to the public transport interchange, site management building and EMG1 access works.

SEGRO proposes to make two applications at the same time – these will be an application for a development consent order (DCO) for the **EMG2 Works** and the **Highway Works** and an application for a material change order (MCO) to the EMG1 DCO for the **EMG1 Works**. The two applications will be made in the Summer of 2025.

Earlier this year, we held a six-week public consultation event in February/March 2025 to gather views on our initial plans. Thank you to everyone who took part.

The purpose of this newsletter is to:

- Let you know that SEGRO is undertaking **additional consultation** on its proposals for EMG2 this July.
- Provide **details of the proposed changes** that SEGRO is making to EMG2 following the outcome of further technical work and consideration of the feedback received during the statutory consultation undertaken in February/March 2025.
- **Outline the additional / updated information** which SEGRO is seeking comments on so you can see how our proposals have progressed.



View of EMG1 Works

Why We're Holding Additional Consultation

From **1st July to 29th July 2025**, we'll be running a further round of consultation to give you the chance to comment on **updated draft plans and documents**, including updated and new environmental information.

We are doing this because we want to make sure everyone has the chance to review the updated proposals and to provide additional information before we submit our applications for a Development Consent Order and a Material Change Order, and to provide additional information requested by some respondents to our first round of consultation earlier this year.

You'll be able to:

- View the updated plans and documents online
- Send us your comments by web form, or post (see the back page for details)

If you provided comments in February/March 2025 we have received them and will be taking them into account (and referring to the issues raised in a Consultation Report in due course) - therefore you do not need to repeat them, unless you wish to do so.

What You Told Us – And How We're Responding

We received a wide range of helpful comments during the statutory consultation between 3rd February and 17th March 2025.

Since then, SEGRO has undertaken further technical work on its proposals for EMG2 and used comments received to help refine its proposals. A summary of key themes arising from your comments has been published on the project website at (<https://www.segro.com/countries-repository/united-kingdom/segro-logistics-park-east-midlands-gateway-2>).

Some of these changes are shown on the plans and images included in this newsletter. If you're reading this online, the same plans and updated materials are available on the project website.

As a result of the above, SEGRO is proposing to make a number of changes to EMG2.

See the Components Plan and Illustrative Landscape Masterplan included in this newsletter for the location of each of the below numbered changes.

Proposed change - Development Consent Order

Reason for the proposed change

- | | |
|---|--|
| 1. Community Park - a detailed drawing of the park has been prepared, which includes a public right of way through it. The draft Development Consent Order has been amended to provide that the Community Park will be provided in accordance with the drawing. | The proposal to include a Community Park was welcomed but respondents asked that more detailed information be provided about what it will include, and that provision be made for a public right of way. |
| 2. Landscaping bund – increased height of the bund along the western boundary of the EMG2 Main Site. | Respondents requested a higher bund to provide further screening to Diseworth and users of the Community Park. |
| 3. Confirmation of HGV Parking and driver welfare facilities as part of the proposals to accommodate HGVs using EMG2, to be delivered early in the development of the Main Site. | In response to local comments about existing and future HGV parking capacity, and in response to wider strategic issues surrounding the supply of HGV parking and roadside facilities. |
| 4. Long Holden – introduction of measures to limit vehicle access and removal of footpath link to the route from the middle of the EMG2 Main Site. | In response to concerns raised by respondents about car parking and potential for anti-social behaviour on Long Holden. |
| 5. Mezzanine floorspace - provision of additional mezzanine floorspace for ancillary uses. | The further technical work undertaken demonstrates that the proposed increase in mezzanine floorspace is acceptable and does not create any additional impacts. |



Including:

**14.3 ha/
35 acres**
of community park

Increased bund
height alongside western
boundary

7 acres of HGV
parking and welfare
facilities

Ordnance Survey material. Crown Copyright. All rights reserved. Licence Number:
100019880 (CentreMapLive.com)

KEY:

	Draft Order Limits EMG2 DCO
	Existing Trees / Woodland
	Existing Hedgerows / Other Planting
	Proposed Woodland / Structural and Scrub Planting
	Proposed Tree / Scattered Scrub
	Proposed Hedgerows
	Proposed Grassland
	Proposed Sustainable Drainage (Dry) Features (Indicative)
	Existing Public Rights of Way (PROW)
	Proposed Footways / Redirected PROW and/or Cycleways (Off-Road Routes)
	Proposed Mitigation Mounding (Indicative)

Proposed change - Development Consent Order	Reason for the proposed change
6. A453 from Finger Farm Roundabout to Hunter Road / EMG2 access junction – additional works to widen the A453 westbound exit of Finger Farm and increase the length of the two-lane section on the approach to the Hunter Road / EMG2 access junction.	The detailed traffic modelling now undertaken by SEGRO has shown that additional works to this section of the A453 are required.
7. M1 Junction 24 roundabout – more substantive works to the western side of the roundabout to (a) provide three lanes through the junction for traffic from the M1 northbound to the A453 towards Nottingham and (b) remove the left-turn lane from the A453 Northbound to A50 westbound.	The detailed traffic modelling now undertaken by SEGRO has shown that additional works to this section of the roundabout are required. The removal of the left-turn lane follows dialogue with National Highways.
8. M1 northbound corridor before J23A and between J23A and J24 – changes to existing signs are now included to sign the A50 via the new exit at J24 and the minor amendments are proposed to the layout of the M1 between J23A and J24.	The signage changes are required to direct drivers to the new exit to the A50. The layout change follows dialogue with National Highways.
9. A453 / The Green minor highways works (west of Diseworth) – removal from the scope of proposed works.	The further technical work undertaken by SEGRO shows that the works are not required.
10. Electricity substation upgrade (located within EMG1) is now included within the EMG2 Works, and so applied for under the Development Consent Order, not the Material Change Order.	The power is required for the EMG2 Main Site. This change ensures clarity regarding the appropriate route to seeking consent.
Proposed change - Material Change Order	Reason for the proposed change
11. EMG1 access works – the works to provide a signalised pedestrian crossing at the EMG1 exit are now included within the EMG1 works.	These works are associated with the provision of the drop-off lay-by within EMG1 and should therefore be undertaken as part of the EMG1 works.


What's New? – Consultation materials

All of the draft application documents consulted upon in February / March 2025 have been updated and are being provided for comment. In addition, the following new or updated draft documents will be available for comment:

- Additional Environmental Statement (ES) material including draft Chapter 4 Alternatives, draft Chapter 6 Traffic and Transport, and draft Chapter 21 Cumulative Impacts
- Draft Transport Assessment
- Technical Appendices to Environmental Statement chapters
- Proposed Community Park plan and additional details

Including:

Major improvements
to junction 24 roundabout



Indicative CGI Images of EMG2 Works



How to Have Your Say

The additional consultation will run from **1st July to 29th July 2025**.

You can give your feedback in one of the following ways:

Post
EMG2
PO Box 11382
Nottingham NG2 9AU

Online
via the feedback form at
www.segro.com/emg2



Appendix 28 – SEGRO letter of 25 June 2025

«Title» «First_Name» «Surname»
«Company»
«Position»
«Address1»
«Address2»
«Town»
«Postcode»

25 June 2025

Dear Sir/Madam

East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 (EMG2)

Proposals for a second phase of SEGRO Logistics Park East Midlands Gateway (EMG1) which is an existing nationally significant infrastructure development comprising a rail freight interchange and warehousing on land in the vicinity of Junction 24 of the M1 motorway to the north of East Midlands Airport authorised by The East Midlands Gateway Rail Freight Interchange and Highway Order 2016 (EMG1 DCO)

Additional Consultation from 1 July 2025 to 29 July 2025

The purpose of this letter is to update you on our proposals for a second phase of EMG1, which is known as 'East Midlands Gateway Phase 2' or 'EMG2', and to notify you of additional consultation that we are undertaking.

Our Proposals

Our vision is to create a multi-unit state-of-the-art logistics and advanced manufacturing development delivering over 3 million sq. ft. of warehousing and advanced manufacturing on land within the East Midlands Freeport and creating circa. 4,000 new jobs and investment of over £325 million into the local area.

EMG2 is comprised of the following elements:

- **EMG2 Works** - Logistics and advanced manufacturing development located on the EMG2 Main Site south of East Midlands Airport and the A453, and west of the M1 motorway, together with provision of a new community park and an upgrade to the EMG1 substation.
- **Highway Works** - Works to the highway network including the A453 EMG2 access junction works; significant improvements at Junction 24 of the M1 (referred to as the J24 Improvements) and works to the wider highway network including active travel works.
- **EMG1 Works** – Additional warehousing development at EMG1, known as Plot 16, together with works to increase the permitted height of the cranes at the EMG1 rail-freight terminal, improvements to the public transport interchange, site management building and EMG1 access works.

We propose to make two applications for EMG2 at the same time – an application for a development consent order (DCO) for the **EMG2 Works** and the **Highway Works** and an application for a material change order (MCO) to the EMG1 DCO for the **EMG1 Works**. The two applications will be made in Summer 2025.

Additional Consultation

Earlier this year, we held a six-week public consultation event in February / March 2025 to gather views on our initial plans. We received a wide range of helpful comments. A summary of key themes arising from those comments has been published on the project website at:

<https://www.segro.com/countries-repository/united-kingdom/segro-logistics-park-east-midlands-gateway-2>

Since then, we have undertaken further technical work on our proposals and used comments received to help refine them. As a result, we are proposing to make a number of changes to EMG2. Some of those changes are detailed in the enclosed newsletter.

Given we are making changes, we have decided to undertake additional consultation because we want to make sure everyone has the chance to review our updated proposals before we submit our applications for a DCO and a MCO. We also wish to provide additional information requested by some respondents during our first round of consultation.

All of the draft application documents consulted upon in February / March 2025 have been updated and are being provided for comment. In addition, the following new or updated draft documents will be available for comment:

- Additional Environmental Statement material including draft Chapter 4 Alternatives, draft Chapter 6 Traffic and Transport, and draft Chapter 21 Cumulative Impacts
- Draft Transport Assessment
- Technical Appendices to Environmental Statement chapters
- Proposed Community Park plan and additional details

All of the documents will be available to view or download on the project website at:

www.segro.com/slpemg2

Please note that, if you provided comments in February / March 2025, we have received them and will be taking them into account (and referring to the issues raised in a Consultation Report in due course) - therefore you do not need to submit them again, unless you wish to do so.

Consultation responses

Consultation responses can be provided using any one of the following methods:

- **By Post:** EMG2, PO Box 11382, Nottingham, NG2 9AU
- **Online via the comments form at:** www.segro.com/slpemg2

To ensure your comments are considered, please ensure they are submitted by **29 July 2025**.

Yours faithfully

SEGRO

SEGRO Properties Limited / SEGRO (EMG) Limited

Enc. Additional Consultation Newsletter

Appendix 29 – Additional Consultation Feedback Form

Your details (* is a mandatory field)		
Name*:		
Email:		
Phone number:		
How would you describe your interest in EMG2?* (Please tick all that apply)	Local resident	
	Local elected representative	
	Owner of land affected by the proposed application	
	Local business owner	
	Work locally	
	Regular visitor	
	Statutory organisation	
	Local interest group (Please name them)	
	Other (Please specify)	
Your feedback Please note that, if you provided feedback on EMG2 in February / March 2025, then you do not need to repeat that feedback unless you wish to do so. Your previous feedback has been noted and we have had regard to it.		
PROPOSED APPLICATION FOR A DEVELOPMENT CONSENT ORDER (DCO) for the EMG2 Works comprising logistics and advanced manufacturing development, a new community park, an upgrade to the EMG1 substation and the Highway Works comprising works to the highway network including the A453 EMG2 access junction works, significant improvements at Junction 24 of the M1 and works to the wider highway network including active travel works.		
Do you have any comments on our proposals for a new community park as shown on the plan provided?		
Do you agree with our proposal to increase the height of the landscaping bund along the western boundary of the main site between the community park and the built development?		
Do you agree with the changes proposed to Long Holden to address concerns about parking and anti-social behaviour?		
Do you have any comments on any of the other changes made to the proposed development as described in the newsletter?		
Have any of the changes made a difference to whether you support or oppose the development?		
Do you have any comments on the draft documents provided, including the new documents identified in the newsletter?		
Do you have any additional comments that you would like to make on the proposed		

development or on the consultation materials?	
PROPOSED APPLICATION FOR A MATERIAL CHANGE ORDER TO THE EMG1 DCO (MCO) for the EMG1 Works comprising additional warehousing at EMG1 known as Plot 16, together with works to increase the permitted height of the cranes at the EMG1 rail-freight terminal, improvements to the public transport interchange, site management building and EMG1 access works.	
Do you have any comments on the changes made to the proposed development as described in the newsletter?	
Have any of the changes made a difference to whether you support or oppose the development?	
Do you have any comments on the draft documents provided, including the new documents identified in the newsletter?	
Do you have any additional comments that you would like to make on the proposed development or on the consultation materials?	
If you have any other comments generally about our proposals for EMG2 as a whole please set them out below.	

Appendix 30 – List of Material Consulted upon for Additional Consultation

EMG2 - ADDITIONAL CONSULTATION 1 JULY TO 29 JULY 2025

INDEX OF DOCUMENTS TO BE CONSULTED UPON

Documents	
General	
1.	Newsletter
Draft Documents	
2.	Draft Guide to the Applications
3.	Draft DCO
4.	Draft Explanatory Memorandum relating to DCO
5.	Draft MCO
6.	Draft Explanatory Memorandum relating to MCO
7.	Draft Statement of Reasons
8.	Draft Funding Statement
9.	Draft Consents and Licences required under other legislation
10.	Draft Design Approach Document
11.	Draft Planning Statement
Draft Plans	
EMG2 Works and Highway Works	
12.	Location Plan (Order Limits)
13.	Land Plans Key Plan
14.	Land Plans Sheet 1
15.	Land Plans Sheet 2
16.	Land Plans Sheet 3
17.	Land Plans Sheet 4
18.	Works Plans Key Plan
19.	Works Plans Sheet 1
20.	Works Plans Sheet 2
21.	Works Plans Sheet 3

22.	Works Plans Sheet 4
23.	Access and Rights of Way Plans Key Plan
24.	Access and Rights of Way Plans Sheet 1
25.	Access and Rights of Way Plans Sheet 2
26.	Parameters Plan
27.	Illustrative Landscape Masterplan – EMG2 Works
28.	Components Plan
29.	Highways Plans General Arrangement Key Plan
30.	Highways Plans General Arrangement Sheet 1
31.	Highways Plans General Arrangement Sheet 2
32.	Highways Plans General Arrangement Sheet 3
33.	Highways Plans General Arrangement Sheet 4
34.	Highway Works Cross Sections
35.	A453 Bridge Plan
36.	Highway Classification Plan
37.	Traffic Regulation Plan
38.	Speed Limit Plan
39.	Special Category Land Plan
40.	Community Park Plan
EMG1 Works	
41.	Location Plan (Order Limits)
42.	Works Plan
43.	Access and Rights of Way Plan
44.	Parameters Plan
45.	Illustrative Landscape Masterplan – EMG1 Works
46.	Components Plan
47.	Highways Plans General Arrangement
Preliminary Environmental Information	

48.	Draft Environmental Statement Chapter 1 – Introduction
49.	Appendix 1A - Draft Glossary
50.	Appendix 1B – Section 35 Direction
51.	Appendix 1C – Applicant's Scoping Report
52.	Appendix 1D – PINS Scoping Opinion
53.	Appendix 1E – Project Team
54.	Draft Environmental Statement Chapter 2 – Site and Surroundings
55.	Draft Environmental Statement Chapter 3 – Proposed Development
56.	Appendix 3A – CEMP (relates to DCO only)
57.	Draft Environmental Statement Chapter 4 – Alternatives
58.	Appendix 4A – Location of Alternative Sites
59.	Draft Environmental Statement Chapter 5 – Socio-economic
60.	Draft Environmental Statement Chapter 6 – Traffic and Transport
61.	Appendix 6A –Transport Assessment (in four parts)
62.	Appendix 6B – Sustainable Transport Strategy
63.	Appendix 6C – Framework Travel Plan
64.	Appendix 6D – ES Chapter study area figure (core assessment)
65.	Appendix 6E – 2028 PRTM v/c ratio plot figures (core assessment)
66.	Appendix 6F – 2028 PRTM v/c ratio plot figures (residual assessment) – Not available for consultation
67.	Draft Environmental Statement Chapter 7 – Noise and Vibration
68.	Appendix 7A – Thematic glossary
69.	Appendix 7B – Construction data
70.	Appendix 7C – Operational data
71.	Appendix 7D – Receptor plans
72.	Appendix 7E – Monitoring plans
73.	Appendix 7F – Monitoring equipment
74.	Appendix 7G – Monitoring results and other data

75.	Draft Environmental Statement Chapter 8 – Air Quality
76.	Appendix 8A – Model Verification
77.	Appendix 8B – Dust Risk Assessment Methodology
78.	Appendix 8C – Modelled Human Receptor Locations
79.	Appendix 8D – Modelled Ecological Receptor Locations
80.	Appendix 8E – Diffusion Tube Monitoring Programme
81.	Appendix 8F – Traffic Data
82.	Appendix 8G – Human Receptor Concentrations and Impacts
83.	Appendix 8H – Ecological Receptor Impacts
84.	Appendix 8I – Mitigation
85.	Draft Environmental Statement Chapter 9 – Ecology and Biodiversity
86.	Appendix 9A – Preliminary Ecological Appraisal
87.	Appendix 9B - Badger Report - <i>Confidential and not publicly available for consultation</i>
88.	Appendix 9C - Bat Report
89.	Appendix 9D – Bird Survey
90.	Appendix 9E - Invertebrate Report
91.	Appendix 9F – Otter and Water Vole Report
92.	Appendix 9G - Reptile Report
93.	Appendix 9H - Shadow Habitats Regulation Assessment (sHRA)
94.	Appendix 9I – Biodiversity Net Gain Report (in two parts)
95.	Appendix 9J – LEMP
96.	Appendix 9K – Bird Strike Hazard Management Plan
97.	Draft Environmental Statement Chapter 10 – Landscape and Visual
98.	Appendix 10A – LVIA Criteria; inc. Visualisations and ZTV Methodologies
99.	Appendix 10B – LVIA Figures (in two parts)
100.	Appendix 10C – Arboricultural Assessment
101.	Appendix 10D – Illustrative Masterplan and Cross Sections

102.	Appendix 10E – Landscape Effects table
103.	Appendix 10F – Visual Effects table
104.	Draft Environmental Statement Chapter 11 – Lighting
105.	Appendix 11A – Lighting Strategy
106.	Appendix 11B – Lighting Baseline Assessment
107.	Appendix 11C – Lighting Receptor Locations
108.	Appendix 11D – Obtrusive Light Calculation
109.	Appendix 11E – Highways Lighting Strategy
110.	Draft Environmental Statement Chapter 12 – Cultural Heritage
111.	Appendix 12A – Built Heritage Statement
112.	Appendix 12B – Archaeological DBA
113.	Appendix 12C – Geographical Survey Report (EMG2 Main Site)
114.	Appendix 12D – Geoarchaeological Report (EMG2 Main Site)
115.	Appendix 12E – Fieldwalking Report
116.	Appendix 12F – Trial Trenching Report
117.	Appendix 12G – Heritage Receptors
118.	Draft Environmental Statement Chapter 13 – Flood Risk and Drainage
119.	Appendix 13A –Flood Risk and Drainage Study Area Figure
120.	Appendix 13B –Surface Water Bodies Figure
121.	Appendix 13C –EA Flood Zones Figure
122.	Appendix 13D – EA Risk of Flooding from Surface Water Mapping Figure
123.	Appendix 13E – Lockington Brook Flood Assets
124.	Appendix 13F – Water Framework Directive (WFD) Screening
125.	Appendix 13G – Flood Risk Assessment – EMG2 Main Site
126.	Appendix 13H – Flood Risk Screening – Highway Works
127.	Appendix 13I – Flood Risk Assessment – EMG1 Works
128.	Appendix 13J – Sustainable Drainage Statement – EMG2 Main Site
129.	Appendix 13K – Sustainable Drainage Statement – Highway Works

130.	Appendix 13L – Sustainable Drainage Statement – EMG1 Works
131.	Draft Environmental Statement Chapter 14 – Ground Conditions
132.	Appendix 14A – Geotechnical Preliminary Risk Assessment (EMG2)
133.	Appendix 14B – Fairhurst Ground Investigation Report (EMG2) (in two parts)
134.	Appendix 14C – Fairhurst Minerals Safeguarding Assessment (EMG2)
135.	Appendix 14D – EMG2 Technical Note: Surface Water Sampling
136.	Appendix 14E – EMG2 Preliminary Sources Study Affecting LCC
137.	Appendix 14F – EMG2, Preliminary Sources Study Affecting National Highways
138.	Appendix 14G – Geotechnical Statement of Intent for Highway Works
139.	Appendix 14H – Addendum Minerals Safeguarding Assessment
140.	Appendix 14I – EMG1 Factual Ground Investigation Report
141.	Appendix 14J – EMG1 Factual Preliminary Ground Investigation Interpretative Report
142.	Appendix 14K – Minerals Scope Out Correspondence
143.	Appendix 14L - Mining Remediation Authority email correspondence
144.	Appendix 14M – Figures
145.	Draft Environmental Statement Chapter 15 – Agriculture and Soils
146.	Appendix 15A – Soils and Agricultural Land Quality Report
147.	Appendix 15B - EMG2 Main Site Land Ownership Plan
148.	Draft Environmental Statement Chapter 16 – Utilities
149.	Appendix 16A – Utility Assessment Report
150.	Draft Environmental Statement Chapter 17 – Population and Human Health
151.	Appendix 17A – Informal Scoping Exercise with LCC
152.	Appendix 17B – Population and Human Health Baseline
153.	Appendix 17C – Health Impact Assessment
154.	Appendix 17D – Equality Statement
155.	Appendix 17E – Baseline Study Area
156.	Draft Environmental Statement Chapter 18 – Materials and Waste

157.	Appendix 18A - Technical Note Justifying the Expanded Study Area in Consultation with LCC
158.	Appendix 18B - Updated Technical Note in Consultation with LCC
159.	Appendix 18C – Expanded Study Area Plan
160.	Appendix 18D – Site Waste Management and Materials Plan
161.	Draft Environmental Statement Chapter 19 – Climate Change
162.	Appendix 19A – Climate Change Policy Review
163.	Appendix 19B – Greenhouse Gas Assessment
164.	Appendix 19C – Climate Change Risk Assessment
165.	Appendix 19D – Energy Report
166.	Appendix 19E – Carbon Management Plan
167.	Draft Environmental Statement Chapter 20 – Major Accidents and Disasters
168.	Appendix 20A – Major Accidents and Disasters Long List
169.	Appendix 20B – ES Risk Record
170.	Draft Environmental Statement Chapter 21 – Cumulative Impacts
171.	Appendix 21A – Identification of other development
172.	Appendix 21B – Assessment Matrix
173.	Draft Environmental Statement Chapter 22 – Summary and Conclusions
174.	Draft Environmental Statement Non-Technical Summary

Appendix 31 – Adequacy of Consultation Milestones Document

Adequacy of Consultation Milestone (AoCM) Report

May 2025

The East Midlands Gateway Phase 2
and Highway Order 202X and The East Midlands Gateway
Rail Freight and Highway (Amendment) Order 202X

CONTENTS

Section	Page
1. Introduction	3
2. Pre-application consultation and engagement	6
3. Statutory consultation	10
4. Responses to statutory consultation	16
5. Conclusions	18
6. Appendices: 1. Statement of Community Consultation (December 2024) 2. Newsletter leaflet (January 2025) 3. Example of notice published in national and local newspapers 4. Lists of consultees and interested parties (Sections 42, 43 and 44 of the Act) 5. Summary of Responses to Statutory Consultation - Main Themes	

1 Introduction

- 1.1 This Adequacy of Consultation Milestone (AoCM) Report has been prepared on behalf of SEGRO Properties Ltd and SEGRO (EMG) Ltd (referred to as 'SEGRO' or the 'Applicant'). It relates to the proposed development referred to as 'East Midlands Gateway 2' (EMG2) and 'the Scheme'.
- 1.2 EMG2 is a second phase of the East Midlands Gateway Logistics Park ('EMG1') which is a Strategic Rail Freight Interchange (SRFI) located to the north of East Midlands Airport in North West Leicestershire. EMG1 is a nationally significant infrastructure development comprising a rail freight terminal and warehousing. It was authorised by The East Midlands Gateway Rail Freight Interchange and Highway Order 2016 (SI 2016/17) ('the EMG1 DCO') and is substantially complete.
- 1.3 EMG2 comprises the following components:
 - EMG2 Main Site – a new multi-unit logistics/industrial development located south of East Midlands Airport and the A453, and west of the M1 motorway. This part of the site falls within the 'East Midlands Airport and Gateway Industrial Cluster' (EMAGIC) site, which forms part of the East Midlands Freeport ('Freeport') designated by the Government in 2022;
 - Highways Works – works to the highway network including significant improvements at Junction 24 of the M1 (referred to as 'J24 Improvements') and the road network interacting with that junction. Due to the scale (and area) of the Highways Works, they represent an NSIP in their own right; and
 - EMG1 Works – the proposals include changes within EMG1, incorporating additional warehousing on Plot 16 together with works to increase the permitted height of the cranes at the rail-freight terminal, improvements to the public transport interchange and site management building.
- 1.4 An application for a development consent order (DCO) is proposed to be submitted by SEGRO Properties Ltd for the EMG2 Main Site and the Highway Works.
- 1.5 An application for a material change order (MCO) to the EMG1 DCO is proposed to be submitted by SEGRO (EMG) Ltd for the EMG1 Works.
- 1.6 The applications for the DCO and MCO are proposed to be made at the same time to enable them to be determined together, and given the synergies and interactions between them have been presented as three elements of a single development scheme throughout statutory consultation.

Purpose of the AoCM

- 1.7 This AoCM Report is intended to fulfil the requirements of the *Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects: 2024 Pre-application Prospectus* ('the Prospectus') published in May 2024. It sets out how SEGRO Properties Ltd has, in respect of the proposed DCO application, complied with the consultation and publicity requirements prescribed by Sections 42, 46, 47 and 48 of the Planning Act 2008 ('PA 2008'), associated provisions of The Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 ('the EIA Regulations') and The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulations 2009 ('the APFP Regulations') (as amended). It further sets out how SEGRO (EMG) Ltd has, in respect of the proposed MCO application, complied with the consultation and publicity requirements prescribed by The Infrastructure Planning (Changes to, and Revocation of, Development Consent Orders) Regulations 2011 ('the Change Regulations').
- 1.8 The guidance in the Prospectus requires the following:
- “a written submission to the Inspectorate which establishes the consultation undertaken to date, confirms the approaches set out in the Statement of Community Consultation, and summarises the consultation responses and the way in which they are shaping the application”*
- 1.9 This AoCM Report is being submitted to the Planning Inspectorate ('PINS') in advance of the intended submission date for the DCO and MCO applications, and it follows the conclusion of the statutory public consultation undertaken by the Applicant between February and March 2025. As required by the guidance in the Prospectus, this AoCM Report is provided to allow consideration by PINS (and the Applicant) of progress against the agreed Statement of Community Consultation ('the SoCC'), and any additional engagement which may be required in advance of submission of the applications.
- 1.10 The key local authorities for consultation about the SoCC for EMG2 are North West Leicestershire District Council ('NWLDC'), and Leicestershire County Council ('LCC'). A draft SoCC was shared with these two authorities on 18th October 2024, and responses to that draft were received by email and verbally from both within the required 28-day period. These comments and suggestions led to changes being made to the final SoCC. The changes included:
- Changes (a reduction) in the number of venues to accommodate hard copies of consultation material – reduced to two from a proposed three (removing the Applicant's suggestion of posting a set at LCC's County Hall at the request of LCC);
 - Expanding the 'core consultation area' identified by the Applicant to ensure that communities likely to have an interest in the Highways Works around M1 Junction

24 (but with a less direct relationship with the EMG2 Main Site) would receive direct communication regarding the proposals and statutory consultation process;

- Including additional local newspapers on the list for publication of statutory notices regarding the SoCC and for providing details about future consultation events, ensuring a wider coverage into parts of Nottinghamshire and Derbyshire as well as Leicestershire;
- Amendments to ensure the SoCC was clear regarding the ways in which people could engage with the consultation process, with additional specific details included regarding the proposed consultation methods and timing.

1.11 Having taken these various comments into account, the Applicant finalised and published the SoCC in December 2024. A copy of the SoCC is attached to this AoCM Report at **Appendix 1**.

1.12 The SoCC includes an overview of the informal pre-application engagement and consultation undertaken including that already completed at the date of publication – defined within ‘Stage 1’ of the consultation strategy while also defining the approach to the statutory consultation required (‘Stage 2’).

1.13 The Applicant’s consultation and engagement strategy has consequently involved more than one stage, including informal engagement as well as statutory consultation covering a range of activities to ensure appropriate and meaningful awareness and opportunities to comment on and influence the emerging proposals. This, and the results and outcomes of the consultation processes, will be set out in detail in due course in the Consultation Report which will form part of the DCO and MCO applications to PINS. In the interim, this AoCM Report describes the consultation processes undertaken and identifies consultation outcomes which are informing the preparation and evolution of the proposals for the Scheme and the applications.

1.14 This AoCM Report is structured as follows:

- Pre-application consultation and engagement, including with NWLDC and LCC (Section 2);
- Details as to how the statutory consultation was carried out, with reference to the adopted SoCC (Section 3);
- Outcome of the statutory consultation process (Section 4);
- Summary conclusions and next steps (Section 5).

2 Pre-Application Consultation and Engagement

Background and overview of the proposals

- 2.1 The Scheme's origins are as a planning application being prepared under the town and country planning process for the EMG2 Main Site only, and initial consultation and engagement activities undertaken were in this context. That activity included early engagement in pre-application (planning) consultation with NWLDC as the local planning authority which has continued since and throughout. The Applicant also undertook a range of informal, localised activities to engage with and consult representatives of the local community and consultee bodies.

Although the proposals subsequently evolved, with the site and description of development changing, that early engagement and dialogue represents part of the iterative process that has informed the current Scheme and is directly relevant as part of the informal pre-application engagement and consultation.

- 2.2 Table 1 below summarises the details of the structure and elements of the consultation processes, and other key milestones, of relevance to this AoCM Report, and shows how the informal pre-application consultation and engagement forms part of the overall consultation undertaken:

Table 1. Consultation Undertaken and Scheme Chronology – quick reference guide

Consultation Stage	Purpose/intended outcomes	Timing
Non-Statutory Engagement and Consultation	Focused on an earlier intended planning application, early dialogue and discussions were held regarding potential development in this location 2022. This included pre-application dialogue with NWLDC as local planning authority. This also included seeking informal input and views from partners including local communities, and other consultees or interested parties.	2022 - 2024
	NWLDC EIA Scoping Opinion issued for the EMG2 Main Site pursuant to the town and country planning process	December 2022
	The Applicant sought and the Secretary of State made a Section 35 Direction pursuant to the PA 2008 confirming that the proposed development of the EMG2 Main Site as being 'of national significance'	February 2024

Consultation Stage	Purpose/intended outcomes	Timing
	Application made for an EIA Scoping Opinion for the Scheme	August 2024
	PINS EIA Scoping Opinion issued	September 2024
Section 48	<u>Duty to Publicise</u> Publication of the intention to submit the application to PINS (i.e. notices in the press)	February 2025
Section 47	<u>Duty to Consult Local Community</u> Consultation with local community and ‘people living in the vicinity of the land’	February – March 2025
Section 42	<u>Duty to Consult</u> Consultation conducted with statutory and technical stakeholders Including local authorities under Section 43 and parties with an interest in land under Section 44 of 2008 Act Section 46 letter to PINS (31 January 2025)	February – March 2025

Early informal engagement and consultation

- 2.3 The Applicant engaged with local and statutory groups and bodies from an early stage, and this had a direct influence on the nature and form of the submitted proposals. This dialogue has taken several forms of consultation and engagement and has taken place over an extended period. This included informal and *ad hoc* (non-statutory) engagement and discussion about the emerging proposals.
- 2.4 During the initial period when the development proposals were being promoted as a planning application focused on the EMG2 Main Site, and highways local to the site – beginning in early 2022 – informal engagement and consultation was undertaken as part of the pre-application activity.
- 2.5 Informal dialogue and engagement with various bodies was explicitly in the context of the Freeport designation which includes the EMG2 Main Site, and the opportunity (if not an imperative) this created for the EMG2 Main Site to be brought forward for development.
- 2.6 Engagement and consultation at that time focused on informal meetings and briefings with individual local authority officers and members (of NWLDC and LCC), as well representatives of the Freeport or bodies involved in the Freeport and economic

development agenda. Initial contact was also made with the local MP, the local 'action group' (known as 'Protect Diseworth') and with individuals on behalf of surrounding Parish Councils in the vicinity of the EMG2 Main Site. The Applicant also engaged directly with surrounding landowners, including East Midlands Airport, and other landowners with an interest within the EMG2 Main Site and immediate surrounds.

- 2.7 Following early dialogue, and as an early action in beginning to prepare a planning application, the Applicant engaged in seeking formal pre-application consultation and advice from NWLDC (beginning in Spring 2022). As part of this process of pre-application engagement, the Applicant also requested in May 2022 a formal Environmental Impact Assessment ('EIA') Scoping Opinion from NWLDC regarding those initial proposals.
- 2.8 A Transport Working Group ('TWG') was also created by the Applicant in March 2022 in the context of the early planning application. The TWG has met regularly since and includes local and national highways bodies (LCC and National Highways), and NWLDC as local planning authority to provide technical input and scrutiny of the work to prepare a Transport Assessment ('TA') as part of a planning application (or DCO) submission. The TWG has been a key source of engagement on technical highways matters including methodology, data and assumptions to underpin the TA which will form part of the DCO and MCO applications.
- 2.9 An EIA Scoping Opinion was provided by NWLDC in December 2022 having consulted with a wide range of consultees (statutory and local consultees and interested bodies). Following that Scoping Opinion, the Applicant team continued to progress the draft proposals, with ongoing pre-application dialogue with NWLDC, and with all relevant other consultees as they progressed work to undertake the EIA.
- 2.10 The awareness raised, and comments received, during this process, alongside the technical work undertaken to progress an EIA and TA for the nascent planning application on the EMG2 Main Site, played an important role in setting the scene for the EMG2 proposals as now proposed. It provided a clear understanding of a number of key local issues and some concerns about the potential impact of development in this location, both from the community and other consultees, which played a direct role in informing the evolution of the proposals.
- 2.11 The Secretary of State issued a Direction pursuant to section 35 of the PA 2008 in February 2024 which confirmed that development of the EMG2 Main Site is of national significance, and that it should be brought forward as such for determination by the Secretary of State via an application for Development Consent (as opposed to an application for planning permission to NWLDC). Informal pre-application dialogue and engagement continued after the decision to promote the Scheme as a project of national significance, as did the formal pre-application dialogue with NWLDC who

remain an important consultee, and source of direction and information regarding local issues, concerns and priorities.

- 2.12 The Applicant has continued to provide informal updates and briefings about the EMG2 proposals to the Freeport Board, as well as to local interest groups and bodies. This has included briefings to Parish Council representatives, including via the EMG1 Community Liaison Group which has met regularly since soon after the approval of the EMG1 DCO (in 2015/16). The Applicant has also met with representatives of the local interest group 'Protect Diseworth', and a local community-based group focused on local flood-risk issues. An explanatory briefing session was also provided by one of the Applicant's consultant team to the Parish Council to provide an overview of the DCO process (to aid the Parish Council's understanding). In addition, the Applicant has engaged with all relevant landowners, as well as occupiers of nearby EMG1 to help inform proposals about the proposed EMG2.
- 2.13 Following the Section 35 Direction and the decision to proceed with a DCO application, a request for a Scoping Opinion was submitted to PINS in August 2024. As part of that process, consultees were consulted again and provided comments to PINS to inform its Scoping Opinion, which was issued on 24 September 2024. Other technical work has continued, including the TWG referred to above.
- 2.14 Therefore, in advance of the statutory consultation processes undertaken as required by the PA 2008, an extensive range of local engagement and consultation was undertaken by the Applicant over an extensive period. As described above, this has included engagement and consultation of both a 'political' and 'technical' nature, and has ensured that the Applicant has been made aware of local views, concerns and ideas from a range of sources from the outset of the Scheme.

3 Statutory consultation

- 3.1 This section of this AoCM report describes the statutory local and public consultation processes and activities. It provides details of the procedural steps taken, the ways in which the Applicant engaged with local people and communities, and also provides details of the material consulted upon.
- 3.2 Under section 47 of the PA 2008, the Applicant is required to consult with the local community, described as *“people living in the vicinity of the land”*. Separate requirements under section 42 of the PA 2008 require the Applicant to consult prescribed consultee bodies and organisations, local authorities and persons with an interest in the land.
- 3.3 Engagement and consultation with relevant consultees has been underway for some time regarding a range of technical topics and issues for development of the Scheme and assessment purposes, but, in addition to this, the Applicant consulted with all relevant consultees formally as part of the statutory consultation and engagement process.
- 3.4 The statutory consultation required by sections 42 and 47 was held for a period of 6 weeks from 3rd February 2025 to 17th March 2025, exceeding the required 28-day period.

Statement of Community Consultation (SoCC)

- 3.5 As described in section 1 of this AoCM report, a SoCC was published by the Applicant in December 2024 following consultation and dialogue with NWLDC and LCC about a draft.
- 3.6 The SoCC details the Applicant’s proposed consultation strategy in respect of the proposed development including the statutory consultation identified as 'stage 2' and was prepared in accordance with section 47 of the PA 2008 and the accompanying guidance. The SoCC is at **Appendix 1**.
- 3.7 The consultation strategy focused on raising awareness about the proposals and consultation process with communities and people who both live and work within the local community of the proposed development. While there was a focus on those living and working within the identified ‘core consultation area’ defined in the SoCC, the Applicant also undertook engagement and awareness raising activities which extended outside of that area.
- 3.8 As committed to in the SoCC, newsletter leaflets were distributed in advance the start of public consultation across the extensive ‘core consultation area’ which included all

or part of seven parishes¹. More than 7,350 newsletters were distributed to residential and business premises using Royal Mail. The newsletter leaflet is enclosed at **Appendix 2**.

- 3.9 Following local reports that some streets in Diseworth (including Page Lane and Lady Gate), had been missed by the Royal Mail deliveries, newsletters were delivered by hand by the Applicant's team to approximately 70 properties. The Applicant was grateful to have had the apparent localised issue (with some addresses having been initially missed) drawn to its attention, and once aware, responded immediately.
- 3.10 In addition, to further raise awareness of the Scheme and consultation process, newsletters were posted (and emailed) to Parish Council clerks in advance who were encouraged to post or display (physically and/or virtually) the newsletters on local display boards or community online fora. These were sent to all Parish Councils in the 'core consultation area' plus Melbourne Parish Council in South Derbyshire. Clerks also received USBs containing consultation documents for their own use to aid their engagement in the process, as well as to support any local 'hard to reach' groups or individuals who might find it harder to access the same information online or via the hard copies deposited in Castle Donington Library, and at NWLDC's Customer Centre in Coalville from the first day of the consultation period.
- 3.11 As part of the wider awareness raising activity in advance of the formal start of consultation, the Applicant contacted a wide range of consultees and interested parties (individuals and bodies). This was undertaken in writing (via email) to local elected members of both NWLDC and LCC to ensure advanced notice of the consultation process and key dates, including the dates of exhibitions, and the deadline for comments.
- 3.12 Also, as required by the APFP Regulations and the Change Regulations, formal notices of the consultation were posted in local and national newspapers – an example is enclosed at **Appendix 3**. These were:
- Leicester Mercury on 31 January 2025 and 7 February 2025
 - Loughborough Echo on 31 January 2025 and 12 February 2025
 - Derby Telegraph on 31 January 2025 and 7 February 2025
 - Nottingham Post on 31 January 2025 and 7 February 2025
 - London Gazette on 31 January 2025
 - The Times on 31 January 2025
- 3.13 In addition to these 'traditional' press channels, the Applicant also made use of its own website and social media channels to publicise the consultation regarding EMG2. This

¹ The core area consisted of communities in the Parishes of: Long Whatton and Diseworth; Lockington cum Hemington; Isley cum Langley; Kegworth; Castle Donington; Breedon on the Hill.

was undertaken via a series of posts on Facebook and Instagram to promote the statutory consultation process, provide summary details of the proposals, and direct interested parties to the project website. This was undertaken between 4th February and 4th March 2025, and data captured from the campaign shows adverts reached over 260,000 people with the average person seeing the adverts twice. A total of 409 people clicked on the link from the adverts to access the project website which contained more information about the project and consultation process. This is considered to have made a meaningful contribution to the overall dissemination of information and awareness raising.

- 3.14 Consultees were able to comment via a range of means of communication, as set out in the SoCC, including:
- Via email (using the online comments form on the project website);
 - In person at the consultation exhibitions – verbally, and by completing a comments form;
 - By post (via the PO Box) by sending completed questionnaires or other written correspondence.
- 3.15 In addition, although not identified in the SoCC, through direct contact between members of the Applicant team and local consultees and groups, some queries or comments or requests for further information were emailed direct to the Applicant or their representatives.

Consultation Exhibitions and Webinar

- 3.16 The consultation exhibitions represented a key element of the statutory consultation process, enabling local communities and interested parties to not only review a range of material regarding the proposed development, but also engage directly to ask questions or seek clarifications with members of the Applicant team.
- 3.17 The exhibition display boards were detailed and set out comprehensive information on a range of topics and issues regarding the proposals, and the ongoing EIA process. An electronic copy of the exhibition display boards was also posted on the project website on the date of the first exhibition.
- 3.18 The two consultation exhibitions were held between 3pm and 7pm to ensure opportunities for working people to attend and were held in two different venues in the vicinity of the Scheme (Diseworth Village Hall on 10th February 2025, and East Midlands Airport, Hilton Hotel on 25th February 2025). The first venue was chosen following engagement with Protect Diseworth and in recognition that Diseworth is the closest community to the EMG2 Main Site.

- 3.19 The two exhibitions were well attended, with approximately 266 people attending in total, including 198 attendees at the first event in Diseworth.
- 3.20 In addition, a consultation webinar was held later in the consultation period (on the evening of 4th March 2025) to ensure anyone unable to attend an exhibition, or anyone else who wished to engage online as opposed to in person, was able to see and hear a presentation from the Applicant team about the Scheme, and had the opportunity to ask questions.
- 3.21 Six people registered in advance to attend the webinar, although only one person attended. The webinar lasted approximately an hour, and a recording of the presentation and discussion, including answers to questions posed during the session, was posted on the project website.

Statutory Consultation undertaken (Section 42)

- 3.22 The list of bodies consulted under Section 42 (and Sections 43 and 44), and any category 3 parties is enclosed at **Appendix 4**.

Summary of consultation undertaken compared against the SoCC

- 3.23 As set out above, the Applicant undertook the consultation as described in the SoCC.
- 3.24 There was a good level of engagement via the two in-person exhibitions, and a limited level of interest via the webinar.
- 3.25 The consultation process generated the following by way of responses:
- A total of 205 responses from members of the public, including:
 - 106 completed 'hard-copy' paper questionnaire response forms;
 - 97 online response forms via the website; and
 - 2 other emails.
 - A total of 29 responses from statutory consultee bodies.
- 3.26 The table below summarises the key elements of the SoCC regarding the statutory consultee process, and the actions taken:

SoCC strategy or commitment	Detail of activity undertaken or delivered	Notes or Comments
SoCC agreed in dialogue with the local authorities	SoCC was shared in draft and amended directly to reflect local authority input	One of the main changes included expanding the 'core consultation area' for newsletter distribution
Scheme website	https://www.segro.com/countries-repository/united-kingdom/segro-logistics-park-east-midlands-gateway-2 Was established in November 2024	All consultation material, including draft documents and plans, and exhibition display material, was posted on the site throughout the consultation period
Newsletter/leaflet to raise awareness and give details of how to engage	Distributed across the core consultation area in advance of the start of the consultation period (late January and early February) Also shared direct with Parish Clerks See Appendix 2 .	Where issues were raised locally with missed addressed by Royal Mail, the Applicant delivered additional copies by hand
Letters or emails to local individuals and groups	Letters in the 'core consultation area' and beyond) to surrounding Parish Councils, local ward Councillors and County Councillors, and MP	Also senior level NWLDC and LCC Officers received emails
Meetings with local interested parties	Numerous updates and meetings held, including with: • Diseworth Parish Council • Protect Diseworth interest group • EMG1 Community Liaison Group • Moto (local landowner)	

SoCC strategy or commitment	Detail of activity undertaken or delivered	Notes or Comments
Local newspaper notices	- Leicester Mercury - Loughborough Echo - Derby Telegraph - Nottingham Post	This included papers with very extensive circulation centred on Nottingham and Derby (at the request of NWLDC and LCC)
National newspaper notices	- London Gazette - The Times	
Online/social media posts	A series of posts were made via Facebook and Instagram to promote the statutory consultation process, including directing people to the website	Promotion was between 4 th February and 4 th March 2025, and reached more than 260,000 people (with the average person seeing the ads twice). 409 people followed the provided link to the website from the adverts
Consultation documents available in hard copy	Hard copies at NWLDC Customer Service Centre, Coalville, and at Castle Donnington Library	
Consultation documents provided on USBs	Multiple copies of USBs containing all consultation material sent to Parish Council clerks in advance of the start of consultation (on 31 st January 2025) for use locally as required	
Consultation Exhibition events	Two events held as planned: Diseworth Village Hall, 10th February 2025 Hilton Hotel, EMA, 24th February 2025	266 attendees across the two events – in excess of 200 at the Diseworth event, and approx. 60 at the Hilton Hotel event

SoCC strategy or commitment	Detail of activity undertaken or delivered	Notes or Comments
Consultation Webinar	Held 4th March 2025 Video recording of presentation and discussion posted on EMG2 website afterwards	The webinar recording remains available on the project webpage alongside the remainder of the consultation material

4 Responses to statutory consultation

- 4.1 The Applicant is mindful of its duty to take account of responses to consultation and publicity (in accordance with Section 49 of the PA 2008). As referred to above, a full Consultation Report is being prepared and will be submitted as part of the DCO and MCO applications and will include an explanation of how statutory consultation responses have been taken into account.
- 4.2 Throughout the informal and non-statutory consultation and engagement, the Applicant has been seeking to respond to local issues and concerns raised by various consultees, and the proposed Scheme consulted upon during statutory consultation reflected changes and amendments in response to earlier input received.
- 4.3 The Applicant has begun to review the initial feedback received through the statutory consultation process, and although that process is ongoing, it is clear from the work so far that there are consistent themes with issues being raised during the earlier, informal engagement and consultation.
- 4.4 Over 260 people attended the consultation exhibitions, and 187 written responses were received from individuals (the public), with a further 29 written responses from consultee bodies. Having read all comments received, the key points and suggestions have been captured and are now forming the basis of discussion and review across the Applicant's project team to consider the points made. Relevant issues will feed into the ongoing work to progress and finalise the application documentation and the iterative process of finalising the proposals, including giving consideration to whether there are further reasonable or necessary changes or clarifications required as the Scheme is finalised ahead of submission of the applications.
- 4.5 In advance of the Consultation Report which will be produced in due course, the Applicant has prepared a summary of the main themes and issues identified through the statutory consultation process. That summary is at **Appendix 5** of this AoCM report and has been published on the project website. The preparation of that summary of key themes and issues was itself a commitment in the SoCC, intended to aid interested parties by providing a precursor to detail to follow in the Consultation Report.

Next Steps

- 4.6 As part of its review of the responses received, the Applicant is following up in writing and in person with a number of consultees who raised key issues or queries, and a comprehensive Consultation Report will include an explanation of the issues raised and the Applicant's responses to them, and will form part of the DCO and MCO applications in due course.

- 4.7 In addition, there is ongoing engagement with many statutory consultees regarding the ongoing technical work to refine or progress the various application documents and assessments, including completing elements of the EIA such as the TA. A key focus of the ongoing engagement over the coming period in advance of submission of the DCO and MCO applications will be progressing Statements of Common Ground (SoCGs) with key consultees and stakeholders with a view to narrowing any issues and reaching agreement on the scope and content of the DCO and MCO applications. The Applicant is committed to finalising as many SoCGs as possible at or around the time of the DCO and MCO applications being submitted.
- 4.8 The Applicant is satisfied that the statutory consultation undertaken was comprehensive and was undertaken in accordance with the SoCC. As part of its commitment to ongoing consultation and engagement, however, the Applicant is giving consideration to whether there may be value in additional (non-statutory) consultation.

5 Conclusions

- 5.1 This AoCM Report sets out how the Applicant has undertaken appropriate and suitable consultation in respect of its proposed applications for a DCO for the EMG2 Main Site and the Highway Works, and for a MCO to the EMG1 DCO for the EMG1 Works. Crucially, it demonstrates that the Applicant has undertaken a comprehensive consultation strategy as set out in and in accordance with the agreed SoCC.
- 5.2 The engagement and consultation undertaken has seen use of a range of communication methods with a range of bodies and communities over an extensive period of time. Implementation of the strategy built on the relationships and connections established by the Applicant from their involvement in delivering the successful EMG1 scheme, and as part of early work relating to an initially intended planning application on the EMG2 Main Site.
- 5.3 The level of local engagement in the consultation process, notably at the consultation exhibitions, and the number of comments received to the statutory consultation process, indicates the successful nature of the consultation undertaken. Over 260 people attended the consultation exhibitions, and 187 written responses were received from individuals (the public), with a further 29 written responses from consultee bodies. The online social media promotion reached a very significant number of people (around 260,000) and generated over 400 visits to the project website which contained full details of the proposals and consultation material.
- 5.4 The Applicant understands the importance of local awareness of the proposals and consultation process to ensure people have the chance to review and comment on draft material, and through the combination of not only the Applicant's direct activities, but also supported by the wider local activities and communication by the Parish Council and the local interest group (Protect Diseworth), there can be no doubt that there was an excellent level of local awareness, and engagement. As stated earlier in this AoCM Report, the Applicant is satisfied that the statutory consultation undertaken was comprehensive and undertaken in accordance with the SoCC. However, as part of that ongoing process, the Applicant is giving consideration to whether there may be value in additional (non-statutory) consultation to provide further updates and details on some key elements of the proposals.
- 5.5 In summary, this AoCM report confirms that, in respect of the proposed DCO application, the Applicant has complied with the consultation and publicity requirements prescribed by Sections 42, 46, 47 and 48 of the 'PA 2008, the relevant provisions of the EIA Regulations and the APFP Regulations. It also confirms how the

Applicant has complied with the consultation and publicity requirements prescribed by the Change Regulations in respect of the proposed MCO application.

APPENDIX 1

Statement of Community Consultation (December 2024)

Statement of Community Consultation (SOCC)

DECEMBER 2024

The East Midlands Gateway Phase 2
Development Consent Order 202X and The East Midlands Gateway
Rail Freight and Highway (Amendment) Order 202X

CONTENTS

- 1.0 INTRODUCTION**
- 2.0 THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT, SITE AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA)**
- 3.0 CONSULTATION STRATEGY**

1.0 INTRODUCTION

What is this document?

- 1.1 This Statement of Community Consultation ("SoCC") records how SEGRO Properties Ltd (the "Applicant") will consult the local community on its proposals for Phase 2 of East Midlands Gateway (known as "EMG2" or the "Scheme"). In preparing this SoCC, North West Leicestershire District Council ("NWLDC") and Leicestershire County Council ("LCC") were consulted on the Applicant's consultation strategy. Comments and suggestions received have been discussed and changes incorporated into this final SoCC.
- 1.2 This SoCC has been prepared in accordance with Section 47(1) of the Planning Act 2008 (the "PA 2008")¹ which requires applicants for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects ("NSIPs") to *"prepare a statement setting out how the applicant proposes to consult, about the proposed application, with people living in the vicinity of the land"*. The Applicant has also taken into account the guidance on the pre-application stage for NSIPs² produced by the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (the "Guidance").
- 1.3 This SoCC therefore sets out how the Applicant intends to consult the local community on its proposals, how people can learn more about the Scheme and engage with the process, as well as information about the statutory consultation process. Additionally, it sets out how the Applicant will have regard to consultation responses received.

Overview of the Scheme

- 1.4 The Scheme is a second phase of the existing and operational East Midlands Gateway ("EMG1") which is a Strategic Rail Freight Interchange ("SRFI") located to the north of East Midlands Airport. A SRFI is a large multi-purpose freight interchange and distribution centre connected by rail and road networks.
- 1.5 An illustrative plan showing the location of the Scheme is shown at figure 1 below.
- 1.6 The Scheme comprises three interrelated component parts as follows:
- **EMG2 Main site** – A new warehousing and manufacturing employment park located south of East Midlands Airport and the A453, and west of the M1 motorway. This part of the Scheme falls within the 'East Midlands Airport and Gateway Industrial Cluster' (EMAGIC) site, which forms part of the East Midlands Freeport designated by the Government in 2022;
 - **Highways works** – Highways works to the strategic road network including improvements at junction 24 of the M1 motorway and the road network interacting with that junction; and
 - **EMG1 works** – Additional warehousing together with works to increase the permitted height of the cranes at the SRFI, improvements to the EMG1 public transport interchange and site management building.

¹ Available to view at: <https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2008/29/contents>

² Planning Act 2008: Pre-application stage for Nationally Significant Infrastructure Projects published on 30 April 2024 and available to view at: <https://www.gov.uk/guidance/planning-act-2008-pre-application-stage-for-nationally-significant-infrastructure-projects>

- 1.7 The Scheme has been identified as 'nationally significant' by a direction of the Secretary of State dated 21 February 2024 ("the Direction"), and should be subject to the same consenting process as an NSIP – also see the 'planning process' section below.




Figure 1 – Site Location Plan

Overview of the planning process

- 1.8 NSIPs are dealt with via a different process to other major planning proposals. Rather than submitting a planning application to the local authority to seek approval for the development, an applicant is required to apply instead to the Planning Inspectorate ("PINS") for a Development Consent Order ("DCO"). The application will ultimately be determined by the Secretary of State following consideration of a report prepared by the examining inspectors appointed by PINS on behalf of the Secretary of State.
- 1.9 Information about the planning process which applies to the Scheme and NSIPs generally is available on the PINS website: <http://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/>
- 1.10 Following receipt of the application for a DCO from the Applicant, PINS will consider whether the application is of a sufficient standard to be accepted. When considering whether to accept an application, PINS will invite the host local authorities to confirm whether or not the consultation process completed by the Applicant is adequate and conforms with this SoCC. If the application is accepted, then there will be the opportunity for interested parties to register and participate in the "examination" of the application. The examination is undertaken by one inspector or a panel of up to five inspectors known as the "Examining Authority" and is

predominantly a written process but often includes some oral hearings on specific topics. The final decision will be made by the Secretary of State following the examination and after receiving a report prepared by the Examining Authority.

- 1.11 It should be noted that neither NWLDC or LCC are decision making authorities but they do have an important role and will be required to contribute to the examination process. The Applicant's engagement with these authorities is set out in further detail in Section 2 of this SoCC.
- 1.12 As part of the process for determining applications for development consent for NSIPs, the Government has prepared 'National Policy Statements' on a range of infrastructure development, including various types of Energy infrastructure, Transport, Waste, and Water. In 2024, the Government published an updated version of the 'National Policy Statement for National Networks' ("NPSNN")³ which includes specific policy guidance on SRFI developments. The NPSNN and more information can be found via the address in the footnote below, and on the PINS's website quoted above.
- 1.13 There is also a link to the PINS website on the EMG2 webpage which is:
www.segro.com/slpemg2

³ The NPSNN is available here: <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/national-networks-national-policy-statement>

2.0 THE PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT, SITE AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EIA)

2.1 The Applicant's proposals for EMG2 include additional logistics and manufacturing facilities incorporating a substantial logistics campus and co-located headquarters functions for Maersk (a global shipping and logistics company) on land south of East Midlands Airport. EMG2 will be integrated with improvements to the efficiency and freight handling functionality of the SRFI at EMG1. The development will also directly support delivery of the wider East Midlands Freeport.

2.2 The proposed development is comprised of three elements. Each element of the Scheme is described in greater detail under sub-headings below. The following paragraphs also summarise the proposed consenting process for the three interrelated component parts which comprise the Scheme.

The EMG2 main site

2.3 The proposed development within the EMG2 main site is for a multi-unit logistics/industrial development together with supporting and co-located office functions. The parameters for the proposed development are likely to evolve and be refined further to reflect the outcomes of the environmental impact assessment ("EIA") and consultation processes.

2.4 An application for development consent is to be made pursuant to section 37 of the PA 2008 in accordance with the Direction made by the Secretary of State. The Direction confirmed that the Applicant's proposals for the EMG2 main site satisfied the criteria for business and commercial projects and should be treated as a development of national significance for which development consent is required.

2.5 A development 'Parameters Plan' will be submitted with the DCO application to define the key development principles and form the basis for the assessment of the development of the EMG2 main site. A draft Parameters Plan will be included in the consultation documentation to identify the following components of the proposed development on the EMG2 main site:

- A maximum of 300,000 sq.m. of employment floorspace (GIA), and an additional 100,000 sq.m. of mezzanine floors;
- A series of Development Zones to the north and south of Hyam's Lane where new employment buildings are proposed to be located together with supporting infrastructure;
- Maximum external building heights for each Development Zone. Maximum finished floor levels (FFL) will also be specified for each Development Zone;
- Vehicular access from the A453;
- Landscaping areas and buffers along the site boundaries including new and retained landscape features which will deliver biodiversity enhancements. This will include a significant landscape screen utilising earthworks bunding on the western and southern part of the site. Hyam's Lane is proposed to be retained and provide pedestrian/cycle connectivity through the middle of the site. The landscape areas would include SUDS features;
- Provision of a new estate road serving the Development Zones; and
- A bus interchange terminal at the site entrance which replicates and builds upon the successful sustainable travel strategy for the EMG1 site.

- 2.6 While the application will not seek approval for details of layout or design, an illustrative masterplan will also be consulted upon and be submitted as part of the application, to show how the site *could* come forward based on the Parameters Plan.

Highway Works

- 2.7 It is currently envisaged that, subject to further assessment, safety audits and agreement with highways authorities, the highway works required to facilitate the Scheme will comprise:
- Provision of site access from the A453;
 - Potential improvements to the wider highway network;
 - Provision of a new shared footpath/cycleway along the A453 connecting the EMG2 main site with facilities at EMG1.
- 2.8 The proposed works to the strategic road network may qualify as a NSIP in their own right. The Applicant is currently liaising with National Highways Limited, as strategic highway authority, to determine whether the works exceed the thresholds in section 22 of the PA 2008. Either as a highways NSIP, or not, the strategic highways works will be included as an integral part of the application for the Scheme.

EMG1 works and Strategic Rail Freight Interchange improvements

- 2.9 It is proposed to increase the functionality of the existing SRFI located within EMG1, to serve occupiers located at both EMG1 and EMG2 and enhance operational handling efficiency at the terminal. The alterations to the SRFI at EMG1 are proposed to comprise:
- Provision of up to 6.4ha additional warehousing comprising 25,000 sq.m. with 5,000 sq.m. of mezzanine flooring (referred to as 'Plot 16') and/or additional open container storage area;
 - Improvements to the EMG1 SRFI to increase handling functionality and efficiency including through the provision of higher gantry cranes than consented under the EMG1 DCO;
 - Expansion of the management suite to cater for the additional demand on management facilities resulting from EMG2;
 - Public transport enhancements including provision of EV charging infrastructure for buses and provision of a drop-off layby adjacent to the existing transport hub; and
 - Upgrade work to Public Footpath L57.
- 2.10 An application will be made for a material change to The East Midlands Gateway Rail Freight Interchange and Highway Order 2016 pursuant to section 153 and schedule 6 of the PA 2008 to authorise the proposed works at EMG1 ("Material Change Order" or "MCO").

EIA

- 2.11 The Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 require the development to be accompanied by an EIA.
- 2.12 The environmental assessment work, presented in an Environmental Statement ("ES"), will be submitted as part of the application. As progress continues in preparing the ES, draft ES

chapters will be used for consultation to serve as the 'Preliminary Environmental Information Report' (PEIR).


- 2.13 As set out in Section 3 of this SoCC, the draft ES chapters will form part of the consultation processes to aid the ability of consultees to understand the Scheme, the emerging mitigation proposed, and its likely environmental effects. As described below (see paragraphs 3.32 – 3.35), different amounts of detail are likely to be available across topics to inform the various elements of the engagement and consultation activities proposed.

3.0 CONSULTATION STRATEGY

Introduction

- 3.1 This section of the SoCC sets out the details of the consultation strategy. It provides a summary of the early activity undertaken to date, as well as setting out the intended strategy for ongoing consultation whilst the application is being prepared which includes ongoing non-statutory consultation as well as a formal statutory consultation period.
- 3.2 The Applicant's consultation and engagement strategy involves more than one stage of consultation, including informal engagement as well as statutory consultation covering a range of activities to ensure appropriate and meaningful awareness and opportunities to comment on and influence the emerging proposals. In addition, the Scheme's origins as a planning application being prepared under the town and country planning process included initial activities undertaken with regard to consultee and community engagement. That dialogue represents part of the iterative process that has informed the DCO application and will be recorded appropriately in the Consultation Report which will accompany the application. The activities which have already been completed are described below within 'stage 1' under the heading "Early Engagement". This stage also identifies the ongoing and planned non-statutory consultation and engagement activities.
- 3.3 Under section 47 of the PA 2008, the Applicant is required to consult with the local community, described as *"people living in the vicinity of the land"*. This SoCC details the Applicant's proposed consultation strategy in respect of the proposed development including the statutory consultation identified as 'stage 2' and has been prepared in accordance with section 47 of the PA 2008 and the accompanying Guidance. For clarity, the consultation strategy will consider people who both live and work within the local community of the proposed development, and while this will focus on those living within the identified 'core consultation area' defined below, the Applicant will also undertake engagement and awareness raising activities in communities outside of that area.
- 3.4 Separate requirements under section 42 of the PA 2008 require the Applicant to consult prescribed consultee bodies and organisations, local authorities and persons with an interest in the land. Engagement and consultation with relevant consultees has been underway for some time regarding a range of technical topics and issues for development of the Scheme and assessment purposes, but, in addition to this, the Applicant will consult with all relevant consultees more formally as part of the statutory consultation and engagement process.
- 3.5 The proposed consultation strategy will build upon the consultation and engagement the Applicant has already conducted with further consultation throughout the pre-application period. This multiple stage approach is shown in figure 2 below:

Figure 2: ROUTE MAP TO DCO APPLICATION




Who and where will we be consulting

Core Consultation Area

- 3.6 In accordance with section 47 of the PA 2008, and the Guidance, the Applicant's proposed approach to community consultation seeks to ensure information and opportunity to comment is provided to those most likely to be affected by the Scheme.
- 3.7 Prior to commencing any statutory consultation, the Applicant identified a 'Core Area' for community consultation focusing on the business and residential communities neighbouring the Scheme. This Core Area has been refined for the purposes of this SoCC and was shared for comment and approval with both NWLDC and LCC. Following comments received, the Core Area was expanded to ensure it incorporates communities closest to the proposed Highways Works and to EMG1. This enlarged area is shown on the map at figure 3 below.

- 3.8 The Core Area includes the communities 'in the vicinity of' the land' where the Scheme will be located and will form the focus of the single statutory consultation comprising stage 2. This stage 2 statutory consultation process is considered in further detail later in this SoCC.

Figure 3: Proposed Core Consultation area:



- 3.9 The Core Area includes all or part of the parishes of:
- Long Whatton and Diseworth
 - Lockington cum Hemington;
 - Isley cum Langley;
 - Kegworth;
 - Castle Donington;
 - Breedon on the Hill.
- 3.10 Within the Core Area, individual properties will receive a posted awareness raising leaflet regarding the consultation process. In addition, consultation events (exhibitions) will also be located within the Core Area. It is this which differentiates the Core Area from other areas where there will also be other engagement and awareness raising via Parish Councils and other measures as set out below.
- 3.11 The Applicant intends that Parish Councils both within and outside the Core Area are used as a key point of contact to help ensure wider awareness in the local communities in addition to measures and activity to engage directly with local people and communities. As part of the approach, the Applicant will inform local elected members (and District and County Councillors whose divisions include part of the Core Area) and Parish Councillors within the Core Area of progress at relevant stages leading up to the application as a key part of its ongoing consultation. This will be done by offering and providing briefings and updates through email correspondence. In addition, the intention is to provide electronic copies of the posted leaflet distributed by the Applicant to Parish Clerks. The leaflet will include details of the consultation which Parish Clerks can display locally to further ensure wider local awareness of the proposals

and consultation process, including in those Parishes outside of the Core Area where leaflets will not have been sent to all addresses direct.

How we have and how we will continue to consult

Early Engagement

- 3.12 The initial, early stage of the consultation process is already underway, and has been for some time. Pre-application engagement and dialogue about EMG2 began with the Applicant's proposals to pursue a traditional planning application following designation of the East Midlands Freeport which includes the EMG2 main site. Informal dialogue and engagement was first undertaken in 2022 with various bodies. Engagement at that time focused on briefings provided to officers and informal updates to individual local members of NWLDC and LCC, as well representatives of the East Midlands Freeport. Initial contact was also made with the local MP, landowners, the local 'action group' (known as 'Protect Diseworth') and with individual Parish Councils.
- 3.13 A Transport Working Group was established in March 2022 which involves representatives from the county and district councils as well as National Highways Limited. A wide range of technical highways matters have, and will continue to be, discussed throughout the pre-application period within this group, including key technical methodology, data and assumptions to underpin the Transport Assessment which will form part of the DCO application.
- 3.14 An ES Scoping Opinion request, associated with the planning application, was submitted to NWLDC in May 2022. NWLDC provided a Scoping Opinion in December 2022 having consulted with a range of consultees (statutory and local consultees).
- 3.15 Following the Direction from the Secretary of State pursuant to section 35 of the PA 2008 on February 2024, a request for a Scoping Opinion was submitted to PINS in August 2024 in light of the decision to proceed with a DCO application. As part of that process, consultees were consulted again and provided comments to PINS to inform its Scoping Opinion, which was issued on 24 September 2024.
- 3.16 In addition to the activities already completed, informal non-statutory engagement and consultation is on-going and a summary of the planned activities which will lead up to and inform the statutory consultation process in stage 2 is included in table 1 below.

Table 1: Summary of planned stage 1 engagement and consultation activities:

Activity	Description
PINS EMG2 webpage	Directing local people and consultees to the PINS EMG2 webpage – found at: https://national-infrastructure-consenting.planninginspectorate.gov.uk The PINS EMG2 webpage contains full details of the ES Scoping Report and provides links to other PINS and government guidance about the NSIP process
EMG2 webpage	Providing information and feedback methods via a specific EMG2 webpage established by the Applicant - www.segro.com/slpemg2 . The EMG2 webpage also includes contact details for the

	Applicant, and will include ways to get in touch (by email, phone and free post) before, during and after the statutory consultation period. The Applicant will maintain and expand the content and information shared via the EMG2 webpage to provide further details about the proposals. This will include access to emerging masterplans and other draft application material, when available, as the Scheme evolves. This will also include clear details about when and how to comment on the proposals as part of the statutory consultation process.
Scheme briefings	Briefings and updates offered to key consultees, representatives of the Local Authorities (including NWLDC and LCC), and the EMG Community Liaison Group, and nearby Parish Councils and other local community groups.
Early and ongoing technical and assessment related contact with consultee bodies	Engagement with representatives from National Highways and other highways bodies in Leicestershire, Nottinghamshire and Derbyshire (largely via the Transport Working Group), plus bus operators, and the East Midlands Freeport regarding wider strategic issues and opportunities. Early dialogue and consultation with a range of statutory consultees including the Environment Agency and Natural England regarding the ES and survey methodologies. These are in addition to, and complementary to, the obligations and requirements under sections 42 and 43 of the PA 2008.

Statutory Consultation

- 3.17 The second stage of the consultation process will commence with a **6 week period of statutory consultation commencing in February 2025**. This will exceed the statutory minimum requirement of 28 days (4 weeks). A summary of the planned activities is provided in table 2 below and further details are provided in the text that follows.

Table 2: Summary of planned stage 2 consultation activities:

Activity	Description
Statutory Consultation	An extensive 6 week statutory consultation period starting in February 2025 structured around exhibiting Scheme information at 'in person' events as well as an online event (see paragraphs 3.21 to 3.32 below). The intention is to hold in-person exhibitions a few weeks apart during the consultation period, and an online event towards the end of the consultation period to maximise opportunities for interested persons to engage and contribute. The material displayed at the in-person exhibition (in the form of display

	boards) will also be available on the EMG2 webpage throughout the consultation period.
--	--

Updates via the EMG2 webpage

- 3.18 The EMG2 webpage will be kept up to date with information about the proposals in general, including details about the statutory consultation process (including dates and venues) once confirmed.
- 3.19 All material used as part of the statutory consultation process will be available via the EMG2 webpage from the beginning of that formal consultation period and maintained throughout the period until the deadline for responses expires. Specifically, this will include electronic copies of the exhibition boards used at in-person and virtual events. It will also be possible to submit comments via the webpage, and so those unable to attend the in-person event will be able to both see the same consultation material and comment on it online.
- 3.20 During the consultation period, members of the public and all consultees will be able to use the comments form on the webpage (www.segro.com/emg2) to leave comments or ask questions – this form will replicate the same hard copy form made available during the in-person exhibition events.

Public Exhibitions

- 3.21 The Applicant plans to hold two in-person public exhibitions and the intention is for these to be held a few weeks apart during the consultation period.
- 3.22 Dates and venue details of two public exhibitions will be confirmed in due course, but subject to availability of a suitable venue, the intention is for an exhibition to be held in Diseworth which is the closest community to the EMG2 main site, and another at a hotel located close to the site which it is intended will be a convenient, larger venue in a central location for residents from nearby surrounding villages.
- 3.23 The final venues will be chosen for the exhibitions on the basis that they are close to the proposed development site and have sufficient space to accommodate potentially large groups of people safely including sufficient access and car parking, while also accommodating the display of large-scale exhibition boards.
- 3.24 Subject to venue availability, the exhibitions will run during the afternoon and through to the early evening for each event, typically for around 6 hours – for example, 1.30pm until 7.30pm. The exhibitions will not be held during school holidays or public holidays. Any event held on a Saturday is likely to start and finish earlier than those during the working week – for example, 11.30am until 5.00pm.
- 3.25 The exhibitions will provide an opportunity for people to see details of the emerging proposals, ask questions from representatives of the Applicant's professional team, and provide comments and feedback. Exhibition material will be prepared and displayed on large-scale boards at each public exhibition and will include draft plans and drawings required as part of the DCO process.
- 3.26 Representatives of the Applicant's professional team will be available to answer questions by visitors to the exhibitions. People will be asked to leave written comments and suggestions at

the exhibitions via a paper feedback form, or provide comments afterwards by letter or via the comments form on the webpage. They will be asked to respond within the consultation timeframe which will extend to 6-weeks (well in excess of the required minimum of 28 days).

Webinar Session

- 3.27 To increase accessibility for those unable to attend physical events, the Applicant will also organise an online 'webinar' session. A registration link for the webinar session will be provided on the EMG2 webpage and the Community Awareness Leaflet will explain and direct residents to the EMG2 webpage in order for them to register to participate in the webinar session in advance.
- 3.28 The total number of webinars will, in part, be informed by the levels of demand and interest in attending, but a minimum of one is proposed and expected to last approximately 1.30-2 hours. It is proposed that the webinar will be held in the second half of the consultation period for those who were unable to attend an in-person event.
- 3.29 The webinar session will use a format which is expected to include the following:
- A weblink or similar that the local community can use to register in advance for the webinar;
 - The Scheme email address and EMG2 webpage where questions in advance of the webinar can be submitted by members of the public;
 - An email link will be sent to registrants in order to access the webinar;
 - A brief presentation on key elements of the proposals by representatives of the Applicant's professional team from a range of disciplines;
 - Questions posed prior to the webinar will then be answered by the Applicant's professional team;
 - Webinar participants will be provided the opportunity to ask further questions of the Applicant's professional team during the webinar, most likely via a written "question and answer" function during the event. These comments will be recorded and reported on in the Consultation Report submitted to the Secretary of State as part of the DCO;
 - A recoding of the webinar or summary Question and Answer (Q&A) of the event will be posted on the EMG2 webpage for review within 1 week of the webinar session. This summary is provided for those unable to attend the events and could be used by residents to inform their comments.
- 3.30 If there is significant interest in attending the webinar, to keep numbers manageable, the number of webinars will be increased, and each additional session will be advertised via the EMG2 webpage. A version of the same information boards, plus the same drawings and documents, as used during the public exhibitions will be available to support each online webinar and will also be available on the EMG2 webpage.
- 3.31 Webinars are currently expected to feature on a weekday late during the 6 week consultation period in an early evening slot to avoid normal working hours (e.g, between 18:00-19:30).

What we will consult on

- 3.32 A principal purpose of the various forms of consultation as set out in this SoCC is to gain a further understanding of specific issues and concerns held by the communities close to the land

where the proposed development is situated, with a view to addressing and ameliorating these wherever possible.

- 3.33 The statutory consultation will include updated and further progressed information about the proposed development to help inform local people and other consultees, and to aid them in making comments. This will include a range of draft plans, reports and other documentation, including the latest draft ES documents comprising the preliminary environmental information (see paragraph 2.12).
- 3.34 The draft ES documents, will provide an overview of the site characteristics and findings regarding the existing 'baseline', and set out the ongoing range and scope of works being undertaken and planned to inform the ES in due course. The material will be prepared in the context of the EIA Scoping Opinion provided by PINS, and the comments provided by consultees. The ES will be based on the work undertaken to date at that stage, and is intended to provide a sound overview of the baseline conditions, enable some early judgements about the most likely potential effects, and initial descriptions of likely or emerging mitigation measures, as well as early indications, where available, of potential effects.
- 3.35 The proposed documents to be included and consulted on during the statutory consultation period is set out below. It is not intended that these Scheme drawings/documents will be the final versions. They will be the latest available drafts and will be under-going further updates as the Scheme progresses towards submission and to reflect consultation responses, as well as ongoing detailed work (for example regarding the emerging detail of draft highways mitigation works).

Table 3 –Documents proposed to be available for statutory consultation

Draft Scheme Document/Plan	Proposed to be Included in the (statutory) consultation
Works Plans	Y
Access and Rights of Way Plans	Y
Location Plan	Y
Parameters Plan	Y
Illustrative Masterplan	Y
Highway Plans	Y
Components Plan	Y
Draft Development Consent Order	Y
Explanatory Memorandum	Y
Environmental Statement	Y (in the form of draft ES chapters which will serve as the 'Preliminary Environmental Information Report' (PEIR))
Traffic and Transport	
Air Quality and Odour	

Draft Scheme Document/Plan	Proposed to be Included in the (statutory) consultation
Noise and Vibration	
Landscape and Visual Impacts (incl. Lighting)	
Ecology and Biodiversity	
Flood Risk and Drainage	
Heritage and Archaeology	
Agriculture and Soils	
Climate Change	
Socio-Economic Impacts	
Design Approach Document	Y
Other Environmental Information:	
Scoping Report	Y
Scoping Opinion	Y

How we will advertise the Consultation Activities

3.36 Consultation will be advertised in the following way:

- **Issue a community awareness raising leaflet** (see further information below) to all households and businesses within the Core Area (identified on the plan at Figure 3 above) containing key information to summarise the proposed development and providing information on how individuals can communicate with the Applicant and make representations.
- **Written contact with all local MPs, County, District and Parish Councillors** representing communities within the identified Core Area, and in other surrounding nearby communities beyond the Core Area, including in areas where leaflets will not have reached every address. This will make them aware of how, where, and when they and their constituents can access the Scheme information, and comment upon it.
- **Publicise** our intention to submit an application for a DCO for a NSIP and a MCO in accordance with the requirements of the PA 2008. This will include writing to statutory consultees pursuant to section 42 of the PA 2008 (including prescribed consultees, local authorities listed under section 43 of the PA 2008 and persons with an interest in the land as described in section 44 of the PA 2008) as well as publishing notices in local newspapers, a national newspaper and the London Gazette pursuant to section 48 of the PA 2008.
- **Publish a newspaper notice** in the Leicester Mercury and Loughborough Echo (local press), and in the Derby Telegraph and Nottingham Post to ensure a wider circulation and awareness raising. These notices will advise the start of the statutory consultation period before it commences. This notice will also include details of the planned public exhibitions and online webinar. The distribution areas for these newspapers extend well beyond the Core Area and will cover a large number of surrounding communities. The Applicant will also publish a notice pursuant to section 47 of the PA 2008 detailing

where this SoCC can be inspected online on the EMG2 webpage and also how hard copies can be viewed at the exhibitions, in deposited locations or requested.

- **Site notices (or similar notices)** informing residents of the upcoming exhibitions and online webinars, as well as details of the EMG2 webpage, phone number and contact email address will also be displayed in locations around the site, including at the existing EMG1 employment site which is known to employ people from across a large number of local communities. The site notices will be displayed before the start of the statutory consultation period, and the Applicant will ensure Parish Councils receive copies of notices with a view to them displaying them locally if they are able.
 - **Social Media and other online platforms**, the Applicant will make use of their existing 'X' and LinkedIn channels to raise awareness of key milestones and events during the consultation and planning process, and direct people to the EMG2 webpage for details and more frequent updates.
 - **Information about the consultation** will also be uploaded on to the EMG2 webpage in advance of the start of the statutory consultation period.
- 3.37 This approach will ensure notices appear in the local press in the immediate vicinity of the proposed development, but also in other communities well beyond that immediate area. Notices will be included in the newspaper publications online if possible.
- 3.38 In addition, as referred to above, all consultation information, including details of exhibitions will be available to the public on the EMG2 webpage. This webpage will be kept regularly updated with new information or documentation added to it.
- 3.39 Respondees will be able to submit representations and comments via the following methods:
- By hand (or post – see below) via a printed comments form available at the public exhibitions;
 - Online via the comments form on the EMG2 webpage: www.segro.com/slpemg2
 - By post to a PO Box to be established for the statutory consultation period;
 - By telephone: 02475 529730;
 - In person at the public exhibitions described above;
 - During the online webinar.

Community awareness leaflet

- 3.40 In advance of the start of the statutory consultation period, a community awareness leaflet will be delivered to every residential and business address within the Core Area.
- 3.41 This leaflet will provide a summary of the development proposals, give details of where documents and information can be viewed, including the forthcoming exhibitions and online webinar events. The leaflet will also include the webpage address as well as a phone number and email address for residents who wish to contact the Applicant's team with any queries. In addition, copies of the community awareness leaflet will be made available at the public exhibitions.

- 3.42 Copies of the leaflet will also be shared with the Parish Councils inside and outside the Core Area both in hard copy and electronically, to ensure wider awareness of the consultation process in other communities.

Consultation documents placed in accessible public locations

- 3.43 It is proposed that copies of the consultation documents will be deposited as follows:

- a) **Hard copies of documents, including the SoCC, will be available at** NWLDC customer centre and Castle Donington Library, which is the nearest library to the site:

North West Leicestershire District Council Customer Centre, Belvoir Road, Coalville, Leicestershire, LE67 3XF	Castle Donington Library, 101 Bondgate, Castle Donington, DE74 2NR
---	---

- b) **5 USB sticks** containing all the consultation documents will also be provided to each of the **Parish Councils in the Core Area** both for use by the Parish Council, but also with a view to them being made available to the local community at the Parish Council's office or at a village hall where practical to do so. **More USBs can be made available to Parish Councils on request.** USB sticks will also be available on request at the public consultation events.
- c) In addition, if requested, consultation documents can be provided by the Applicant via a suitable file sharing webpage link, such as *wetransfer*. If there are particular issues with downloading, printing documents or viewing online, at the discretion of the Applicant hard copies of any specific documents requested can be made available subject to a small printing charge (50p per A4 sheet, 75p per A3 sheet and if plans are requested at a larger scale, a charge of £5 per plan will be made). Cases of hardship will be considered on their individual basis and the Applicant may use its discretion on whether or not to charge for hard copies.

- 3.44 The Applicant will work with the local authorities and Parish Councils to ensure all documentation (including the Community Awareness Leaflet) is accessible to any identified harder to reach groups which represent people with protected characteristics under equalities law within the Core Area. This may include the provision of documents being made available in other formats as required, such as hard copies for those without computer access, large text format, or in braille. No harder to reach groups have been identified by the District or County Councils. As referred to above, for those with limited internet access, consultation documents will be available on a USB stick on request at the exhibitions, and by contacting the Applicant with name and address details.

Social Media

- 3.45 The Applicant will utilise its corporate social media platforms (X and LinkedIn) to raise awareness of key milestones and engagement events in the local community and allow a wider audience beyond the Core Area to be accessed. This will ensure a wider reach both

geographically, but also demographically, with social media more likely to reach many younger groups and communities than traditional press adverts or notices.

- 3.46 The social media channels will be used to publicise the statutory consultation period and key milestones, and will direct people to the EMG2 webpage for more details about the proposals and the process. Social media users will have the option to “follow” these channels to be kept up to date about the proposals.

Other meetings

- 3.47 Where appropriate opportunities arise, the Applicant will attend local meetings to discuss the proposals, such as those held by local authorities or Parish Councils, particularly where this would supplement the consultation exhibitions and further enhance engagement and awareness of the proposals. This would represent a continuation of the engagement activity already underway.

When we will consult and for how long

- 3.48 Non-statutory consultation and engagement is ongoing and will continue with key stakeholders up to the submission of the DCO and MCO applications (see figure 2, paragraph 3.16 and table 1 above).
- 3.49 **The statutory consultation** is currently planned to commence in **February 2025 for a period of 6 weeks**. This is significantly greater than the statutory minimum requirement of a 28-day period.

Taking account of responses to the consultation and publicity (Consultation Report)

- 3.50 Dialogue and consultation with a wide range of parties and stakeholders has begun and will continue throughout the period in advance of, and beyond, the statutory consultation process described in this SoCC. The ongoing engagement, non-statutory consultation and statutory consultation activities will be summarised in a ‘**Consultation Report**’ which is required by the PA 2008 to accompany the application for a DCO and will be submitted to the Secretary of State.
- 3.51 The initial informal engagement undertaken by the Applicant has already directly informed some key aspects of the emerging design and composition of the Scheme. Early views, ideas or preferences expressed by local organisations and individuals have been considered and acted upon. For example, the local importance attached to the existing route of Hyam’s Lane which runs across the site has been clearly expressed through the initial engagement undertaken, and so early design proposals which included the route being diverted have since been replaced and the proposals now see Hyam’s Lane retained without diversion from its current alignment. Similarly, early (and ongoing) engagement with local bus operators has directly helped inform how sustainable transport measures and accessibility is being incorporated into the development proposals.
- 3.52 Further comments and representations received through the Statutory Consultation stage will be properly recorded and considered by the Applicant to inform the evolution of the Scheme. Comments will be summarised and reported in the ‘Consultation Report’ which will be submitted to the Secretary of State as part of the DCO application. Where appropriate, the Applicant will

respond directly to the points made or questions asked, particularly where specific proposals or amendments are suggested. An explanation of how consultation input and representations received have shaped and influenced the proposed development will be provided in the Consultation Report. A summary of main themes arising from consultation with the local community will also be published on the EMG2 webpage 4 to 6 weeks after the consultation period closes.

Application Submission

- 3.53 The third stage of public consultation will be undertaken by PINS after the application has been submitted and accepted, and this is split into two parts.
- 3.54 Firstly, within the 'Pre-examination' stage, members of the public are able to register with PINS to become an 'Interested Party' by making a Relevant Representation. A Relevant Representation is a written summary of a person's views on an application. All Interested Parties are invited to attend a Preliminary Meeting which is run and chaired by the Examining Authority appointed by PINS.
- 3.55 Secondly, during the Examination stage, Interested Parties who have registered by making a Relevant Representation are invited to provide more details of their views in writing, and some may be invited to participate in the Examination hearings by the Examining Authority.

APPENDIX 2

Newsletter leaflet (January 2025)

SEGRO Logistics Park East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 (EMG2)

Public Consultation

SEGRO is proposing to develop a second phase to East Midlands Gateway (EMG1) known as EMG2. The land within the second phase forms part of the East Midlands Freeport and will contribute towards economic growth in the East Midlands (including through job creation) and long-term sustainability benefits. The proposal includes the provision of much needed employment space as well as improvements to the existing rail freight terminal at EMG1.

EMG2 has been identified by the Secretary of State as a project of national significance for which an application will be made to the Secretary of State for a Development Consent Order (DCO) pursuant to the Planning Act 2008. Before the DCO application is submitted, SEGRO is required to consult stakeholders and the local community about its proposals. The purpose of this newsletter is to inform you about the consultation process and to invite you to provide your comments on EMG2.

Consultation on the proposals for EMG2 will commence on 3rd February 2025 and end on 17th March 2025.


During the consultation period, more detailed information, including preliminary environmental information, about EMG2 will be provided on the project webpage – [//segro.com/slpemg2](https://segro.com/slpemg2) – and will be available to view at North West Leicestershire District Council's Customer Service Centre, Belvoir Road, Coalville, Leicestershire LE67 3XF and at Castle Donington Library, 101 Bondgate, Castle Donington, Derbyshire DE74 2LJ. In addition, two public exhibitions will take place and an online webinar will be held on 4th March 2025. The dates and times for the exhibitions is set out below and further details about the webinar will be published on the project webpage in due course.

EXHIBITION 1

10th February 2025 · 3pm – 7pm
Diseworth Village Hall
Hall Gate, Diseworth, DE74 2QJ

EXHIBITION 2

25th February 2025 · 3pm – 7pm
Hilton East Midlands Airport
M1, Junction 24, Derby Rd, Derby DE74 2YZ



EMG2 LOCATION PLAN

The public exhibitions will include large-scale display boards containing information about the proposals, and members of the project team will be present to discuss the proposals and answer questions.

The proposals for EMG2 include the following key components:

- **Employment Floorspace:** Up to 325,000 sq m of employment space (including 300,000 sq m on EMG2 and 25,000 sq m within the existing EMG1), with an allowance for 105,000 sq m of additional mezzanine floors.
- **Bus Interchange Terminal:** A new bus terminal at the site entrance, replicating and building upon the sustainable travel strategy already in place at EMG1.
- **Landscaping and Biodiversity:** Extensive landscaping around site boundaries, incorporating both new and preserved natural features to enhance biodiversity. This will include a substantial landscape screen with earthworks bunding on the western and southern edges closest to Diseworth. Hyam's Lane will be retained to allow pedestrian and cyclist access through the site. Landscaping will include Sustainable Drainage Systems (SuDS).
- **Vehicular Access:** Direct from the A453, with new on-site road, walking and cycling infrastructure.
- **Highway Improvements:** Upgrades to the road network, including enhancements to Junction 24 of the M1 to increase traffic capacity and reduce congestion.
- **Rail Freight Terminal Enhancements:** Improvements to the existing EMG1 rail terminal to boost operational capacity and efficiency.



Consultation Process and Next Steps

The consultation will be undertaken in accordance with the Statement of Community Consultation (SoCC) for EMG2. A copy of the SoCC is available on the project webpage, at North West Leicestershire District Council's Customer Service

Centre and at Castle Donington Library. Consultation documents will be available from 3 February 2025 on the webpage and at these same locations.

Details about how to respond to the consultation are set out below.


After the consultation closes on 17th March 2025, SEGRO will review all feedback and have regard to it in finalising its DCO application. SEGRO expects to submit its DCO application to the Secretary of State for examination in late Spring 2025.

Comments on the proposals can be made by:

Completing the comments form available at each of the exhibitions

Post
EMG2
PO Box 11382
Nottingham
NG2 9AU

Online
via the 'contact us'
page on:
segro.com/slpemg2



APPENDIX 3

Example of notice published in national and local newspapers

COUNTDOWN BEGINS
TO RAIL INDUSTRY'S
GREATEST GATHERING



PAGE 3

Witness thought
man fatally shot at
police station was
'holding a bomb'

PAGE 6

FREE **Racing TV**
FIVE WEEKS
WORTH
OVER £35*
A FIRM FAVOURITE
PAGE 25

T&C's apply. *Call charge applies to activate free viewing



Staff 'worried sick' as more than 100 jobs under threat

By OSCAR FISHER

MORE than 100 Derby call centre jobs have been put at risk by plans for a major UK company to move its customer services to India.

Firstsource employs "around 130" staff in Riverside Road, Pride Park. Most were hurried into a company meeting and told their jobs were at risk. They were informed Sky, one of its biggest clients, had announced plans to "make changes" to its customer service and they could soon be made redundant as a result.

One staff member said: "It has left a load of people worried sick. I locked myself away all weekend crying."

PAGE 4



REDUNDANCIES FEARED AS SERVICES SET FOR INDIA MOVE

TESCO Clubcard The power to lower prices.



£4.75

£2.35
each

Clubcard Price



Discover the power to lower prices on page 2.

Comfort Pure Sensitive Skin Fabric Conditioner 83 Washes 2490ml: Clubcard price £0.94/litre, regular price £1.91/litre, ends 04/02. Available in the majority of larger stores and online. Excludes Express, Isle of Man and Whoosh. Clubcard/app required. Delivery charges and some exclusions may apply.

Find, save and share **Public Notices** that affect you in your local area.

Stay informed...



Public Notice Portal

visit **publicnoticeportal.uk**

To place a public notice, please email: **publicnoticesteam@reachplc.com**

Probate & Trustee

GERALDINE CLARE LONGWORTH Deceased

Pursuant to the Trustee Act 1925 anyone having a claim against or an interest in the Estate of the deceased, late of 125 High Street, Chellaston, Derby, Derbyshire, DE73 6TG; 101 Village Street, Derby, Derbyshire, DE23 8DF, who died on 09/12/2024, must send written particulars to the address below by 01/04/2025, after which date the Estate will be distributed having regard only to claims and interests notified.

Amelia Carruthers c/o Rothera Bray LLP, Cathedral Chambers, Amen Alley, Derby, DE1 3GT. Ref: AC/260237

RICHARD EATON Deceased

Pursuant to the Trustee Act 1925 anyone having a claim against or an interest in the Estate of the deceased, late of 21 The Chase, Kilburn, Belper, Derbyshire, DE56 0PL, who died on 04/06/2024, must send written particulars to the address below by 01/04/2025, after which date the Estate will be distributed having regard only to claims and interests notified.

Marion Elizabeth Vesey c/o Shacklocks LLP, St. Peters House, Bridge Street, Mansfield, NG18 1AL. Ref: TAS/EAT0006-1

RAMON RAMSEY DALLAS Deceased

Pursuant to the Trustee Act 1925 anyone having a claim against or an interest in the Estate of the deceased, late of 31 Causeway, Darley Abbey, Derby, Derbyshire, DE22 2BX, who died on 28/12/2024, must send written particulars to the address below by 01/04/2025, after which date the Estate will be distributed having regard only to claims and interests notified.

Jude Wright c/o Shacklocks LLP, 47 Park Farm Centre, Allestree, DE22 2QQ. Ref: JEW/S23923-5

JEREMY STEVENS Deceased

Pursuant to the Trustee Act 1925 anyone having a claim against or an interest in the Estate of the deceased, late of 32 Stanley Street, Derby, Derbyshire, DE22 3GT, who died on 13/12/2024, must send written particulars to the address below by 01/04/2025, after which date the Estate will be distributed having regard only to claims and interests notified.

Jude Wright c/o Shacklocks LLP, 47 Park Farm Centre, Allestree, DE22 2QQ. Ref: JEW/STE0078-1

Goods Vehicle Licensing

Goods Vehicle Operator's Licence

Naomi Mitchell at Mitchell Drainage and Environmental Solutions, of unit 5 Barberry court, Callister way, Centrum 100, Burton on Trent, DE14 2UE are applying for a licence to use Nicwoods logistics ltd, of unit 1 Fourth Avenue, Burton on Trent, DE14 2WL, as a new operating centre to park 10 goods vehicles and 2 trailers.

Owners or occupiers of land (including buildings) near the operating centre(s) who believe that their use or enjoyment of that land would be affected, should make written representations to the Traffic Commissioner at Quarry House, Quarry Hill, Leeds, LS2 7UE stating their reasons, within 21 days of this notice. Representors must at the same time send a copy of their representations to the applicant at the address given at the top of this notice. A Guide to Making Representations is available from the Traffic Commissioner's Office.



The recycled paper content of UK newspapers in 2016 was **62.8%**

Planning

Section 48 of the Planning Act 2008 Regulation 4 Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulation 2009 Regulations 10 and 14 of the Infrastructure Planning (Changes to, and Revocation of, Development Consent Orders) Regulations 2011 Regulations 13 and 22 Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 The East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 and Highway Order 202X The East Midlands Gateway Rail Freight Interchange and Highway (Amendment) Order 202X NOTICE PUBLICISING A PROPOSED APPLICATION FOR A DEVELOPMENT CONSENT ORDER AND A MATERIAL CHANGE TO AN EXISTING DEVELOPMENT CONSENT ORDER

Notice is hereby given that Segro Properties Limited of 1 New Burlington Place, London, England, W1S 2HR proposes to apply to the Planning Inspectorate under section 37 of the Planning Act 2008 for a development consent order ("DCO") to authorise nationally significant infrastructure comprising a new multi-unit logistics and industrial development on land south of East Midlands Airport, east of the village of Diseworth and west/north west of junction 23A of the M1 motorway together with highway works to the strategic road network at junction 24 of the M1 to construct a new free-flow link road from the M1 northbound to the A50 westbound, widening of the A50 eastbound link and other related works and traffic management measures (the "DCO Application"). Notice is also given that Segro (EMG) Limited of 1 New Burlington Place, London, England, W1S 2HR proposes to apply to the Planning Inspectorate under section 153 and schedule 6 of the Planning Act 2008 for a material change order ("MCO") to amend the East Midlands Gateway Rail Freight Interchange and Highways Order 2016 (SI 2016/17) ("EMG1 Order") to construct additional warehousing on land north of East Midlands Airport, increase the permitted height of the cranes at the existing rail-freight terminal and improve the existing public interchange and site management building (the "MCO Application").

The DCO Application relates to development for which an environmental impact assessment is required. The EMG1 Order permitted development that was subject to an environmental impact assessment. Segro Properties Limited and Segro (EMG) Limited (together the "Applicant") will undertake an environmental impact assessment and prepare a single environmental statement to be submitted with the DCO Application and the MCO Application. A copy of the proposals, emerging draft environmental statement comprising the preliminary environmental information, plans, maps and other draft documents showing the nature and location of the proposed development, may be inspected free of charge on the Applicant's webpage at www.segro.com/slpemg2 under the tab marked 'statutory consultation'. A hard copy of the documentation may be inspected free of charge at the following locations and during the hours set out below.

- 1) North West Leicestershire District Council, Customer Centre, Belvoir Road, Coalville, Leicestershire, LE67 3XF (Mon, Tues, Wed & Fri 09:00 - 17:00, Thurs 09:00 - 16:00, Sat & Sun closed).
- 2) Castle Donington Library, 101 Bondgate, Castle Donington, Derbyshire, DE74 2LJ (Mon 10:00-16:00, Tues, Wed, Thurs 10:00-14:00, Fri 10:00-16:00, Sat 10:00-13:00, Sun: closed).

The documents will be available from 3 February until 17 March 2025. Copies of the plans, maps and documents can be provided in paper copy form at a cost of £600 or on a memory stick free of charge.

Requests for copy material or enquiries in relation to the draft documentation can be made via telephone to 02475 529730.

Any responses or representations in respect of the proposed DCO Application and the MCO Application should be submitted online via the comments form on the Applicant's webpage, www.segro.com/slpemg2. Alternatively, responses can be submitted in writing to the Applicant at EMG2, PO Box 11382, Nottingham, NG2 9AU. All responses or representations must be received by the Applicant by 17 March 2025.

Responses and representations will be made public.

Any item any price free online



Everyone loves paying nothing!

marketplacelive.co.uk
Your local place to buy and sell

Public Notices

Traffic & Roads

DERBY CITY COUNCIL

TEMPORARY TRAFFIC REGULATION ORDERS

Derby City Council intends to make an Order under Section 14(1) of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 (as amended) to prohibit use of the roads by traffic.

TEMPORARY ROAD CLOSURE ORDER ON ST ALKMUNDS WAY OFF – SLIP MANSFIELD ROAD, DERBY

WHEN: 17 February 2025 to 19 February 2025 WHERE: St Alkmunds Way Off-Slip, at its junction with Mansfield Road Mansfield Road, Inbound from its junction with St Alkmunds Way to its junction with Duke Street (St Marys Bridge) REASON: Electrical works ALTERNATIVE ROUTE: St Alkmunds Way – Eastgate – The Pentagon – Sir Frank Whittle Road – Mansfield Road

TEMPORARY ROAD CLOSURE ORDER ON BEAUFORT STREET, DERBY

WHEN: 17 February 2025 to 20 February 2025 WHERE: Beaufort Street, from its junction with Nottingham Road to its junction with Francis Street REASON: Gas works ALTERNATIVE ROUTE: Francis Street – Cornwall Road – Nottingham Road – Beaufort Street and the same in the opposite direction

TEMPORARY ROAD CLOSURE ORDER ON MAPLE DRIVE, DERBY

WHEN: 17 February 2025 WHERE: Maple Drive, from its junction with Langsett Drive, a distance of approximately 33 metres REASON: Water works ALTERNATIVE ROUTE: Derby Road (A514) – Sutton Avenue – Maple Drive and the same in the opposite direction

TEMPORARY ROAD CLOSURE ORDER ON SUNNYHILL AVENUE, DERBY

WHEN: 24 February 2025 to 07 March 2025 WHERE: Sunnhyll avenue, from No. 15 to No. 19. An approximate distance of 30 metres. REASON: Water works ALTERNATIVE ROUTE: Stenson Road – Instow Drive – Hartland Drive – Freeman Avenue – Sunnhyll Avenue and the same in the opposite direction

TEMPORARY ONE WAY ROAD CLOSURE ORDER ON MALCOLM STREET, DERBY

WHEN: 17 February 2025 to 19 February 2025 WHERE: Malcolm Street, One way (outbound) closure from its junction with Rose Hill Street to its junction with Douglas Street. REASON: Electrical works ALTERNATIVE ROUTE: Molineux Street – Cambridge Street – Dairy House Road.

TEMPORARY ROAD CLOSURE ORDER ON MILL HILL LANE, DERBY

WHEN: 19 February 2025 WHERE: Mill Hill Lane, at its junction with Normanton Road. REASON: Carriageway works ALTERNATIVE ROUTE: Normanton Road – Burton Road – Stone Hill Road – St Chads Road – Mill Hill Lane and the same in the opposite direction.

TEMPORARY ROAD CLOSURE ORDER ON FIELD LANE ALVASTON & BOULTON, DERBY

WHEN: 17 February 2025 to 18 February 2025 WHERE: Field Lane, from its junction with Wilmington Avenue to its junction with Wesley Road. REASON: Water works ALTERNATIVE ROUTE: Field Lane – Crayford Road – Field Drive – and the same in the opposite direction.

Derby City Council has made an Order under Section 14(1) of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 (as amended) to prohibit use of the roads by traffic.

TEMPORARY ROAD CLOSURE ORDER ON NOTTINGHAM ROAD, DERBY

WHEN: 03 February 2025 to 12 April 2025 (Daily: 22:00 to 06:00 – Mon to Sat Overnight Only) WHERE: Nottingham Road, from its junction with Wiltshire Road to its junction with Acorn Way/Raynesway – closed in Phases. Phase 1: No 433 to Wiltshire Road (Approx 200 metres) Phase 2: The Crescent to No 433 Nottingham Road Phase 3: Sunny Grove to The Crescent Phase 4: Lyndhurst Grove to Sunny Grove Phase 5: Lime Grove to Lyndhurst Grove Phase 6: Lime Grove to Acorn Way/Raynesway roundabout REASON: Construction work ALTERNATIVE ROUTE: Nottingham Road – The Pentagon – A52 Brian Clough Way – Spondon Roundabout – Derby Road and the same in the opposite direction

Anyone needing further information should ring Stephen Maffin on (01332) 642058 or email traffic.management@derby.gov.uk Emily Feenan, Director of Legal and Democratic Services, Derby City Council, The Council House, Corporation Street, Derby DE1 2FS

Derby City Council (Nightingale Quarter) (Prohibition and Restriction of Waiting) (Amendment to Map Based Schedule) (No.143) Order 2024

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that Derby City Council proposes to make the above Order under Sections 1, 2, 4, 9, 32, 35, 45, 46, 47, 49, 53 and part IV of Schedule 9 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 and under the Traffic Management Act 2004 and all other enabling powers in that behalf. The purpose of the Order will be to introduce:

No Waiting (At Any Time)

Erasmus Drive in front of numbers 3 to 37 and in the turning head adjacent to numbers 22 to 32. Florence Drive in front of numbers 2 to 10. Saxelbye Avenue on both sides excluding the layby and its junction with Florence Drive adjacent to number 10 only.

Revoke No Waiting (At Any Time)

Florence Drive, opposite its junction with Sylvester Close.

A copy of the proposed Order together with maps which show the definitive extents of the proposal, a copy of the Authority's Statement of Reasons for proposing the Order and the Order being amended, may be inspected online at <https://www.derby.gov.uk/tro>

Objections to the proposal stating your grounds of objection should be sent, in writing, to Traffic & Transportation, Communities and Place, Derby City Council, The Council House, Corporation Street, Derby, DE1 2FS or by email to traffic.management@derby.gov.uk to be received no later than 28th February 2025.

Derby City Council (Various Minor Amendments) (Prohibition and Restriction of Waiting) (Amendment to Map Based Schedule) (No.148) Order 2024

Derby City Council has made this Traffic Regulation Order on 30th January 2025, which comes into effect on 3rd February 2025 under Sections 1, 2, 4, 9, 32, 35, 45, 46, 47, 49, 53 and part IV of Schedule 9 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984 and under the Traffic Management Act 2004 and all other enabling powers in that behalf. The purpose of the Order will be to introduce: -

Grange Street

Proposed No Waiting (At Any Time) from its junction with Boden Street for approximately 4m in a westerly direction

Hampshire Road

Proposed No Waiting (At Any Time) from its junction with Sir Frank Whittle Road (A61) for approximately 80m and from above the subway connecting Beaufort Community Primary School to the Racecourse Park on the south side for approximately 6m

Sinfin Avenue

Proposed No Waiting (At Any Time) from its junction with Darwin Avenue to outside no. 1 Darwin Avenue for approximately 12m, from Edmunds Vicarage for approximately 37m in a westerly direction and into Queensferry Gardens for approximately 32m

Holme Lane/Smartparc

From the south side of the Level Crossing on Station Road for approximately 132m including the junctions of Celandese Road and Main Avenue

Trowels Lane

Proposed No Waiting (At Any Time) to replace Limited Waiting (2 hours No Return 1 hour) (Mon - Fri 8 am - 6pm) (Permit Holder Exemption) from outside no. 36 to outside no. 28, into Grangeover Way for approximately 22m outside no. 1 and into Connaught Road approximately 15m

Moorway Lane

Proposed No Stopping (Mon - Fri 8.15 - 9.15am & 2.15 - 3.45pm) on existing School Keep Clear Markings opposite no. 35.

Stone Hill Road

Proposed No Waiting (At Any Time) from its junction with Empress Road approximately 8m towards Burton Road

Pioneer Way

Proposed No Waiting (At Any Time) from existing No Waiting (At Any Time) to end of road

Upper Dale Road

Proposed No Waiting (At Any Time) to replace Limited Waiting (1 hour No Return Within 1 Hour) (Mon - Sat 8am - 6pm) (Permit Holder Exemptions) opposite no. 119 for approximately 5m

The proposed restriction for Balfour Road has been abandoned from the order: - **Balfour Road** – Proposed revocation of No Waiting (At Any Time) outside no. 114 for approximately 5m in a Westerly direction

Copies of the Order and a copy of the Authority's Statement of Reasons for making the Order, may be inspected online at <https://www.derby.gov.uk/tro>

Anyone wishing to question the validity of the Order on the grounds that it is outside the powers of the Acts or because it does not comply with any requirement or regulations made under it, may, within six weeks of the date of the Order apply to the High Court for this purpose.

Verna Bayliss, Director of City Sustainability

DATED this 31st day of January 2025

Any item any price free online



Find an out of this world bargain online

Loughborough Echo

INCLUDING THE SHEPshed ECHO

Wednesday, February 5, 2025

 InYourArea.co.uk

£2.85

You know too many journalists so you can't work for us say police

Page 10



Leader calls for action over flooding

Page 7



LOUGHBOROUGH MP JEEVUN SANDHER BACKS PLAN AS VILLAGERS ARE CONSULTED

Solar farm could provide power for over 18,000 homes

FULL STORY SEE PAGE 13



Loughborough MP Jeevun Sandher

50% OFF YOUR PAPER FOR SIX MONTHS*

TWO EASY WAYS TO CLAIM PAGE 21

*Terms & conditions apply

IT MAY HAVE BEEN SECURE, BUT IT WAS NEVER SAFE...

BY SUNDAY TIMES BESTSELLING AUTHOR **HOPE DANIELS** WITH **ANN CUSACK**

On sale from all good book shops and Amazon. Also available in ebook.



Find, save and share **Public Notices** that affect you in your local area.

Stay informed...



To place a public notice, please email: publicnoticesteam@reachplc.com visit publicnoticeportal.uk

Planning

Traffic & Roads

Section 48 of the Planning Act 2008
Regulation 4 Infrastructure Planning
(Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure)
Regulation 2009
Regulations 10 and 14 of the Infrastructure Planning
(Changes to, and Revocation of, Development Consent Orders)
Regulations 2011
Regulations 13 and 22 Infrastructure Planning
(Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017
The East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 and Highway Order 202X
The East Midlands Gateway Rail Freight Interchange and
Highway (Amendment) Order 202X
NOTICE PUBLICISING A PROPOSED APPLICATION FOR A
DEVELOPMENT CONSENT ORDER AND A MATERIAL CHANGE TO
AN EXISTING DEVELOPMENT CONSENT ORDER

Notice is hereby given that Segro Properties Limited of 1 New Burlington Place, London, England, W1S 2HR proposes to apply to the Planning Inspectorate under section 37 of the Planning Act 2008 for a development consent order ("DCO") to authorise nationally significant infrastructure comprising a new multi-unit logistics and industrial development on land south of East Midlands Airport, east of the village of Diseworth and west/north west of junction 23A of the M1 motorway together with highway works to the strategic road network at junction 24 of the M1 to construct a new free-flow link road from the M1 northbound to the A50 westbound, widening of the A50 eastbound link and other related works and traffic management measures (the "DCO Application"). Notice is also given that Segro (EMG) Limited of 1 New Burlington Place, London, England, W1S 2HR proposes to apply to the Planning Inspectorate under section 153 and schedule 6 of the Planning Act 2008 for a material change order ("MCO") to amend the East Midlands Gateway Rail Freight Interchange and Highways Order 2016 (SI 2016/17) ("EMG1 Order") to construct additional warehousing on land north of East Midlands Airport, increase the permitted height of the cranes at the existing rail-freight terminal and improve the existing public interchange and site management building (the "MCO Application").

The DCO Application relates to development for which an environmental impact assessment is required. The EMG1 Order permitted development that was subject to an environmental impact assessment. Segro Properties Limited and Segro (EMG) Limited (together the "Applicant") will undertake an environmental impact assessment and prepare a single environmental statement to be submitted with the DCO Application and the MCO Application. A copy of the proposals, emerging draft environmental statement comprising the preliminary environmental information, plans, maps and other draft documents showing the nature and location of the proposed development, may be inspected free of charge on the Applicant's webpage at www.segro.com/slpemg2 under the tab marked 'statutory consultation'. A hard copy of the documentation may be inspected free of charge at the following locations and during the hours set out below.

1) North West Leicestershire District Council, Customer Centre, Belvoir Road, Coalville, Leicestershire, LE67 3XF (Mon, Tues, Wed & Fri 09:00 - 17:00, Thurs 09.00 - 16.00, Sat & Sun closed).

2) Castle Donington Library, 101 Bondgate, Castle Donington, Derbyshire, DE74 2LJ (Mon 10.00-16.00, Tues, Wed, Thurs 10:00-14:00, Fri 10.00-16.00, Sat 10.00-13.00, Sun: closed).

The documents will be available from 3 February until 17 March 2025. Copies of the plans, maps and documents can be provided in paper copy form at a cost of £600 or on a memory stick free of charge.

Requests for copy material or enquiries in relation to the draft documentation can be made via telephone to 02475 529730.

Any responses or representations in respect of the proposed DCO Application and the MCO Application should be submitted online via the comments form on the Applicant's webpage, www.segro.com/slpemg2. Alternatively, responses can be submitted in writing to the Applicant at EMG2, PO Box 11382, Nottingham, NG2 9AU. All responses or representations must be received by the Applicant by 17 March 2025.

Responses and representations will be made public.

Town and Country Planning (Development
Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015
NOTICE UNDER ARTICLE 13 OF APPLICATION
FOR PLANNING PERMISSION

Proposed development at: 30 Earls
Way Leicester LE4 8FY
Take notice that application is being made by: Mr Tom LANGLEY
For planning permission to: Change of use of parcel of land adjacent to 30 Earls Way Leicester LE4 8FY.
Local Planning Authority to whom the application is being submitted: Charnwood Borough Council, Development Management, Southfield Road, Loughborough LE11 2TN
Any owner of the land or tenant who wishes to make representations about this application, should write to the council within 21 days of the date of this notice.
Signatory: Mr Tom Langley
Date: 30/01/2025
Statement of owners' rights: The grant of planning permission does not affect owners' rights to retain or dispose of their property, unless there is some provision to the contrary in an agreement or lease.
Statement of agricultural tenants' rights: The grant of planning permission for non-agricultural development may affect agricultural tenants' security of tenure. This notice is for publication in a local newspaper. 'Owner' means a person having a freehold interest or a leasehold interest the unexpired term of which is not less than seven years. 'Tenant' means a tenant of an agricultural holding any part of which is comprised in the land.

Charnwood Borough
Council
Planning Applications

The proposal constitutes a major development
P/24/0585/2 - Ingleberry Farm 10 Ingleberry Road Shepshed -Reserved Matters to Outline
P/20/2162/2 - access to be considered
These applications relate to a listed building
P/24/2390/2 & P/25/0120/2 - Longcliffe Lodge Farm Ingleberry Road Shepshed - Replacement windows, alterations to roofs, replacement roof tiles & rainwater goods, masonry repairs & pointing, conversion of outbuildings to use ancillary to house
To view applications use www.chnwood.gov.uk or visit our Offices, Southfields, Loughborough, LE11 2TN. 08.30 to 16.30 Monday to Friday. Comments must be received within 21 days of the date of this notice. Please always quote the Ref. No.

Negotiate a local deal without the hassle of postage

marketplacelive.co.uk
Your local place to buy and sell

THE LEICESTERSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

NANPANTAN ROAD, LOUGHBOROUGH
TEMPORARY PROHIBITION OF ALL THROUGH TRAFFIC ORDER 2025
NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Leicestershire County Council intends not less than 7 days from the date of this Notice to make an Order under Section 14(1) of the Road Traffic Act 1984. It is expected that the Order will come into force on 27/02/2025 and have a maximum duration of 6 months. The works will be completed within the period of the Order. The works will commence when the appropriate signs are erected and may last for up to 1 week. The Temporary Traffic Regulation Order is being enforced for public safety to allow Leicestershire County Council undertake carriageway patching & any other various highway maintenance works. The proposed diversion route will be via: Epinal Wy, A512 Ashby Rd, Loughborough; Ashby Rd East, Ingleberry Rd, Shepshed & vice versa.
SCHEDULE 1
TEMPORARY PROHIBITION OF ALL THROUGH TRAFFIC
Nanpantan Rd between the Jct. of Ingleberry Rd & the Jct. of Snells Nook Ln.

VICTORIA ROAD, WOODHOUSE EAVES
TEMPORARY PROHIBITION OF ALL THROUGH TRAFFIC ORDER 2025
NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Leicestershire County Council intends not less than 7 days from the date of this Notice to make an Order under Section 14(1) of the Road Traffic Act 1984. It is expected that the Order will come into force on 24/02/2025 and have a maximum duration of 3 months. The works will be completed within the period of the Order. The works will commence when the appropriate signs are erected and may last for up to 1 week. The Temporary Traffic Regulation Order is being enforced for public safety to allow Severn Trent Water to undertake pipe renewal works in the carriageway & Leicestershire County Council to carry out various highway maintenance works.
SCHEDULE 1
TEMPORARY PROHIBITION OF ALL THROUGH TRAFFIC
Victoria Rd closed between property No. 3 & property No. 14.

FOOTPATH I27, LOUGHBOROUGH
TEMPORARY PROHIBITION OF ALL THROUGH PEDESTRIANS ORDER 2025
NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Leicestershire County Council intends not less than 7 days from the date of this Notice to make an Order under Section 14(1) of the Road Traffic Act 1984. It is expected that the Order will come into force on 24/02/2025 and have a maximum duration of 6 months. The works will be completed within the period of the Order. The works will commence when the appropriate signs are erected and may last for up to 6 months. The Temporary Traffic Regulation Order is being enforced for public safety to allow Canal & River Trust to undertake repair works on Bridge 36 & Leicestershire County Council to carry out various highway maintenance works. The proposed diversion route will be signed on site.
SCHEDULE 1
TEMPORARY PROHIBITION OF ALL THROUGH PEDESTRIANS
Footpath I27 closed either side of bridge 36.
Dated: 5th February 2025
Lauren Haslam, Director of Law and Governance, County Hall, Glenfield, Leicester LE3 8RA

Alcohol & Licensing

Stargazer Media Ltd. Application for Premises Licence
Notice is hereby given that Stargazer Media Ltd. have applied to North West Leicestershire District Council for grant for premises licence in respect of Celestial Studios, Unit 4, British Waterways Yard, Cavendish Bridge, DE72 2HL.
The nature of the application is for recorded music to be played during the weekday evenings from 7pm - 11pm, Friday's 7pm - 12am and Saturday evenings from 8pm - 2am. It also includes hosting live entertainment from 8pm - 10pm at the weekend on a Friday and Sunday. Also for the supply of alcohol during the weekday evenings from 6pm - 10am and at the weekend until 12am on a Friday and 2am on Saturday.
Full details of the application can be viewed at the NWLDC, Customer Services Centre, Belvoir Rd, Coalville LE67 3PD or at www.nwleics.gov.uk.
Any person who wishes to make any representations regarding this application should submit their comments in writing to the above address no later than 24th February 2025.
Please note it is an offence to knowingly or recklessly make a false statement in connection with an application. The maximum fine on summary conviction for this offence is unlimited.

Licensing Act 2003 – Section 17 Notice of Application for Grant of a Premises Licence
I Gurpavandeep NAHAL give notice that an application has been made to Rushcliffe Borough Council for the grant of a premises licence for the premises known as **Roman's Bar**, 73 Main Street, East Leake, Loughborough LE12 6PF To permit the following licensed activities: Sale of Alcohol (On and Off the Premises) Monday – Sunday 12:00 – 00:00hrs and Late-Night Refreshment Monday – Sunday 23:00hrs – 00:00hrs The application can be inspected by contacting the Licensing Service at the Rushcliffe Customer Services Centre, West Bridgford Library, Bridgford Road, West Bridgford, Nottingham NG2 6AT, at the aforesaid on weekdays between 0900 and 1600, except Bank Holidays. Any representations by a Responsible Authority or interested party must be made in writing no later than 25/02/2025 to the Licensing Service: Rushcliffe Borough Council, Rushcliffe Arena, Rugby Road, West Bridgford, Nottingham NG2 7YG or by email to licensing@rushcliffe.gov.uk. It is an offence, under section 158 of the Licensing Act 2003, to knowingly or recklessly make a false statement in connection with an application for a premises licence and the fine on being convicted of such an offence is unlimited.
T: 01432 700 024 info@thelicensingguys.com

Public
Notices are
now online

Find, save and share **Public Notices**
that affect you in your local area

publicnoticeportal.uk

marketplacelive.co.uk

Probate & Trustee

PETER CHARLES SHARPE (Deceased)

Pursuant to the Trustee Act 1925 any persons having a claim against or an interest in the Estate of the above named, late of 23 Moorfield Place, Shepshed, Loughborough, Leicestershire, LE12 9AW, who died on 25/12/2024, are required to send written particulars thereof to the undersigned on or before 06/04/2025, after which date the Estate will be distributed having regard only to the claims and interests of which they have had notice.

Hawley & Rodgers Solicitors, 19-23 Granby Street,
Loughborough, Leicestershire, GB, LE11 3DY

Self-Serve online


marketplacelive.co.uk
Your local place to buy and sell

Any item any price free online

Find an out of this world bargain online

marketplacelive.co.uk
Your local place to buy and sell

Court Circular



Buckingham Palace
30th January, 2025
The King was represented by the Lord Reid of Cardowan (former Secretary of State for the Home Department) at the Funeral of the Lord Prescott (former Deputy Prime Minister) which was held in Hull Minster, Kingston upon Hull,

East Riding of Yorkshire, today.

Kensington Palace
30th January, 2025
The Prince of Wales today attended a Meeting at the Ministry of Defence, Whitehall, London SW1. The Princess of Wales, Patron, Ty Hafan: the Hospice for Children in Wales, this morning visited Ty Hafan Hospice, Hayes Road, Sully, and was received by His Majesty's Lord-Lieutenant of South Glamorgan (Mrs Morfudd Meredith).

Her Royal Highness this afternoon visited Corgi Hosiery Limited, 2 New Road, Ammanford, and was received by His Majesty's Lord-Lieutenant of Dyfed (Miss Sara Edwards).

St James's Palace
30th January, 2025
The Princess Royal, Member, International Olympic Committee, and Chairman, International Olympic Committee Members Election Commission, today attended the International Olympic Committee Session at Olympic House, Lausanne, Switzerland.

Her Royal Highness this evening arrived at Heathrow Airport, London, from Switzerland.

Kensington Palace
30th January, 2025
The Duchess of Gloucester, Patron, Asthma and Lung UK, this morning received Ms Sarah Sleet (Chief Executive).

Births, Marriages and Deaths

To book a Birth, Marriage or Death announcement in the Register, visit: newsukadvertising.co.uk for help, please call 020 7782 7553 or email BMDs@thetimes.co.uk

AND all the angels were standing around the throne and around the elders and the four living creatures, and they fell on their faces before the throne and worshipped God, saying, 'Amen! Blessing and glory and wisdom and thanksgiving and honour and power and might be to our God forever and ever! Amen'.
Revelation 7.11-12 (ESV)

Births
THOMAS on 21st January 2025 to Lucy (née Arthur) and Alexander, a daughter, Kitty Florence, sister to Alice.

Forthcoming Marriages
MR P. C. W. WAGMANN AND MISS L. E. WRIGHT
The engagement is announced between Philipp, son of Mr and Mrs Günter Wagmann of Munich, Germany, and Lucy, second daughter of Mr and Mrs David Wright of Kensington, London, and Auckland, New Zealand.

Deaths
FAIRCLOUGH Alistair Julian Russell died peacefully on 16th January 2025, aged 92. A funeral will be held at The Church of St Margaret the Queen, Buxted, TN22 4AY, at 1pm on Monday 10th February. All family and friends are welcome. Donations, if desired, c/o Richard Green Funeral Service. Tel: 01825 760601, www.rgreenfs.co.uk

HANHAM Lady Joan died peacefully on 24th January 2025, aged 85. Beloved mother of Emma and Jamie and grandmother of Sophie, Matthew and Mia. Private family burial. Memorial service at Chelsea Old Church, SW3 5DQ, at noon on 6th March 2025. All who knew her very welcome.

LEENEY
Dr Timothy John (Tim) died suddenly on 28th December 2024, aged 83. He will be deeply missed. Funeral service at Holy Trinity Church, Forest Row, East Sussex, on Wednesday 5th February 2025 at 1pm. Contact Ballard & Shortall, funeral directors, 01342 822120.

GORDON LENNOX
Ellinor Caroline died peacefully on Friday 24th January. Beloved daughter of the late Charles and Susan Richmond and sister of Charles, Maria, Nimmy and Louisa. Much-loved aunt and great-aunt.

Funeral at Boxgrove Priory on Tuesday 18th February at 2.30pm. All are welcome.

MOSS Odette Marie passed away after a short illness. Donations via www.tomalins.co.uk

PARKER Shirley (née Newnham) passed away on 20th January 2025, aged 92, in her home in East Sheen. She will be remembered as the loving wife of Jack, and will be much missed by her three daughters and her grandchildren. Holmes & Daughters, East Sheen, for funeral details.

PIPER Timothy Hugh William died peacefully on 15th January 2025, aged 85. Beloved husband of Ann and loving father to Angus and Rachel, stepfather to Nick, Chris and Gus, proud and much-loved grandfather of ten. Funeral service at 11am on 7th February, All Saints Church, Great Chesterford. Family flowers only. Donations if desired to Addenbrooke's Hospital or Fine Cell Work, www.finecellwork.co.uk

QUINNEY Diana died peacefully on 18th January 2025, aged 91, with her three children by her side. Much-loved mother, granny, great-grandmother and friend. Funeral on 12th February at 11am at St Peter's Church, Dumbleton. The church is not that big so do please contact rosanagh.m.wilson@gmail.com

SIDMOUTH Marie-Thérèse (née Sheridan) died peacefully on 23rd January 2025, aged 97, at Midford Manor Care Home, Bath. Beloved mother, grandmother, great-grandmother. Requiem Mass at St John the Evangelist Catholic Church, Bath, 5th February, 11am. Family flowers only.

SINCLAIR-STEVENSON Christopher on 20th January 2025. Born in 1939, Christopher had an interesting, successful and greatly admired life in the literary world. Devoted husband to Deborah, who died in December 2022. Together they were a much-loved couple by both their family and their many friends. Private cremation and a thanksgiving for their lives at a later date. For more information contact: Bayswater@JHKenyon.co.uk

SPROT Edward Thomas on 3rd January 2025, aged 71. Died quietly at home from MND, which he faced bravely. Beloved husband of Miranda, loving father to Rachael and Arabella. No flowers thank you, but donations to the MND Association or Mountbatten Hampshire would be much appreciated. Funeral on 5th February. Contact family for details.

STUDD Edward. Loving father, grandfather and great-grandfather, predeceased by his beloved wife Prudence, died peacefully at home on 20th January 2025, aged 95. Funeral service at 11.30am on Friday 28th February at Sherborne Abbey and afterwards at the Digby Memorial Church Hall. Donations to Friends of Sherborne Abbey or Friends of the Yeatman Hospital welcomed. All inquiries to A.J. Wakely & Sons, 01935 816817.

The simple way to place your Birth, Marriage or Death announcement in the Register. Available 24 hours a day. Go to: newsukadvertising.co.uk

Legal Notices

Section 48 of the Planning Act 2008

Regulation 4 Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms and Procedure) Regulation 2009

Regulations 10 and 14 of the Infrastructure Planning (Changes to, and Revocation of, Development Consent Orders) Regulations 2011

Regulations 13 and 22 Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017

The East Midlands Gateway Phase 2 and Highway Order 202X

The East Midlands Gateway Rail Freight Interchange and Highway (Amendment) Order 202X

NOTICE PUBLICISING A PROPOSED APPLICATION FOR A DEVELOPMENT CONSENT ORDER AND A MATERIAL CHANGE TO AN EXISTING DEVELOPMENT CONSENT ORDER

Notice is hereby given that Segro Properties Limited of 1 New Burlington Place, London, England, W1S 2HR proposes to apply to the Planning Inspectorate under section 37 of the Planning Act 2008 for a development consent order (“DCO”) to authorise nationally significant infrastructure comprising a new multi-unit logistics and industrial development on land south of East Midlands Airport, east of the village of Diseworth and west/north west of junction 23A of the M1 motorway together with highway works to the strategic road network at junction 24 of the M1 to construct a new free-flow link road from the M1 northbound to the A50 westbound, widening of the A50 eastbound link and other related works and traffic management measures (the “DCO Application”).

Notice is also given that Segro (EMG) Limited of 1 New Burlington Place, London, England, W1S 2HR proposes to apply to the Planning Inspectorate under section

A copy of the proposals, emerging draft environmental statement comprising the preliminary environmental information, plans, maps and other draft documents showing the nature and location of the proposed development, may be inspected free of charge

- 1) North West Leicestershire District Council, Customer Centre, Belvoir Road, Coalville, Leicestershire, LE67 3XF (Mon, Tues, Wed & Fri 09:00 - 17:00, Thurs 09.00 - 16.00, Sat & Sun closed).
- 2) Castle Donington Library, 101 Bondgate, Castle Donington, Derbyshire, DE74 2LJ (Mon 10.00-16.00, Tues, Wed, Thurs 10:00-14:00, Fri 10.00-16.00, Sat 10.00-13.00, Sun: closed).

The documents will be available from 3 February until 17 March 2025. Copies of the

153 and schedule 6 of the Planning Act 2008 for a material change order (“MCO”) to amend the East Midlands Gateway Rail Freight Interchange and Highways Order 2016 (SI 2016/17) (“EMG1 Order”) to construct additional warehousing on land north of East Midlands Airport, increase the permitted height of the cranes at the existing rail-freight terminal and improve the existing public interchange and site management building (the “MCO Application”).

The DCO Application relates to development for which an environmental impact assessment is required. The EMG1 Order permitted development that was subject to an environmental impact assessment. Segro Properties Limited and Segro (EMG) Limited (together the “Applicant”) will undertake an environmental impact assessment and prepare a single environmental statement to be submitted with the DCO Application and the MCO Application.

on the Applicant’s webpage at www.segro.com/slpemg2 under the tab marked ‘statutory consultation’. A hard copy of the documentation may be inspected free of charge at the following locations and during the hours set out below.

plans, maps and documents can be provided in paper copy form at a cost of £600 or on a memory stick free of charge. Requests for copy material or enquiries in relation to the draft documentation can be made via telephone to 02475 529730.

Any responses or representations in respect of the proposed DCO Application and the MCO Application should be submitted online via the comments form on the Applicant’s webpage, www.segro.com/slpemg2. Alternatively, responses can be submitted in writing to the Applicant at EMG2, PO Box 11382, Nottingham, NG2 9AU. All responses or representations must be received by the Applicant by 17 March 2025.

Responses and representations will be made public.

APPENDIX 4

Lists of consultees and interested parties (Sections 42, 43 and 44 of the Act)

EMG2

'Section 44' NoM Postal List 31/01/2025 – Batch 1

DocumentNumber	TOPO	Fullname	Full Address	Salutation	Printed	100%	16%	6%
EMG_NoM_01		Mr Thomas Hornby Graham Cooper	Grange Farm Exton Oakham LE15 8BN	Dear Sir				
EMG_NoM_02	The Chief Executive	The Very Group Limited	First Floor Skyways House Speke Road Speke Liverpool L70 1AB	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_03	The Secretary	Tarmac Aggregates Limited	Ground Floor 3 Bickenhill Lane Trinity Park Birmingham B37 7ES	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_04	The Secretary	Sir Trustee 2 Limited	26 New Street St. Helier Jersey JE2 3RA	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_05	The Secretary	Sir Trustee 1 Limited	26 New Street St. Helier Jersey JE2 3RA	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_06	The Secretary	Severn Trent Water Limited	2 St. Johns Street Coventry CV1 2LZ	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_07	The Secretary	Secretary of State for Transport	Great Minster House 33 Horseferry Road London SW1P 4DR	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_08		Mr Sean Oliver Luke Crespin	14 Grimesgate Diseworth Derby DE74 2QD	Dear Sir				

EMG2

'Section 44' NoM Postal List 31/01/2025 – Batch 1

DocumentNumber	TOPO	Fullname	Full Address	Salutation	Printed	100%	16%	6%
EMG_NoM_09		Ms Rida Altre Fadri	62 Moira Dale Castle Donington Derby DE74 2PJ	Dear Madam				
EMG_NoM_10		Mr Richard Charles Aldridge	47 Main Street Worthington Ashby-De-La-Zouch LE65 1RQ	Dear Sir				
EMG_NoM_11		Mr Richard Andrew James Robinson	7 Grimesgate Diseworth Derby DE74 2QD	Dear Sir				
EMG_NoM_12	The Secretary	Primevere Limited	4th Floor St Albans House 57-59 Haymarket London SW1Y 4QX	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_13	The Secretary	Platform Housing Limited	Unit 1700 Birmingham Business Park Solihull Parkway Birmingham B37 7YD	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_14		Mr Paul Charles Bunyan	Iron Farm 7 Grimesgate Diseworth DE74 2QD	Dear Sir				
EMG_NoM_15		Ms Patricia Mary Cotton	Old Hall Farm 14 Grimesgate Diseworth Derby DE74 2QD	Dear Madam				

EMG2

'Section 44' NoM Postal List 31/01/2025 – Batch 1

DocumentNumber	TOPO	Fullname	Full Address	Salutation	Printed	100%	16%	6%
EMG_NoM_16		Ms Patricia Margaret Hine	Woodhouse Farm Diseworth Derby DE74 2QQ	Dear Madam				
EMG_NoM_17		Ms Pamela Travers	21 Moira Dale Castle Donington Derby DE74 2PG	Dear Madam				
EMG_NoM_18		Mr Oliver Simon Tivey	Paddock House Chapel Lane Osgathorpe Loughborough LE12 9SX	Dear Sir				
EMG_NoM_19	The Chief Executive	North West Leicestershire District Council	Council Offices Whitwick Road Coalville LE67 3FJ	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_20	The Secretary	National Highways Limited	Bridge House 1 Walnut Tree Close Guildford GU1 4LZ	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_21	The Secretary	National Grid Electricity Distribution (East Midlands) plc	Avonbank Feeder Road Bristol BS2 0TB	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_22	The Secretary	Moto Hospitality Limited	Toddington Dunstable LU5 6HR	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_23		Ms Morwenna Elizabeth Crespin	14 Grimesgate Diseworth Derby DE74 2QD	Dear Madam				

EMG2

'Section 44' NoM Postal List 31/01/2025 – Batch 1

DocumentNumber	TOPO	Fullname	Full Address	Salutation	Printed	100%	16%	6%
EMG_NoM_24		Ms Monika Julia Swierczewska	42 Garden Crescent Castle Donington Derby DE74 2PL	Dear Madam				
EMG_NoM_25		Ms Michelle Sole	5 Garden Crescent Castle Donington Derby DE74 2PL	Dear Madam				
EMG_NoM_26		Ms Michelle Quinn	22 Moira Dale Castle Donington Derby DE74 2PG	Dear Madam				
EMG_NoM_27		Ms Michelle Lee	14 Barroon Castle Donington Derby DE74 2PE	Dear Madam				
EMG_NoM_28		Mr Michael Howard Vipond	77 Moira Dale Castle Donington Derby DE74 2PJ	Dear Sir				
EMG_NoM_29		Mr Mark William Wallis	14 Garden Crescent Castle Donington Derby DE74 2PL	Dear Sir				
EMG_NoM_30	The Secretary	Maritime Group Limited	Maritime House Clickett Hill Road Felixstowe Suffolk IP11 4AX	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_31		Mr Marcin Piech	42 Garden Crescent Castle Donington Derby DE74 2PL	Dear Sir				

EMG2

'Section 44' NoM Postal List 31/01/2025 – Batch 1

DocumentNumber	TOPO	Fullname	Full Address	Salutation	Printed	100%	16%	6%
EMG_NoM_32	The Secretary	Maersk Logistics and Services UK Limited	Plot 5 Wilders Way Kegworth Derby DE74 2BB	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_33	The Secretary	Lockington Farms Limited	Waynflete House 139 Eastgate Louth LN11 9QQ	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_34	The Secretary	Litton (Donington) Limited	Offices 5-7 Lumford Mill Riverside Business Park Buxton Road Bakewell DE45 1GS	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_35		Ms Linda Smith	69 Moira Dale Castle Donington Derby DE74 2PJ	Dear Madam				
EMG_NoM_36	The Secretary	Leonardo Hotel Management (UK) Limited	245 Broad Street Birmingham B1 2HQ	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_37	The Chief Executive	Leicestershire County Council	County Hall Leicester Road Glenfield Leicester LE3 8RA	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_38	The Secretary	Kuehne + Nagel Limited	Waterview House Roundwood Avenue 1 Stockley Park Uxbridge UB11 1FG	Dear Sir or Madam				

EMG2

'Section 44' NoM Postal List 31/01/2025 – Batch 1

DocumentNumber	TOPO	Fullname	Full Address	Salutation	Printed	100%	16%	6%
EMG_NoM_39		Ms Julie Elizabeth Crosbie	25 Eastway Castle Donington Derby DE74 2PN	Dear Madam				
EMG_NoM_40		Mr John Cotton	c/o Nelsons Solicitors Limited Sterne House Lodge Lane Derby DE1 3WD	Dear Sir				
EMG_NoM_41		Ms Joanne Lindsey Bunyan	Iron Farm 7 Grimesgate Diseworth DE74 2QD	Dear Madam				
EMG_NoM_42		Ms Jennifer Irene Vipond	77 Moira Dale Castle Donington Derby DE74 2PJ	Dear Madam				
EMG_NoM_43		Ms Janet Lilian Greer	Old Hall 146 Main Street Melton Mowbray LE14 3TT	Dear Madam				
EMG_NoM_44		Ms Jacqueline Ann Dakin	49 Moira Dale Castle Donington Derby DE74 2PJ	Dear Madam				
EMG_NoM_45		Mr Ian Leslie Greer	Old Hall 146 Main Street Melton Mowbray LE14 3TT	Dear Sir				
EMG_NoM_46	The Secretary	HSBC UK Bank plc	1 Centenary Square Birmingham B1 1HQ	Dear Sir or Madam				

EMG2

'Section 44' NoM Postal List 31/01/2025 – Batch 1

DocumentNumber	TOPO	Fullname	Full Address	Salutation	Printed	100%	16%	6%
EMG_NoM_47		Mr Henry John Curzon Coaker	72 Sedlescombe Road London SW6 1RB	Dear Sir				
EMG_NoM_48	The Secretary	GXO Logistics UK Limited	Building 1 9 Haymarket Square Edinburgh EH3 8RY	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_49	The Secretary	Games Workshop Limited	Willow Road Nottingham NG7 2WS	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_50	The Secretary	Fred Sherwood & Sons (Transport) Limited	47 Ashby Road Shepshed Loughborough LE12 9BS	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_51		Mr Fernando Fabella Fadri	62 Moira Dale Castle Donington Derby DE74 2PJ	Dear Sir				
EMG_NoM_52		Ms Emma Louise Williamson	45 Moira Dale Castle Donington Derby DE74 2PJ	Dear Madam				
EMG_NoM_53		Ms Emily Elizabeth Briggs- Minton	6 Langley Close Diseworth Derby DE74 2AH	Dear Madam				
EMG_NoM_54	The Secretary	East Midlands International Airport Limited	Pathfinder House East Midlands International Airport Castle Donington Derby DE74 2SA	Dear Sir or Madam				

EMG2

'Section 44' NoM Postal List 31/01/2025 – Batch 1

DocumentNumber	TOPO	Fullname	Full Address	Salutation	Printed	100%	16%	6%
EMG_NoM_55	The Secretary	East Midlands Airport Property Investments (Industrial) Limited	4th Floor Olympic House Manchester Airport Manchester M90 1QX	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_56		Ms Diana Donna Hendley	36 Moira Dale Castle Donington Derby DE74 2PJ	Dear Madam				
EMG_NoM_57	The Secretary	DHL Supply Chain Limited	251 Midsummer Boulevard Milton Keynes MK9 1EA	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_58		Mr David Ronald Cotton	Old Hall Farm 14 Grimesgate Diseworth Derby DE74 2QD	Dear Sir				
EMG_NoM_59		Mr David Richard Briggs-Minton	6 Langley Close Diseworth Derby DE74 2AH	Dear Sir				
EMG_NoM_60		Mr David Frank Chaplin	21 St Jame`s Square London SW1Y 4JP	Dear Sir				
EMG_NoM_61		Mr Craig Matthew Hendley	36 Moira Dale Castle Donington Derby DE74 2PJ	Dear Sir				
EMG_NoM_62		Mr Colin Jarrom	Longmere Farm Longmere Lane Diseworth Derby DE74 2QG	Dear Sir				

EMG2

'Section 44' NoM Postal List 31/01/2025 – Batch 1

DocumentNumber	TOPO	Fullname	Full Address	Salutation	Printed	100%	16%	6%
EMG_NoM_63	The Secretary	Citicorp Trustee Company Limited	Citigroup Centre 33 Canada Square London E14 5LB	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_64		Mr Christopher Sean Peat	The Old Byre Grimesgate Diseworth Derby DE74 2QD	Dear Sir				
EMG_NoM_65		Ms Charlotte Alexandra Kimpton	8 Herbert Gardens London W4 3RD	Dear Madam				
EMG_NoM_66		Mr Charles Henry Curzon Coaker	Hall Farm Church Street Lockington Derby DE74 2RH	Dear Sir				
EMG_NoM_67	The Secretary	CF Trustees Limited	c/o Browne Jacobson Llp 15th Floor 6 Bevis Marks London EC3A 7BA	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_68		Ms Catherine Jane Tivey	Paddock House Chapel Lane Osgathorpe Loughborough LE12 9SX	Dear Madam				
EMG_NoM_69		Ms Catherine Anne John	Platt House The Platt Amersham HP7 0HX	Dear Madam				

EMG2

'Section 44' NoM Postal List 31/01/2025 – Batch 1

DocumentNumber	TOPO	Fullname	Full Address	Salutation	Printed	100%	16%	6%
EMG_NoM_70	The Chief Executive	Castle Donington Parish Council	The Community Hub 101 Bondgate Castle Donington Derby DE74 2NR	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_71		Ms Caroline Anne Cotton	c/o Nelsons Solicitors Limited Sterne House Lodge Lane Derby DE1 3WD	Dear Madam				
EMG_NoM_72	The Secretary	Cadent Gas Limited	Unit 3 Pilot Way Antsy Park Antsy Coventry CV7 9JU	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_73		Mr Bryan Jarrom	Woodnook Farm West End Long Whatton Loughborough LE12 5DW	Dear Sir				
EMG_NoM_74	The Secretary	BCAP SG 7 Limited	26 New Street St. Helier Jersey JE2 3RA	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_75	The Secretary	Arvato SCM UK Limited	Plot 10a Faraday Avenue Hams Hall Distribution Park Coleshill Birmingham B46 1AL	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_76	The Secretary	ARC 1 Limited Partnership	1 Bartholomew Lane London EC2N 2AX	Dear Sir or Madam				

EMG2

'Section 44' NoM Postal List 31/01/2025 – Batch 1

DocumentNumber	TOPO	Fullname	Full Address	Salutation	Printed	100%	16%	6%
EMG_NoM_77		Mr Anthony Hubbard	45 Moira Dale Castle Donington Derby DE74 2PJ	Dear Sir				
EMG_NoM_78	The Secretary	Amazon UK Services Limited	Principal Place 1 Worship Street London EC2A 2FA	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_79		Ms Amanda Jane Peat	The Old Byre Grimesgate Diseworth Derby DE74 2QD	Dear Madam				
EMG_NoM_80	The Secretary	Threadneedle Curtis Limited	Cannon Place 78 Cannon Street London EC4N 6AG	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_81	The Secretary	UK Power Distribution Limited	Eleanor House Queenswood Office Park Newport Pagnell Road Northampton NN4 7JJ	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_82	The Secretary	Zinc East Midlands Hotels Limited	22 Grenville Street St Helier Jersey JE4 8PX	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_83	The Secretary	Wells Fargo Bank, National Association	33 King William Street London EC4R 9AT	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_84	The Secretary	Waterloo Housing Group Limited	1700 Birmingham Business Park Solihull Parkway Birmingham B37 7YD	Dear Sir or Madam				

EMG2

'Section 44' NoM Postal List 31/01/2025 – Batch 1

DocumentNumber	TOPO	Fullname	Full Address	Salutation	Printed	100%	16%	6%
EMG_NoM_85		Ms Ursula Caroline Delius	43 Clarendon Drive London SW15 1AW	Dear Madam				

EMG2

'Section 44' NoM Postal List 03/02/2025 – Batch 2

DocumentNumber	TOPO	Fullname	Full Address	Salutation	Printed	100%	16%	6%
EMG_NoM_86	The Secretary	CEVA Logistics Limited	Ceva House Excelsior Road Ashby De La Zouch LE65 9BA	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_87	The Secretary	Uniper Energy Limited	Compton House 2300 The Crescent Birmingham Business Park Birmingham B37 7YE	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_88	The Secretary	Nestle Purina UK Commercial Operations Limited	Haxby Road York YO31 8TA	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_89	The Secretary	Harworth Group PLC	Advantage House Poplar Way Catcliffe Rotherham S60 5TR	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_90	The Secretary	Prologis UK Limited	Prologis House Blythe Gate Blythe Valley Park Solihull West Midlands B90 8AH	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_91	The Secretary	MAG Airport Limited	Olympic House Manchester Airport M90 1QX	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_71		Ms Caroline Anne Cotton	c/o Nelsons Solicitors Limited Pennine House 8 Stanford Street Nottingham NG1 7BQ	Dear Sir or Madam				

EMG2

'Section 44' NoM Postal List 03/02/2025 – Batch 2

DocumentNumber	TOPO	Fullname	Full Address	Salutation	Printed	100%	16%	6%
EMG_NoM_40		Mr John Cotton	c/o Nelsons Solicitors Limited Pennine House 8 Stanford Street Nottingham NG1 7BQ	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_92	The Secretary	East Midlands Combined County Authority	PO Box 8712 Council House Corporation Street Derby DE1 9UG	Dear Sir or Madam				
EMG_NoM_93	The Secretary	East Midlands Freeport Limited	County Hall Leicester Road Leicester LE3 8RA	Dear Sir or Madam				

16.01.25 -DRAFT s.42 Consultation List (s43 local authorities and prescribed bodies)

Salutation	Title	First Name	Surname	Company	Position	Address1	Address2	Town	Postcode	Telephone	Email	DCO	Material Change	Section/Reg/Reas on for inclusion
Lower tier District Councils														
Sir/Madam				North West Leicestershire District Council	Chief Executive	Belvoir Rd	Coalville	Leicestershire	LE67 3FJ	01530 454665	development_control@nwleicestershire.gov.uk	✓	✓	s43(1)
Sir/Madam				Charnwood Borough Council	Chief Executive	Council Offices	Southfield Road	Loughborough	LE11 2TX	01509 634737	development_control@charnwood.gov.uk	✓	✓	s43(2)
Sir/Madam				Erewash Borough Council	Chief Executive	Town Hall, Wharnciffe Road	Ilkeston,	Derbyshire	DE7 5RP	0115 9072244	planning@erewash.gov.uk	✓	✓	s43(2)
Sir/Madam				Hinckley and Bosworth Borough Council	Chief Executive	Hinckley Hub, Rugby Road	Hinckley	Leicester	LE10 0FR	01455 238141	planning@hinckleybosworth.gov.uk	✓	✓	s43(2)
Sir/Madam				Lichfield District Council	Chief Executive	District Council House, Frog Lane	Lichfield	Staffs	WS13 6HS	01543 308000	devcontrol@lichfielddc.gov.uk	✓	✓	s43(2)
Sir/Madam				North Warwickshire Borough Council	Chief Executive	South Street	Atherstone	Warwickshire	CV9 1DE	01827 715341	planningcontrol@northwarks.gov.uk	✓	✓	s43(2)
Sir/Madam				Rushcliffe Borough Council	Chief Executive	Rushcliffe Arena, Rugby Road	West Bridgford	Nottingham	NG2 7YG	0115 981 9911	developmentcontrol@rushcliffe.gov.uk	✓	✓	s43(2)
Sir/Madam				South Derbyshire District Council	Chief Executive	Civic Offices, Civic Way	Swadlincote	Derbyshire	DE11 0AH	01283 228706	planning@southderbys.gov.uk	✓	✓	s43(2)
Upper tier County Councils														
Sir/Madam				Leicestershire County Council	Chief Executive	County Hall	Glenfield	Leicester	LE3 8RA	0116 2323232	planningcontrol@leics.gov.uk	✓	✓	s43(1)
Sir/Madam				Derbyshire County Council	Chief Executive	County Hall	Matlock	Derbyshire	DE4 3AG	01629 533190	contact_centre@derbyshire.gov.uk	✓	✓	s43(2A)
Sir/Madam				Lincolnshire County Council	Chief Executive	County Offices	Newland	Lincoln	LN1 1YL	01522 782070	dev_planningEnquiries@lincolnshire.gov.uk	✓	✓	s43(2A)
Sir/Madam				Nottinghamshire County Council	Chief Executive	County Hall, Loughborough Road	West Bridgford	Nottingham	NG2 7PQ	0300 5008080		✓	✓	s43(2A)
Sir/Madam				Staffordshire County Council	Chief Executive	1 Staffordshire Place	Stafford	Staffordshire	ST16 2DH	0300 1118000	planning@staffordshire.gov.uk	✓	✓	s43(2A)
Sir/Madam				Warwickshire County Council	Chief Executive	Shire Hall	Northgate Street	Warwick	CV34 4RL	01926 410410		✓	✓	s43(2A)
Unitary Councils														
Sir/Madam				Rutland County Council	Chief Executive	Catmose	Oakham	Rutland	LE15 6HP	01527 758400	enquiries@rutland.gov.uk	✓	✓	Boundary with LCC
Sir/Madam				North Northamptonshire Council	Chief Executive	Sheerness House	41 Meadow Road	Kettering	NN16 8TL			✓	✓	Boundary with LCC
Sir/Madam				West Northamptonshire Council	Chief Executive	One Angel Square	Angel Street	Northampton	NN1 1ED	0300 1267000		✓	✓	Boundary with LCC
PRESCRIBED PERSONS														
GOVERNMENT														
Parish Councils														
Madam	Ms	Fiona	Palmer	Castle Donington Parish Council	Clerk	The Community Hub	101 Bondgate	Castle Donington	DE74 2NR	01332 810432	Clerk@castledonington-pc.gov.uk	✓	✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs
Madam	Ms	Donna	Griggs	Kegworth Parish Council	Parish Clerk	1 London Road	Kegworth	Derby	DE74 2EU	01509 670204	lesleypendleton@aol.com and/or clerk@kegworthparishcouncil.co.uk	✓	✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs
Madam	MS	Gill	Simkiss	Lockington-Hemington Parish Council	Clerk	1 Brooklet Farm, Walton Hill	Isley Walton	Derby	DE74 2RL	01332 850941	hemlock.clerk@btinternet.com	✓	✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs
Madam	Ms	Samantha	Lockwood	Long Whatton & Diseworth Parish Council	Clerk	Community Centre, The Grn	Long Whatton	Loughborough	LE12 5DB	07934 874739	parishcouncil@lwdpc.org.uk	✓	✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs
Madam	Mrs	Hazel	Fitzgibbon	Isley cum Langley Parish Meeting	Chairman	The Rookery, Melbourne Road	Isley Walton	Castle Donington	DE74 2RN			✓	✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs
Madam	Ms	Samantha	Lockwood	Breedon on the Hill Parish Council	Clerk	Peggs Barn	Main Street	Hemington	DE74 2RB	01332 818980	clerk@breedonparishcouncil.org.uk	✓	✓	Neighbouring PC
Madam	Ms	Helen	Chadwick	Belton Parish Council	Clerk	The Dairy, Village Farm	Main Street, Stanford on Soar	Leicestershire	LE12 5QA	07827 785836	clerk@beltonparishcouncil.org.uk	✓	✓	Neighbouring PC
Madam	Ms	Brenda	Snape	Shepshed Town Council	Clerk	47a Charnwood Road	Shepshed	Loughborough	LE12 9QE	01509 508872	contact@shepshedtowncouncil.org.uk	✓	✓	Neighbouring PC
Madam	Ms	Maureen	Spencer	Hathern Parish Council	Clerk	10 St Peters Avenue	Hathern	Loughborough	LE12 5JL	01509 842813	clerk@hathernparishcouncil.org.uk	✓	✓	Neighbouring PC
Madam		Nicala	O'Leary	Breaston Parish Council	Clerk	Parish Council Meeting Room, Blind Lane	Breaston	Derbyshire	DE72 3DW	01332 870119	breastonparish@blconnect.com	✓	✓	Neighbouring PC
Madam	Ms	Beth	Colegate	Sawley Parish Council	Clerk	54 Ribblesdale Road	Long Eaton	Nottingham	NG10 3JH	0753 101102	administrator@sawleyparishcouncil.co.uk	✓	✓	Neighbouring PC
Madam	Mrs	Fiona	Keppel-Spoor	Aston-on-Trent Parish Council	Clerk	Springfield Snelsmoor Lane	Chellaston	Derbyshire	DE73 6TQ	01332 792046	astonparishcncil@freenetname.co.uk	✓	✓	Neighbouring PC
Madam	Mrs	Fiona	Keppel-Spoor	Shardlow & Great Wilne Parish Council	Clerk	10 Willow Cl	Aston on Trent	Derby	DE72 2BX	01332 792853	jonirons2003@yahoo.co.uk	✓	✓	Neighbouring PC
Sir	Mr	Callum	Bryant	Weston-on-Trent Parish Council	Clerk	6 Lodge Estate	Aston on Trent	Derby	DE72 2AH	01332 792683	christine.scott1@btinternet.com	✓	✓	Neighbouring PC
Madam	Ms	Vicky	Roe	Melbourne Parish Council	Clerk	30 North Street	Melbourne	Derbyshire	DE73 8FZ	07734 939292	melbourneclerk@aol.com	✓	✓	Neighbouring PC
Madam	Ms	Bev	Angell	Thrumpton Parish Meeting	Clerk	Elton House Church Lane	Thrumpton	Nottingham	NG11 0AX	07894 209839	simonbradley@gmail.com	✓	✓	Neighbouring PC
Sir	Mr	Kevin	Willis	Ratcliffe-on-Soar Parish Meeting	Clerk	27 Kegworth Road	Ratcliffe on Soar	Nottingham	NG11 0EA	01509 673 002	john.allen83@tiscali.co.uk	✓	✓	Neighbouring PC
Madam	Ms	Rebecca	Hague	Kingston-on-Soar Parish Council	Clerk	49 Main Street	Rempstone	Loughborough	LE12 6RH	077952 46923	richard.parrey@talktalk.net	✓	✓	Neighbouring PC
Madam	Ms	Helen	Chadwick	Sutton Bonington Parish Council	Clerk	The Dairy, Village Farm	Stanford on Soar	Leicestershire	LE12 5QA	01509 560 807	jenny.faulks@ntworld.com	✓	✓	Neighbouring PC
Sir/Madam				Stonebow Village Parish Council	Clerk							✓	✓	Identified in Scoping but not yet established
Other authorities														
Sir/Madam				East Midlands Combined County Authority	Chief Executive	PO Box 8712	Council House, Corporation Street	Derby	DE1 9UG					Combined Authority for s43 Authorities
HEALTH														
Sir/Madam				The Health and Safety Executive	HSE Head Office	Redgrave Court	Merton Road	Bootle, Merseyside	L20 7HS			✓	✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs
Sir/Madam				The Health and Safety Executive		Kinsley Dunham Centre	Nicker Hill	Keyworth, Nottingham	NG12 5GG			✓	✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs
Sir/Madam				NHS England		PO Box 16738		Redditch	B97 9PT	0300 311 22 33	england.contacts@nhs.net	✓	✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs
Sir/Madam				NHS England	East Midlands Regional Team, Nottingham	Seaton House	London Road, City Link	Nottingham	NG2 4LA			✓	✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs
Sir/Madam				NHS England	East Midlands Regional Team, Derby	Cardinal Square	10 Nottingham Road	Derby	DE1 3QT			✓	✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs
Sir/Madam				NHS England	East Midlands Regional Team, Leicester	County Hall	Leicester Road, Glenfield	Leicestershire	LE3 8RA			✓	✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs
Sir/Madam				NHS England	East Midlands Regional Team, Birmingham	23 Stephenson Stree		Birmingham	B2 4BH			✓	✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs

Sir/Madam				NHS England	East Midlands Regional Team, Worcester	Wildwood Drive	Worcester		WR5 2LG	01905 733599		✓	✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs
Sir/Madam				NHS England	East Midlands Regional Team, Rugeley	Springfields Health and Wellbeing Centre	Lovett Court	Rugeley	WS15 2QD			✓	✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs
Sir/Madam				NHS Leicester, Leicestershire and Rutland Integrated Care Board (ICB)		Room G30, Pen Lloyd Building	County Hall	Glenfield	LE3 8TB	0116 2954138	lr-icb-enquiries@nhs.net		✓	Sch 1 CRDCO Regs
Sir/Madam				NHS Derby and Derbyshire Integrated Care Board		First Floor, The Council House	Corporation Street	Derby	DE1 2FS	0115 931 6172	enquiries@nhserewash.com		✓	Neighbouring ICB
Sir/Madam				NHS Nottingham and Nottinghamshire Integrated Care Board		Sir John Robinson House	Sir John Robinson Way	Arnold, Nottingham	NG5 6DA	0115 8837880	mail@rushcliffccg.nhs.uk		✓	Neighbouring ICB
Sir/Madam				NHS Coventry and Warwickshire Integrated Care Board		Westgate House	Warwick		CV34 4DE	024 7655 3344	contactus@coventryrugbyccg.nhs.uk		✓	Neighbouring ICB
Sir/Madam				NHS Staffordshire and Stoke-on-Trent Integrated Care Board		New Beacon Building	Stafford Education and Enterprise Park	Weston Road	ST18 0BF	01827 306132	sessp.ccg@nhs.net		✓	Neighbouring ICB
Sir/Madam				NHS Leicestershire and Lincolnshire Local Area Team		Fosse House, 6 Smith Way	Grove Park	Enderby, Leicester	LE19 1SX	01522 513355	england.leicsandlincsareateam@nhs.net		✓	Relevant LAT
Sir/Madam				NHS Shropshire and Staffordshire Local Area Team		Business Office, Anglesey House	Towers Business Park	Rugeley, Staffordshire	WS15 1UL	0300 7900 233			✓	Neighbouring LAT
Sir/Madam				NHS Derbyshire and Nottinghamshire Local Area Team		100 Nottingham Road	Long Eaton		NG10 2QY	0300 30 01234			✓	Neighbouring LAT
Sir/Madam				UK Health Security Agency	National Infrastructure Planning Administration	Environmental Hazards and Emergencies Department	Seaton House, City Link	London Road, Nottingham	NG2 4LA	0300 30 01234			✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs
ENVIRONMENT														
Sir/Madam				The Environment Agency		National Customer Contact Centre	PO BOX 544	Rotherham	S60 1BY	0370 8506506	enquiries@environmentagency.gov.uk	✓	✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs
Sir/Madam				The Environment Agency, Head Office	Legal Services	Horizon House	Deanery Road	Bristol	BS1 5AH	0370 8506506	LegalSupport@environment-agency.gov.uk	✓	✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs
Sir/Madam				The Environment Agency, East Midlands Area	Local Nottingham Office	Trentside, Scarrington Road	West Bridgford	Nottingham	NG2 5FA	0370 8506506		✓	✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs
Sir/Madam				Natural England	Head Office	Foss House, Kings Pool	1-2 Peasholme Green	York	YO1 7PX	0300 060 3900		✓	✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs
Sir/Madam				Natural England	Natural England Consultation Service	County Hall	Spetchley Road	Worcester	WR5 2NP	0300 060 3900	consultations@naturalengland.org.uk	✓	✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs
Sir/Madam				The Forestry Commission	National Office	620 Bristol Business Park	Coldharbour Lane	Bristol	BS16 1EJ	0117 9066000	fe.england@forestry.gsi.gov.uk	✓	✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs
Sir/Madam				The Forestry Commission	East and East Midlands Area Office	Santon Downham	Brandon	Suffolk	IP27 0TJ		eandem@forestry.gsi.gov.uk	✓	✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs - Environment
Sir/Madam				Historic England	London National Office	4th Floor, Cannon Bridge House	25 Dowgate Hill	London	EC4R 2YA			✓	✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs
Sir/Madam				Historic England	Midlands Regional Office	The Foundry	82 Granville Street	Birmingham	B1 2LH	0121 625 6888	midlands@HistoricEngland.org.uk	✓	✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs
Sir/Madam				English Heritage (Head Office)	The Historic Buildings and Monuments Commission for England	Engine House	Fire Fly Ave	Swindon	SN2 2EH	020 7973 3000	customers@englishheritage.org.uk		✓	EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				Trent Valley Internal Drainage Board		Newark Beacon	Cafferate Way	Newark	NG24 2TN	01636 704371	enquiries@lmdb.co.uk	✓	✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs
Sir/Madam				The Canal and River Trust	Head Office	National Waterways Museum Ellesmere Port	South Pier Road	Ellesmere Port, Cheshire	CH65 4FW	0303 040 4040	customer.services@canalrivertrust.org.uk	✓	✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs
TRANSPORT														
Sir/Madam				The Civil Aviation Authority	Legal Department	Aviation House	Beehive Ring Road	Crawley, West Sussex	RH6 0YR	020 73797311	OGCMailbox@caa.co.uk	✓	✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs
Sir		Steve	Griffiths	East Midlands Airport	Managing Director	EMA Customer Service, Pathfinder House	East Midlands Airport	Castle Donington	DE74 2SA		Ops.Safety@eastmidlandsairport.com	✓	✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs
Secretary of State		Heidi	Alexander MP	Secretary of State for Transport	Department for Transport	Great Minster House	33 Horseferry Road	London	SW1P 4DR			✓		Sch 1 PFP Regs
Sir/Madam				National Highways		The Cube	199 Wharfedale Street	Birmingham	B1 1RN	0300 1235000	info@nationalhighways.co.uk		✓	Sch 1 CRDCO Regs
Sir/Madam				National Highways	National Traffic Operations Centre	3 Ridgeway	Quinton Business Park	Birmingham	B32 1AF	0300 1235000	info@nationalhighways.co.uk		✓	Sch 1 CRDCO
Madam		Ann	Carruthers	Leicestershire County Council	Director of Environment and Transport	County Hall	Glenfield	Leicester	LE3 8RA	0116 2305 0001	highwayscustomerservices@leics.gov.uk	✓	✓	Sch1 PFP Regs + Relevant H'way Auth
Sir		Derek	Higton	Nottinghamshire County Council	Executive Director - Place, Highways and Transport	County Hall	Loughborough Road	West Bridgford, Nottingham	NG2 7PQ	0300 500 8080	enquiries@nottscc.gov.uk	✓	✓	Neighbouring H'way Auth
Sir		Chris	Henning	Derbyshire County Council	Executive Director - Place, Highways and Transport	County Hall	Matlock	Derbyshire	DE4 3AG	08456 058058	call_centre@derbyshire.gov.uk	✓	✓	Neighbouring H'way Auth
Sir/Madam				Staffordshire County Council	Highways Authority	1 Staffordshire Place	Stafford	Staffordshire	ST16 2DH	0300 11180000	highways@staffordshire.gov.uk	✓	✓	Neighbouring H'way Auth
Sir		Shail	Chohan	Warwickshire County Council	Head of County Highways	Shire Hall	Northgate Street	Warwick	CV34 4RL	01926 412515	countyhighways@warwickshire.gov.uk	✓	✓	Neighbouring H'way Auth
Sir		Andy	Gutherson	Lincolnshire County Council	Executive Director for Place	County Offices	Newland	Lincoln	LN1 1YL	01522 782070	LCCHighwaysNorth@lincolnshire.gov.uk	✓	✓	Neighbouring H'way Auth
Sir/Madam				Rutland County Council	Director of Places and Highways	Catmose	Oakham	Rutland	LE15 6HP	01572 722577		✓	✓	Neighbouring H'way Auth
Sir/Madam				North Northamptonshire Council	Executive Director Place and Economy	The Corby Cube, George Street	Parklands Gateway	Corby	NN17 1QG			✓		Neighbouring H'way Auth
Sir		Stuart	Timmiss	West Northamptonshire Council	Executive Director of People, Place, Economy and Environment	The Guildhall	St Giles Square	Northampton	NN1 1DE	0300 1267000		✓		Neighbouring H'way Auth
Sir		Sam	Dennis	Derby City Council	Strategic Director for Place including Highways	The Council House	Corporation Street	Derby	DE1 2FS	01332 2931111	customerservices@derby.gov.uk	✓	✓	Neighbouring H'way Auth
Sir		Colin	Parr	Nottingham City Council	Corporate Director for Communities Environment & resident Services	Highway Management, Loxley House	Station Street	Nottingham	NG2 3NG	0115 915 2000	highway.repairs@nottighamcity.gov.uk	✓	✓	Neighbouring H'way Auth
Sir		Andrew L	Smith	Leicester City Council	Director Planning, Development & Transportation	115 Charles St		Leicester	LE1 1FZ	0116 252 7001	customer.serviceline@leicester.gov.uk	✓	✓	Neighbouring H'way Auth

Sir/Madam				Network Rail Infrastructure Ltd		Waterloo General Office		London	SE1 8SW	0800 7557 8000				✓	Scoping Opinion
Sir/Madam				National Highways Historical Railways Estate	National Traffic Operations Centre	3 Ridgeway	Quinton Business Park	Birmingham	B32 1AF	0300 1235000				✓	Scoping Opinion
Sir	Mark	Wilde		High Speed Two (HS2) Ltd	Chief Executive	Two Snowhill	Snow Hill Queensway	Birmingham	B4 6GA					✓	Safeguarding of land crossing A453
OTHER															
Sir/Madam				The Coal Authority	Planning and Local Authority Liaison Department	200 Lichfield Lane	Mansfield	Nottinghamshire	NG18 4RG	0345 762 6848	planningconsultation@coal.gov.uk	✓		✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs
Sir/Madam				The Crown Estate Commissioner		1 St James's Market		London	SW1Y 4AH	020 7851 5000	enquiries@thecrownestate.co.uk	✓		✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs
Chief Constable	Rob	Nixon		Leicestershire Police	Chief Constable	St John's	Enderby	Leicester	LE19 2BX			✓			Sch 1 PFP Regs
Commissioner	Rupert	Matthews		Police and Crime Commissioner for Leicester, Leicestershire & Rutland	Leicestershire Police Headquarters	St John's	Enderby	Leicester	LE19 2BX	0116 229 8980	OPCC@leics.police.uk	✓		✓	"relevant" police authority
Commissioner	Nicolle	Ndiweni		Police and Crime Commissioner for Derbyshire		Butterley Hall	Ripley	Derbyshire	DE5 3RS	0300 122 6000	pccoffice@derbyshire.pnn.police.uk	✓		✓	Neighbouring police authority
Commissioner	Marc	Jones		Police and Crime Commissioner for Lincolnshire	Lincolnshire Police Headquarters	Deepdale Lane	Nettleham	Near Lincoln	LN2 2LT	01522 558739	lincolnshirepcc@lincs.pnn.police.uk	✓		✓	Neighbouring police authority
Commissioner	Danielle	Stone		Northamptonshire Police, Fire and Crime Commissioner		Darby House, Darby Close	Park Farm Industrial Estate	Wellingborough	NN8 6GS	01604 888881	customerservice@northantspfcc.gov.uk	✓		✓	Neighbouring police authority
Commissioner	Gary	Godden		Nottinghamshire Police and Crime Commissioner	Joint Headquarters	Sherwood Lodge	Arnold	Nottingham	NG5 8PP	0115 844 5998	officepcc@notts.police.uk	✓		✓	Neighbouring police authority
Commissioner	Ben	Adams		Police, Fire and Crime Commissioner for Staffordshire	Commissioner's Office	Block 9	Weston Road	Stafford	ST18 0YY	01785 232385	pfcc@staffordshire-pfcc.gov.uk	✓		✓	Neighbouring police authority
Commissioner	Philip	Seccombe		Police and Crime Commissioner for Warwickshire	Warwickshire Police Headquarters	PO Box 4	Leek Wootton	Warwickshire	CV35 7QB	01926 733 523	opcc@warwickshire.gov.uk	✓		✓	Neighbouring police authority
Sir/Madam				East Midlands Ambulance Service NHS Trust		Trust Headquarters, 1 Horizon Place	Mellors Way, Nottingham Business Park	Nottingham	NG8 6PY	0115 919 3399		✓		✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs
Sir/Madam				North West Ambulance Service NHS Trust		Ladybridge Hall Headquarters	Chorley New Road	Bolton	BL1 5DD	0345 122 0999	nwas.enquiries2@nwas.nhs.uk	✓		✓	Neighbouring Ambulance Service
Sir/Madam				Yorkshire Ambulance Service NHS Trust		Trust Headquarters	Brindley Way, Wakefield 41 Business Park	Wakefield	WF2 0XQ	0330 678 4100		✓		✓	Neighbouring Ambulance Service
Sir/Madam				East of England Ambulance Service NHS Trust		NHS Trust Headquarters	Whiting Way	Melbourn	SG8 6EN	0345 601 3733		✓		✓	Neighbouring Ambulance Service
Sir/Madam				West Midlands Ambulance Service University NHS Foundation Trust		Millenium Point, Waterfront Business Park	Brierley Hill	West Midlands	DY5 1LX	01384 215 5555		✓		✓	Neighbouring Ambulance Service
Sir/Madam				South Central Ambulance Service NHS Foundation Trust		Unit 7 and 8 Talisman Business Centre	Talisman Road	Bicester, Oxfordshire	OX26 6HR	01869 365 0000		✓		✓	Neighbouring Ambulance Service
Sir/Madam				Leicestershire Fire and Rescue Service	Fire and Rescue Authority	12 Geoff Monk Way	Birstall	Leicester	LE4 3BU	0116 2872241	info@leics-fire.gov.uk	✓		✓	Sch 1 PFP Regs
Sir/Madam				Derbyshire Fire and Rescue Service		Butterley Hall	Ripley	Derbyshire	DE5 3RS	01773 305 305	enquiries@derbys-fire.gov.uk	✓		✓	Neighbouring F&R Service
Sir/Madam				Lincolnshire Fire and Rescue Service	Headquarters	Deepdale Lane	Nettleham	Lincoln	LN2 2LT	01522 555777		✓		✓	Neighbouring F&R Service
Sir/Madam				Northamptonshire Fire and Rescue Service	Service Headquarters	Darby House, Darby Close	Park Farm Industrial Estate	Wellingborough	NN8 6GS	01604 797000	enquiries@northantsfire.org.uk	✓		✓	Neighbouring F&R Service
Sir/Madam				Nottinghamshire Fire and Rescue Service	Joint Headquarters	Sherwood Lodge	Arnold	Nottingham	NG5 8PP	0115 838 8100	enquiries@notts-fire.gov.uk	✓		✓	Neighbouring F&R Service
Sir/Madam				Staffordshire Fire and Rescue Service		Headquarters	Pirehill, Stone	Staffordshire	ST15 0BS	08451 221155	webmaster@staffordshirefire.gov.uk	✓		✓	Neighbouring F&R Service
Sir/Madam				Warwickshire Fire and Rescue Service	Service Headquarters	Warwick Street	Leamington Spa	Warwickshire	CV32 5LH	01926 423231		✓		✓	Neighbouring F&R Service
Statutory Undertakers															
Sir/Madam				NATS En-Route (NERL) Safeguarding	Head Office	NATS, 4000 Parkway	Whiteley, Fareham	Hants	PO15 7FL	01489 616001		✓		✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Royal Mail Group Ltd	Company Secretary	Group Communications	100 Victoria Embankment	London	EC4Y 0HQ			✓		✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Homes England	Chief Executive	10 South Colonnade	Canary Wharf	London	E14 4PU	0300 1234 500	infogov@homesengland.gov.uk	✓		✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Severn Trent Water Ltd	Company Secretary	Severn Trent Centre	2 St Johns Street	Coventry	CV1 2LZ	024 7771 5000		✓		✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Severn Trent Water Ltd	Chief Executive	PO Box 407		Darlington	DL1 9WD			✓		✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Cadent Gas Limited	Company Secretary	Pilot Way	Ansty	Coventry	CV17 9JU			✓		✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Northern Gas Networks Ltd	Company Secretary	1100 Century Way	Thorpe Park Business Park	Colton, Leeds	LS15 8TU			✓		✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Scotland Gas Networks PLC	Company Secretary	Scotia Gas Networks, Inverlmond House	200 Dunkeld Road	Perth	PH1 3AQ	0845 070 1432	customer@sgn.co.uk	✓		✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Scotland Gas Networks PLC	Company Secretary	Axis House, 5 Lonehead Drive	Newbridge	Edinburgh, Scotland	EH28 8TG			✓		✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU

Sir/Madam				Southern Gas Networks PLC	Company Secretary	St Lawrence House	Station Approach	Horley, Surrey	RH6 9HJ			✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				CNG Services Limited	Company Secretary	Virginia House	56 Warwick Road, Olton	Solihull	B92 7HX			✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Energy Assets Pipelines Limited	Company Secretary	Arkwright House, 2 Arkwright Court	Commerical Road	Darwen, Lancashire	BB3 0FG			✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				ES Pipelines Ltd	Company Secretary	Mole Business Park	Bluebird House	Leatherhead	KT22 7BA	01372 227560	info@espipelines.com	✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				ESP Connections Ltd	Company Secretary	Mole Business Park	Bluebird House	Leatherhead, Surrey	KT22 7BA			✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				ESP Networks Ltd	Company Secretary	Mole Business Park	Bluebird House	Leatherhead, Surrey	KT22 7BA			✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				ESP Pipelines Ltd	Company Secretary	Mole Business Park	Bluebird House	Leatherhead, Surrey	KT22 7BA			✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Fulcrum Pipelines Ltd	Company Secretary	105 Piccadilly		London	W1J 7NJ			✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Fulcrum Pipelines Ltd	Company Secretary	c/o Fulcrum Head Office, 2 Europa View	Sheffield Business Park	Sheffield	S9 1XH	0845 641 3010	enquiries@fulcrum.co.uk	✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				GTC Pipelines Ltd	Company Secretary	Energy House	Woolpit Business Park, Woolpit	Bury St Edmunds, Suffolk	IP30 9UP	01359 240363	info@gtc-uk.co.uk	✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Harlaxton Gas Networks Limited	Company Secretary	Toll Bar Road	Marston	Grantham	NG32 2HT					
Sir/Madam				Independent Pipelines Ltd	Company Secretary	Ocean Park House	East Tyndall Street	Cardiff	CF24 5GT			✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Inovyn Enterprises Limited	Company Secretary	Bankes Lane Office, Banks Lane	Runcorn	Cheshire	WA7 4EL			✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Last Mile Gas Limited	Company Secretary	Fenick House Lister Way	Hamilton International Technology Park	Glasgow	G72 0FT			✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Leep Gas Networks Limited	Company Secretary	Level 2, Metro	33 Talford Road	Manchester	M5 3NN			✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Mua Gas Limited	Company Secretary	Hiview House	Highgate Road	London	NW5 1TN			✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Quadrant Pipelines Limited	Company Secretary	Energy House, Woolpit Business Park	Windmill Avenue, Woolpit	Bury St Edmunds, Suffolk	IP30 9UP			✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Stark (Gas)	Chief Executive	Sentinel House	10-12 Massetts Road	Horley, Surrey	RH6 7DE			✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				National Grid Gas PLC	Company Secretary	1-3 Strand		London	WC2N 5EH			✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				National Grid Electricity Distribution (East Midlands) Limited	Company Secretary	Avonbank	Feeder Road	Bristol	BS2 0TB			✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Advanced Electricity Networks Limited	Company Secretary	Nexus Park Avenue East	Skyline 120	Braintree, Essex	CM77 7AL			✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Aidien Limited	Company Secretary	International House	1 St Katharines Way	London	E1W 1YL			✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Aurora Utilities Limited	Company Secretary	25 Old Borad Street	5th Floor	London	EC2N 1HN			✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Eclipse Power Networks Limited	Company Secretary	25 Osier Way	Olney		MK46 5FP			✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Energy Assets Networks Limited	Company Secretary	Arkwright House, 2 Arkwright Court	Commerical Road	Darwen, Lancashire	BB3 0FG			✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				ESP Electricity Limited	Company Secretary	Bluebird House	Mole Business Park	Leatherhead, Surrey	KT22 7BA			✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU

Sir/Madam				Fulcrum Electricity Assets Limited	Company Secretary	Fulcrum Head Office, 2 Europa View	Sheffield Business Park	Sheffield	S9 1XH				✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Harlaxton Energy Networks Limited	Company Secretary	Toll Bar Road	Marston	Grantham	NG32 2HT				✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Independent Distribution Connection Specialists Limited	Company Secretary	55 Baker Street		London	W1U 7EU				✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Independent Power Networks Limited	Company Secretary	Synergy House, Windmill Avenue	Woolpit	Bury St Edmunds, Suffolk	IP30 9UP	01359 243311			✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Indigo Power Limited	Company Secretary	200 Brook Drive	Green Park	Reading	RG2 6UB				✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identifies as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Last Mile Electricity Ltd	Company Secretary	Fenick House Lister Way	Hamilton International Technology Park	Glasgow	G72 0FT				✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Leep Electricity Networks Limited	Company Secretary	Level 2, Metro	33 Talford Road	Manchester	M5 3NN				✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Mua Electricity Limited	Company Secretary	Hiview House	Highgate Road	London	NW5 1TN				✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Optimal Power Networks Limited	Company Secretary	No.1 Forbury Place	43 Forebury Road	Reading	RG1 3JH				✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Stark Infra-Electricity Ltd	Company Secretary	Sentinel House	10-12 Massetts Road	Horley, Surrey	RH6 7DE				✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				The Electricity Network Company Limited	Company Secretary	Synergy House, Woolpit Business Park	Woolpit	Bury St Edmunds, Suffolk	IP30 9UP				✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				UK Power Distribution Limited	Company Secretary	Eleanor House, Queenswood Office Park	Newport Pagnell Road	Northampton	NN4 7JJ				✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Utility Assets Limited	Company Secretary	5 Brayford Square		London	E1 0SG				✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Vattenfall Networks Limited	Company Secretary	5th Floor	70 St Mary Axe	London	EC3A 8BE				✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				National Grid Electricity Transmission PLC		1-3 Strand		London	WC2N 5EH				✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				National Grid PLC (Electricity)		1-3 Strand		London	WC2N 5EH				✓	✓	Scoping Opinion identified as a relevant SU
Sir/Madam				Leicester, Leicestershire and Rutland Local Resilience Forum		No.1 Romulus Court	Meridian East, Meridian Business Park	Leicester	LE19 1YG		info@localresilienceforum.org.uk				EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				Derbyshire Local Resilience Forum		Emergency Planning Division, Derbyshire County Council	County Hall	Matlock, Derbyshire	DE4 3AG	01629 536626					Neighbouring LRF
Sir/Madam				Lincolnshire Local Resilience Forum	Head of Joint Emergency Management Service	Lincolnshire County Council, Lincolnshire Fire and Rescue	South Park Avenue	Lincoln	LN5 8EL	01522 582 224					Neighbouring LRF
Sir/Madam				Northamptonshire Local Resilience Forum	Local Resilience Forum Coordinator	c/o Northamptonshire Police	Wootton Hall Park	Northampton	NN4 0JQ	03000 111 222	george.cooper@northants.pnn.police.uk				Neighbouring LRF
Sir/Madam				Nottinghamshire Local Resilience Forum	LRF Secretariat	c/o Emergency Planning Team, Nottinghamshire County Council, 4th	Loughborough Road, West Bridgford	Nottinghamshire	NG2 7QP	01159 773 471	LRF@nottinghamcity.gov.uk				Neighbouring LRF
Sir/Madam				Staffordshire Local Resilience Forum		Civil Contingencies Unit, Stafford Fire	Beaconside	Stafford	ST18 0DD	01785 898618	ccu@staffordshirefire.gov.uk				Neighbouring LRF
Sir/Madam				Warwickshire Local Resilience Forum		PO Box 43	Shire Hall	Warwick	CV34 4SX	01926 412580					Neighbouring LRF
LCC Standard Consultation ...															
Sir/Madam				e-on Central Networks		PO Box 7750		Nottingham	NG1 6WR						EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				Gas Transportation Company		Synergy House, Woolpit Business Park	Bury St Edmunds	Suffolk	IP30 9UP						EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				South Staffs Water Ltd		Boundary, Green Lane	Walsall	West Midlands	WS2 7PD						EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				Anglian Water Services Limited		3 Lancaster Road	Hartlepool		TS24 8LW						EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				Openreach BT		6 Gracechurch St		London	EC3V 0AT						EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				NTL, Operational Support Team		Media House	Bartley Wood Business Park	Hook	RG27 9UP						EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				Cable and Wireless UK		Griffin House	161 Hammersmith Road	London	W6 8BS						EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				DSM Support		The Old Hangar	Elton Road	Peterborough	PE8 6NE						EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				Highways Co-Ordination Team		National Highways, Bridge House	Guildford	Surrey	GU2 7YP						EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				Colt Plant Protection Centre		Colt House Ridgeway Office Park	Bedford Road	Peterborough	GU32 3QF						EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				TATA Communications		Floor 34, 30 St Mary Axe	London		EC3A 8EP						EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				TSIC NRSWA Enquiry		The Rosery Odiham Road	Riseley	Berkshire	RG7 1SD						EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				BskyB Telecommunications Service Ltd		Grant Way	Isleworth	Middlesex	TW7 5QD						EMG1 Consultee

Sir/Madam				Everything Everywhere Ltd / Hutchison 3G UK		450 Longwater Avenue	Green Park	Reading	RG2 6GF					EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				Vodafone		Vodafone House, The Connection	Newbury	Berkshire	RG14 2FN					EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				Orange UK Ltd		Broadway House	Broadway Street	Oldham	OL8 1LR					EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				Network Rail Commercial Property		Waterloo General Office		London	SE1 8SW					EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				Government Pipeline and Storage System		PO Box 7273	Ashby de la Zouch	Leicestershire	LE65 2BY					EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				BT GEO Network		1 Braham Street		London	E1 8EE					EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				Esso / Mainline Pipelines		27th Floor, 1 Canada Square	Canary Wharf	London	E14 5AA					EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam	Area Footpath Secretary			Mainline Pipelines Limited		27th Floor, 1 Canada Square	Canary Wharf	London	E14 5AA					EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				The Ramblers' Association		126 Fairlie Road	Slough		SL1 4PY					EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				Loughborough and District CHA Rambling Club		6 Avon Road	Loughborough		LE12 8LE					EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				Leicestershire Footpath Association		3 Hillsborough Road	Glen Parva	Leicester	LE2 9PR					EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				Byways and Bridleways Trust		Burgate	Harwood Dale	Scarborough	YO13 0DS				notices@bbtrust.org.uk	EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				The British Horse Society		The British House Society	16-17 Abbey Park	Kenilworth Warwick	CV8 2XZ					EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				Leicestershire & Rutland Land Rover Club		1 Main Street	Grantham		NG32 1SF					EMG1 Consultee
The Secretary				The Open Spaces Society		25a Bell Street	Henley on Thames	Oxfordshire	RG9 2BA					EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				Melton Ramblers		Edendale Road	Melton Mowbray		LE13 0EW					EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				Cyclists Touring Club	Chairman	Parklands	Railton Road	Guildford	GU2 9JX					EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				Leicestershire and Rutland Bridleways		Hawthorn House	Braunston Road	Oakham	LE15 8UH					EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				Endurance GB		Abbey Park, Stareton	Kenilworth	Warwickshire	CV8 2RP					EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				The British Driving Society	Rights of Way Officer	Hoste House, Whiting St	Bury St Edmunds		IP33 1NR					EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				East Midlands Trail Riders Fellowship		Allen House, Wetmore Road	Burton upon Trent	Staffordshire	DE14 1TR					EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				Leicester C.H.A. Rambling Club	Rights of Way Team	115 Charles St	Leicester		LE1 1FZ					EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				Mapping Intelligence Ordnance Survey		Adanac Drive	Southampton		S016 0AS					EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				Auto Cycle Union		Wood Street	Rugby		CV21 2XY					EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				Cyclists' Touring Club		Parklands	Railton Road Guildford Surrey		GU2 9JX					EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				British Driving Society	Rights of Way Officer & Secretary	Hoste House, Whiting St	Bury St Edmunds	Suffolk	IP33 1NR					EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				West Midlands Trail Riders Fellowship		Allen House, Wetmore Road	Burton upon Trent	Staffordshire	DE14 1TR					EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				The Taverners Leicester	Chairman	1 Bradgate Close	Mountsorrel		LE12 7DZ					EMG1 Consultee
Sir/Madam				Leicestershire Local Access Forum - LLAf		County Hall	Glenfield	Leicester	LE3 8RA				roydenny@hotmail.com	EMG1 Consultee

APPENDIX 5

Summary of Responses to Statutory Consultation - Main Themes

Summary of the responses to statutory consultation – main themes

April 2025

The East Midlands Gateway Phase 2
and Highway Order 202X and The East Midlands Gateway
Rail Freight and Highway (Amendment) Order 202X

CONTENTS

Section	Page
1. Introduction	3
2. Statutory consultation	4
3. Main themes	4
4. Conclusion	4
Appendix	5

1 Introduction

- 1.1 SEGRO Properties Limited and SEGRO (EMG) Limited (referred to as "SEGRO" or "the Applicant") are preparing to make applications to the Secretary of State for a second phase of the East Midlands Gateway Logistics Park ("EMG1") which is a Strategic Rail Freight Interchange ("SRFI") located to the north of East Midlands Airport.
- 1.2 EMG1 is a nationally significant infrastructure development comprising a rail freight terminal and warehousing. It was authorised by The East Midlands Gateway Rail Freight Interchange and Highway Order 2016 (SI 2016/17) ("the EMG1 DCO") and is substantially complete.
- 1.3 The first application, the applicant for which is SEGRO Properties Limited, will be submitted pursuant to Part 5 of the Planning Act 2008 ("the 2008 Act") for a Development Consent Order ("DCO"). The second application, the applicant for which is SEGRO (EMG) Limited, will be submitted pursuant to Regulation 4 of the Infrastructure Planning (Changes to, and Revocation of, Development Consent Orders) Regulations 2011 (the "CRDCO Regulations") for a Material Change Order to the EMG1 DCO ("MCO").
- 1.4 The proposed development for which the two applications are to be made is referred to as 'East Midlands Gateway 2' or 'EMG2' and comprises the following elements:

Within the DCO Application

- EMG2 Main Site – a new multi-unit logistics/industrial development located south of East Midlands Airport and the A453, and west of the M1 motorway. This part of the site falls within the 'East Midlands Airport and Gateway Industrial Cluster' (EMAGIC) site, which forms part of the East Midlands Freeport designated by the Government in 2022; and
- Highways Works – works to the highway network including significant improvements at Junction 24 of the M1 (referred to as J24 Improvements) and the road network interacting with that junction. Due to the scale (and area) of the Highways Works, they represent an NSIP in their own right; and

Within the MCO Application

- EMG1 Works – the proposals include changes within EMG1, incorporating additional warehousing on Plot 16 together with works to increase the permitted height of the cranes at the rail-freight terminal, improvements to the public transport interchange and site management building.

2 Statutory consultation

- 2.1 SEGRO formally consulted on its emerging proposals for EMG2 between 3 February 2025 and 17 March 2025 (the "statutory consultation period")¹.
- 2.2 The six (6) week statutory consultation period was completed in accordance with the Statement of Community Consultation ("SoCC") published on 18 December 2024. The SoCC remains available to download on the EMG2 webpage – www.segro.com/slpemg2.
- 2.3 SEGRO is in the process of preparing an Adequacy of Consultation Milestone ("AoCM") document for submission to the Planning Inspectorate ("PINS"). The AoCM will set out the consultation activities completed by SEGRO, including informal non-statutory consultation activities and other activities undertaken by SEGRO to raise awareness and consult with statutory consultees, key stakeholders, interested parties and members of the public before and during the formal statutory consultation period. A full list of the statutory consultees will be appended to the AoCM.
- 2.4 A commitment was given in the SoCC to make available a summary of the main themes arising from the statutory consultation processes following the end of the statutory consultation period. This document has been prepared to give effect to that commitment.
- 2.5 A more formal Consultation Report is being prepared and will accompany the DCO and MCO applications in due course. That report will provide more detail on the statutory consultation responses and how the Applicant has had regard to them in preparing the DCO and MCO applications to satisfy the requirements of the 2008 Act and the CRDCO Regulations.

3 Main themes

- 3.1 The main themes arising from the responses received to SEGRO's statutory consultation are summarised in the Appendix to this document.
- 3.2 Where a theme is specific to the DCO application or the MCO application then this has been identified. For clarity, references to the Environmental Statement ("ES") chapters are common to both the DCO and MCO applications as a single ES will cover both applications.

4 Conclusion

- 4.1 SEGRO is considering the statutory consultation responses received and is having regard to any relevant responses in developing its proposals for EMG2 in accordance with its duty set out in section 49 of the 2008 Act. Further engagement is also being undertaken where appropriate to better understand the issues raised in the statutory consultation responses.

¹ Statutory pre-application consultation was completed pursuant to section 42 of the 2008 Act and regulation 10 of the CRDCO Regulations and press notices were given pursuant to section 48 of the 2008 Act, regulation 14 of the CRDCO Regulations and regulations 13 and 22 of the Infrastructure Planning (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017

- 4.2 The responses received to the statutory consultation will be set out and a response provided to them will be set out in full in the Consultation Report when it is submitted with the DCO and MCO applications.

APPENDIX

Summary of main theme	Update / response
Principle / need for EMG2	
Many, but not all, respondents do not support the principle of the scheme.	The need for the scheme arises from national, regional and local planning policy and its associated evidence base and will be set out in full in the DCO and MCO applications, together with commentary of the scale and type of jobs that it will deliver.
There is no need for more warehousing because there is a surplus of warehousing in the area, particularly vacant floor space.	
There is no need for more jobs of the type proposed. The immediate area has a high level of employment and the type of jobs which will be provided by the scheme are not what is needed. Many respondents felt the jobs on offer would only be low paid, low skilled jobs.	
Location of EMG2	
The location of the development is not appropriate given its greenfield status and proximity to Diseworth village. Many respondents said that the proposals should be moved to a brownfield site.	The location of the proposals arises out of the regional and local planning policy and its associated evidence base, together with the strategic suitability of the location and relationship to EMG1, East Midlands Airport, , the M1 motorway, the A42 trunk road and three local population centres. Furthermore, the EMG2 Main site forms part of the East Midlands Freeport. The locational benefits and an assessment of alternative sites will be set out in full in the DCO and MCO applications.
Design	

Building Heights: Lack of understanding about the parameters plan and the building heights, with some respondents concerned that buildings heights were 86m, rather than 86 AOD. Queries about whether the buildings could be higher than 18m or 24m based upon the parameters (i.e. by lowering the floor level as this did not appear to be fixed). A few respondents raised concerns about further applications being submitted for taller heights beyond the DCO limits.	Further clarity on the parameters plans and the building heights will be provided in the DCO and MCO applications. If made, the DCO and MCO will restrict the building heights. Any increase to those heights will then require a new consent.
The proposed colour palette of the buildings, with some respondents suggesting the buildings should be green to blend in better with the countryside.	The design and colour palette of the buildings is being considered and will be determined at detailed design stage pursuant to the DCO and MCO.
Heritage	
Concerns about building near listed buildings and a conservation area.	As indicated above, the selection and suitability of the EMG2 Main Site will be set out in full in the DCO and MCO applications, and the impact on heritage assets will be fully assessed within the Environmental Statement accompanying those applications.
Drainage and flood risk	
Concerns about the adequacy of the flood risk management and drainage strategy and whether it will make flooding in the area worse. Some respondents voiced concerns that the above ground drainage basins would not be large enough or frequent enough to reduce flood risk.	SEGRO is working closely with the Environment Agency and lead local flood authority to develop its drainage strategy. The impact on flooding and drainage will be fully assessed within the Environmental Statement.

Concerns that the EMG1 drainage basins have previously overtopped (previous winter). Some respondents indicated that they believe this has contributed to past flooding in Lockington.	SEGRO is investigating these issues and has had regard to them in developing its drainage strategy.
Impact of existing surface water run off to properties on Clements Gate, Langley Close and Long Holden. A few respondents reported surface water sheeting off the adjacent fields towards properties on Clements Gate, Langley Close and Long Holden.	
Perception that very high groundwater, and other drainage basins, in the catchment area have led to increased ground water flooding to properties due to changes in local infiltration.	
Existing problems with surface water flooding along the A453 site frontage in storm events fronting the EMG2 Main Site.	
Visual impact and landscaping	
Concerns about the visual impact from Diseworth and the lack of a sufficient buffer. Many respondents were of the view that it is the wrong place/site in landscape/visual terms for this type of commercial development. Some respondents questioned if the scheme could be built lower into the ground to further mitigate visual impact. Some acknowledged and welcomed the consideration that had gone in to the design of the mounding and landscape buffering to Diseworth, and that this had improved by moving development further away during the design process to date, but others still felt it needed to move further to the east and away from Diseworth if it is to happen at all. Some respondents requested that the bunding be higher (like that around Lockington). Suggestion that the buffer planting needs to include more larger tree stock from the outset and that consideration be given to winter conditions when trees not in leaf.	The visual impact and landscaping of the scheme are being considered, including the form / height of the perimeter mounding. The landscape and visual impacts will be fully assessed within the Environmental Statement

Concerns that the EMG1 landscaping had not delivered on its promises, and the same could happen with EMG2.	SEGRO is aware that some of the landscaping at EMG1 has not flourished as hoped and additional planting has been undertaken to address the issue. Lessons can be learned from EMG1 to ensure the same issues do not occur at EMG2.
Concerns raised over the viewpoint visualisations as to the lack of detail and the locations chosen. The credibility of the images was also questioned by some respondents.	More detailed visualisations from a wider range of viewpoints, including from Diseworth, are being prepared and will be made available in due course and with the DCO and MCO applications.
Concerns about the visual impact of Plot 16 at EMG1 from Junction 24 and Kegworth including the lack of bunding / screening to the east of the rail freight terminal.	The visual impact and landscaping of the plot are being considered, including the need for bunding / screening.
Traffic – add in re active travel support	
Whether traffic will stay on M1 and use the new Junction 24 direct link to the A50 or whether drivers will just keep using Junction 23A and the Finger Farm roundabout. Some respondents queried why the A453 at the airport / Finger Farm roundabout is not being dualled and / or no works are proposed to Finger Farm roundabout.	The impacts of traffic will be fully assessed within the Environmental Statement. SEGRO is working closely with National Highways and Leicestershire County Council to develop a scheme of works to Junction 24. That scheme is expected to provide sufficient capacity to negate the need for works to the Finger Farm roundabout.
Concerns about rat running through Diseworth and Long Whatton, particularly when the A453 is congested. Some respondents supported traffic calming measures in Diseworth, others did not.	Traffic modelling is underway which will identify any issues so that they can be mitigated if necessary.

Concerns that traffic at Finger Farm roundabout could be made worse (some respondents noted that there is already queuing traffic on A453).	As above, traffic modelling is underway which will identify any issues so that they can be mitigated.
Concerns that people who work at EMG2 could park in Diseworth.	The scheme will incorporate adequate parking for those who work at EMG2.
Whether the linkages to Hyam's Lane could increase parking / road safety issues if it was open to cars. Requests made that Hyam's Lane be closed to vehicular access. Respondents were also concerned that the retention of Hyam's Lane as a footpath / cycleway could encourage workers to park in the village and walk up Hyam's Lane to EMG2.	The future use of Hyam's Lane and at Long Holden is being considered.
Safety concerns around The Green junction, particularly how visibility is already reduced. The scheme could make matters worse if two vehicles are side by side. Other respondents suggested greater improvements to this junction and to the Grimes Gate junction, or not, because it will attract more traffic through Diseworth. This was also tied to rat running concerns.	Traffic modelling is underway which will identify whether this could be an issue.
Concerns about existing weaving / safety issues on the A50 heading north west from M1 Junction 24.	As above, traffic modelling is underway which will identify any issues so that they can be mitigated.
Safety concerns about entry from Derby Road (old A6) onto Junction 24 including about lane guidance and markings.	
Concerns that airport users are parking in Diseworth and that the scheme could make this worse.	SEGRO does not believe that the scheme will contribute to this issue but is considering the matter.

Concerns about HGV parking in the area (in lay-bys or inappropriate locations overnight) which could be made worse by the scheme. This concern is linked to litter / human waste issues in the local area.	The scheme will make provision for HGV parking and welfare facilities which will mitigate the likelihood of this.
Concerns about the construction impact of the highways works, and how this would impact local people and businesses. There were some questions asking how long the highways works were expected to take.	The impact of the works on the road network will be managed through a traffic management plan. More detail about this will be provided in the DCO and MCO applications.
The timing of delivery of the highways works and the need to ensure that such works are fully delivered.	Traffic modelling is being undertaken to determine when the highway works are required, and this will then be secured in the DCO and MCO.
Sustainable transport	
Lack of a direct bus (or tram) connection from East Midlands Parkway to EMG2 and lack of bus connectivity between settlements. Whether any public transport (bus, rail, tram) measures introduced will integrate with other new / existing developments and Diseworth.	The need for and extent of any bus provision is being considered.
Support for the proposed provision of pedestrian routes through the community park to reach the airport's bus interchange (pedestrians currently walk along the road) and the new public bus interchange at EMG2 via Hyam's Lane.	Appropriate pedestrian and cycle routes will be secured in the DCO and MCO applications.
Support for the active travel link between EMG1 and EMG2 / the airport, alongside the A453.	The support for the link to be incorporated into the DCO application is noted.
Whether measures could be introduced to make cycling / walking safer along The Green and Grimes Gate. And whether more cycling / walking routes could be created including	The need for and extent of cycling / walking measures is being considered.

for instance into the proposed Isley Woodhouse site and onto Cloud trail extension to Ashby.	
Ecology / biodiversity / agricultural land	
Concerns about the loss of ancient hedgerows and the consequential loss of wildlife and biodiversity.	The impacts of the scheme on ancient hedgerows, wildlife and biodiversity are being actively considered and will be addressed in detail within the Environmental Statement.
Concerns about the loss of agricultural land, specifically arable land.	The impact on agricultural land is being actively considered and will be addressed in detail within the Environmental Statement.
Noise	
Concerns about HGV and forklift reversing alarms particularly at night and in certain weather / wind conditions. The need for night time noise control.	Operational noise from the scheme is being considered and measures will be incorporated into the DCO and MCO to manage it.
The extent of noise mitigation measures, including whether the bunding should be higher and / or fencing should be installed, and the proposed buildings are too close.	The extent of noise mitigation measures is being actively considered, and appropriate measures will be secured by the DCO and MCO, and will be addressed in detail within the Environmental Statement.
Lighting	
The need for lighting along the footpaths and Hyam's Lane.	The need for lighting is being considered and the scale / scope of any lighting will be addressed in the DCO and MCO applications through the Environmental Statement.

Whether there will be mounted luminaire (lights) at similar heights to that at East Midlands Airport.	The lighting at EMG2 will not be the same as at the airport. A lighting strategy is being developed which will set out the extent of any lighting.
Concerns about additional light pollution. Respondents noted that East Midlands Airport have recently installed new lighting, which is having a significant effect on Diseworth.	
Community park	
Concerns about security and residential amenity issues arising from the proposed community park. Some respondents do not support a community park or increased public access through the area. Other respondents see improved public access as being a positive aspect of the scheme.	The community park is an important aspect of the scheme and a significant benefit to the local area. The concerns and aspirations of local residents are noted and SEGRO proposes to engage further with them to develop the proposals for the park.
Support for the community park with respondents suggesting elements which they would wish to see incorporated into it (e.g. benches, wildlife information boards, bins, fitness trail, children’s play park, accessible paths).	
Other issues	
Concerns about inappropriate use of Long Holden, particularly fly tipping and anti-social behaviour (drug taking and nuisance parking).	SEGRO notes the concerns and is actively considering what, if any, measures could be introduced to minimise the risk of such inappropriate uses.
The impact of the development on local resident’s physical and mental health (e.g. air pollution, disruption during construction, loss of green space, and disruption of village life).	The impact of the scheme on population and human health is being actively considered.
Concerns about the loss of horse-riding routes due to the scheme and any change of use of Hyam’s Lane.	The impact of the scheme on horse riders is being actively considered.